**PP209 Analysis Of The Efficacy And Safety Of Robotic Spinal Surgery**

María del Mar Polo-deSantos (mpolo@isciii.es), Setefilla Luengo-Matos, Ana Isabel Hijas-Gómez, Esther Elena García-Carpintero and Luis María Sánchez-Gómez

**Introduction.** Robotic surgery (RS) can offer benefits compared to freehand surgery (FS) in the treatment of patients with spinal diseases. The aim of this study was to assess efficacy and safety of RS versus FS in spinal fusion. The outcomes considered were accuracy in the placement of pedicle screws, surgical times, hospital stay, exposure to radiation, and complications.

**Methods.** A systematic review and meta-analysis were performed by researchers at the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII). Studies published until June 2019 in the English, Spanish, or French languages were retrieved. The data analyses and risk of bias assessments were undertaken using RevMan 5.3.

**Results.** Eight randomized controlled trials including 610 patients (RS: 308, FS: 302) were found. The mean age of the patients ranged from 56 to 68 years in the FS group and from 55 to 68 years in the RS group. The percentage of women included ranged from 46 to 73 percent undergoing FS and from 33 to 70 percent undergoing RS. The main diagnosis was degenerative spine disease. The number of screws implanted ranged from 22 to 584 for FS and 23 to 532 for RS. The robots used were the SpineAssist and Renaissance Guidance System (Mazor Robotics, Ltd) and the TiRobot Orthopaedic Robotic System (Beijing Tinavi Medical Technologies Co., Ltd). Pedicle screw placement within the safety zone (Grades A and B on the Gertzbein and Robbins scale) ranged from 93 to 100 percent in FS and from 85 to 100 percent in RS (relative risk 1.0, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.99–1.03; p = 0.36) (I² = 75%; p = 0.0005). Regarding intervention time, the meta-analysis showed a mean difference (MD) of 15.2 minutes (95% CI 3.53–25.05; p = 0.002) (I² = 0%); p = 0.39) in favor of FS. The MD in hospital stay was 0.36 days (95% CI -1.03–0.31; p = 0.30) (I² = 62%; p = 0.07), which was not statistically significant. Contradictory results were found for fluoroscopy time, although RS was associated with a lower radiation dose than FS (p < 0.05). In relation to safety, studies only reported on rates of surgical revision, which ranged from 0 to 2 after FS and from 0 to 10 after RS. The risk or bias was unclear in most studies.

**Conclusions.** We found no conclusive results suggesting benefits for spinal fusion using RS compared with FS. Further research with adequate selection of patients, type of robot, and comparator is needed.

**PP215 An Evaluation Of The Scottish Medicine Consortium Detailed Advice Document**

Solveiga Zibaite, Pamela Andrews, Fiona McTaggart, Pauline McGuire (Pauline.mcguire@nhs.scot) and Scott Hill

**Introduction.** The Scottish Medicines Consortium (SMC) conducts early health technology assessment (HTA) of new medicines. The advice is implemented at the local level by 14 Health Board Area Drug and Therapeutics Committees (ADTCs). The primary output is a published document, the Detailed Advice Document (DAD), which aims to describe the strengths and weaknesses of the evidence considered and the rationale for the decision. We examined how the DAD is being used to determine areas for improvement.

**Methods.** We conducted semi-structured interviews with a purposive sample of SMC and ADTC members and formulary pharmacists, who are one of the key audiences. Interviews were recorded and transcribed using Microsoft Teams and coded in NVivo. The results were assessed via thematic analysis, which included major themes such as the structure and content of the DAD and its usefulness in supporting implementation of the advice from an ADTC perspective.

**Results.** Following initial interviews (n = 7), some early themes have emerged. The DAD is a valued tool describing the assessment of a medicine’s clinical and cost effectiveness. The current length of the DADs and the technical language used can limit the accessibility of information, and there have been suggestions on how to improve the structure and content. Additional interviews are still being completed and full interview results (available early 2021) will be analyzed to identify key themes.

**Conclusions.** The DAD is the primary output of SMC’s HTA process, which includes decisions on whether a medicine can be routinely prescribed in the National Health Service Scotland. DADs have increased in length over the years, reflecting the increasing complexity of new medicines and a corresponding increase in the size of pharmaceutical company submissions. The interviews conducted to date suggest that the DADs are highly regarded and support implementation of new medicines advice by the ADTC. The findings of this evaluation will lead to an action plan for improvement.

**PP216 Indirect Treatment Comparison Assessment: An Improvement Intervention In The Scottish Medicines Consortium**

John Scott, Moira McMurray, Rickie O’Connell, Pauline McGuire (Pauline.mcguire@nhs.scot) and Noreen Downes

**Introduction.** The Scottish Medicines Consortium (SMC) conducts early health technology assessment (HTA) of new medicines on behalf of the National Health Service Scotland based on pharmaceutical company submissions. As the appraisals are conducted close to the point of marketing authorization, there is often a lack of direct head-to-head data. In 2019, assessment of relevant comparative efficacy was informed via indirect treatment comparisons (ITC) in 55 percent (36/66) of submissions. While the ITCs are essential to the decision-making process, they are frequently incomplete.

**Methods.** A focus group was conducted with the clinical assessment team (n = 11) to explore problems in the submission process.