ON EULER'S CRITERION

EMMA LEHMER

(rec. 2 April 1959)

Euler's criterion states that if p is a prime then

(1)
$$D^{f} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \ p = kf + 1,$$

if and only if D is a k-th power residue of p.

However if (1) does not hold then

(2)
$$D^{f} \equiv \alpha_{k} \pmod{p}, \ \alpha_{k} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

where α_k is some k-th root of unity modulo p.

For k = 2 it is obvious that $\alpha_k = -1$ and we have the usual congruence for the Legendre symbol, namely

(3)
$$D^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{D}{\not p}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

For k > 2 there seems to have been no attempt in the literature to specify which α_k corresponds to a given D. This is probably due to the fact that in general one would not expect to be able to distinguish between primitive k-th roots of unity. The possibility of this determination for k = 3 and D = 2 was suggested by empirical results of N.Y. Wilson which can be reduced to our criterion (24). Explicit results will be given for D = 2also with k = 3, 4, 5, and 8 as well as some general congruence relations involving the so called Jacobsthal sums

(4)
$$\phi_k(D) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\nu^k + D}{p}\right)$$

We consider the sum (4) as a congruence modulo p. Using (3) we obtain

(5)
$$\phi_k(D) \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \nu^{(p-1)/2} (\nu^k + D)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \sum_{\mu=0}^{(p-1)/2} {\binom{(p-1)/2}{\mu}} D^{\mu} S_k(\mu)$$

where

$$S_{k}(\mu) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \nu^{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)(p-1)-k\mu} \equiv \begin{cases} \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \nu^{-k\mu} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \\ \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \nu^{(p-1)/2-k\mu} & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence if k is odd

$$S_{k}(\mu) \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } \mu = mf \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

while if k is even

$$S_k(\mu) \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } \mu = (2m+1)f/2 \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining these results we have

(7)
$$\phi_k(D) \equiv \begin{cases} -\sum_{m=0}^{(k-1)/2} D^{mf} \binom{(p-1)/2}{mf} \pmod{p} & \text{for } k \text{ odd} \\ -\sum_{m=0}^{(k-2)/2} D^{(2m+1)f/2} \binom{(p-1)/2}{(2m+1)f/2} & (\text{mod } p), k \text{ and } f \text{ even} \\ 0 & (\text{mod } p) & \text{for } k \text{ even}, f \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

This congruence can be found in a slightly different form in Whiteman [1]. For k = 2, f/2 = (p - 1)/4, $p = 4n + 1 = a^2 + b^2$, $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ congruence (7) becomes

(8)
$$\phi_2(D) \equiv -D^{(p-1)/4} \begin{pmatrix} (p-1)/2 \\ (p-1)/4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}.$$

Putting D = 1 we get the well known result of Gauss

(9)
$$\binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4} \equiv -\phi_2(1) \equiv 2a \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore

(10)
$$D^{(p-1)/4} \equiv \phi_2(D)/\phi_2(1) \pmod{p}.$$

Jacobsthal [2] proved in his dissertation that if $D \equiv m^2 \pmod{p}$

(11)
$$\phi_2(D) = \phi_2(m^2) = \left(\frac{m}{p}\right)\phi_2(1) = -\left(\frac{m}{p}\right)2a.$$

Substituting this into (10) gives (3). In case D is not a quadratic residue Jacobsthal was only able to prove that

(12)
$$\phi_2(D) = \pm 2b \text{ if } \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = -1,$$

which is insufficient for our purposes. In another paper [3] we were able to improve on this result if 2 is not a quartic residue of p = 4n + 1 as follows:

Emma Lehmer

(13)
$$\phi_2(D) = -2b\left(\frac{m}{p}\right)$$
 where
$$\begin{cases} D \equiv 2m^2 \pmod{p}, \ \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1, \\ b/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ D \equiv \sqrt{2m^2} \pmod{p}, \ \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1, \\ b/4 \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Substituting these values into (10) we obtain in case 2 is not a quartic residue

(14)
$$D^{(p-1)/4} \equiv \left(\frac{m}{p}\right) b/a \pmod{p}$$

in case either $D \equiv 2m^2$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$ with $b/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $D = \sqrt{2m^2}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = +1$ with $b/4 \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{4}$.

In the case D = 2, the results are much more explicit. It is well known that 2 is a quartic residue of p if and only if $b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. If 2 is a quadratic but not quartic residue then $b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, in the remaining cases b is oddly even and we can take as above $b/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and state our criterion as follows:

If $p = 4n + 1 = a^2 + b^2$, $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

(15)
$$2^{(p-1)/4} \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{b/4} \pmod{p} & \text{if } b \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ b/a \pmod{p} & \text{otherwise } [b/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}]. \end{cases}$$

Next let k = 3, f = (p - 1)/3. In this case the sum (7) reduces to

(16)
$$\phi_3(D) \equiv -1 - D^f \binom{(p-1)/2}{f} \pmod{p}$$

Letting D = 1 we have

(17)
$$\binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/3} \equiv -1 - \phi_3(1) \pmod{p}.$$

Substituting this back into (16) we obtain

(18)
$$D^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (\phi_3(D) + 1)/(\phi_3(1) + 1) \pmod{p}$$

Since $\phi_3(D) = \phi_3(1)$ if D is a cubic residue the above congruence reduces in this case to Euler's criterion. If D is not a cubic residue however the general formula for $\phi_3(D)$ contains an ambiguity of sign. We were able to determine this sign in a previous paper [3] under the condition that 2 is not a cubic residue. Let

$$p = A^2 + 3B^2$$
 and $4p = L^2 + 27M^2$, $A \equiv L \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

then

(19)
$$2^{(p-1)/3} \equiv 1/4^{(p-1)/3} \equiv -2A/L \pmod{p},$$

since [4]

(20)
$$\phi_3(D) = \phi_3(1) = -(2A + 1)$$
 if $D = m^3$,

while

(21)
$$\phi_3(D) = \begin{cases} 2A - L - 1 \text{ if } D \equiv 2m^3 \pmod{p} \\ L - 1 \text{ if } D \equiv 4m^3 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

It might be worth recalling that 2 is a cubic residue of p if and only if $L \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$; but this implies $B \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and L = -2A, $B = \pm 3M$; hence (19) reduces to (1). If 2 is not a cubic residue then

 $B \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and we may choose $B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then it can be easily verified that the two forms are related by L = A + 3B, $A - B = \pm 3M$. Hence we can eliminate L in (21), thus obtaining our result in terms of a single form as follows:

If $p = A^2 + 3B^2$, $A \equiv B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and 2 is not a cubic residue, then,

(22)
$$\varphi_3(D) = \begin{cases} A - 3B - 1 & \text{if } D = 2m^3 \pmod{p} \\ A + 3B - 1 & \text{if } D = 4m^3 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

Substituting this and (20) into (18) we obtain

(23)
$$D^{(p-1)/3} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D \equiv m^3 \pmod{p} \\ (-A+3B)/2A & \text{if } D \equiv 2m^3 \pmod{p} \\ -(A+3B)/2A & \text{if } D \equiv 4m^3 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

It might be worth noting that $(-A \pm 3B)/2A \equiv (\mp A - B)/2B \pmod{p}$. This can be verified by cross multiplication using $A^2 \equiv -3B^2 \pmod{p}$. For D = 2 we get the following explicit result:

(24)
$$2^{(p-1)/3} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } B \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ (3B-A)/2A \equiv -(A+B)/2B \ [B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}] \end{cases}$$

By (20) and (17) we get the well known result.

(25)
$$\binom{(\not p-1)/2}{(\not p-1)/3} \equiv 2A \pmod{p}, A \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

For k = 5, f = (p - 1)/5, congruence (7) gives

(26)
$$-[1+\phi_5(D)] = D^f \binom{(p-1)/2}{f} + D^{2f} \binom{(p-1)/2}{2f} \pmod{p}.$$

We write this congruence for $D = 4d^{\nu}$, $\nu = 0$, 1, 2, 3, 4, where d is any

quintic non-residue of p and let

(27)
$$c_{\nu} = -[1 + \phi_5(4d^{\nu})], \quad (\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4),$$

(28)
$$\gamma_1 = 4^f \binom{(\not p - 1)/2}{f} \equiv \binom{2f}{f} \pmod{p},$$

and

(29)
$$\gamma_2 = 4^{2f} \binom{(\not p - 1)/2}{2f} \equiv \binom{4f}{2f} \equiv \binom{3f}{f} \pmod{p}.$$

Then (26) can be replaced by the system of congruences (30) $c = v d^{vf} + v d^{2vf} \pmod{h} = (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$

(30)
$$c_{\nu} \equiv \gamma_1 u^{\nu} + \gamma_2 u^{\mu\nu}$$
 (mod p) $(\nu \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$.
This system can be solved for γ_1 and γ_2 as follows. We note that

(31)
$$c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4 \equiv (d^f - d^{2f} - d^{3f} + d^{4f}) (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \pmod{p},$$

(32) $c_1c_4 + c_2c_3 \equiv 2(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) - \gamma_2\gamma_2 \equiv 2c_0^2 - 5\gamma_1\gamma_2 \pmod{p},$

since
$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \equiv c_0 \pmod{p}$$
,

(33)
$$c_1c_4 - c_2c_3 \equiv (d^f - d^{2f} - d^{3f} + d^{4f})\gamma_1\gamma_2$$

 $\equiv (d^f - d^{2f} - d^{3f} + d^{4f})(2c_0^2 - c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)/5 \pmod{p},$

by (32). Hence by (31) and (33)
(34)
$$\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \equiv (c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4)(2c_0^2 - c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)/5(c_1c_4 - c_2c_3) \pmod{p}$$
.
Therefore,

(35)
$$\gamma_1 \equiv \binom{2f}{f} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [c_0 + (c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4)(2c_0^2 - c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)/5(c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)] \pmod{p}$$

and

(36)
$$\gamma_2 \equiv \binom{3f}{f} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [c_0 - (c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4) (2c_0^2 - c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)/5(c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)] \pmod{p}.$$

We now recall that $\phi_5(4d^{\nu})$ and therefore the c_{ν} 's can be evaluated [1] in terms of the quadratic partition

(37)
$$\begin{cases} 16p = x^2 + 50u^2 + 50v^2 + 125w^2 \\ xw = v^2 - u^2 - 4uv, \qquad x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \end{cases}$$

as follows:

(38)

$$c_{0} = -[1 + \phi_{5}(4)] = -x$$

$$4c_{1} = x - 25w - 10(u + 2v)$$

$$4c_{2} = x + 25w - 10(2u - v)$$

$$4c_{3} = x + 25w + 10(2u - v)$$

$$4c_{4} = x - 25w + 10(u + 2v).$$

Therefore $c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4 = -25w$, while

$$4(c_1c_4 + c_2c_3) = 3x^2 + 625w^2$$

and

$$c_1c_4 - c_2c_3 = 25(u^2 - v^2 - uv) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}5(xw + 5uv) \pmod{p}$$

Hence (35) and (36) become

(39)
$$\binom{2f}{f} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[-x + \frac{w(x^2 - 125w^2)}{4(xw + 5uv)} \right] \pmod{p}$$

and

(40)
$$\binom{3f}{f} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[-x - \frac{w(x^2 - 125w^2)}{4(xw + 5uv)} \right] \pmod{p}.$$

We note that these results are unambiguous since the same answer is obtained by substituting either of the four solutions of (37), namely

(41) (x, u, v, w); (x, -u, -v, w); (x, v, -u, -w); (x, -v, u, -w).

Knowing γ_2 we can solve the system (30) for $d^{\nu f}$ as follows. Writing 2ν and 3ν for ν in (30) we obtain

(42)
$$d^{\nu f}c_{2\nu} \equiv \gamma_1 d^{3\nu f} + \gamma_2 \pmod{p}$$
$$c_{3\nu} \equiv \gamma_1 d^{3\nu f} + \gamma_2 d^{\nu f} \pmod{p}.$$

Hence subtracting,

(43)
$$d^{\nu f} \equiv (c_{3\nu} + \gamma_2)/(c_{2\nu} + \gamma_2) \pmod{p}.$$

The last expression is not devoid of ambiguity, however, since the c's depend on the choice of the solution in (40). For d = 2, however, we can make a complete determination by noting that

(44)
$$c_3 = -[1 + \phi_5(4d^3)] = -[1 + \phi_5(1)]$$

must be even, while the other c's are odd. This follows from the fact that $\phi_5(1)$ is odd since it contains five zero terms, while all the other ϕ_5 's are composed exclusively of an even number of plus and minus ones and must be even. Hence we must have

(45)
$$x + 25w + 20u - 10v \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$$
.

It is known [4] that x and w are both even or odd according as 2 is a quintic residue of p or not. Hence x and w are both odd and u and v must be of different parity by the second equation in (37). We can let u be *even*, then by (37)

$$xw \equiv 1 - u^2 \equiv 1 + 2u \pmod{8}$$

and this implies

$$w \equiv x + 2u \pmod{8}$$

and by (45)

$$v \equiv x + u \pmod{4}$$

or what is the same thing

(46)
$$v \equiv (-1)^{u/2} x \pmod{4}.$$

This determines a unique solution of the system (38) and we can write

(47)
$$2^{(p-1)/5} \equiv \frac{w(125w^2 - x^2) + 2(xw + 5uv)(25w - x + 20u - 10v)}{w(125w^2 - x^2) + 2(xw + 5uv)(25w - x - 20u + 10v)} \pmod{p}.$$

For example let p = 31, x = 11, u = 2, v = 1, w = -1. We find

$$2^{(p-1)/5} = 2^6 \equiv \frac{-1(4) + 2(-1)(-6)}{-1(4) + 2(-1)(-66)} \equiv \frac{8}{128} \equiv \frac{8}{4} \equiv 2 \pmod{31},$$

while

$$\binom{12}{6} \equiv \frac{1}{2}[-11-1] \equiv -6 \equiv 25 \text{ and } \binom{18}{6} \equiv \frac{1}{2}[-11+1] \equiv -5 \equiv 26 \pmod{31}.$$

Similarly by (38) and (42),

(48)
$$4^{(p-1)/5} \equiv \frac{w(125w^2 - x^2) - 2(xw + 5uv)(25w + x + 10u + 20v)}{w(125w^2 - x^2) - 2(xw + 5uv)(25w + x - 10u - 20v)} \pmod{p}.$$

For k = 8, $p = 8n + 1 = a^2 + b^2$, it is well known that if 2 is a quartic residue of p, then $b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and

(49)
$$2^{(p-1)/8} \equiv (-1)^{b/8+n} \pmod{p}$$

Otherwise since 2 is a quadratic residue, we can use (14) with $D = \sqrt{2}$ to obtain

(50)
$$2^{(p-1)/8} \equiv b/a \pmod{p}$$
, where $b/4 \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{4}$.

Expressions for $2^{(p-1)/k} \pmod{p}$ for k = 6, 10, 12, 15, 20, 24 and 40 can be easily obtained by combining the above results.

References

- [1] Whiteman, A. L., "Cyclotomy and Jacobsthal Sums", Amer. Jour. of Math. 74 (1952), 89-99.
- [2] Jacobsthal, E., "Anwendungen einer Formel aus der Theorie der quadratischen Reste", Dissertation (Berlin, 1906).
- [3] Lehmer, Emma, "On the Number of Solutions of $u^k + D \equiv w \pmod{p}$ ", Pacific Jour. of Math. 55 (1955), 103-118.
- [4] Lehmer, Emma, "The quintic character of 2 and 3", Duke Math. Journal 18 (1951) 11-18.

Berkeley, Calif., U.S.A.