Short Report



Immune response to vaccination in people with psychotic disorders relative to healthy controls: prospective study of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination

Oisín O'Brien†, Atheeshaan Arumuham†, Yuya Mizuno, Luke Baxter, Maria Lobo, Sita Parmar, Stephen Jolles and Oliver D. Howes

Summary

This prospective study examines the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with psychotic disorders compared with healthy volunteers. Participants were recruited naturalistically as part of the UK's COVID-19 vaccination programme. Prior to receiving their first COVID-19 vaccine, blood samples were provided by participants to examine anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins (IgG) at baseline, followed by a repeat assay 1 month after receiving their first vaccine to assess vaccine response. The increase of IgG levels from baseline to 1 month post-vaccination was significantly lower in patients compared with controls, supporting evidence of impaired vaccine response in people with psychotic disorders. When excluding patients treated with clozapine from the analysis, this difference was no longer

Schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders are associated with higher COVID-19 mortality rates than in age- and sex-matched controls,¹ even after adjusting for comorbidities.² Although illness behaviour and low vaccine uptake contribute,³ several lines of evidence indicate that immune dysfunction may also play an important role in these poor outcomes following COVID-19 infection. In particular, schizophrenia has been associated with genetic loci implicated in immune function⁴ and higher serum levels of proinflammatory cytokines relative to controls.⁵ Vaccine response has also been reported to be lower in people with schizophrenia relative to healthy controls.⁶ Clozapine may be a particular risk factor for this as people treated with clozapine present with lower immunoglobulin (IgG) levels, similar to levels seen in primary immunodeficiency, and that resolve on cessation of clozapine.⁷ Finally, people with a psychotic disorder have an increased risk of breakthrough COVID-19 infection despite vaccination, relative to healthy controls.⁸ These lines of evidence suggest that there could be an impaired immune response to COVID-19 vaccination in psychotic disorders. However, to our knowledge this has not been tested. In view of this, we aimed to test the hypothesis that the immune response to COVID-19 vaccination is lower in people with schizophrenia relative to controls.

Method

The study was approved by the London-Surrey NHS research ethics committee (ref: 20/HRA/1987) and complies with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. All participants gave written informed consent to participate. Between February 2021 and February 2022, we recruited patients aged \geq 18 years from mental health teams in London (UK) with a diagnosis of a psychotic disorder meeting ICD-10 criteria (codes F20–F29) and healthy controls from the same geographical area with no history of psychotic

significant, suggesting that effects may be particularly marked in people taking clozapine.

Keywords

Schizophrenia; SARS-CoV-2; immune response; vaccine; health policy.

Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

disorder (confirmed using the the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 – Clinician Version (SCID-5-CV)). No participant had previously received a COVID-19 vaccine.

Participants received a baseline screening and clinical assessment, and provided a baseline blood sample 0–12 days prior to their first COVID-19 vaccination. The brand of vaccine offered was either AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S, Pfizer BioNTech BNT162b2 or Moderna mRNA-1273, depending on availability. A follow-up blood sample was taken 1 month after vaccination.

The EUROIMMUN Anti-SARS-CoV-2 assay was used to measure serum levels of immunoglobulins specific to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. This enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) provides semi-quantitative *in vitro* determination of human anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike protein IgG antibodies. Intraassay and inter-assay variations have been estimated as <7% and <5% respectively; sensitivity and specificity have been reported as 91.39% and 98.56% respectively.⁹ Results are expressed as the ratio of the extinction of the sample over the extinction of the calibrator, and are interpreted in terms of IgG response to vaccine as follows: <0.8 denotes negative; \geq 0.8 to <1.1 borderline/indeterminate; \geq 1.1 positive.⁹

SPSS version 22 for Mac OS was used for all statistical analyses and the significance level was set to P < 0.05. Our primary outcome measure is vaccine response, calculated as the change in SARS-CoV-2 spike protein IgG level:

log_e[IgG level 1 month post-vaccination] – log_e[IgG level pre-vaccination]

We used independent *t*-tests to test our main hypothesis that there would be a lower response in patients compared with controls, and to compare continuous clinical and demographic variables at baseline. A two-tailed *P*-value <0.05 was considered significant. One-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to control for covariates of interest, namely age and sex.

⁺ Joint first authors.

Table 1 Clinical and demographic variables by group (healthy controls versus people with psychotic disorders)			
	Controls (n = 33)	Patients (<i>n</i> = 24)	Statistical comparison
Age, years: mean (s.d.)	28.8 (7.5)	34.4 (12.9)	$t_{(55)} = -1.91;$ P = 0.065
Sex, male/female: n	8/25	18/6	Fisher's exact P < 0.001
ICD-10 diagnosis, <i>n</i>	n.a.	F20 schizophrenia: 18; F25 schizoaffective disorder: 1; F29 unspecified non-organic psychosis: 5	n.a.
Illness duration, years: mean (s.d.)	n.a.	10.46 (10.46)	n.a.
Comorbid conditions, n	Hypertension: 0	Hypertension: 1	n.a.
	T2DM: 0	T2DM: 1	
	COPD: 0	COPD: 1	
	Asthma: 1	Asthma: 1	
Receiving antipsychotic, n	n.a.	None: 1; aripiprazole: 7; clozapine: 8; olanzapine: 4; quetiapine: 1; risperidone: 3	n.a.
Daily chlorpromazine equivalent dose, mg: mean (s.d.)	n.a.	331.6 (144.7)	n.a.
First vaccine type received, AZ/Pfizer/Moderna: n	4/26/3	7/17/0	Fisher's exact $P = 0.13$
Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies at baseline, <i>n</i> : mean (s.d.)	0.72 (1.17)	1.25 (1.68)	$t_{(55)} = -1.33; P = 0.19$
Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies at 1-month follow- up, <i>n</i> : mean (s.d.)	4.67 (1.65)	4.19 (2.09)	$t_{(55)} = 0.97; P = 0.34$

n.a., not applicable; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; AZ, AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S; Pfizer, Pfizer BioNTech BNT162b2; Moderna, Moderna mRNA-1273; IgG, immunoglobulin.

Results

In total, 24 patients and 33 controls participated. Clinical and demographic variables and vaccine response are summarised in Table 1. Our cohorts were matched for age ($t_{(55)} = -1.91$, P = 0.065), although our patient group consisted of more males than our control group (Fisher's exact test, P < 0.001).

IgG levels were not normally distributed and so were log (normal) transformed. The increase of IgG levels from baseline to 1 month post-vaccination was significantly lower in patients (+1.86, s.d. = 1.16) than in controls $(+2.45, \text{ s.d.} = 0.89; t_{(55)} = 2.2,$ P = 0.035). The group difference remained significant when sex was included as a covariate $(F_{(1,54)} = 4.38, P = 0.04)$ and at a trend level significance with age as a covariate $(F_{(1,54)} = 3.61, P = 0.06)$. Three patients and zero controls failed to achieve a 'positive' level (≥1.1) after first vaccination. All three patients who did not exhibit an adequate response to vaccination were taking clozapine. As a sensitivity analysis, we excluded patients on clozapine, and found there was no longer a significant difference between patients (+1.93, s.d. = 1.14) and controls $(+2.45, s.d. = 0.89; t_{(47)} = 1.7,$ P = 0.09). We also ran a sensitivity analysis with sex-ratiomatched patients and controls (males = 8, females = 6 in each group) with computer generated randomly selected cases, and found that the significantly lower increase in IgG levels in the patient group remained ($t_{(26)} = 2.99, P = 0.006$).

Discussion

We show that antibody response to COVID-19 vaccination was lower in patients with psychotic disorders than in healthy controls. Additionally, three clozapine-treated patients failed to seroconvert after one vaccine dose.

Our findings could suggest that an impaired immune response to the COVID-19 spike protein underlies prior evidence that people with psychotic disorders are at higher risk of mortality from SARS-CoV-2.^{1,2} To our knowledge, this is the first study to directly measure serological response to COVID-19 vaccination in people with psychotic disorders. We had the advantage of recruiting both patients and controls in the same time period and geographical location, when COVID-19 vaccines first became available to the general public, which allowed us to obtain baseline measurements shortly before first vaccination. Recruitment during this public health initiative was opportunistic, limited to a finite pool of people who remained vaccine-naive, and also targeted a patient cohort known to have lower vaccine uptake rates than the general population.³ A limitation of the assay used in this study was that it experienced saturation at high levels. Nevertheless, this is unlikely to explain our findings, as it would affect both groups similarly.

Sensitivity analyses indicate that age could contribute to the lower immune response in patients. This potential relationship with age has been reported in studies assessing the efficacy of COVID-19 vaccination across different age groups, with the immune effect of young people being vaccinated being more robust than in older people.¹⁰ Moreover, people with schizophrenia are noted to have accelerated aging, which may be further driving an impaired immune response.¹¹

Our observation that three patients did not meet criteria for an adequate IgG response to vaccination indicates this may be a potentially clinically important effect; they were all taking clozapine. Clozapine is known to cause immunodeficiency and poor response to other vaccines,⁷ so these results suggest it may reduce the likelihood of seroconversion in some cases. However, these results should be interpreted cautiously as the sample is small and the study was not designed to compare treatment effects. Nevertheless, a review of literature indicates that patients treated with clozapine were not at higher risk of contracting COVID-19 or developing complications of illness than patients treated with non-clozapine antipsychotics.¹² Further research is required to investigate the long-term efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines, as well elucidating whether alterations in immune response in people with schizophrenia is solely attributable to clozapine. Our findings extend prior evidence of impaired responses to other vaccines in people with psychotic disorders.⁶ Future studies should aim to carefully control for demographic variables (e.g. age, sex, ethnicity) and other factors that might influence vaccine response.

2

Oisín O'Brien, Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; and Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK; Atheeshaan Arumuham (), Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; and Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK; Yuya Mizuno (), Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; And Department of Psychiatry, Reio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; Luke Baxter, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Sta Parmar, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Sta Parmar, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Stephen Jolles (), Immunodeficiency Centre for Wales, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK; Oliver D, Howes (), Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; Sta Parmar, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Stephen Jolles (), Immunodeficiency Centre for Wales, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK; Oliver D, Howes (), Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; MHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; and Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK; and Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK; and Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK; and Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London,

Correspondence: Oliver D. Howes. Email: oliver.howes@kcl.ac.uk

First received 7 Jul 2023, final revision 11 Dec 2023, accepted 18 Jan 2024

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, O.D.H., on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We thank Leanne Grant and Kathryn Bramhall for providing laboratory support.

Author contributions

A.A., Y.M., S.J. and O.D.H.: concept and design. All authors: acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data. O.O., A.A. and L.B.: drafting of manuscript. S.J. and O.D.H.: supervision.

Funding

This study was funded by Medical Research Council (no. MC_A656_5QD30_2135), Maudsley Charity (no. 666) and Wellcome Trust (no. 094849/Z/10/Z) grants to O.D.H. and the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of H Lundbeck A/s, the NHS/NIHR or the Department of Health.

Declaration of interest

Y.M. has received fellowship grants from Canon Foundation in Europe, manuscript fees from Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma and consultant fees from WCG Clinical and Signant Health within the past 3 years. S.J. has received support for conferences, speaker meetings, advisory boards, trials, data and safety monitoring boards and projects with CSL Behring, Takeda, Swedish Orphan Biovitrum, Biotest, Binding Site, Grifols, BPL, Octapharma, LFB, Pharming, GSK, Weatherden, Zarodex, Sanofi and UCB Pharma. O.D.H. has received investigator-initiated research funding from and/or participated in advisory/speaker meetings organised by Angellini, Autifony, Biogen, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Heptares, Global Medical Education, Invicro, Janssen, Karuna, Lundbeck, Neurocrine, Otsuka, Sunovion, Recordati, Roche and Viatris/Mylan, and was previously a part-time employee of Lundbeck A/S. O.D.H. has a patent for the use of dopaminergic imaging.

References

- 1 de Hert M, Mazereel V, Stroobants M, De Picker L, van Assche K, Detraux J. COVID-19-related mortality risk in people with severe mental illness: a systematic and critical review. *Front Psychiatry* 2021; 12: 798554.
- 2 Vai B, Mazza MG, Delli Colli C, Foiselle M, Allen B, Benedetti F, et al. Mental disorders and risk of COVID-19-related mortality, hospitalisation, and intensive care unit admission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2021; 8: 797–812.
- 3 Arumuham A, O'Brien O, Ahmad Z, Nikbin K, Howes OD. Low COVID-19 vaccination rates in people with severe mental illness and reasons for this: an outpatient study. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2022; 145: 416–8.
- **4** Pouget JG. The emerging immunogenetic architecture of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 2018; **44**: 993–1004.
- 5 Pillinger T, Osimo EF, Brugger S, Mondelli V, McCutcheon RA, Howes OD. A meta-analysis of immune parameters, variability, and assessment of modal distribution in psychosis and test of the immune subgroup hypothesis. *Schizophr Bull* 2019; 45: 1120–33.
- 6 Xiao K, Gillissie ES, Lui LMW, Ceban F, Teopiz KM, Gill H, et al. Immune response to vaccination in adults with mental disorders: a systematic review. J Affect Disord 2022; 304: 66–77.
- 7 Ponsford MJ, Steven R, Bramhall K, Burgess M, Wijetilleka S, Carne E, et al. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of clozapine-treated patients with schizophrenia referred to a national immunodeficiency clinic reveals a B-cell signature resembling common variable immunodeficiency (CVID). J Clin Pathol 2020; 73: 587–92.
- 8 Nishimi K, Neylan TC, Bertenthal D, Seal KH, O'Donovan A. Association of psychiatric disorders with incidence of SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infection among vaccinated adults. JAMA Netw Open 2022; 5(4): e227287.
- 9 Otter AD, Bown A, D'Arcangelo S, Bailey D, Semper A, Hewson J, et al. Implementation and extended evaluation of the Euroimmun anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay and its contribution to the United Kingdom's COVID-19 public health response. *Microbiol Spectr* 2022; 10(1): e0228921.
- 10 Wang J, Tong Y, Li D, Li J, Li Y. The impact of age difference on the efficacy and safety of COVID-19 vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Immunol* 2021; 12: 758294.
- 11 Teeuw J, Ori APS, Brouwer RM, de Zwarte SMC, Schnack HG, Hulshoff Pol HE, et al. Accelerated aging in the brain, epigenetic aging in blood, and polygenic risk for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2021; 231: 189–97.
- 12 Giles G, Varghese S, Shymko G, Nguyen T, Waters F. Clozapine therapy and COVID-19: a systematic review of the prevalence rates, health outcomes, hematological markers, and patient perspectives. *Schizophr Bull* 2023; 49: 53–67.

