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ABSTRACT: Objective: To assess the prevalence of pain in adults with spasticity and to assess the association between the subjective experience of pain and spasticity. Design: Cross-sectional study. Setting: outpatient spasticity management clinic of a rehabilitation centre. Patients: Patients with upper motor neuron lesions and spasticity (n = 131) were recruited. Methods: We assessed pain intensity and location, relationship between spasticity and pain perception, and perception of pain relief from botulinum toxin type-A (BoNTA) injections. Main outcome measures: Pain perception rated on a 10-point numerical rating scale and pain quality. Results: 65% of the patients with spasticity reported presence of pain and 60% described it as an aching pain. More patients reported pain with movement (34%) compared to rest (21%). There was a statistically poor correlation between the severity of pain and spasticity (r = 0.16; p > 0.05). Most patients (80%) believed that their pain was related to spasticity and 62% reported that BoNTA injections decreased their pain. Conclusions: The high incidence of pain noted within our sample suggests that physicians may have to consider pain management as part of spasticity treatment. Participants reported that their pain was related to their spasticity, and that it decreased after BoNTA treatment. Further study is needed to explore the relationships between objective measures of spasticity and pain.
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Spasticity is a motor disorder characterized by a velocity-dependent increase in tonic stretch reflexes, with exaggerated tendon jerks, resulting from hyper-excitability of the stretch reflex as one component of the upper motor neuron (UMN) syndrome. It occurs in many neurological conditions, including multiple sclerosis (MS), cerebral palsy (CP), spinal cord injury (SCI), stroke, and traumatic brain injury (TBI). The treatment options can vary but can involve physical and occupational therapy; pharmacological interventions such as oral medications, intrathecal therapies and intramuscular injections; and surgical interventions. Currently, amongst the pharmacological interventions, botulinum toxin intramuscular injections are widely used for focal spasticity (present in discrete locations). Botulinum toxin acts at the neuromuscular junction to block neural transmission, decreasing muscle activation and thus decreasing dynamic tone.

Patients with spasticity can also experience pain and in our clinical practice, patients with spasticity often have pain and
spasms (a sudden involuntary muscle contraction). Pain prevalence ranges from 30-60% in patients with MS and SCI about 15% in acute stroke (less than year post-stroke, at least partially attributed to spasticity), and increases to about 39% in patients with chronic stroke (more than two years). In the patients with pain, 41% had spasticity if the pain was stroke related and only 5% had spasticity if the pain was non-stroke related. Several potential causes of pain such as spasticity, shoulder-hand syndrome, and central post-stroke pain have been identified; however, the relationship between spasticity and pain has not been assessed in other non-stroke patient populations. Thus, it is important to know if there is a bidirectional association between pain and spasticity.

There is conflicting evidence on whether botulinum toxin type A (BoNTA) injections can help decrease pain. Meta-analysis of the effect of botulinum neurotoxin on low back pain demonstrated reduced pain at three and eight weeks post-BoNTA injection. In women with pelvic floor muscle overactivity and a two-year history of pelvic pain, BoNTA injections have resulted in a significant reduction in dyspareunia. Botulinum toxin type A has also been shown to be an effective prophylactic in patients with chronic migraine and primary stabbing headaches. However, some studies have shown no difference in pain after four weeks. Thus, although BoNTA is known to effectively decrease spasticity, it is not clear if BoNTA also simultaneously decreases pain as a result of reduction in spasticity.

For clinicians that manage patients with spasticity, further evidence, especially in relation to patient perceptions, is required to clarify the full benefit of intramuscular botulinum toxin injections. Our overall aim was to assess the relationship between spasticity and pain. To help address this, our cross-sectional study examined (1) the prevalence of pain in patients with spasticity; 2) the type of pain exhibited; 3) patient perception of the association between pain and spasticity and spasms; and 4) perception of change in pain in relation to botulinum injections. This, along with further analysis of the type of pain experienced, may pave the way towards a paradigm shift of how treatment of spasticity is viewed.

METHODS
Participants
One hundred and thirty one consecutive patients were recruited from a Spasticity Clinic based on the following inclusion criteria: 1) currently receiving ongoing intra-muscular BoNTA injections or BoNTA naïve (scheduled to receive injections on the day of testing), 2) above the age of eighteen, and 3) objective evidence of spasticity (based on modified Ashworth Scale - MAS score of at least 1). Patients were excluded from the study if they could not communicate with the clinic staff or caregivers; or had cognitive deficits (inability to answer simple yes or no questions as determined by the treating physicians) that would designate them unable to answer the questions in the questionnaire accurately.

All participants provided written informed consent approved by the hospital Research Ethics Board and procedures followed were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 1983. After the consent form was signed, the questionnaire was completed while the patients were waiting to be seen by their physician in the clinic.

Outcome measures
We used a WILDA (Words, intensity, location, duration, aggravating/ameliorating factors) approach to assess pain in our participants (see the online supplementary Appendix 1 for the pain questionnaire used in this study). Verbally administered Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), a psychometric scale with scores ranging from 0–10 (‘0’ indicates no pain and ‘10’ indicates unbearable pain), is a validated (commonly used, standardized approach to measuring pain and was incorporated in the questionnaire to assess pain intensity. Patients verbally specified their pain severity by indicating a number between two end-points (0 and 10). The questionnaire assessed the presence of pain with words describing pain, pain intensity, location of pain (using tick boxes), medication use, factors aggravating/ameliorating pain (subjective relationship of pain to movement of the involved limb), effect of spasticity on pain perception, and perception of pain relief from botulinum toxin injections. The items included in the pain questionnaire were based on previous research articles that described different types of pain. After the questionnaire was completed, spasticity was assessed by two experienced (more than five years’ experience assessing patients with spasticity as a result of common UMN lesions) physical and occupational therapists (using MAS). The physical therapist only assessed lower limb spasticity and the occupational therapist only assessed upper limb spasticity. To get a MAS score, the tested joint is briskly moved and a rating (0–4) is assigned based on the nature and quality of resistance to passive movement experienced by the rater. Scoring system – 0: no increase in resistance, 1: slight catch or increase in muscle tone near the end of movement, 1+: slight catch with minimal increase in resistance through the remaining range of motion, 2: more marked increase in muscle tone through most of the range of motion, 3: considerable increase in muscle tone with difficulty moving the joint, and 4: affected part rigid (contracture). The MAS has moderate interrater reliability and is a commonly used clinically feasible test of spasticity severity that has been associated with sensitivity of the stretch reflex receptors. In cases where pain was reported in more than one location, the MAS score in the location with the most pain was documented. The data was collected from the patients prior to receiving intramuscular BoNTA injections.

Data analysis
We used an online analysis software (Samplesize 0.6.0), to determine the precision level (with a 95% confidence interval) of the observed spasticity prevalence. Descriptive analyses were performed and Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated to measure the strength of linear dependence between subjective pain descriptors and MAS spasticity severity using SPSS software version 20.0 (IBM Corp., New York, NY, USA). Graphs were created using Microsoft Excel add-on (XL Toolbox © 2008-2011 Daniel Kraus, Würzburg, Germany).

RESULTS
Of the 131 patients with spasticity enrolled in the study, 47% had a primary diagnosis of stroke. Other frequent etiologies included MS, CP, SCI and TBI at rates of 19%, 12%, 9% and 8%, respectively. Less common causes of spasticity in our sample included Behcet’s disease, encephalitis, hereditary spastic...
paraparesis, and hydrocephalus – and each accounted for less than 2% of the patients. The ages of the patients in the study ranged from 20 to 90 years - mean 57.28 years (standard deviation 16.79 years; mode 78 years). Of the 131 participants, 66 were males and 65 females. A majority of our patients typically receive BoNTA injections at an interval of three to six months. Of all participants, 20% self-reported some degree of memory deficit. Only three patients reported a previous history of pain that occurred prior to the onset of neurological disorder (not relevant to patients with cerebral palsy).

Prevalence

Eighty five out of 131 patients with spasticity reported pain and prevalence was 65% (ranging from 56.5% to 73.5% based on 8.5% precision level). Patients with CP had the highest prevalence of pain (87%). Patients with MS, SCI, stroke, and TBI had prevalence of pain similar to the total group average in the rates of 64%, 67%, 61% and 64%, respectively. Median MAS score in our patient group was 2 and 59% of patients were on analgesics ranging from over the counter drugs containing acetaminophen to stronger prescription medications containing oxycodone hydrochloride and acetaminophen. A small percentage of patients was on anti-depressants and 31% of patients were also receiving oral anti-spasticity medications.

Character of pain

Although a few patients described their pain with more than one character, the most common description of the character of pain was aching (60%), sharp (34%), or dull (24%) as depicted in Figure 1. Less than 14% of the patients described their pain in ways consistent with a neuropathic nature (such as burning, electric-like, or painfully cold). Although 45% of participants stated that the pain occurred both during movement of the spastic limb and during rest, the pain was reported to occur more often during movement compared to at rest (34% vs. 21%).

Pearson correlation coefficient between pain severity score (NRS) and severity of spasticity (MAS) was non-significant ($r = 0.16; p > 0.05$).

The majority of the patients (80%) reported that their pain was related to self-perception of spasticity. In contrast, 59% of patients did not think that their pain was related to spasms. The majority of patients (all but eight patients) had previously received intra-muscular BoNTA for the treatment of spasticity; 62% of this group reported a decrease in pain, while 38% reported no change in pain status (Figure 2).

Discussion

Previous multicenter studies have shown the prevalence of pain in the CP population to be 75-80% which is similar to the prevalence of 87% in CP patients with spasticity found in the current study. Likewise, our data in patients with spasticity concurs with previous studies that reported prevalence of pain in stroke to be 19-75%, 53% in MS, 64.9% in SCI and 70% in brain injured patients. The Cochrane Collaboration Systematic Review reported a decrease in shoulder pain after injection of BoNTA; but in contrast, our study provides data on the prevalence of pain at any site in the body (not limited to shoulder pain) in patients experiencing spasticity due to various etiologies. Thus, our study results provide unique data to help understand patient perception of pain within the context of the experience of spasticity.

The pain descriptors typically associated with neuropathic pain such as ‘electric like’, ‘burning’, and ‘cold’ were reported by up to 14% of our sample. In contrast, the pain descriptor ‘aching’ typically associated with nociceptive pain was reported by 60% of our sample. This finding suggests that the origin of the
pain may be abnormality in the muscle (or connective tissue). Our patients also reported higher rates of pain with movement of the limb compared to rest suggesting that the pain may have been nociceptive. It should be noted though that the patient reports of pain perception are not enough to discriminate between causes of pain. Our patients reported an important distinction between perception of pain in relation to spasticity and spasms. Spasms are typically of brief duration compared to the experience of spasticity, which can lead to patients experiencing muscle stiffness. It is possible that the shorter duration of spasm did not significantly add to the experience of pain; whereas, the longer lasting effects of spasticity may have resulted in nociceptive experience of pain.

Pain perception was not related to MAS severity in our study. One possible explanation for the low correlation is that the location where the spasticity was documented was not always the same as the location of pain. We chose to assess the pain-spasticity relationship in the way we did because it is difficult and unrealistic to assess pain rating in every spastic muscle on a regular visit. Furthermore, 59% of the study participants were on analgesics that could confound the severity of pain.

About two thirds of the patients that experienced pain in our study and had previously received intramuscular BoNTA injections reported a decrease in pain as a result of BoNTA. Although BoNTA for treatment of pain has been documented in other neurological conditions, clear evidence is not available for pain relief with spasticity. Contradictory evidence exists in the form of no difference between BoNTA and placebo and BoNTA producing greater pain relief compared to the conventional intraarticular steroid injections for post-stroke shoulder pain.

The analgesic effects of BoNTA have also been reported in conditions not associated with spasticity leading to the possibility that BoNTA may have a direct pain relieving effect. The exact underlying mechanism of this phenomenon is still uncertain but animal models show that BoNTA inhibits pain modulating neurotransmitter release from synaptosomes. In addition, botulinum toxin has been shown to enhance analgesia.

**Study limitations**

An important limitation in our study was that the measurement of pain was not done specifically in the muscle groups with spasticity and our questionnaire has not been validated. Moreover, looking at our results, pain was found to be subjectively associated with spasticity, but a direct relationship cannot be inferred through this cross-sectional observational study. Only patients scheduled to receive botulinum toxin injections were included in this study presenting a selection bias. Often the physicians were not blinded to the patient’s MAS and the patient themselves may feel the need to impress the physicians by stating that they have improved after administration of BoNTA. Also, we were unable to take into account other oral analgesics and muscle relaxants that patients may have been taking. The self-reported memory deficit in 20% of our sample, together with the three to six month time elapsed since previous injection, may have introduced a recollection bias in this study. The survey did not directly address whether or not patient satisfaction with spasticity treatment is related to improvement in pain. It would be of value to know the relative influence of pain relief compared to improvements in function and spasticity on patient satisfaction.

**CONCLUSION**

The majority of patients with spasticity originating from a variety of CNS-related disorders experienced pain to varying degrees. This pain may be nociceptive in origin and related to movement. Although the severity of spasticity did not correlate statistically with the amount of pain experienced, subjectively the pain was reported to be related to spasticity. The patients perceived a decrease in their pain as a result of BoNTA injection. Based on the high (65%) prevalence of pain in our patients experiencing spasticity, it appears that physicians may have to consider treatment of pain as part of spasticity management. Further studies are needed to explore the mechanisms underpinning pain relief from BoNTA injections for limb spasticity.
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