BULLETIN 13 (1), 1970

ON THE NON-EXISTENCE OF CONJUGATE POINTS

BY

G. J. BUTLER, L. H. ERBE AND R. M. MATHSEN(1)

In this paper we consider the types of pairs of multiple zeros which a solution to the differential equation

$$D_n y^{(n)} = f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$$

can possess on an interval I of the real line. The results obtained generalize those in [2] and (for n=3) in [3].

I. Let f satisfy the condition

(1.1)
$$u_0 f(t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) > 0$$

for all $t \in I$, $u_0 \neq 0$, and all u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} .

DEFINITION. The points a < b in I are said to form $a(\mu, \nu)$ conjugate pair (with respect to solutions of D_n on I) in case there exists a non-trivial solution y of D_n on [a, b] with

$$y(a) = y'(a) = \cdots = y^{(\mu-1)}(a) = 0 \neq y^{(\mu)}(a)$$

and

$$y(b) = y'(b) = \cdots = y^{(\nu-1)}(b) = 0 \neq y^{(\nu)}(b).$$

THEOREM 1.1. Let f satisfy (1.1), let n=2k+1 where k is a positive integer, and let μ , v be positive integers. Then there do not exist any (μ, ν) conjugate pairs in I if

(a) k is odd,
$$\mu \ge k+1$$
, and $\nu \ge k$,

or

(b) k is even,
$$\mu \ge k$$
, and $\nu \ge k+1$.

Proof. Let y be a non-trivial solution to D_n on [a, b], with a < b, satisfying $y(t) = y'(t) = \cdots = y^{(k-1)}(t) = 0$ for t = a and t = b. Define

$$v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j y^{(2k-j)}(t) y^{(j)}(t) + (-1)^k (y^{(k)}(t))^2/2.$$

Then $v'(t) = y^{(2k+1)}(t)y(t) > 0$ if $y(t) \neq 0$ by (1.1). Now $v(t) = (-1)^k (y^{(k)}(t))^2/2$ for t = aand t = b. If k is odd and $y^{(k)}(a) = 0$, then v(a) = 0 and $v(b) \le 0$ which implies $y(t) \equiv 0$ in [a, b]. Likewise, if k is even and $y^{(k)}(b) = 0$, then v(b) = 0 and $v(a) \ge 0$ so that again we conclude $y(t) \equiv 0$ on [a, b]. This proves (a) and (b).

Received by the editors July 22, 1969.

(1) The work of the two first named authors was supported by University of Alberta Post-doctoral Fellowships.

Note that in the above proof to get v(a)=0 (or v(b)=0), it would suffice to have $y^{(2k-j)}(t)y^{(j)}(t)=0$ for j=0, 1, 2, ..., k and for t=a (or t=b). Hence we have the following corollary to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let f satisfy (1.1) and let n=2k+1. Then there do not exist points a < b in I and a non-trivial solution y of D_n on [a, b] satisfying

$$y^{(2k-j)}(a)y^{(j)}(a) = 0 = y^{(2k-j)}(b)y^{(j)}(b)$$
 for $j = 0, 1, ..., k-1$

and either $y^{(k)}(a) = 0$ if k is odd, or $y^{(k)}(b) = 0$ if k is even.

THEOREM 1.3. Let f satisfy (1.1) and let n=2k, where k is an odd positive integer. Then there are no (μ, ν) conjugate pairs in I where $\mu \ge k$ and $\nu \ge k$.

Proof. Let $v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j y^{(2k-1-j)}(t) y^{(j)}(t)$, note that $v'(t) = y^{(2k)}(t) y(t) + (y^{(k)}(t))^2$, and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

As a corollary to the proof of Theorem 1.3 we have

COROLLARY 1.4. Let f satisfy (1.1) and let n = 2k where k is an odd positive integer. Then there do not exist points a < b in I and a non-trivial solution y of D_n on [a, b] satisfying

$$y^{(2k-1-j)}(a)y^{(j)}(a) = 0 = y^{(2k-1-j)}(b)y^{(j)}(b)$$
 for $j = 0, 1, ..., k-1$

If condition (1.1) is replaced by

$$(1.2) u_0 f(t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) < 0$$

for all $t \in I$, $u_0 \neq 0$, and all $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}$, then results similar to those given above are valid. We here state only the results analogous to Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

THEOREM 1.5. Let f satisfy (1.2), let n=2k+1 where k is a positive integer, and let μ , v be positive integers. Then there do not exist any (μ, ν) conjugate pairs in I if

(a) k is odd, $\mu \ge k$, and $\nu \ge k+1$.

or

(b) k is even,
$$\mu \ge k+1$$
, and $\nu \ge k$.

THEOREM 1.6. Let f satisfy (1.2) and let n=2k where k is an even positive integer. Then there are no (μ, ν) conjugate pairs in I where $\mu \ge k, \nu \ge k$.

We shall give examples in Section 2 to show that one may not allow k to be even in Theorem 1.3 or odd in Theorem 1.6.

II. In this section we will show that Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to a much larger class of conjugate pairs, provided an additional assumption is made regarding solutions of D_n . Examples are also given to show that the theorem is not true for the remaining conjugate pairs. The proof will not make use of any auxiliary function v(t).

THEOREM 2.1. Let f satisfy (1.1) and assume that no solution of D_n has more than a

finite number of zeros on any interval $[a, b] \subseteq I$. Let the positive integers μ , ν satisfy $\mu + \nu \ge n$ with ν odd in case equality holds. Then there are no (μ, ν) conjugate pairs in I.

Proof. We shall first assume that $\mu + \nu = n$ and that $\mu \le \nu$. If the theorem is false, let y be a non-trivial solution of D_n satisfying

(2.1)
$$y(a) = y'(a) = \cdots = y^{(\mu-1)}(a) = 0 \neq y^{(\mu)}(a)$$
$$y(b) = y'(b) = \cdots = y^{(\nu-1)}(b) = 0 \neq y^{(\nu)}(b).$$

Let $a=a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m = b$ be the $m(\geq 2)$ zeros of y on [a, b]. If $\mu=1$, the Mean-Value Theorem implies that y' has at least m zeros on [a, b]. If $\mu>1$, then, for $1 \le j \le \mu - 1$, the Mean-Value Theorem implies that $y^{(j)}(t)$ will have at least m+j zeros on [a, b] at the points

(2.2)
$$a = a(1,j) < a(2,j) < \cdots < a(m+j,j) = b.$$

It follows also that a(i-1, j-1) < a(i, j) < a(i, j-1) for $2 \le i \le m+j-1$, $1 \le j \le \mu-1$. Now if $\mu < \nu$, then $y^{(\mu)}$ will have at least $m+\mu-1$ zeros at the points

(2.3)
$$a(1,\mu) < a(2,\mu) < \cdots < a(m+\mu-1,\mu) = b.$$

Inductively, for $\mu \le j \le \nu - 1$, $y^{(j)}$ will have at least $m + \mu - 1$ zeros at the points

$$a(1,j) < a(2,j) < \cdots < a(m+\mu-1,j) = b$$

where

(2.4)
$$a(1,j-1) < a(1,j) < a(2,j-1) < \dots < a(m+\mu-2,j-1) < a(m+\mu-2,j) < a(m+\mu-1,j-1) < a(m+\mu-1,j-1) = a(m+\mu-1,j) = b.$$

Therefore, $y^{(\nu)}$ will have at least $m + \mu - 2$ zeros at the points

(2.5)
$$a(1, \nu) < a(2, \nu) < \cdots < a(m+\mu-2, \nu)$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad a < a(1, \nu-1) < a(1, \nu) < a(2, \nu-1) < \cdots < a(m+\mu-2, \nu) < b.$$

In case $\mu = \nu$, we may use (2.2) to see that that $y^{(\nu)}$ will have at least $m + \mu - 2$ zeros satisfying (2.5) and (2.6). Now for $j = \nu + 1, \nu + 2, \ldots, n-1$, applying the Mean-Value Theorem successively we conclude that $y^{(j)}$ will have at least m + n - j - 2 zeros at the points

$$a(1,j) < a(2,j) < \cdots < a(m+n-j-2,j)$$

where

$$a < a(1, j-1) < a(1, j) < a(2, j-1) < \dots < a(m+n-j-3, j-1)$$

$$(2.7) < a(m+n-j-2, j)$$

$$< a(m+n-j-2, j-1) < b$$

[March

Hence, $y^{(n-1)}$ will have at least m-1 zeros in (a, b). If $m \ge 3$ and if two of the zeros of $y^{(n-1)}$ lie in some interval $[a_j, a_{j+1}]$, then $y^{(n)}$ will have a zero at a point $\alpha_j, a_j < \alpha_j < a_{j+1}$ which contradicts (1.1).

Therefore, we must have

$$(2.8) a = a_1 < a(1, n-1) < a_2 < \cdots < a_{m-1} < a(m-1, n-1) < b$$

Moreover, by our observations (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) we see that

(2.9)
$$a_1 < a(1, \mu) < a(1, \mu+1) < \cdots < a(1, n-1) < a_2.$$

Now let $\gamma \ge 1$ be such that $(-1)^{\gamma} y^{(\mu)}(a) > 0$. It follows that there is an α_1 , $a < \alpha_1 < a(1, \mu)$ with

$$(-1)^{\gamma} y^{(\mu+1)}(\alpha_1) < 0$$

and hence, $(-1)^{\gamma+1}y^{(\mu+1)}(\alpha_1) > 0$. Proceeding inductively, we conclude the existence of a point α_k , $a < \alpha_k < a(1, \mu+k-1)$, with

$$(-1)^{\gamma+k}y^{(\mu+k)}(\alpha_k) > 0.$$

Hence, for $k = n - \mu = \nu$, $(-1)^{\gamma + \nu} y^{(n)}(\alpha_{\nu}) > 0$. But since $(-1)^{\gamma} y^{(\mu)}(a) > 0$, it follows that $(-1)^{\gamma} y(t) > 0$ on (a, a_2) . Thus

$$(-1)^{2\gamma+\nu}y^{(n)}(\alpha_{\nu})y(\alpha_{\nu}) > 0,$$

a contradiction to (1.1).

For the case $\mu + \nu = n$ and $\mu > \nu$, a similar proof holds. One can show that $y^{(n-1)}$ has at least m-1 zeros in (a, b) and hence (2.8) will hold. In addition, (2.9) will hold and then the remainder of the proof is the same.

It is also clear that if $\mu + \nu > n$, then one can show that $y^{(n-1)}$ has at least *m* zeros in (a, b) and hence two of them must lie in some interval $[a_j, a_{j+1}]$. This implies that $y^{(n)}$ has a zero in (a_j, a_{j+1}) , contradicting (1.1).

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have

COROLLARY 2.2. Let f satisfy (1.2) and assume that no non-trivial solution of D_n has more than a finite number of zeros on any interval $[a, b] \subseteq I$. Let the positive integers μ, ν satisfy $\mu + \nu \ge n$ with ν even in case equality holds. Then there are no (μ, ν) conjugate pairs in I.

Remark 2.3. Consider now a pair of integers $\mu, \nu \ge 1$ where $\mu + \nu = n$ and ν is even. Defining the function $f(t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$ by

$$f = \begin{cases} n!, & u_0 \ge 0, & \text{all } t, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ -n!, & u_0 < 0, & \text{all } t, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

we see that (1.1) holds. Moreover, on the interval [0, 1] the function

$$y(t) \equiv t^{\mu}(t-1)^{\nu}$$

is a solution of D_n which has a (μ, ν) conjugate pair.

1970] NON-EXISTENCE OF CONJUGATE POINTS

If one requires that the function f be continuous, then examples can still be given to show that Theorem 2.1 cannot, in general, be extended to include additional conjugate pairs. To see this, consider the simple linear differential equation

(2.10)
$$y^{(n)} = y$$

For n=3 one can show that there is a non-trivial solution of (2.10) with a simple zero at τ , $-\sqrt{3} \pi < \tau < 0$, and a double zero at the origin. Also, for n=4, there is a non-trivial solution of (2.10) having a double zero at the origin and another double zero at τ , where $3\pi/2 < \tau < 2\pi$.

In conjunction with this, it is interesting to compare our results with those obtained by Sherman ([4]) for the linear differential equation

(2.11)
$$y^{(n)} = p(t)y, \quad t \in I.$$

where p(t) is continuous and satisfies

(2.12)
$$|p(t)| > 0 \text{ on } I.$$

For any $a \in I$ let $\eta_1(a)$, the first conjugate point of a, be the smallest b > a such that there is a non-trivial solution of (2.11) with n zeros on [a, b] (counting multiplicities). Suppose now that y(t) is a non-trivial solution of (2.11) with n simple zeros on $[a, \eta_1(a)] \subseteq I$. Then by Theorem 5 of [4], there exist solutions y_1, y_2, \ldots , y_{n-1} of (2.11), not necessarily distinct, such that y_k has a zero at a of order at least n-k and a zero at $\eta_1(a)$ of order at least k. This contradicts Theorem 2.1 or Corollary 2.2. Thus, if $\eta_1(a) < +\infty$, any solution of (2.11) with n zeros on $[a, \eta_1(a)]$ has at least one multiple zero. However, in [5] is it shown that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a solution of (2.11) with n simple zeros on $[a, \eta_1(a) + \epsilon$).

Remark 2.4. Results analogous to Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 can be obtained if one assumes instead of (1.1) that the following condition holds for some $j, 1 \le j \le n-1$:

(2.13)j $u_t f(t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) > 0$ if $u_t \neq 0$.

As an example of what is true here, we state

THEOREM 2.5. Let f satisfy (2.13)j and also assume that no solution y of D_n is such that $y^{(j)}$ has an infinite number of zeros on some interval $[a, b] \subseteq I$ and $y^{(j)} \not\equiv 0$ on [a, b]. Let the positive integers μ , ν satisfy $\mu + \nu \ge n - j$ with ν odd in case equality holds. Then all solutions y of D_n which are such that $y^{(j)}$ has a (μ, ν) conjugate pair belong to the class of polynomials in t of degree $\le j - 1$.

Remark 2.5. We note also that Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2, Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 2.1 are true, as stated, for solutions of the differential inequality

(2.13)
$$y^{(n)} \ge f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

Likewise, Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 and Corollary 2.2 are valid for solutions of the differential inequality

(2.14)
$$y^{(n)} \leq f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

36

III. In this section we shall show that several results obtained in [3] for the case n=3 can be generalized to arbitrary $n \ge 2$. We assume f satisfies the following conditions:

(3.1) f is continuous on $I \times \mathbb{R}^n$ where $n \ge 2$ with $f(t, 0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-3}, 0, 0) \equiv 0$;

(3.2)
$$\begin{aligned} f(t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) &\geq f(t, 0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) & \text{if } u_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \\ f(t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) &\leq f(t, 0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) & \text{if } u_0 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

the inequality holding for all $t \in I$ and all u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} .

(3.3) $f(t, 0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ is non-decreasing in u_{n-2} for fixed $t, 0, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}$ and satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to u_{n-1} on compact subsets of $I \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume conditions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) hold, let y be a non-trivial solution of D_n which has a zero of order n-1 at the point $a \in I$, and assume a is not an accumulation point of zeros of y. Then y has no zeros to the right of a in I.

Proof. We shall be quite brief in this proof since it is a straightforward generalization of the proof of Theorem 2 in [3]. In addition, we shall assume $n \ge 3$ since the proof for n=2 will be obvious. Let y satisfy

$$y(a) = y'(a) = \cdots = y^{(n-2)}(a) = y(b) = 0$$
 with $a < b$.

By repeated application of Rolle's theorem there is a point c in (a, b) with $y^{(n-2)}(c)=0$. Define

$$G(t, u, u') \equiv f(t, 0, y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-3)}(t), u, u').$$

Assume, to be specific, that y > 0 on (a, c). Then by (3.2) we have

$$(y^{(n-2)}(t))'' = f(t, y(t), y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-3)}(t), y^{(n-2)}(t), y^{(n-1)}(t))$$

$$\geq G(t, y^{(n-2)}(t), (y^{(n-2)}(t))'),$$

so that $y^{(n-2)}(t)$ is a subfunction with respect to solutions of u'' = G(t, u, u') on (a, c)(see [1] p. 1056). Since $u \equiv 0$ is a solution of u'' = G(t, u, u'), $y^{(n-2)}(a) = y^{(n-2)}(c) = 0$ implies $y^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ on (a, c). Since a is a zero of order n-1 of y, it follows that $y(t) \le 0$ on (a, c), contrary to our assumption. A similar proof works in case y(t) < 0 on (a, b) by showing that $y^{(n-2)}(t)$ is a superfunction with respect to solutions of u'' = G(t, u, u').

We note that y(b) = 0 was used only to get the point c > a where $y^{(n-2)}$ vanished.

1970] NON-EXISTENCE OF CONJUGATE POINTS

Therefore, as a corollary to the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have

COROLLARY 3.2. Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.1, $y^{(n-2)}(t) > 0$ for t > a if y(t) > 0 for t > a and $y^{(n-2)}(t) < 0$ for t > a if y(t) < 0 for t > a.

For the case n = 4 we have the following corollary of the proof of Theorem 3.1:

COROLLARY 3.3. Let a and b be successive zeros of a non-trivial solution y of D_4 and assume f satisfies (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) for n=4. Then y does not have two strict extrema in (a, b).

Proof. If the corollary is false, let y(a)=y(b)=0 and suppose y>0 on (a, b). If the extrema occur at t=c and t=d with c < d then we have $y''(c) \le 0$ and $y''(d) \le 0$. Hence, by the proof of Theorem 3.1, $y''(t) \le 0$ on (c, d) so that $y'(t) \equiv 0$ on [c, d], contrary to assumption. If y<0 on (a, b), a similar argument works.

References

1. J. W. Bebernes, A subfunction approach to a boundary value problem for ordinary differential equations, Pacific J. Math. 13, No. 4 (1963), 1053–1066.

2. S. B. Eliason, *Nonperiodicity of solutions of an Nth-order equation*, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).

3. R. M. Mathsen, A note on solutions of third-order ordinary differential equations, SIAM Rev. (to appear).

4. T. L. Sherman, On solutions of Nth-order linear differential equations with N zeros, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 74, No. 5 (1968), 923–925.

5. ———, Conjugate points and simple zeros for ordinary linear differential equations (submitted for publication).

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, Edmonton, Alberta