Higher Incidence of Hypospadias in Monochorionic Twins
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Background: Hypospadias is associated with twinning. The incidence of hypospadias in monochorionic and dichorionic male twins is, however, yet to be determined. Methods: All medical records of monochorionic and dichorionic twins admitted to our neonatal nursery between January 2004 and August 2013 were reviewed for the presence of hypospadias. Results: A total of 350 monochorionic and 303 dichorionic male twins were included in the study. The incidence of hypospadias in monochorionic and dichorionic groups was 4% (14/350) and 1% (3/303) (p = .016) respectively. In 11 of the 15 twin couples, hypospadias occurred in the twin with the lowest birth weight. The rate of hypospadias in twin infants small-for-gestational-age group was 10% (6/60) compared with 2% (11/593) in the appropriate-for-gestational-age group (p = .002). In a multivariate analysis, both monochorionicity and small-for-gestational-age were independently associated with hypospadias, odds ratio 4.1 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.1–14.7) and 6.1 (95% CI: 2.2–17.2) respectively. Conclusions: The incidence of hypospadias is four-fold higher in monochorionic twins compared with dichorionic twins. Hypospadias is also independently associated with small-for-gestational-age.

Keywords: hypospadias, twins, monochorionic, dichorionic, fetal growth restriction

Hypospadias is one of the most common malformations in males, with an estimated incidence of 3 to 8 per 1,000 newborn males in Europe (Nordenvall et al., 2014; Pierik et al., 2002; Virtanen et al., 2001). In hypospadias, the urethral opening is displaced along the ventral side of the penis. The phenotype is defined by the position of the urethral meatus in anterior (glandular or coronal), middle (penile), and posterior (penoscrotal, scrotal, or perineal) hypospadias (van der Zanden et al., 2012). Hypospadias is the result of an incomplete fusion of the urethral folds, which occurs between the 8th and 14th week of gestation (Manson & Carr, 2003). The earlier the fusion fails in the embryonic period, the more severe the type of hypospadias (i.e., posterior hypospadias).

The etiology of isolated hypospadias is considered to be multifactorial: genetic, endocrine, environmental, and clinical factors have been implicated (van der Zanden et al., 2012). A family history of hypospadias increases the risk by seven- to 10-fold, suggesting an important genetic component (Brouwers et al., 2007; Fredell et al., 2002; van Rooij et al., 2013).

Association between low birth weight and hypospadias is well established (Fredell et al., 2002; Weidner et al., 1999). In particular, being small-for-gestational-age (SGA) has been shown to be an important risk factor for hypospadias (Akre et al., 1999; Ghirri et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2012; Nordenvall et al., 2014). Studies suggest that intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR) in itself is a determining risk factor for hypospadias rather than the absolute birth weight (Hussain et al., 2002; Jensen et al., 2012; Yinon et al., 2010).

Furthermore, twinning is a risk factor consistently associated with hypospadias (Brouwers et al., 2007; Jensen et al., 2012; Nordenvall et al., 2014; van Rooij et al., 2013). In a large case-control study about structural birth defects in
TABLE 1
Baseline Characteristics of MC and DC Twin Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>MC (n = 350)</th>
<th>DC (n = 303)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean birth weight (g)</td>
<td>1759 ± 583</td>
<td>1845 ± 653</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age at birth (weeks)</td>
<td>32.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>32.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age — n (%)</td>
<td>42 (12%)</td>
<td>18 (6%)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2
Hypospadias Phenotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypospadias (%)</th>
<th>MC (n = 350)</th>
<th>DC (n = 303)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypospadias (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of hypospadias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glandular</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypospadias in both twins</td>
<td>4/14</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Between January 2004 and August 2013, a total of 360 MC and 306 DC male twins were admitted to our neonatal nursery. Thirteen patients were excluded because of major congenital birth defects. Therefore, analysis was performed, including 350 MC and 303 DC male twins. Baseline characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1.

A total of 17 patients with hypospadias were identified in our study cohort: 14 of 350 (4%) in the MC group and 3 of 303 (1%) in the DC group (p = .016). Table 2 shows different phenotypes of hypospadias in both groups. In two MC twin pairs, both twin brothers had hypospadias, while the other 10 twin pairs were discordant for hypospadias. In nine of the 12 (75%) MC twin pairs, the twin with the lowest birth weight had hypospadias. In one pair, the birth weight was identical in both infants. In DC twins, all hypospadias occurred in the same-sex twin pairs. All three DC twins were discordant for hypospadias, with two having a lower birth weight than their unaffected brother and one having higher birth weight. Overall, in 11 of the 15 (73%) twin pairs, the twin with the lowest birth weight had hypospadias.

In the MC group, in total, 42 males (12%) were born SGA and 18 (6%) in the DC group (p = .008). The rate of hypospadias in SGA and non-SGA groups was 10% (6/60) and 2% (11/593; p = .002) respectively. Of the 14 MC twins with hypospadias, six were SGA at birth, whereas none of the DC twins with hypospadias was born SGA. In a multivariate logistic regression model (see Table 3), monochorionicity was found to be an independent risk factor for hypospadias (OR 4.1, 95% CI: 1.1–14.7, p = .032) as well as SGA (OR 6.1, 95% CI: 2.2–17.2, p = .001).
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Discussion

Hypospadias is known to occur more frequently in twins in comparison with singletons (Nordenvall et al., 2014; Rider et al., 2013). This is the first study showing that the incidence of hypospadias among MC twins is significantly higher than in DC twins (4% vs. 1% respectively). Our findings are in line with a previous study describing approximately a two-fold higher incidence of congenital anomalies in MC compared with DC twins (Glinianaia et al., 2008). In that specific study, hypospadias, however, was not reported. The association between monozygosity and hypospadias had been previously suggested by Fredell et al. (2002). In their study, the zygosity status could, however, only be established in 83% of the twins (33/40; 67% monozygotic and 33% dizygotic), and chorioicity was not determined. In a different study, the rate of hypospadias was similar for the same-sex twins (a mixture of monozygotic and dizygotic twins) and the opposite-sex twins (purely dizygotic; Rider et al., 2013). Once more, zygosity and choriocity status was not determined. Since MC twins are by definition monozygotic, 82% (14/17) of twins with hypospadias in our study were thus monozygotic. For our DC group, data about zygosity were not available; however, in general, around 90% of the DC group is dizygotic and only 10% is monozygotic (Cameron, 1968). Our data, showing an increased risk of hypospadias in MC twins, is clinically relevant since choriocity in contrast to zygosity is easily determined during pregnancy with antenatal ultrasound in the first trimester.

In our study, in nine of the 10 MC twin pairs discordant for hypospadias, it occurred only in the smaller twin. This was also the case for two of the three cases in the DC twin pairs. A previous study had also shown that in 16 of the 18 monozygotic twin pairs the twin with the lowest birth weight indeed had hypospadias (Fredell et al., 1998). In addition, in three MC and two DC twin pairs, all hypospadias were reported in the smaller pair of discordant IUGR twins (Yinon et al., 2010). Chambers et al. (2006) described an association of IUGR and hypospadias in like-sex twins. However, zygosity or choriocity status could not be determined. Furthermore, in singletons, hypospadias occurs more frequently in SGA infants (Gatti et al., 2001; Hussain et al., 2002). This correlation between hypospadias and SGA may suggest an association between placental insufficiency and abnormal genital development. Hypothetically, nutrients and gonadotropins may be insufficient for the normal development of the two pairs of male gonads in twin pregnancies due to inadequate placentation or unequal placentation sharing (Fredell et al., 1998). The smaller twin would be more sensitive to relative deficit in hormones and would therefore be at increased risk of developing hypospadias.

Interestingly, the incidence of hypospadias in MC twins compared with DC twins cannot be explained by a higher incidence of SGA in MC twins because SGA was identified as an independent risk factor for hypospadias in our study. It could be that the above-mentioned environmental nutrient deficiency plays a larger role in MC twins due to sharing of single placenta. Furthermore, it can be speculated that a possible etiological explanation might lie in a factor or mechanism at the timing of monozygotic twinning event. For example, post-zygotic unequal distribution of blastomeres to both embryos might result in structural malformations and growth deficiency (Machin, 1996).

Care should be taken when interpreting the results of our study due to some limitations. First, the retrospective design is dependent on charted data. Second, an ascertainment bias might have occurred, since only admitted infants to our tertiary level neonatal intensive care unit are recorded in the database. This is, for example, the cause for the lower than expected gestational age for twins. However, the gestational age at birth was similar for both MC and DC groups (32.0 vs. 32.1 weeks respectively) and this should not have influenced our results. Lastly, the study comprises a relative small sample size, hence a small number of hypospadias. Nevertheless, with regard to the studies described in twins in literature, including data about zygosity and/or choriocity, our number is relatively large.

In conclusion, MC and DC twins have an increased risk for hypospadias with the incidence being four-fold higher in MC twins than in DC twins. Monochorionicity and SGA were identified to be independently associated with hypospadias.
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Table 3

Analysis of Potential Risk Factors for Hypospadias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Hypospadias, yes (n = 17)</th>
<th>Hypospadias, no (n = 636)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Univariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>14/350 (4%)</td>
<td>336/350 (96%)</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>4.2 (1.1–18.4)</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>4.1 (1.1–14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>3/303 (1%)</td>
<td>300/303 (99%)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>5.9 (1.8–18.6)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>6.1 (2.2–17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA, yes</td>
<td>6/60 (10%)</td>
<td>54/60 (90%)</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>4.2 (1.1–18.4)</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>4.1 (1.1–14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA, no</td>
<td>11/593 (2%)</td>
<td>582/593 (98%)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>5.9 (1.8–18.6)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>6.1 (2.2–17.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


