Dietary n-6 PUFA, carbohydrate:protein ratio and change in body weight and waist circumference: a follow-up study
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Abstract

Objective: To investigate the association between the intake of n-6 PUFA and subsequent change in body weight and waist circumference at different levels of the carbohydrate:protein ratio.

Design: Follow-up study with anthropometric measurements at recruitment and on average 5-3 years later. Dietary intake was determined at recruitment by using an FFQ that was designed for the study and validated. We applied linear regression models with 5-year change in weight or waist circumference as outcome and including a two-way interaction term between n-6 PUFA and carbohydrate intakes, lower-order terms, protein intake, long-chain n-3 PUFA intake and other potential confounders. Due to adjustment for intake of protein, levels of carbohydrate indirectly reflect levels of the carbohydrate:protein ratio.

Setting: Diet, Cancer and Health follow-up study, Denmark.

Subjects: Women and men (n 29 152) aged 55 years.

Results: For a high intake of n-6 PUFA (6-9% of energy) v. a low intake of n-6 PUFA (3-4% of energy), the difference in 5-year weight change was −189.7 g (95% CI −636.8, 257.4 g) at a low carbohydrate:protein ratio and −861.7 g (95% CI −302.9, 329.6 g) at a high carbohydrate:protein ratio; the differences in 5-year waist circumference change were 0·26 cm (95% CI −0.47, 0.98 cm) and −0·52 cm (95% CI −1·19, 0·15 cm), respectively. Inclusion of the dietary glycaemic index did not change the results.

Conclusions: No consistent associations between the intake of n-6 PUFA and change in body weight or waist circumference at different levels of the carbohydrate:protein ratio were observed.

BMI and waist circumference are proxy measures of overall body fat mass and abdominal fat mass, respectively, and strong predictors of obesity-related mortality. Studies on the evolutionary aspects of diet indicate that major changes have taken place in the Western diet, particularly during the last 100 years; changes that correlate with the increasing prevalence of obesity. Today, more than 85% of the total intake of n-6 and n-3 PUFA is n-6 PUFA. In the USA, the estimated per capita consumption of soyabean oil, the major source of the n-6 PUFA linoleic acid (18 : 2n-6), has increased more than 1000-fold from 1909 to 1999; thus, the availability of 18 : 2n-6 increased from 2.8% to 7.2% of energy during the 20th century. Interesting, the increase in the availability of soyabean oil paralleled the increase in the prevalence of obesity. Likewise, the availability of sugars was also positively correlated with obesity whereas energy was not. Of note, in mice, increasing 18 : 2n-6 from 1% to 8% of energy in the feed, reflecting the 20th century increase in availability of 18 : 2n-6, promoted obesity. Still, in man, the effect of n-6 PUFA on obesity development has not been investigated in detail.

The findings from the mouse experiment by Alvheim et al. are in line with other animal experiments which
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have reported that feed high in n-6 PUFA increased adipose tissue\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\). In contrast, other animal experiments have reported that feed high in n-6 PUFA decreased adipose tissue mass\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\). In animals, the apparent conflicting findings may be explained by different background diets, as Madsen et al.\(^9\) have shown that the effect of n-6 PUFA on adipose tissue mass is determined by the balance between carbohydrates and proteins. In mice, when the carbohydrate:protein ratio was high, also the plasma insulin:glucagon ratio was high and high n-6 PUFA intake increased adipose tissue mass. Conversely, when the carbohydrate:protein ratio was low, also the plasma insulin:glucagon ratio was low and high n-6 PUFA intake decreased adipose tissue mass. If the background diet, via changes in the insulin:glucagon ratio, also determines the effect of n-6 PUFA on adipose tissue mass in man, both the amount and type of dietary carbohydrates may be of importance.

Based on findings from animal experiments, we hypothesized that in man a high intake of n-6 PUFA is associated with subsequent loss in body weight and decreased waist circumference at a low carbohydrate:protein ratio but associated with subsequent gain in body weight and increased waist circumference at a high carbohydrate:protein ratio. We investigated the association between the intake of n-6 PUFA and subsequent change in body weight and waist circumference at different levels of the carbohydrate:protein ratio. The dietary glycaemic index was also included.

**Methods**

**Study design and population**

The present study was based on data from the Danish follow-up study Diet, Cancer and Health. The primary aim of the Diet, Cancer and Health is to investigate the associations between foods and nutrients, lifestyle and the incidence of cancer and other chronic diseases\(^10\). Between December 1993 and May 1997, 160,725 women and men were invited by mail to participate in the Diet, Cancer and Health. The criteria for invitation were as follows: age between 50 and 64 years, born in Denmark and no previous cancer diagnosis registered in the Danish Cancer Registry. All persons fulfilling these criteria and living in the greater Copenhagen or Aarhus areas were invited. With the invitation, a validated 192-item semi-quantitative FFQ was enclosed\(^11\)\(^12\). At the study centre, participants filled in a lifestyle questionnaire and a physical examination was conducted including anthropometric measurements. The self-administered questionnaires were scanned and checked by laboratory technicians. In total, 57,053 (35%) participants were recruited. Follow-up information on anthropometry was collected about 5 years after recruitment. A detailed description of the study design and measurement procedures has been given by Tjønneland et al.\(^10\). The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Copenhagen and Aarhus Ethical Committees. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Dietary assessments**

The participants filled in the 192-item semi-quantitative FFQ, which was designed for the Diet, Cancer and Health\(^11\) and validated against two 7-d weighed diet records\(^12\). Intake of foods and alcohol consumption were reported as the average amount during the past year. Calculation into daily nutrient intakes was done using the FoodCalc program (http://www.ibt.ku.dk/jesper/foodcalc), which is based on Danish food composition tables 1996\(^13\). Derived exposure measure was intake of n-6 PUFA (the sum of 18 : 2n-6 and arachidonic acid (20 : 4n-6)) expressed as percentage of energy (not including energy intake derived from alcohol consumption). Glycaemic index values obtained from published lists expressed as a percentage of the value for white bread were assigned to the carbohydrate-containing foods reported in the FFQ\(^14\). For each participant, the dietary glycaemic index was calculated by adding up the products of the amount of glycaemic carbohydrates (total carbohydrates minus fibre) of each food (i.e. the proportion of glycaemic carbohydrates), the amount of that food eaten daily and its glycaemic index value, and then dividing this total by the total amount of glycaemic carbohydrates in one day.

**Anthropometric measurements**

At recruitment, technicians measured participants’ height, weight and waist circumference. Participants’ height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm when standing without wearing shoes. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using digital scales, with participants wearing light underwear. Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a measuring tape at the narrowest horizontal circumference between the lower rib and the iliac crest (the natural waist) when standing. In cases of indeterminate waist narrowing, waist circumference was measured half way between the lower rib and the iliac crest.

At follow-up, information on weight and waist circumference was collected through a self-administered questionnaire. A measuring tape was provided with the questionnaire and to ease the identification of site of measurement, the participants were asked to measure waist circumference at the level of the umbilicus. A validation study within this cohort has shown that the self-measured waist circumference at the level of the umbilicus is usable as a proxy for the technician-measured waist circumference at the natural waist in regression analyses where waist circumference and BMI at recruitment were included as covariates\(^15\).
The outcome measures were the difference between weight at recruitment and weight at follow-up and the difference between waist circumference at recruitment and waist circumference at follow-up expressed as 5-year change. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres.

**Covariates**

Information on education, smoking status and leisure-time physical activity was obtained from the lifestyle questionnaire at baseline. Length of education was collected in predefined categories (<8, 8–10 and >10 years). Smoking status was reported as: never, former; current; the number of cigarettes, cigars, cheroots and tobacco pipes smoked per day; and duration of smoking in years. Current tobacco consumption was calculated in grams per day by summing the reported numbers per day using conversion factors of 1-0 for cigarettes, 4-5 for cigars and 3-0 for cheroots and tobacco pipes. Leisure-time physical activity during the past year was assessed from questions about the average number of hours per week spent on six types of activities (walking, gardening, housework, home maintenance, sports and biking) during summer and winter. Leisure-time physical activity was calculated as hours per week spent on sports and biking (including as a means of transport).

**Exclusion criteria**

Participants with a cancer diagnosis that was not at the time of invitation registered in the Danish Cancer Registry (due to processing delay) were later excluded, as were participants registered with a cancer diagnosis during follow-up. Furthermore, participants whose questionnaires were incomplete and participants with self-reported diabetes mellitus or registered with a CVD or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease diagnosis at recruitment or during follow-up were also excluded. The rationale for exclusion of participants with diabetes mellitus, cancer, CVD or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease was potential changes in dietary habits and anthropometry as a result of diagnosis and treatment, together with the unclear timing of change in anthropometry.

**Statistical analyses**

The characteristics of the study population were described by medians and 80 % central range or by percentages. The interplay between the intake of n-6 PUFA, the carbohydrate-protein ratio and subsequent change in weight or waist circumference was investigated by using a linear regression model with 5-year weight change (g) or waist circumference change (cm) as outcome and including a two-way interaction term between quintiles of n-6 PUFA (% of energy) and quintiles of glycaemic carbohydrates (% of energy) as well as lower-order terms among all participants and separately for women and men. The covariates were sex (among all participants), age (years), BMI at recruitment (kg/m²), waist circumference at recruitment (cm, in the analyses of waist circumference only), education (<8, 8–10 and >10 years), smoking status (never, former and currently smoking 1–14, 15–24 or ≥25 g tobacco/d), leisure-time physical activity (h/week), alcohol consumption (g/d), and intakes of proteins (% of energy), long-chain n-3 PUFA (the sum of 20 : 5n-3, 22 : 5n-3 and 22 : 6n-3, g/d) and energy (kJ/d). Adjustment for continuous variables was done using restricted cubic spline regression. Due to adjustment for intake of proteins, quintiles of carbohydrates indirectly reflect quintiles of the carbohydrate:protein ratio. Supplementary analyses including intake of n-6 PUFA as linear effect were also conducted.

In further analyses, we included a three-way interaction between quintiles of n-6 PUFA, quintiles of carbohydrates and quintiles of dietary glycaemic index, as well as lower-order terms and covariates as described above. The differences in absolute weight changes and waist circumference changes with low n-6 PUFA intake (first quintile) as the reference group were calculated. The results in subgroups from analyses stratified by sex were not different. Consequently, estimates are reported for the two sexes combined.

Reduction of lean mass is a primary body composition change associated with ageing. Therefore, we repeated the analyses after excluding participants 60 years or older at baseline. Under- and over-reporting of energy intake was taken into account in additional analyses where participants in the lowest and highest 1 % of the sex-specific distribution of energy intake were excluded. Implausible changes in weight or waist circumference were taken into account by further exclusion of participants in the lowest and highest 1 % of the sex-specific distribution of 5-year change in weight or waist circumference.

Data analyses were performed using the Stata statistical software package release 11.2.

**Results**

Among the 57,053 women and men recruited, 569 participants with a cancer diagnosis not registered in the Danish Cancer Registry at the time of invitation (due to processing delay) were later excluded. Between the examination at recruitment and the time of invitation to the follow-up examination, 1778 participants had died and 460 participants had emigrated or disappeared. Among the 54,246 participants eligible for invitation to follow-up, 5865 did not respond and 2858 did not want to participate. The participation in the follow-up was thus 81 %. Among the 45,523 participants at follow-up, 1708 participants with incomplete questionnaires were excluded. Furthermore,
participants with self-reported diabetes mellitus or registered with a CVD or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease diagnosis at recruitment or during follow-up, as well as participants registered with a cancer diagnosis during follow-up, were also excluded (n 14 663). The final population consisted of 29 152 persons (56 % women).

Characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. The median follow-up time was 5·3 years (80 % central range: 5·1, 5·7 years).

Table 2 shows the difference and 95 % confidence interval of 5-year body weight change according to intake of n-6 PUFA at different levels of intake of carbohydrates. Due to adjustment for intake of proteins, levels of carbohydrates indirectly reflect levels of the carbohydrate:protein ratio. For a high intake of n-6 PUFA v. a low intake of n-6 PUFA, the difference in 5-year weight change was −189·7 g (95 % CI −636·8, 257·4 g) at a low carbohydrate: protein ratio and −86·7 g (95 % CI −502·9, 329·6 g) at a higher ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of the study population*: Diet, Cancer and Health follow-up study, Denmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median or %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1·70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>73·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year body weight change (g)</td>
<td>–97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year waist circumference change (cm)</td>
<td>4·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 years of education (%)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker (%)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure-time physical activity (h/week)</td>
<td>2·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption (g/d)</td>
<td>12·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ/d)</td>
<td>8447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (% of energy)</td>
<td>36·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 : 2n-6 (g/d)</td>
<td>10·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 : 4n-6 (g/d)</td>
<td>0·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA (g/d)‡†</td>
<td>10·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-chain n-3 PUFA (g/d)‡</td>
<td>4·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycaemic carbohydrates (% of energy)</td>
<td>45·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteins (% of energy)</td>
<td>18·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate:protein ratio</td>
<td>2·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary glycaemic index</td>
<td>86·6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n 29 152 for all participants; n 16 248 for women; n 12 904 for men. †The sum of intakes of 18 : 2n-6 and 20 : 4n-6. ‡The sum of intakes of 20 : 5n-3, 22 : 5n-3 and 22 : 6n-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Difference and 95 % confidence interval of 5-year body weight change (g) according to intake of n-6 PUFA at different levels of intake of carbohydrates*:†‡; Diet, Cancer and Health follow-up study, Denmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA</td>
<td>Low (3·4 % of energy)‡ (1st quintile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (38·5 % of energy) (1st quintile)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (45·0 % of energy) (3rd quintile)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (52·5 % of energy) (5th quintile)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n 462 for low carbohydrates and low n-6 PUFA; n 1193 for low carbohydrates and medium n-6 PUFA; n 1847 for low carbohydrates and high n-6 PUFA; n 889 for medium carbohydrates and low n-6 PUFA; n 1253 for medium carbohydrates and medium n-6 PUFA; n 1146 for medium carbohydrates and high n-6 PUFA, n 2320 for high carbohydrates and low n-6 PUFA; n 939 for high carbohydrates and medium n-6 PUFA; n 519 for high carbohydrates and high n-6 PUFA. †The interaction between intake of n-6 PUFA, carbohydrate:protein ratio and subsequent 5-year body weight change was investigated by using a linear regression model with 5-year weight change as outcome and including a two-way interaction term between quintiles of n-6 PUFA and quintiles of carbohydrates as well as lower-order terms. The covariates were sex, BMI at recruitment, education, smoking status, leisure-time physical activity, alcohol consumption, and intakes of proteins, long-chain n-3 PUFA and energy. Due to adjustment for intake of proteins, quintiles of carbohydrates indirectly reflect quintiles of the carbohydrate: protein ratio. ‡Median intake (all such values).
high carbohydrate:protein ratio (Table 2); the differences in 5-year waist circumference change were 0·26 cm (95 % CI −0·47, 0·98 cm) and −0·52 cm (95 % CI −1·19, 0·15 cm), respectively (Table 3). Including intake of n-6 PUFA as linear effects revealed similar results to the categorical analyses (data not shown).

Information on the difference and 95 % confidence interval of 5-year body weight change according to intake of n-6 PUFA when the carbohydrate:protein ratio and the dietary glycaemic index were taken into account can be found in the online supplementary material, Table 1 and information on the difference and 95 % confidence interval of 5-year waist circumference change according to intake of n-6 PUFA when the carbohydrate:protein ratio and the dietary glycaemic index were taken into account can be found in the online supplementary material, Table 2. For a high intake of n-6 PUFA a low intake of n-6 PUFA at a low dietary glycaemic index, the difference in 5-year weight change was −208·5 g (95 % CI −1109·0, 691·9 g) at a low carbohydrate:protein ratio and 154·9 g (95 % CI −717·6, 1027·5 g) at a high carbohydrate:protein ratio; for a high intake of n-6 PUFA a low intake of n-6 PUFA at a high dietary glycaemic index, the differences in 5-year weight change were −1367·2 g (95 % CI −2396·8, −337·7 g) and −559·3 g (95 % CI −1566·3, 447·7 g), respectively (supplementary material, Table 1). For a high intake of n-6 PUFA a low intake of n-6 PUFA at a low dietary glycaemic index, the difference in 5-year waist circumference change was 0·44 cm (95 % CI −1·02, 1·89 cm) at a low carbohydrate:protein ratio and −0·32 cm (95 % CI −1·73, 1·09 cm) at a high carbohydrate:protein ratio; for a high intake of n-6 PUFA a low intake of n-6 PUFA at a high dietary glycaemic index, the differences in 5-year waist circumference change were 0·21 cm (95 % CI −1·46, 1·88 cm) and −1·42 cm (95 % CI −3·05, 0·21), respectively (supplementary material, Table 2).

Exclusion of participants 60 years or older at recruitment did not change the results (data not shown). Also, neither exclusion of participants in the lowest and highest 1 % of the sex-specific distribution of energy intake at recruitment nor further exclusion of participants in the lowest and highest 1 % of the sex-specific distribution of 5-year changes in weight or waist circumference changed the results (data not shown).

### Discussion

In the present study, no consistent associations between the intake of n-6 PUFA and change in body weight or waist circumference at different levels of the carbohydrate:protein ratio were observed. We used data from a large follow-up study, which allowed us to investigate the interplay between dietary n-6 PUFA, carbohydrate:protein ratio and subsequent change in weight and waist circumference among women and men within the range of n-6 PUFA intake in the general population. Categorical analysis was used to maximize the exposure contrast, which caused loss of statistical power and thus wide confidence intervals. However, linear analyses revealed similar results (data not shown). Exclusions were primarily due to non-response at follow-up. However, only minor differences between the baseline intake of n-6 PUFA among the study population and the baseline intake of n-6 PUFA among the non-participants were observed (data not shown). Thus, selection bias is unlikely to have affected the results. A potential source of random measurement error arises from dietary self-reporting methods. Generally, random measurement error leads to underestimation of the true association and to loss of statistical power. However, dietary intake was determined by using an FFQ, which may reflect the habitual eating pattern. The glycaemic index of foods is only one factor that determines the overall glycaemic impact of the diet; other factors include the amounts of carbohydrates, fatty acids and proteins. Furthermore, the glycaemic index of foods can...
change depending on the preparation method. In the current study we controlled for amounts of carbohydrates by default; thus taking into account both the amount and the type of carbohydrates. At recruitment, anthropometry was measured by trained staff but at follow-up, information on anthropometry was self-measured by the participants. An overall net weight loss during follow-up was found in the study. This may be due to the age of the study population and/or due to under-reporting of follow-up weight. Most likely, however, the reporting of follow-up weight and waist circumference was not related to the exposure to n-6 PUFA. Thus, information bias is of limited concern. Detailed information on the potential confounders reduces the possibility of residual confounding. However, confounding from unknown risk factors for development of obesity not taken into account is possible.

Experiments in mice have shown that the balance between carbohydrates and proteins in the feed may determine the effect of intake of n-6 PUFA on adipose tissue mass; thus, high n-6 PUFA intake decreased adipose tissue mass when combined with a low carbohydrate:protein ratio, but increased adipose tissue mass when combined with a high carbohydrate:protein ratio. In the present human study, no consistent associations between the intake of n-6 PUFA at a low, medium or high carbohydrate:protein ratio and change in body weight or waist circumference were observed. This may be due to lower levels of intake of n-6 PUFA (80% central range: 3-4, 6-9% of energy), higher levels of intake of carbohydrates (80% central range: 38-5, 52.5% of energy) and/or limited exposure contrasts. Generally, animal experiments used high doses of n-6 PUFA, such as 22% of energy from n-6 PUFA in the mouse experiment by Madsen et al., but did not provide information on lower intakes compatible with intakes in the general population. However, in the mouse experiment by Alvheim et al., increasing 18 : 2 n-6 from 1% to 8% of energy in the feed was sufficient to promote obesity. In the mouse experiment by Madsen et al., the intake of carbohydrates constituted 8% of the energy intake in the low-carbohydrate group and 37% in the high-carbohydrate group; intakes below the 10th percentile intake in the present study. The finding of the importance of the carbohydrate:protein ratio in the mouse experiment is in line with the results from a large European human trial on weight-loss maintenance. In that trial, a modest decrease in the carbohydrate:protein ratio and a modest reduction in the dietary glycaemic index led to an improvement in the maintenance of weight loss. Similar to the mouse experiment, the decreased ratio was achieved by replacing carbohydrates with proteins keeping total fat intake constant between groups. In our study, the intake of total fat differed between groups. If, indeed, the amount of fat rather than the type of fat is of importance, the differences in total fat between groups in our human study may explain the different results.

The findings from the present study are in agreement with previous findings of no substantial associations between intake of PUFA and change in body weight in human populations. However, in these previous studies intake of n-6 PUFA in combination with intake of n-3 PUFA was investigated and the background diet was not taken into account.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in the present study among human participants no consistent associations between the intake of n-6 PUFA at a low, medium or high carbohydrate:protein ratio and change in body weight or waist circumference were observed. The inherent difficulties in dietary assessment as well as the differences in exposure levels and exposure contrasts may explain the different findings in our human study and previous animal experiments. However, intakes of n-6 PUFA, carbohydrates and proteins similar to the animal experiments would imply major changes in dietary habits in the general population. In the general population, the variation in energy intake is primarily accounted for by variation in intake of carbohydrates and fat whereas intake of proteins does not vary substantially. Finally, the different findings in the present human study and in previous animal experiments may arise because the effect of a dietary exposure on body fat mass depends on whether changes in the dietary intake are investigated or whether the habitual dietary intake is investigated. In our human study, habitual intakes of n-6 PUFA, carbohydrates and proteins were investigated, contrary to animal experiments where substantial changes in intakes of n-6 PUFA, carbohydrates and proteins were investigated.
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