ABSOLUTE RIESZ SUMMABILITY OF A FOURIER RELATED SERIES, II

G.D. DIKSHIT

This paper is an endeavour to improve upon the work begun in an earlier paper with the same title. We prove a general theorem on the summability |R, $\exp((\log w)^{\beta+1})$, $\gamma|$ of the series $\sum \{s_n(x)-s\}/n$, where $\{s_n(x)\}$ is the sequence of partial sums at a point x of the Fourier series of a Lebesgue integrable 2π -periodic function and s is a suitable constant. While the theorem improves upon the main result contained in the previous paper, corollaries to it include recent results due to Chandra and Yadava.

1. Definitions and notation

Let $e(w) = \exp((\log w)^{\beta+1})$, $\beta \ge 0$. A series $\sum u_n$ is said to be summable $|R, e(w), \gamma|$, $\gamma > 0$, and we write $\sum u_n \in |R, e(w), \gamma|$, if

$$\int_{A}^{\infty} e'(w)e^{-\gamma-1}(w) \left| \sum_{n < w} \{e(w)-e(n)\}^{\gamma-1}e(n)u_{n} \right| dw < \infty ,$$

where A is some constant.

Let $f \in L(-\pi, \pi)$ and be 2π -periodic and let

Received 18 December 1984.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/85 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

$$f(t) \sim \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt\right) \equiv \sum_{0}^{\infty} A_n(t)$$

Let the numbers x and s be fixed. We write

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x+t) + f(x-t) \} - s ,$$

$$\chi(t) = \left(\log(k/t) \right)^{-1} \int_{t}^{\pi} \phi(u) (2 \sin \frac{1}{2}u)^{-1} du ,$$

$$G(n, t) = \int_{t}^{n} (\log(k/u))^{b+1} (\log \log(k/u))^{-\sigma} \frac{d}{du} \sin(n+\frac{1}{2}) u du ,$$

 $Q(n, \alpha, a, c) = \{e(w) - e(n)\}^{\gamma - 1} e(n) n^{\alpha - 1} (\log n)^{\alpha} (\log \log n)^{c}, n < w,$ *m* will denote the integer determined by $m < w \le (m+1)$. Unless otherwise specified we use ' \sum ' to denote ' $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}$ ' and also write ' $\sum_{n < w}$ ' to *m*

denote ' $\sum_{n=3}^{m}$ '. K, K_1 , K_2 , ... denote absolute constants possibly different at different occurrences, and k denotes a suitable constant greater than or equal to $\pi \exp(e^2)$.

2. Theorem and remarks

2.1. We establish the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let β , γ , δ , η , ρ and σ be real numbers such that $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\eta \ge 1 + \delta$ and $\sigma \ge 1 + \rho$. If

$$(\log(k/t))^{\eta} (\log \log(k/t))^{\sigma} \chi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$$

and

$$\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{\eta-1}\left(\log\log(k/t)\right)^{\sigma}t^{-1}\chi(t) \in L(0, \pi)$$
,

then

$$\sum \frac{s (x)-s}{n} (\log n)^{\delta} (\log \log n)^{\rho} \in |R, e(w), \gamma| .$$

2.2. REMARK 1. We note that the hypotheses on the function ϕ are independent of β and γ . Therefore in view of the consistency theorems

for Riesz means (for the 'first theorem of consistency' refer to [2] and for a 'second theorem of consistency' refer to [4]), to obtain the best results we may choose $\gamma > 0$ as small as we please and similarly β may be taken any positive number however large.

REMARK 2. The case $\rho = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$ of the theorem (Corollary 1) gives an improvement on a previous result (see [3, Theorem 1]). Corollary 1 also extends a recent result due to Chandra and Yadava [1, Theorem 1]. A second corollary (Corollary 2) gives another result of Chandra and Yadava [1, Theorem 2].

3. Lemmas

We shall need the following lemmas for a proof of our theorem. These results are given in [3]. Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and 5 are given there for c = 0 and $\sigma = 0$. The modification in the proofs for other values of these parameters is rather routine.

LEMMA 1. Let b and η be real numbers such that $b + \eta > 0$ and let F be a function defined over $(0, \pi)$. Then the following conditions

(*i*)
$$F(t) (\log(k/t))^{\eta} \in BV(0, \pi)$$
,

(*ii*)
$$F(t) (\log(k/t))^{\eta-1} t^{-1} \in L(0, \pi)$$
,

are equivalent to the conditions

(*iii*)
$$\lim_{t \to 0+} F(t) (\log(k/t))^{-b} = 0$$
, and
(*iv*) $\int_0^{\pi} (\log(k/t))^{b+\eta} |d\{F(t) (\log(k/t))^{-b}\}| < \infty$.

LEMMA 2. Let σ and b be real numbers and $b\geq 0$. Then for $0 < t < \pi$, as $n \to \infty$,

$$G(n, t) = O((\log n)^{b} (\log \log n)^{-\sigma}) + O(nt(\log(k/t))^{b+1} (\log \log(k/t))^{-\sigma}).$$

LEMMA 3. Let $\beta>0$, $0<\gamma<1$, $\alpha\geq 0$ and a and c be real numbers. Then, as $\omega \neq \infty$,

$$\sum_{n \leq w} Q(n, \alpha, a, c) = O(e^{\gamma}(w)w^{\alpha}(\log w)^{\alpha-\beta}(\log \log w)^{c}) + Q(m, \alpha, a, c)$$

LEMMA 4. Let γ and β be positive and δ and c be any real numbers. Then the alternating series

$$\sum (-1)^n n^{-1} (\log n)^{\delta} (\log \log n)^{c} \in [R, e(w), \gamma]$$

LEMMA 5. Let $\beta > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\alpha \ge 0$, δ and c be real numbers, $0 < t \le \pi$, $w \ge (2k/t)$ and θ a constant independent of n. Then, as $w + \infty$,

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{n \leq w} Q(n, \alpha, \delta, c) \sin(nt+\theta) \right| \\ &= O\left(t^{-\gamma} w^{\alpha-\gamma} (\log w)^{\delta+\beta(\gamma-1)} (\log \log w)^c e^{\gamma}(w) \right) + Q(m, \alpha, \delta, c) \; . \end{split}$$

4. Proof of the theorem

In view of the 'first theorem of consistency' for Riesz means, it is sufficient to consider the case $0 < \gamma < 1$. Let $b \ge 0$ and be such that $b + \delta + 1 > 0$ and let us write $\chi^*(t) = \chi(t) (\log(k/t))^{-b} (\log \log(k/t))^{\sigma}$. Then using the Dirichlet integral and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ s_n(x) - s \right\} &= \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})u}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}u} \phi(u) du \\ &= \left[-\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t \int_t^{\pi} \frac{\phi(u)}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}u} du \right]_0^{\pi} + \int_0^{\pi} \chi(t) \left(\log(k/t) \right) \left(\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t \right)' dt \\ &= \left[-\chi^*(t)G(n, t) \right]_0^{\pi} + \int_0^{\pi} G(n, t) d\chi^*(t) \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} G(n, t) d\chi^*(t) \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\sum \frac{s_n(x)-s}{n} (\log n)^{\delta} (\log \log n)^{\rho} \in |R, e(w), \gamma|$$

if

$$\int_{e^2}^{\infty} e'(\omega) e^{-\gamma - 1}(\omega) \left| \sum_{n \leq \omega} Q(n, 0, \delta, \rho) \right|_{0}^{\pi} G(n, t) d\chi^*(t) \left| d\omega < \infty \right|_{0}^{\infty}$$

Since, by Lemma 1,

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left(\log(k/t) \right)^{b+\eta} |d\chi^*(t)| < \infty ,$$

it is sufficient to show that, for $0 < t \leq \pi$,

(1)
$$I(t) = \int_{e^{2}}^{\pi} e'(w)e^{-\gamma-1}(w) \left| \sum_{n < w} Q(n, 0, \delta, \rho)G(n, t) \right| dw$$
$$= O\left(\left(\log(k/t) \right)^{b+\eta} \right) .$$
Let $\tau = 2(k/t) \left(\log(k/t) \right)^{\beta}$ and let
(2)
$$I(t) = \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} + \int_{k/t}^{\tau} + \int_{\tau}^{\infty} = I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} , \text{ say.}$$

Write L(t) for $(\log(k/t)^{b+1})(\log\log(k/t))^{-\sigma}$. Using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 we obtain that

$$(3) \quad I_{1} \leq K_{1} \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} (\log w)^{\delta+b} (\log \log w)^{\rho-\sigma} w^{-1} dw + K_{2} \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} e'(w) e^{-\gamma-1}(w) Q(m, 0, \delta+b, \rho-\sigma) dw + K_{3} tL(t) \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} (\log w)^{\delta} (\log \log w)^{\rho} dw + K_{4} tL(t) \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} e'(w) e^{-\gamma-1}(w) Q(m, 1, \delta, \rho) dw = O((\log(k/t))^{\delta} (\log \log(k/t))^{\rho} L(t)) + K_{1} \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} e'(w) e^{-\gamma-1}(w) Q(m, 0, \delta+b, \rho-\sigma) dw + K_{2} tL(t) \int_{e^{2}}^{k/t} e'(w) e^{-\gamma-1}(w) Q(m, 1, \delta, \rho) dw , \text{ for } 0 < t \leq \pi .$$

Note that for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and for α , a, c and p and q real numbers such that $q > p \ge 3$,

$$(4) \int_{p}^{q} e'(\omega)e^{-\gamma-1}(\omega)Q(m, \alpha, a, c)d\omega$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=\left[p\right]}^{\left[q\right]} \int_{m}^{m+1} e'(\omega)e^{-\gamma-1}(\omega)\{e(\omega)-e(m)\}^{\gamma-1}e(m)m^{\alpha-1}(\log m)^{\alpha}(\log \log m)^{c}d\omega$$

$$\leq K \sum_{\left[p\right]}^{\left[q\right]} m^{\alpha-1}(\log m)^{\alpha}(\log \log m)^{c}\{1 - e(m)/e(m+1)\}^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq K \sum_{\left[p\right]}^{\left[q\right]} m^{\alpha-\gamma-1}(\log m)^{\alpha+\beta\gamma}(\log \log m)^{c}, \text{ by the mean value theorem.}$$

Therefore from (3) and (4) we get that, for $0 < t \leq \pi$,

(5)
$$I_{1} = O\left(\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{b+\delta+1}\left(\log\log(k/t)\right)^{\rho-\sigma}\right) + O\left(t^{\gamma}\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{b+\delta+1+\beta\gamma}\left(\log\log(k/t)\right)^{\rho-\sigma}\right) + K_{3}$$
$$= O\left(\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{b+\eta}\right) .$$

For $\ensuremath{I_2}$, we first note that by the second mean value theorem

(6)
$$|G(n, t)| = |L(t) \{ \sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t_1 - \sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t \} |$$
,
for some $t_1 : 0 < t < t_1 < \pi$,

$$\leq 2L(t)$$
, for $0 < t \leq \pi$.

Therefore, using (6) and Lemma 3 we get

$$(7) \quad I_{2}(t) \leq K_{1}L(t) \int_{k/t}^{T} (\log w)^{\delta} (\log \log w)^{\rho} w^{-1} dw + K_{2}L(t) \int_{k/t}^{T} e'(w)e^{-\gamma-1}(w)Q(m, 0, \delta, \rho) dw \leq K_{1} (\log(k/t))^{b+\delta+1} (\log \log(k/t))^{\rho-\sigma} \int_{k/t}^{T} w^{-1} dw + K_{2}L(t) \sum_{[k/t]}^{[\tau]} m^{-\gamma-1} (\log m)^{\delta+\beta\gamma} (\log \log m)^{\rho}, by (4) = O((\log(k/t))^{b+\eta}), \text{ for } 0 < t \leq \pi.$$

Next we note that

98

(8)
$$G(n, t) = [L(u)\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})u]_{t}^{\pi} - \int_{t}^{\pi} \sin(n+\frac{1}{2})udL(u)$$
$$= L(\pi)(-1)^{n} - L(t)\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t - \int_{t}^{\pi} \sin(n+\frac{1}{2})udL(u)$$

and that for $r \ge 0$ and $b \ge 0$,

(9)
$$\int_{t}^{\pi} u^{-r} dL(u) = O(t^{-r}L(t)) .$$

Therefore, after (8), (9) and (6), in view of Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and the result at (4) we obtain that

$$(10) \quad I_{3} \leq K_{1} \int_{e^{2}}^{\infty} e'(w)e^{-\gamma-1}(w) \left| \sum_{n \leq w}^{\infty} Q(n, 0, \delta, \rho)(-1)^{n} \right| dw$$

$$+ \left\{ K_{2}t^{-\gamma}L(t) + K_{3} \right| \int_{t}^{\pi} u^{-\gamma}dL(u) \left| \right\} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} w^{-\gamma-1}(\log w)^{\delta+\beta\gamma}(\log \log w)^{\rho}dw$$

$$+ K_{4}L(t) \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e'(w)e^{-\gamma-1}(w)Q(m, 0, \delta, \rho)dw$$

$$\leq K_{1} + K_{2}t^{-\gamma}L(t)\tau^{-\gamma}(\log \tau)^{\delta+\beta\gamma}(\log \log \tau)^{\rho}$$

$$+ K_{3}L(t) \sum_{\tau}^{\infty} m^{-\gamma-1}(\log m)^{\delta+\beta\gamma}(\log \log m)^{\rho}$$

$$= K_{1} + O\left(\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{b+1+\delta}\left(\log \log(k/t)\right)^{\rho-\sigma}\right)$$

$$+ K_{2}\tau^{-\gamma}\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{b+1+\delta+\beta\gamma}\left(\log \log(k/t)\right)^{\rho-\sigma}$$

$$= O\left(\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{b+\eta}\right), \text{ for } 0 < t \leq \pi ,$$

and this completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Corollaries

We obtain the following results as special cases of our theorem.

COROLLARY 1. Let $\beta,\,\gamma$ and δ be real numbers such that $\beta>0$ and $\gamma>0$. If

$$(\log(k/t))^{\delta+1}(\log\log(k/t))\chi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$$

G.D. Dikshit

and

$$\left(\log(k/t)\right)^{\delta}\left(\log\log(k/t)\right)t^{-1}\chi(t) \in L(0, \pi)$$

then

$$\sum \frac{s_n(x)-s}{n} (\log n)^{\delta} \in |R, e(w), \gamma|.$$

This corollary provides an improvement on a previous result [3, Theorem 1] and it also includes a theorem due to Chandra and Yadava [1, Theorem 1] - their result corresponds to the case $\delta = 1$.

The case $~\delta$ = 0 of Corollary 1 contains the following: COROLLARY 2. Let $~\beta>0~$ and $~\gamma>0$. If

$$\chi(0+) = 0 \quad and \quad \int_0^{\pi} \left(\log(k/t) \right) \left(\log \log(k/t) \right) \left| d\chi(t) \right| < \infty$$

then

$$\sum \frac{s_n(x)-s}{n} \in |R, e(w), \gamma| .$$

Proof. Note that as $\chi(0+) = 0$,

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \left| \left(\log(k/t) \log \log(k/t) \right)' \chi(t) \right| dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi} \left| \left(\log(k/t) \log \log(k/t) \right)' \int_0^t d\chi(u) \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^{\pi} \int_u^{\pi} \left| \left(\log(k/t) \log \log(k/t) \right)' \right| dt \left| d\chi(u) \right|$$

$$\leq K \int_0^{\pi} \log(k/u) \log \log(k/u) \left| d\chi(u) \right| ,$$

and therefore

100

101

$$(11) \int_{0}^{\pi} |d\{\log(k/t)\log\log(k/t)\chi(t)\}|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\pi} |(\log(k/t)\log\log(k/t))'\chi(t)|dt + \int_{0}^{\pi} \log(k/t)\log\log(k/t)|d\chi(t)|$$

$$\leq K \int_{0}^{\pi} \log(k/t)\log\log(k/t)|d\chi(t)| ,$$

and then

$$(12) \int_{0}^{\pi} t^{-1} \log \log(k/t) |\chi(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\pi} t^{-1} (\log \log(k/t) + 1) |\chi(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\pi} |d\{\log(k/t)\log \log(k/t)\chi(t)\}| + \int_{0}^{\pi} \log(k/t)\log \log(k/t) |d\chi(t)|$$

$$\leq K \int_{0}^{\pi} \log(k/t)\log \log(k/t) |d\chi(t)| .$$

Thus from (11) and (12) we see that the hypotheses of Corollary 2 imply those of Corollary 1 in the case $\delta = 0$. Hence Corollary 2 follows from Corollary 1.

Corollary 2 is due to Chandra and Yadava ([1], Theorem 2).

References

- [1] Prem Chandra and V.S. Yadava, "On the absolute Riesz summability of series associated with Fourier series", *Indian J. Math.* 22 (1980), 105-111.
- [2] K. Chandrasekharan and S. Minakshisundaram, Typical means (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1952).
- [3] G.D. Dikshit, "Absolute Riesz summability of a Fourier related series, I", Math. Japon 30 (1985), 647-658.

[4] B. Kuttner, "On the 'Second Theorem of Consistency' for absolute Riesz summability", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 29 (1974), 17-32.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Auckland, Private Bag, Auckland, New Zealand.

102