nett' (whom Tully calls, one hardly knows why, Autronius), and Dr. Postgate's own renderings of Dickens and Miss Austen. To say nothing of a Latin Mrs. Bennet, who would have thought that Mr. Alfred Jingle's apologue of Don Bolaro Fizgig and the stomach-pump would go into Latin prose? Yet it does, and reads like a page of Petronius. Any student of enterprise should be tempted by agreeable tours de force like these. In general, of course, the proposed tasks are less exciting, as one would expect. The English passages are very well They are often difficult, but never without some kind of kinship to Latin which makes them translateable. So many examiners seem to confuse

what is legitimately difficult—what can be done, given skill and knowledge—with what really can no more be put into a proper Latin form any more than can a page of Bradshaw or a column of musical criticism! But Dr. Postgate is too old a hand for that.

Another new feature of the book is its Appendix. The first part of this summarises rules given in the Introduction, and illustrates them by reference to the select passages. The second part consists of a series of notes on the passages, showing some of the more important words which a translator should keep in mind. Both sections should be most useful.

A. D. G.

CORRESPONDENCE

ANCIENT TOBOGGANING.

To the Editors of the CLASSICAL REVIEW. Plutarch, Vita Marii, XXIV. 3.

Οἱ Κίμβριοι.

Τοῖς δὲ τοσοῦτον περιῆν ὑπροψίας καὶ θράσους κατὰ τῶν πολεμίων, ὥστε, ρώμην καὶ τόλμαν ἐπιδεικνύμενοι μᾶλλον ἡ πράττοντές τι τῶν ἀναγκαίων, γυμνοὶ μὲν ἡνείχοντο νιφόμενοι καὶ διὰ πάγων καὶ χιόνος βαθείας τοῖς ἄκροις προσέβαινον, ἄνωθεν δὲ τοὺς θυρεοὺς πλατεῖς ὑποτίθεντες τοῖς σώμασι, εἶτα ἀφιέντες αὐτοὺς ὑπεφέροντο κατὰ κρημνῶν ὀλισθήματα καὶ λισσάδας ἀχανεῖς ἐχόντων.

Might I suggest to the managers of the Public School Alpine Sports that a competition, conducted rigidly under the above conditions, would afford an interesting test for comparing ancient and modern vitality and hardihood?

12, Grosvenor Sq., Rathmines, Dublin.

To the Editors of THE CLASSICAL REVIEW.

A. A. CORDNER.

In reading the Choephoroe, I am puzzled by the striking phrase $\chi a \lambda \kappa \eta \lambda \acute{a} \tau \varphi$ $\pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \gamma \iota$ 290. Hesychius and the Etymologicum Magnum offer the explanation, which is most usually adopted—viz. $\pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \xi \mathring{\eta} \mu \acute{a} \sigma \tau \iota \mathring{\xi}, \mathring{a} \pi \mathring{\eta} \sigma \sigma \iota \iota \nu$. This would appear to be a mere conjecture, as the regular meaning of $\pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mathring{\xi}$ is the 'scale-pan' of a balance, and it is also used by Hippocrates to mean a 'splint' for keeping broken bones in place. This suggests, rather, a derivation from $\pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$. Moreover, how could a whip be called $\chi a \lambda \kappa \mathring{\eta} \lambda a \tau \sigma s$, 'beaten out into metal'? The general signification of $\pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mathring{\xi}$, especially when coupled with $\chi a \lambda \kappa \mathring{\eta} \lambda a \tau \sigma s$ seems to be a flat plate of metal. Is it possible, then, that it might have the same

meaning as the word 'lamina' in Lucretius (Bk. III. 1017), 'verbera carnifices robur pix lamina taedae,' and refer to the torture of the red hot plate? I cannot find anything to show that such a torture was familiar to the Greeks, as it must have been to the Romans; cf. Horace, Epistles, I. 15. 34, 'scilicet ut ventres lamna candente nepotum diceret urendos, correctus Bestius.' But all these tortures were probably Semitic in origin, and it would seem, from the parallel passage in the Eumenides, ll. 186 sqq., that the Erinyes (whose persecutions are being described in this passage of the Choephoroe) would have been quite at home in an Eastern torture-chamber. It may be an intentional imitation by Lucretius, or a mere coincidence, that the 'pix' in the line quoted seems to indicate the πισσοκώνητος μόρος, which is described just above in 1. 268 of the Choephoroe—θανόντας έν κηκίδι πισσήρει φλογός.

EDWARD J. POWELL.

Magdalen College, Oxford.

To the Editors of the CLASSICAL REVIEW.

I am desirous of getting as complete a catena as possible of passages in ancient literature relating to Rome—chiefly the city—illustrating the place it held in the minds and affections of people down the ages. Any quotations will greatly oblige.—Yours faithfully,

WILLIAM C. TUTING, D.D.

Sithney Vicarage, Helston, Cornwall. June 27, 1913.

XENOPHON, HELLEN/CA II. ii.: THE CONSPIRACY OF THE ΚΑΛΑΜΗΦΟΡΟΙ.

To the Editors of the CLASSICAL REVIEW.

Will you please allow me space to retract my note under the above heading in vol.

xxvi., p. 186? Professor Campbell Bonner, of the University of Michigan, very kindly points out to me that my suggestion that the ὀφθαλμιῶν was not a conspirator, but that the κάλαμος he carried was connected with his disease, was anticipated by Van Leeuwen on Ar. Ach. 1033, σὸ δ' ἀλλά μοι σταλαγμον εἰρήνης ένα | ές τὸν καλαμίσκον εὐστάλαξον τουτονί. Leeuwen explains the patient's κάλαμος and Dicaeopolis's καλαμίσκος as a cheap form of medicine-phial (cf. the νάρθηξ tinder-box of Prometheus); while the badge of the conspirators he supposes to have been a garland, or at least a sprig of reed worn on the head (cf. Nub. 1006, στεφανωσάμενος καλάμφ λευκφ). Professor Bonner, in a learned paper in the Transactions of the American Philological Association, vol. xxxix., 1909, supports Van Leeuwen in the main, but would make the κάλαμος badge not a garland, but a mere arbitrary symbol, perhaps a baton consisting of a joint or two of reed, and carried in the hand; he gives some very late quotations for the use of short cuttings of reed as tokens. The Professor anticipates but rejects my idea that the κάλαμος was a walking-cane; even if the word can bear that meaning, a walking-cane would be too undistinctive for the badge of a conspiracy. He then gives considerable evidence for κάλαμος, καλαμίσκος and νάρθηξ used as small receptacles, especially for drugs. An interesting passage is Plut. Dem. 29 f., where Demosthenes commits suicide by sucking poison from his reed-pen: 'the κάλαμος was probably the original receptacle in which Demosthenes had procured the drug. In order to give the poison-reed the appearance of a harmless pen, it was only necessary to trim and sharpen one end of it.' Whether to make the καλαμίτης ήρως of de Cor. 129 'a canonised Dr. Pillbox, a sort of St. Bolus,' and whether to suppose the βιβλίον ως εδόκει in the hand of Lucian's Toxaris, the ζένος λατρός worshipped at Athens (Luc. Scytha I), to have been really a νάρθηξ of drugs, are questions that the Professor leaves open.—Yours, etc.,

H. RACKHAM.

Christ's College, Cambridge.

ON JUVENAL, SAT. I. 144.

'Hinc subitae mortes atque intestata senectus.'

To the Editors of the CLASSICAL REVIEW.

Mr. D. A. Slater's 'intercepta' (suggested on p. 160 of this volume), though it may give the sense required, seems to me to be lacking in probability. I would read, as being more probable, 'interlecta' (i.e., 'nipped in the bud'), a rare word easily mistakable for 'intestata.' r=s, l=t, ec=a (open).

SAMUEL ALLEN.

BOOKS RECEIVED

Publishers and Authors forwarding books for review are asked to send at the same time a note of the price.

- * * Excerpts and Extracts from Periodicals and Collections are not included in these Lists unless stated to be separately published.
- Amaryllis. Carmen Raphaelis Cariozzari Ferrariensis, in certamine poetico Hoeufftiano promio aureo ornatum. 10" × 6½". Pp. 146. Amsterdam: J. Muller, 1913.
- Babelon (E.) Moneta. 11½"×9". Pp. 56. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1913. Fr. 2.30.
- Bidez (J.) Vie de Porphyre: avec les fragments des traités $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ἀγαλμάτων et De Regressu Animae. $9\frac{1}{4}$ "× $6\frac{1}{4}$ ". Pp. viii+166+73.
- Briess (E. E.) Le Prétendu ΠΟΠΠΑΙΟΣ ΣΤΡΑΤΗΓΟΣ. 10"×6½". Pp. 47-52. Paris: E. Leroux, 1913.
- Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum.
 Vol. LV. S. Eusebii Hieronymi Opera, (Sect. I., Pars II.). Epistularum, Pars II. Epistulae LXXI.-CXX. Recensuit Isidorus Hilberg. 9½"×6". Pp. 516. Leipzig: G. Freytag, 1912. M. 16.
- Dorez (L.) Notice sur un Recueil de Poésies Latines, et un Portrait de l'Humaniste Véronais Leonardo Montagna. 11½"×9". Pp. 34. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1913. Fr. 2.
- Map of the Near and Middle East from Rome to Babylon, etc. With ancient and modern names in special character. Mounted on

- rollers and varnished. 40" × 30". London: G. W. Bacon and Co., 1913. 6s.
- Mochon (E.) Un Décret du Dème de Cholargos relatif aux Thesmophories. 11½"×9". Pp. 24. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1913. Fr. 1.50.
- Riepl (W.) Das Nachrichtenwesen des Altertums, mit besonderer Rücksicht auf die Römer. 9" x 6". Pp. xiv + 478. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1913. M. 16.
- Skoorb (D. R.) In Four Dimensions. 7" × 4\frac{1}{4}".

 Pp. 64. Leather. Saginaw, Mich., U.S.A.:
 D. R. Skoorb and Co.
- Spohr (L.) Instrumenta Graeca Publica et Privata (Pars Prima). Papyri Jandanae (Fasciculus Tertius). $9\frac{1}{2}$ " × $6\frac{1}{2}$ ". Pp. 79-123. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1913. M. 2.80.
- Swindler (Mary H.) Cretan Elements in the Cults and Ritual of Apollo. Bryn Mawr College Monographs, Vol. XIII. 9"×6". Pp. 80. Bryn Mawr, Pa., 1913.
- Teubner's Text. Procopii Caesariensis Opera Omnia (J. Haury). Vol. III. 2. 7"×4\frac{1}{2}". Pp. x+395. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1913. M. 7.50.
- Teuffel (W. S.) Römische Literatur (Dritter Band). Die Literatur von 96 nach Chr. bis zum Ausgange des Altertums. 9"×6". Pp. viii+579. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1913. M. 10.