MIND

July, 1964

- I. Sense and Nonsense: L. GODDARD
- II. Matter and Space: Anthony Quinton

- III. Concepts and Criteria: R. Harré
 IV. Is Existence a Predicate?: Murray Kiteley
 V. The Concepts of Obligation and Duty: R. B. Brandt
 VI. The Terms and Sentences of Empirical Science: G. Schlesinger VII. Moral and Religious Conceptions of Duty: An Analysis: D. Z.
- PHILLIPS VIII. Discussions:

Primary and Secondary Qualities: AAGE SLOMANN Plato, Parmenides, Ryle and Exemplification: IRVING BLOCK My Motive and its Reason: Donald S. Mannison The Third Possibility: B. MELTZER Falsifiability of Scientific Theories: R. G. SWINBURNE

Berkeley's Theory of Meaning: E. J. FURLONG

Note on Father Owens' Comment on Williams' Criticism of Aquinas on Infinite Regress: J. F. M. HUNTER

The Done Thing: A. N. PRIOR Zimmerman's "Is-Is": A Schizophrenic Monism: Kenneth Hanly

IX. New Books.

X. Notes.

THE NEW SOCIOLOGY

Essays in Social Science & Social Theory in Honour of C. Wright Mills, edited by I. R. Horowitz. The twenty-eight essays in this volume deal with the most important trends of present-day American sociology: a concern with larger public issues and an involvement in and a moral commitment to the basic problems of the times. Two groups of essays, together with Irving Horowitz's Introduction, constitute a major restatement of sociology's aims and purposes. Among the contributors to the volume are: Erich Fromm, Arnold Rapoport, Alvin Gouldner, Abraham Edel, and Andrew Hacker. Contributions from Great Britain, Brazil, Argentina and Mexico are included. \$9.50

MODELS AND MYSTERY

by I. T. Ramsey. Professor Ramsey shows that there is a valid place for pictorial conceptions, or models, in theology as well as in natural and social science. To the 'scale model' supposed to resemble its original in all particulars and to be uniquely true, he opposes the 'disclosure model' which by its structure reveals something important about its original. He examines the use of such models in the natural and social sciences and of metaphors (which play a similar role) in theological discourse, and while pointing to the similarities, he makes clear the difference in these uses of models due to the element of mystery that is inherent both in personal existence and in the concepts of theology. \$2.25

---- OXFORD