

Dietary patterns and benign breast diseases: a case–control study

Zeinab Tiznobeyk¹, Zahra Sheikhi Mobarakeh^{2*}, Mostafa Qorbani³, Fariba Koohdani⁴, Gity Sotoudeh^{1*}, Farahnaz Khajehnasiri⁵, Shahla Khosravi⁵ and Farideh Doostan⁶

¹Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Hojatdost Street, Naderi Street, Keshavarz Boulevard, Tebran 14155/6117, Iran

²Department of Cancer Quality of Life, Breast Cancer Research Center, Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research (ACECR), 146, South Gandhi Avenue, Vanak Square, Tebran 1517964311, Iran

³Department of Community Medicine, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Taleghani Square, Taleghani Boulevard, Edari Shabrak, Karaj 3146883411, Iran

⁴Department of Cellular, Molecular Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Hojatdost Street, Naderi Street, Keshavarz Boulevard, Tebran 14155/6117, Iran

⁵Department of Social Medicine, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Qods Street, Poorsina Street, Tebran 14155/6134, Iran

⁶Department of Nutrition, School of Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Jomhoori Eslami Boulevard, Kerman 7616913555, Iran

(Submitted 4 March 2016 – Final revision received 10 April 2016 – Accepted 15 April 2016)

Abstract

Several studies have investigated the relation between benign breast diseases (BBD) and food intake. However, dietary patterns of these patients have not been taken into consideration up to now. The aim of this study is to determine the association between dietary patterns and BBD. In this case–control study, ninety-six patients with BBD and seventy controls were selected from women attending the Iranian Center for Breast Cancer affiliated with Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research. Demographic, physical activity and semi-quantitative FFQ were completed. The main dietary patterns were extracted by factor analysis. Two major dietary patterns emerged: Healthy dietary pattern including fish, poultry, eggs, low-fat dairy products, vegetables, legumes, nuts and seeds, whole grains, oil and mayonnaise, olives, fruits; and Unhealthy dietary pattern including red meats, organ and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, sweets and desserts, animal and solid fats. After adjustment for age, BMI and energy intake, the participants in the highest tertile of Healthy dietary pattern (OR 0.44; 95% CI 0.20, 0.99) were less likely to have BBD compared with those in the first tertile. After adjustment for other confounding variables, this relationship still remained close to significant level. However, higher consumption of Unhealthy dietary pattern was not associated with the risk of BBD. In conclusion, Healthy dietary pattern might be inversely associated with the risk of BBD; however, this result should be interpreted with caution. Future studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Key words: Benign breast diseases: Dietary patterns: Case–control studies

The term ‘benign breast diseases’ (BBD) is applied to describe a wide range of non-cancerous breast diseases. These diseases are classified into three groups based on their influence on breast cancer risk: (1) non-proliferative diseases, which are not associated with breast cancer risk; (2) proliferative diseases without atypia, which stimulate the growth of lobular and ductal cells in breast tissue⁽¹⁾ and which increase the breast cancer risk by 1.3–1.9 times⁽²⁾; and (3) proliferative diseases with atypia such as atypical ductal and lobular hyperplasia^(1c). The risk of breast cancer is 3.7–4.2 times higher in the third group⁽²⁾. Although BBD cases constitute >90% of reasons for attending

breast clinics⁽³⁾, these diseases are usually disregarded in comparison with breast cancer⁽⁴⁾. In autopsy studies, it is estimated that one in two women will be diagnosed with some grades of cystic fibrosis, and one in five will suffer from fibroadenoma in their lifetime. The role of endogenous hormones, oral contraceptive and oestrogen replacement therapy in the development of BBD entails further investigation. Obesity is established as the sole protective factor, although it is not recommended because of adverse effects. No relationship has been established between the age at menarche, smoking, alcoholic drinks and caffeine consumption with BBD⁽⁵⁾. Several

Abbreviation: BBD, benign breast diseases.

* **Corresponding author:** G. Sotoudeh, fax +98 21 8897 4462, email gsotodeh@tums.ac.ir; Z. Sheikhi Mobarakeh, fax +98 21 8879 6208, email dr.shaikhi88@yahoo.com

studies have been undertaken to assess the association between food, macronutrients and micronutrients with the risk of BBD^(5–17). Regarding the association of food intake and BBD, some controversial results are reported and no specific dietary pattern has been yet proposed⁽⁵⁾. Ingram *et al.*⁽¹⁰⁾ found the consumption of red meats, savoury dishes (pizza, pie and stew) and starches to be harmful, whereas eating poultry, fish and fruits is beneficial. Fruits and vegetables are suggested to protect against BBD^(8,9,16). In the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) trial, a low-fat dietary pattern with reduced fat intake and increased fruit and vegetable consumption did not alter the progression of BBD⁽¹⁵⁾. The dietary patterns have grabbed the attention of researchers during the past decade^(18,19), because of the involvement of the interactions of dietary components and synergic effects of food ingredients^(20–23). The use of dietary patterns could better reflect the nutritional behaviour in individuals^(24,25). Moreover, dietary patterns are more appropriate tools for public health nutrition recommendations^(20,22,23,25). So far, no study has evaluated the relationship between the dietary patterns and BBD morbidity. Because of the high prevalence of these disorders in women⁽⁵⁾ and the risk of cancer development among them⁽²⁾, we aimed to investigate the relationship between dietary patterns and BBD.

Methods

Study population

The present study is part of a case–control research project on women diagnosed with breast cancer and BBD, as well as healthy individuals attending the Iranian Center for Breast Cancer affiliated with Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research (ACECR) in Tehran, from February 2014 to April 2015. The aim of the project was to compare the dietary patterns of patients with breast cancer and BBD with healthy women.

The sample size was calculated using an α of 0.05 and a power of 80%, detecting a minimum significant outcome measure OR of 2.5. About eighty subjects were estimated for each of the three groups, and in practice eighty patients with breast cancer, ninety-six patients with BBD and seventy healthy women were recruited. As healthy subjects were not easily available, for maintaining the power of study, we increased the number of BBD patients to ninety-six. In the present study, we compared the dietary patterns in two groups of BBD patients and healthy women.

The cases were older than 20 years, diagnosed with BBD (including fibrocystic diseases, ductal ectasia, fat necrosis, papillomatosis, adenosis with and without sclerosing, fibroadenoma, ductal hyperplasia, atypical lobular hyperplasia and atypical ductal hyperplasia) by the physicians of ACECR within the past 1 month. The controls were selected from women who accompanied patients or those who attended ACECR for check-up, and were diagnosed as healthy by the physicians, without any symptoms or complaints for BBD. We excluded individuals with BMI ≥ 40 kg/m², history of diagnosis with CVD, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, renal diseases, liver or gastrointestinal diseases, food allergy, stroke, fibromyalgia, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, current alcoholism or drug addiction, as well as self-reported

withdrawal in the past 3 months, current pregnancy or lactation in the past 12 months. In total, ninety-six patients with BBD, aged 20–70 years, were selected as the case group and seventy non-diseased healthy individuals were selected as the control group using convenience sampling. The controls were healthy subjects who were visiting the centre for routine screening. By using frequency matching, the two groups were matched for age (age groups of 10 years) and menopause status.

Socio-demographic and lifestyle characteristics

Individual-specific information was collected via face-to-face interviews. Individual characteristics including age, residence status, educational level, job status, marital status, age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy, number of pregnancies, history of lactation, menopause status, history of oral contraceptive use and oestrogen therapy, family history of breast diseases, cigarette, hubble-bubble and tobacco-pipe smoking, vitamin and mineral supplement intake were recorded.

Anthropometric and physical activity measures

Weight was measured to the nearest 100 g with light clothing and without shoes using a weighing scale (Seca:813; Seca United Kingdom), and height was measured using a non-elastic measuring tape to the nearest 1 mm without shoes, heels together touching the wall and looking straight forward. BMI was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in metres squared. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at a level midway between the lower rib margin and the iliac crest at the end of a gentle expiration and at standing position using a tape to the nearest 1 mm. In order to eliminate individual errors, the height, weight and WC were measured only by the first researcher.

International Physical Activity Questionnaire-short form was used to assess the level of physical activity of the participants⁽²⁶⁾. Individuals were asked about the frequency (d) and duration (min) of severe, moderate, jogging and sedentary physical activity during the past 7 d. To gain basic scoring, individuals must have at least 10 min of continuous physical activity. Physical activity score was calculated as metabolic equivalent task per minute per week (MET-min/week) for severe, moderate and jogging activities. The score of engaging in different levels of activity over the past week is calculated by multiplying the frequency of days by duration of time (min), as well as by constants of 8, 4 and 3.3, respectively. Finally, the sum of three scores was calculated as total exercise per week (total MET-min/week).

Dietary intake assessment

Usual dietary intake of individuals during the past year was assessed using a valid and reliable semi-quantitative FFQ from Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study⁽²⁷⁾. This 168-food-item questionnaire was completed via face-to-face interview by a trained dietitian. Dietary intake of six food groups including breads and cereals, meats, dairy products, vegetables, fruits and miscellaneous foods was assessed. Participants were asked to report the frequency of consumption for each food item in



Table 1. Food grouping used in factor analysis

Groups	Subgroups
Red, organ meats and processed meat	Beef, lamb, ground meat, liver, heart, kidney, hamburger, sausage, kielbasa
Fish	Fish, canned fish
Poultry	Chicken
Eggs	Eggs
Low-fat dairy products	Low-fat milk, low-fat yogurt, cheese, Doogh (an Iranian yogurt drink)
High-fat dairy products	High-fat milk, cocoa milk, yogurt, cheese, ice cream, dried whey, cream
Tea and coffee	Tea, coffee
Cabbage	Cabbage
Green leafy vegetables	Spinach, lettuce
Orange and red vegetables	Carrot, tomato, tomato paste and ketchup sauce
Other vegetables	Eggplant, celery, cucumber, pea, string bean, garlic, onion, pepper, mushroom, turnip, local vegetables, etc.
Cooked potato	Baked potato
French fries	French fries
Whole grains	Maize, barley, whole bread
Refined grains	White breads, baguettes, rice, spaghetti, vermicelli
Salted snacks	Chips, cheese puff, cracker
Olive	Olive oil, olives
Sweets and desserts	Biscuit, cake, cookie, halva (an Iranian sweet)
Pickles	Pickles (in vinegar or brine)
Hydrogenated oil	Butter, margarine, solid fat, animal fat
Vegetable oil and mayonnaise	Vegetable oils, mayonnaise
Fruit and fruit juice	Dried fruit, fruit juice, canned fruit, cantaloupe, melon, watermelon, pear, apricot, cherry, apple, peach, fig, grape, kiwi, orange, plum, banana, strawberry, mulberry, persimmon, etc.
Sugar and soft drinks	Soft drinks, sugar, chocolate, Gaz (an Iranian confectionery made from nuts, tamarisk and sugar), Sohan (an Iranian confectionery), honey, jam, candy
Nuts and legumes	Almonds, pistachio, hazelnut, walnuts, seeds, beans, lentil, dried pea, soya
Salt	Salt

terms of daily (e.g. bread), weekly (e.g. rice and meat), monthly and yearly intakes. Reported food frequency was converted to daily intake (per gram) with respect to serving sizes⁽²⁸⁾. Kitchen measuring cups were used to convert food servings. Food items were analysed for energy and nutrient contents using food composition tables (United States Department of Agriculture; USDA). Mixed foods were calculated according to their component ingredients.

Food groups and identifying dietary patterns

Food items were divided into twenty-five groups according to their composition and content (Table 1). The dietary patterns were extracted from questionnaires, based on the food intake data of the cases and controls. Extracted food groups were entered into the factor analysis, and dietary patterns were found according to principal component analysis. A change point in the scree plot was used to identify the number of patterns. With respect to inter-correlations among variables, factor loadings of >0.2 were considered to determine the number of food groups in each dietary pattern. Dietary patterns were labelled based on their food items (Table 2).

Table 2. Factor loadings of food groups for Healthy and Unhealthy dietary patterns*

Food groups	Factor loadings: 'Healthy' pattern	Factor loadings: 'Unhealthy' pattern
Red, organ meats and processed meat	0.566	0.664
Fish	0.628	0.394
Poultry	0.393	0.335
Eggs	0.280	
Low-fat dairy products	0.520	
High-fat dairy products	0.228	0.518
Tea and coffee		0.275
Cabbage	0.683	
Green leafy vegetables	0.709	
Orange and red vegetables	0.584	
Other vegetables	0.729	
Baked potato	0.401	0.243
French fries		0.459
Whole grains	0.308	
Refined grains		0.214
Salted snacks	0.475	0.656
Olives	0.241	
Sweets and desserts	0.440	0.716
Pickles		0.330
Hydrogenated oil		0.637
Vegetable oil and mayonnaise	0.227	
Fruit and fruit juice	0.604	0.470
Sugar and soft drinks		0.626
Nuts and legumes	0.641	
Salt		
Explained variation (%)	25.08	8.56

* Factor loadings >0.2 are presented. Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling adequacy = 0.83. Bartlett's test of sphericity = <0.001

Statistical analysis

Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied to evaluate the normality of the data. Data analysis was performed using SPSS (version 16). Normally distributed quantitative variables, physical activity and qualitative variables were compared by independent *t* test, Mann–Whitney test and χ^2 test, respectively. As each participant received a factor score for each explored dietary pattern, we categorised the participants into tertiles of dietary pattern scores, so that each tertile contained approximately thirty individuals. Eventually, using logistic regression model with and without adjustment for different variables, the association of dietary patterns scores with BBD risk was assessed. The OR and 95% CI were calculated for each dietary pattern tertile by logistic regression analysis. The relationship between the dietary patterns and BBD risk was adjusted for age, BMI, energy intake and confounders, with a *P* value <0.05 in logistic regression. *P* values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Table 3 provides general data for patients and healthy women. Mean age of cases and controls was 42.5 and 43.4 years, respectively, which was not significantly different. Mean age at first full-term pregnancy was significantly higher in cases

Table 3. Characteristics of participants with benign breast disease and the controls (Mean values and standard deviations; medians and interquartile ranges (IQR); numbers and percentages)

	Patients			Controls			<i>P</i> *
	<i>n</i>	Mean	SD	<i>n</i>	Mean	SD	
Age (years)	96	42.5	7.8	70	43.4	8.3	0.4
Education (years)	96	11.6	3.9	70	11.7	4.7	0.9
Age at menarche (years)	87	13.3	1.5	67	13.4	1.6	0.9
Age at first pregnancy (years)	80	22.4	5.3	55	22.0	4.0	0.03
History of lactation (months)	69	36.5	20.2	50	38.7	20.2	0.8
Weight (kg)	96	68.9	11.5	70	70.6	11.1	0.8
Height (cm)	96	158.4	6.2	69	159.1	6.2	0.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	96	27.5	4.2	69	27.9	4.5	0.3
Waist circumference (cm)	94	91.5	10.7	41	94.3	9.6	0.5
Total physical activity (MET-min/week)	94			70			0.1
Median		248.63			300.50		
IQR		428.38			609.07		
		<i>n</i>	%		<i>n</i>	%	
Occupation							
Employed	96	20	20.8	70	30	42.9	0.002
Housekeeper		76	79.2		40	57.1	
Marital status (married)	96	83	86.5	70	62	88.6	0.7
Number of pregnancies							
≤2	80	51	63.8	56	28	50.0	0.1
>2		29	36.2		28	50.0	
Menopause	96	18	18.8	70	20	28.6	0.1
History of oestrogen therapy	96	1	1.0	70	10	14.3	0.001
Oral contraceptive use	96	39	40.6	70	27	38.6	0.8
Family history of breast disease or cancer	96	17	17.7	70	18	25.7	0.2
Current use of dietary supplement	96	45	46.9	70	29	41.4	0.5
Energy tertiles (T) (kcal)	96		70				0.2
T1		33	34.4		22	31.4	
T2		36	37.5		20	28.6	
T3		27	28.1		28	40.0	
Healthy food pattern tertiles							
T1	96	35	36.5	70	17	24.3	0.09
T2		32	33.3		21	30.0	
T3		29	30.2		32	45.7	
Unhealthy food pattern tertiles							
T1	96	32	33.3	70	26	37.1	0.6
T2		35	36.5		20	28.6	
T3		29	30.2		24	34.3	

MET, metabolic equivalent task.

* Obtained by χ^2 test for categorical variables and by *t* test and Mann–Whitney *U* test for continuous variables with normal and skewed distribution, respectively.

compared with healthy controls, whereas the percentage of employed women and those who had taken oestrogen was significantly higher in controls than in cases ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3). No other significant differences were observed. One of the patients was recognised with the history of tobacco use.

Two dietary patterns, entitled Healthy and Unhealthy, were obtained by factor analysis. Table 2 shows the factor loadings of food groups for the two dietary patterns, wherein the factor loadings >0.2 were reported. Higher factor loading of a given food group indicates the greater contribution of that specific food group in the dietary pattern. Two dominant dietary patterns explained 33% of total variance. Healthy dietary pattern, with the variance of 25%, consisted of fish, poultry, eggs, low-fat dairy products, cabbage, green leafy vegetables, yellow-orange vegetables, other types of vegetable, baked potato, legumes, nuts and seeds, whole grains, oil and mayonnaise, olives, fruits, dried fruits and fruit juices. Unhealthy dietary pattern, with the variance of 8%, comprised red meats,

organ and processed meats, high-fat dairy products, French fries, pickles (in vinegar or brine), refined grains, sweets and desserts, sugars and soda, tea and coffee, animal and solid fats and salted snacks. No significant differences were revealed when comparing frequency and tertile percentages of Healthy and Unhealthy dietary patterns in both case and control groups ($P > 0.05$). The percentages of patients in the first, second and third tertiles of Healthy dietary pattern were 36.5, 33.3 and 30.2%, respectively, whereas the percentages of healthy women were 24.3, 30.0 and 45.7%, respectively. Regarding Unhealthy dietary pattern, the percentages of patients in the first, second and third tertiles were 33.3, 36.5 and 30.2%, respectively, whereas the percentages of healthy women were 37.1, 28.6 and 34.3%, respectively (Table 3).

The OR of BBD morbidity across tertiles of dietary pattern, before and after adjustment for confounding factors, is presented in four different models in Table 4. Before adjusting the confounders, subjects in the highest tertile had lower OR for

Table 4. Benign breast diseases morbidity according to dietary patterns tertile (T) (Crude and adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals)

	n	Tertiles of dietary patterns					P _{trend} †	
		T1		T2		T3		
		OR	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI		
Healthy food pattern								
Model 1‡	166	1.00	0.74	0.33, 1.65§	0.44	0.20, 0.95*	0.03	
Model 2	165	1.00	0.73	0.32, 1.66	0.44	0.20, 0.99*	0.04	
Model 3¶	135	1.00	0.79	0.30, 2.08	0.42	0.17, 1.06	0.059	
Model 4**	134	1.00	1.06	0.38, 2.94	0.65	0.24, 1.74	0.3	
Unhealthy food pattern								
Model 1‡	166	1.00	1.42	0.67, 3.02	0.98	0.46, 2.08	1.0	
Model 2	165	1.00	1.35	0.63, 2.93	0.95	0.42, 2.11	0.9	
Model 3¶	135	1.00	1.86	0.75, 4.62	0.83	0.35, 1.98	0.7	
Model 4**	134	1.00	1.98	0.76, 5.15	1.11	0.39, 3.15	0.7	

* Statistically significant.

† Numbers represented the P value_{trend} of OR in a row.

‡ Model 1 was not adjusted.

§ All OR and their corresponding 95% CI were calculated by performing logistic regression.

|| Model 2 was adjusted for age (years), BMI (kg/m²) and energy intake (kcal).

¶ Model 3 was adjusted for age at first pregnancy (years), job status (employed/housekeeper) and history of oestrogen therapy (yes/no).

** Model 4 was adjusted for the variables included in the models 2 and 3.

BBD compared with those in the lowest tertile (model 1: OR 0.44; 95% CI 0.2, 0.95). This relationship remained significant after adjusting for age, BMI and energy intake (model 2: OR 0.44; 95% CI 0.20, 0.99). After adjusting for age at first pregnancy, job status and history of oestrogen therapy, the association remained close to significance level (model 3: OR 0.42; 95% CI 0.17, 1.06). However, after addition of age, BMI and energy intake to the previous model, the association did not remain significant (model 4: OR 0.65; 95% CI 0.24, 1.74).

No significant relationship was found between Unhealthy dietary pattern and BBD risk even after adjusting for potential confounders ($P_{\text{trend}} > 0.05$) (Table 4).

Discussion

In the current study, the association between dietary patterns and BBD morbidity was investigated for the first time. Our results showed that the Healthy dietary pattern might be inversely associated with BBD, although after adjusting for some confounding variables a clear relationship was not observed. Besides, no significant relationship was found between the Unhealthy dietary pattern and BBD.

In line with the present findings, a study in Australia showed that higher consumption of poultry, sea foods, leafy and red-brown vegetables and fruits is associated with a lower risk of BBD⁽¹⁰⁾. In addition, Galvan-Portillo *et al.*⁽⁸⁾ reported that a higher fruit and dairy product intake could be related to a lower risk of BBD. Hislop *et al.*⁽⁹⁾ demonstrated a protective role against proliferative breast diseases with the intake of green vegetables. Consistent with these findings, in a case-control study, it was suggested that fruit and vegetable intake could be associated with a lower risk of fibrocystic breast disease, and the association was shown to be stronger in case of proliferative and atypical diseases, compared with non-proliferative

conditions⁽¹⁶⁾. However, in a clinical trial for 7.7 years, low-fat dietary pattern with decreased fat intake to 20% of total energy intake (decreased SFA intake to <7% of total energy intake), increased fruit and vegetable intake to equal or more than five servings per d and increased cereal intake to six or more servings per d resulted in no-risk reduction of proliferative breast diseases⁽¹⁵⁾. The Healthy dietary pattern in our study consisted of food groups that might justify the reduction in BBD morbidity. Fruits and vegetables are rich in vitamins, antioxidants (e.g. vitamin C, vitamin E and β -carotene), minerals, fibre and other bioactive components. Antioxidants prevent DNA mutations and damage by eliminating free radicals⁽²⁹⁾. Moreover, fruits and vegetables seem to contain ingredients with anti-proliferative effects on the breast epithelium⁽¹⁶⁾. Fibres reduce circulatory levels of oestrogen and thus prevent breast cell proliferation⁽⁶⁾. These ingredients impede the reabsorption of oestrogen from the gastrointestinal tract⁽³⁰⁾, leading to increased levels of oestrogen-binding globulin and lower bioavailability of these molecules⁽³¹⁾. Nuts are rich sources of unsaturated fatty acids and bioactive ingredients (such as high-quality plant proteins, fibre, minerals, tocopherols, phytosterols and phenolic compounds) with a huge impact on health⁽³²⁾, and they can reduce benign and progressive breast diseases⁽⁶⁾. For instance, walnut contains bioactive compounds (α -linolenic acid and phytosterols) with anti-proliferative effects on breast epithelial cells⁽³³⁾.

According to our data, a higher intake of Unhealthy dietary pattern in crude and adjusted models was not associated with BBD risk. In Shanghai cohort study, intake of red meats, eggs, fried foods, dairy products (except for non-proliferative diseases), rice and other cereals (except for proliferative diseases) was not related to breast fibrocystic conditions⁽¹⁶⁾. Ingram *et al.*⁽¹⁰⁾ showed that red meats, savoury foods (pizza, pie, stews, etc.) and starch are harmful for BBD. The consumption of fat from red meats was shown to be



associated with proliferative forms of BBD in Canadian women⁽⁹⁾. We found no association between Unhealthy dietary pattern and BBD. This might stem from the recent transition in Iranian dietary patterns from traditional habits, which was similar to our Healthy dietary pattern, to new patterns resembling Western style or Unhealthy dietary pattern^(34,35). Therefore, it is possible that our participants consumed healthy foods in their childhood and adolescence and their habits changed to unhealthy diet in adulthood⁽³⁴⁾. However, risky time period for the development of BBD is unknown, but childhood and adolescent diet may have a role in BBD incidence in adulthood^(6,36). Moreover, some food ingredients from Unhealthy dietary pattern might reduce the BBD risk. For example, although red meats and high-fat dairy products contain SFA, which are associated with increased risk of BBD^(9,13), retinol found in these foods reduces the risk^(9,14). Furthermore, organ meats contain nutrients such as folate and methionine functioning in DNA methylation and thus prevent carcinogenesis⁽³⁷⁾. Therefore, there could be a relation between folate intake and proliferative BBD, as a precursor of breast cancer⁽³⁸⁾.

The strength of the current study lies in selecting the patients who were diagnosed within the past month. This minimised the possible effect of the diagnosis on dietary patterns. In addition, it was a new research field on not only Iranian women but also in the globe. Nevertheless, our study had some limitations. First, by applying factor analysis in identifying the dietary patterns, food groups are categorised based on researcher's decision and previous literature, and thus changing the type of categorisation could lead to different results. Second, response errors in FFQ are possible. Under- or over-reporting of food consumption may result in errors in accurate estimation of consumed food⁽³⁹⁾. However, in order to lower the bias, short-time interval between diagnosis and interview was used. Third, like all case-control studies, we could not determine the cause and effect relationship between the dietary patterns and BBD. Fourth, in spite of the high participation rate, samples may not be representative of the target population and selection bias is plausible. Regardless of these limitations, this study was the first attempt to examine the relationship between major dietary patterns and BBD.

For future studies, prospective designs are recommended to assess the relations between dietary patterns and BBD. In addition, larger sample size could be useful in studying dietary patterns with three subgroups of BBD separately. Also, the larger sample size may increase the chance to include more food groups to define dietary patterns in more detail.

According to the present findings, Healthy dietary pattern might be associated with a lower BBD risk; nevertheless, Unhealthy dietary pattern was not related to these diseases. However, this result should be interpreted with caution because of its limitations. Further studies are needed to confirm this finding.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely express their appreciation to the participants of this study.

This research project was supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences, grant no. 93-454-111.

The authors' contributions are as follows: Z. S. M. and G. S. contributed to the study design and revised the manuscript. Z. T., F. K., S. K. and F. D. contributed to data collection and wrote the manuscript. M. Q. and F. K. contributed to data analysis and interpretation of the data. All authors listed approved the content of the submitted manuscript.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Hunt KK, Green MC & Buchholz TA (2012) Diseases of the breast. In *Sabiston Textbook of Surgery*, 19th ed. pp. 824–869 [CM Townsend, RD Beachamp, BM Evers and KL Mattox, editors]. St Louis, MO: WB Saunders.
- Hartmann LC, Sellers TA, Frost MH, *et al.* (2005) Benign breast disease and the risk of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* **353**, 229–237.
- Foulkes R & Gateley CA (2010) Management of benign diseases of the breast. *Surgery (Oxford)* **28**, 125–129.
- Courtillot C, Plu-Bureau G, Binart N, *et al.* (2005) Benign breast diseases. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* **10**, 325–335.
- Goehring C & Morabia A (1997) Epidemiology of benign breast disease, with special attention to histologic types. *Epidemiol Rev* **19**, 310–327.
- Baer HJ, Schnitt SJ, Connolly JL, *et al.* (2003) Adolescent diet and incidence of proliferative benign breast disease. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **12**, 1159–1167.
- Baghurst PA & Rohan TE (1995) Dietary fiber and risk of benign proliferative epithelial disorders of the breast. *Int J Cancer* **63**, 481–485.
- Galvan-Portillo M, Anchez LT-S & Lopez-Carrillo L (2002) Dietary and reproductive factors associated with benign breast disease in Mexican women. *Nutr Cancer* **43**, 133–140.
- Hislop TG, Band PR, Deschamps M, *et al.* (1990) Diet and histologic types of benign breast disease defined by subsequent risk of breast cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* **131**, 263–270.
- Ingram D, Nottage E & Roberts T (1991) The role of diet in the development of breast cancer: a case-control study of patients with breast cancer, benign epithelial hyperplasia and fibrocystic disease of the breast. *Br J Cancer* **64**, 187–191.
- Li W, Ray RM, Lampe JW, *et al.* (2005) Dietary and other risk factors in women having fibrocystic breast conditions with and without concurrent breast cancer: a nested case-control study in Shanghai, China. *Int J Cancer* **115**, 981–993.
- London SJ, Stein EA, Henderson IC, *et al.* (1992) Carotenoids, retinol, and vitamin E and risk of proliferative benign breast disease and breast cancer. *Cancer Causes Control* **3**, 503–512.
- Lubin F, Wax Y, Ron E, *et al.* (1989) Nutritional factors associated with benign breast disease etiology: a case-control study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **50**, 551–556.
- Rohan TE, Cook MG, Potter JD, *et al.* (1990) A case-control study of diet and benign proliferative epithelial disorders of the breast. *Cancer Res* **50**, 3176–3181.
- Rohan TE, Negassa A, Caan B, *et al.* (2008) Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of benign proliferative breast disease: a randomized, controlled dietary modification trial. *Cancer Prev Res* **1**, 275–284.
- Wu C, Ray RM, Lin MG, *et al.* (2004) A case-control study of risk factors for fibrocystic breast conditions Shanghai Nutrition and breast disease study, China, 1995–2000. *Am J Epidemiol* **160**, 945–960.
- Frazier AL & Rosenberg SM (2013) Preadolescent and adolescent risk factors for benign breast disease. *J Adolesc Health* **52**, 36–40.



18. Wu AH, Mimi CY, Tseng C-C, *et al.* (2009) Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk in Asian American women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **89**, 1145–1154.
19. Buck K, Vrieling A, Flesch-Janys D, *et al.* (2011) Dietary patterns and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer in a German case–control study. *Cancer Causes Control* **22**, 273–282.
20. Edefonti V, Randi G, La Vecchia C, *et al.* (2009) Dietary patterns and breast cancer: a review with focus on methodological issues. *Nutr Rev* **67**, 297–314.
21. Agurs-Collins T, Rosenberg L, Makambi K, *et al.* (2009) Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk in women participating in the Black Women's Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **90**, 621–628.
22. Fung TT, Hu FB, Holmes MD, *et al.* (2005) Dietary patterns and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* **116**, 116–121.
23. Männistö S, Dixon LB, Balder HF, *et al.* (2005) Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk: results from three cohort studies in the DIETSCAN project. *Cancer Causes Control* **16**, 725–733.
24. Sieri S, Krogh V, Pala V, *et al.* (2004) Dietary patterns and risk of breast cancer in the ORDET cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **13**, 567–572.
25. Cottet V, Touvier M, Fournier A, *et al.* (2009) Postmenopausal breast cancer risk and dietary patterns in the E3N-EPIC prospective cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* **170**, 1257–1267.
26. Moghaddam MHB, Aghdam FB, Jafarabadi MA, *et al.* (2012) The Iranian Version of International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) in Iran: content and construct validity, factor structure, internal consistency and stability. *World Appl Sci* **18**, 1073–1080.
27. Mirmiran P, Hosseini Esfahani F, Mehrabi Y, *et al.* (2010) Reliability and relative validity of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Public Health Nutr* **13**, 654–662.
28. Ghafarpour M, Houshiar-Rad A & Kianfar H (1999) *The Manual for Household Measures, Cooking Yields Factors and Edible Portion of Foods*, p. 49. Tehran: Nashre Olume Keshavarzy. [In Persian]
29. Weisburger J (1999) Mechanisms of action of antioxidants as exemplified in vegetables, tomatoes and tea. *Food Chem Toxicol* **37**, 943–948.
30. Cohen L (1998) Dietary fiber and breast cancer. *Anticancer Res* **19**, 3685–3688.
31. Goldin BR, Woods MN, Spiegelman DL, *et al.* (1994) The effect of dietary fat and fiber on serum estrogen concentrations in premenopausal women under controlled dietary conditions. *Cancer* **74**, 1125–1131.
32. Ros E (2010) Health benefits of nut consumption. *Nutrients* **2**, 652–682.
33. Heuvel JPV, Belda BJ, Hannon DB, *et al.* (2012) Mechanistic examination of walnuts in prevention of breast cancer. *Nutr Cancer* **64**, 1078–1086.
34. Ghassemi H, Harrison G & Mohammad K (2002) An accelerated nutrition transition in Iran. *Public Health Nutr* **5**, 149–155.
35. Galal O (2002) Nutrition-related health patterns in the Middle East. *Asia Pacific J Clin Nutr* **12**, 337–343.
36. Ruder EH, Dorgan JF, Kranz S, *et al.* (2008) Examining breast cancer growth and lifestyle risk factors: early life, childhood, and adolescence. *Clin Breast Cancer* **8**, 334–342.
37. Rohan TE, Jain MG, Howe GR, *et al.* (2000) Dietary folate consumption and breast cancer risk. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **92**, 266–269.
38. Lakhani SR (1999) The transition from hyperplasia to invasive carcinoma of the breast. *J Pathol* **187**, 272–278.
39. Kerver JM, Yang EJ, Bianchi L, *et al.* (2003) Dietary patterns associated with risk factors for cardiovascular disease in healthy US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* **78**, 1103–1110.