Effects of meloxicam (Metacam®) on post-farrowing sow behaviour and piglet performance
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Farrowing is an intrinsically risky process for both the sow and the piglets that can cause welfare and economic problems. The effects of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug meloxicam on post-farrowing behaviour of sows, and the performance of piglets were investigated. A total of 48 sows were randomly allocated at the day of farrowing (day 0) into two homogeneous groups regarding parity, and treated with either meloxicam or saline solution as placebo. For each sow, number of position changes, total time lying and standing or sitting, feed intake and rectal temperature (RT) were recorded during 3 days after farrowing. Piglets were individually weighed at farrowing and at weaning. The number of position changes did not show significant differences between treatments \(P = 0.79\). Sows spent significantly less time lying during day 13 after farrowing in the meloxicam group than in the placebo group \(P = 0.04\). Feed intake and RT showed a parity effect \((P < 0.001 \text{ in both cases})\); however, no treatment effect was observed \((P = 0.67 \text{ and } P = 0.47, \text{ respectively})\). Pre-weaning mortality rate in piglets was not affected by treatment. In litters from multiparous sows, piglets of low birth weight (defined as percentile 15: BW, 1180 g) had an average daily gain significantly higher in the meloxicam group than in the placebo group \((196.6 \pm 7.2 \text{ v. } 166.6 \pm 9.1 \text{ g/day}; P = 0.03)\). Although the administration of meloxicam 90 min after farrowing showed a positive effect on the total time lying of the sows, additional investigations are required to better qualify relevant indicators of pain following farrowing in sows and to specify the analgesic effects of meloxicam on piglet performance.
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Implications

In Europe, meloxicam is licensed in adult pigs for the treatment of non-infectious locomotor disorders and for the relief of post-operative pain associated with minor soft tissue surgery such as castration. In addition, meloxicam is licensed in sows as adjunctive therapy for the treatment of puerperal septicaemia and toxaemia (mastitis–metritis–agalactia syndrome). This investigation studied the effects of a meloxicam administration in sows after farrowing. The underlying rationale was that by alleviating inflammation and pain after farrowing, the period of discomfort in sows could be reduced, thus improving piglet survival and growth. Although more studies are required, meloxicam treatment after farrowing could improve the well-being of the sows.

Introduction

The day before farrowing, sows in farrowing crates appear uneasy and restless and show behaviours similar to the nesting activity described in sows in natural environments (Cronin et al., 1994). During the day of farrowing, an important feature is the time spent lying in the same position throughout the birth of the whole litter (Fraser, 1984). With the sow lying quietly, piglets may have ready access to the udder for warmth and nutrition during their very early life (Fraser, 1984). On the day following farrowing, confined sows spend 89 ± 3% of their time lying down and this percentage slightly decreases during lactation to 78 ± 3% on day 20 (Hötzel et al., 2004). The reduction in activity after farrowing is restored sooner in free gilts than in tethered ones (Lammers and De Lange, 1986). Valros et al. (2003) reported that a high activity level (in terms of total time standing) on day 3 of lactation might be a positive maternal characteristic of sows.

Moreover, the frequency of position changes markedly decreases after farrowing. For instance, sows allocated in farrowing crates without enrichment material performed 31.5 ± 3.5 position changes per day during the 3 days after farrowing (Mainau et al., 2009).

Maternal behaviour, which includes the movements of the sows, affects piglet mortality, as crushing by the sow is the
first cause of piglet deaths (Fraser, 1990; Marchant et al., 2001), occurring mainly during the first 24 h after farrowing (Edwards, 2002; Damm et al., 2005).

In addition, savaging gilts are characterised by increased time spent lying ventrally, increased posture changes, increased walking and a higher responsiveness index (Ahlström et al., 2002). In the latter cases, the number of piglets weaned per sow, per year, which is the core economic parameter in pig production (Krieter et al., 2009), dramatically drops causing significant economic losses. The incidence of pre-weaning piglet deaths shows a large variability between studies, although 10% to 15% is accepted as an average figure in sows housed in farrowing crates (Marchant et al., 2000).

Lammers and De Lange (1986) suggested that in tethered gilts, individual differences in maternal behaviour may indicate that in the same housing conditions, some individuals suffer more than the others. For instance, activity level (as total time standing or walking) and the frequency of standing-to-lying events are individually stable characteristics, because some sows are generally more active and/or restless than others (Valros et al., 2003).

Pain caused by parturition is a welfare problem and may substantially modify the normal behaviour of sows during and after parturition. Prolonged or difficult farrowings are associated with increased offspring mortality in pigs (Alonso-Spilsbury et al., 2005). A proper selection criterion such as the number of piglets born alive, instead of the number of piglet born in total, appears to be a good way to limit the negative side effects on stillborn (Canario et al., 2006), and consequently reduce potential welfare implications for the mother and piglets.

Pain assessment in animals has tended to use one of the three following approaches: measures of general body functioning, measures of physiological response and measures of behaviour (Weary et al., 2006). Several studies used the combination of these three indicators in order to study the pain recovery associated to a painful process (e.g. Bussières et al., 2008). Although normal deliveries show changes in the three indicators of pain, dystocic deliveries show the most marked differences. For instance, in relation to measures of general body functioning, a reduction in food intake is commonly seen in dams around parturition; however, markedly than normal changes in dry matter intake during the periparturient has been used as to identify sows at risk of post-partum complications (Drackley, 1999; Grummer et al., 2004). As for physiological indicators, during the period around parturition, sows commonly had increased cardiac frequency, respiratory rates and rectal temperature (RT; Noakes, 2001). Despite this, higher values than 39.5°C are indicative of fever or pyrexia around farrowing (Österlundh et al., 2002), and could indicate more discomfort and a slow recovery after farrowing. Finally, in relation to behaviour indicators, the increased restlessness before farrowing is a part of the normal farrowing situation; however, it has been suggested that may be due to discomfort in the crate (Damm et al., 2002). For instance, sow posture (lying down) and sow activity (lower number of position changes) during the day before and the day of farrowing appear to be important ease of farrowing indicators (Mainau et al., 2010).

It is known that one of the essential components of good welfare is not only the recognition of pain but also the control of pain (FAWC, 1992). In simple terms, therapy based on steroids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) will primarily control pain by reducing inflammation and swelling (Short, 1998). The use of corticosteroids may not be appropriate because of their immunosuppressive effects (Bishop, 1998). In addition, corticosteroid injections during lactation have been shown to adversely affect sow milk yield, leading to a reduction in the suckling piglet growth (Bishop, 1998). Regarding NSAIDs, a study conducted in rats and mice (Engelhardt et al., 1996) found that the therapeutic index of meloxicam is higher than that of other NSAIDs, including piroxicam, diclofenac and indomethacin. Meloxicam, an NSAID of the oxicam class, acts by inhibiting prostaglandin synthesis (Engelhardt and Trummlitz, 1990) and inducible cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2; Engelhardt, 1996), thereby exerting anti-inflammatory, antitoxic, antiexudative, analgesic and antipyretic effects (Friton et al., 2006). Meloxicam is used for non-infectious locomotor disorders in pigs, by reducing the signs of lameness and inflammation (Friton et al., 2003), the porcine respiratory disease complex in growing pigs (Georgoulakis et al., 2006) and for the relief of post-operative pain associated with minor soft tissue surgery such as castration (Keita et al., 2010). In sows, meloxicam is used as an adjunctive therapy in the treatment of mastitis–metritis–agalactia syndrome (MMA) with appropriate antibiotic drugs (Hirsch et al., 2003).

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of meloxicam on post-farrowing behaviour of the sows and the performance of piglets. We hypothesised that by alleviating the inflammation and pain of the sows after farrowing, the period of discomfort could be reduced and sows could recover their normal activity and behaviour sooner so that piglet survival and growth would be improved.

**Material and methods**

**Animals, housing, feeding and general management**

A total of 48 hybrid (Large White × Landrace) sows (24 primiparous sows and 24 multiparous sows in their third and fourth parity) were randomly selected on a commercial farm 1 week before the expected farrowing date from June to October 2007. The study was interrupted during August in order to avoid high environmental temperatures. Sows were divided into six different replicates with twelve sows per replicate (six primiparous sows and six multiparous sows). All sows in each replicate were inseminated on the same day. Sows that farrowed at night, sows that showed signs of lesions, illness or lameness and sows that had a body condition score (BCS) lesser than 2 were not included in the study. The BCS was visually determined according to a scale of 1 (very thin) to 5 (obese) points. On day 109 of gestation, sows were moved to the farrowing room. Farrowing pens were 2.40 × 1.80 m with fully metal slatted floors for sows and plastic slatted floor for piglets with a metal heat pad.
at 36°C for the piglets during their first week of life. Each pen contained a centrally positioned farrowing crate, 1.95 m in length and 0.60 m in width, and built with steel bars. The temperature in the farrowing room was kept constant approximately 20°C and light was on 24 h/day. Sows were fed three times a day (at 0700, 1300 and 1700 h), except on the day of farrowing. The food was a standard gestation concentrated diet with 12.25 MJ metabolisable energy, 143 g CP, 90 g crude fat, 80 g crude fibre and 63 g ash/kg fresh matter. During the days before farrowing, sows were offered 2.7 kg/day (900 g in each meal). After farrowing, the quantity of food offered was increased to 450 g/day (150 g in each meal) only when the sows consumed it completely. Water was available ad libitum from drinkers. On day 113 of gestation at 0700 h, farrowing was hormonally induced (0.7 ml PGF2α-sintetic; SincrocelivenRR®, Iven SA, Madrid, Spain). Treatments and manual intervention during farrowing were very consistent. If the interval between two piglets exceeded 50 min, manual intervention was provided and sows were treated with 1 ml of oxytocin (Oxipurat-Vall®, Mevet SA, Lleida, Spain) intramuscularly (IM) in the neck. As recommended by Cassar et al. (2005), oxytocin treatments were performed only after evaluation of the dilatation of the cervix. When the cervical canal was not sufficiently dilated, sows were treated with 200 mg of vetrabutine hydrochloride (Monzal®, Boehringer Ingelheim España, S.A., Barcelona, Spain) IM in the neck. Litter size was standardised to 12 to 13 piglets, depending on their availability, by cross-fostering within 8 h post-farrowing. Piglets were given iron (200 mg IM; Ferrovall®, Mevet SA, Lleida, Spain), amoxicillin (75 mg IM; Vetrimoxin®, Ceva SA, Barcelona, Spain) and toltrazuril (100 mg oral; Baycox®, Bayer Schering SA, Berlin, Germany) on day +2. At the same time, tail docking was performed. Creep feeding was given to piglets from day +7. Piglets were weaned at 21 ± 0.1 days with an average weight of 5815.8 ± 45.3 g.

**Experimental procedure and data collection**

In each replicate, sows were randomly allocated into two homogeneous groups regarding parity and treated with either meloxicam (Metacam® 20 mg/ml Solution for Injection; Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica GmbH, Ingelheim, Rhein, Germany) IM, 0.4 mg/kg birth weight (BW) or saline solution as placebo. Meloxicam or placebo was administered on average 90 min after the birth of the last piglet.

For each sow, the number of position changes (defined as the number of postural changes from lying down to standing up or sitting position and vice versa) and the total time lying and standing or sitting were recorded continuously for 1 day before and 3 days after farrowing using automatic sensors (Standing Lying Sensors; Mainau et al., 2009).

The duration of farrowing and the condition of piglets at birth (live, stillborn or mummified piglets) were registered by direct observation. The duration of farrowing was defined as the time period (in minutes) between the birth of the first and last piglet, including piglets born alive and stillborn, as well as mummified foetuses.

**RT was measured 90 min after farrowing (just before meloxicam or placebo treatment) and during 3 days after farrowing twice a day (at 1000 and at 1800 h).**

Food was considered as having been consumed if the feeder was empty 1 h after every meal. Food was calculated as the percentage of meals with full intake. Feed intake was recorded three times a day (at 0800, 1400 and 1800 h) for 1 day before and 3 days after farrowing.

A total of 545 piglets were individually weighed at farrowing and at weaning. The average daily gain (ADG) of each piglet was calculated according to lactation days (from 19 to 24 days). Piglet mortality was recorded during the entire lactation period.

**Statistical analysis**

All the statistical analyses were carried out with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS V9.1; software SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC; 1991 to 2001). The significance level was established at $P < 0.05$. Normality test of data and residuals were performed for every variable evaluated.

In order to test whether treatment groups were well balanced, some variables during the day before (day −1) and the day of farrowing (day 0) were analysed. Variables that were normally distributed (total number born per litter, total number born alive per litter, total duration of farrowing, RT 90 min after farrowing and piglet weight at birth) were analysed using the GLM procedure. Variables that were not normally distributed (parity, total number of stillborns per litter, total number of mummified foetuses per litter, total number of cross-fostered piglets per litter, percentage of piglets born with manual intervention, total time lying on day −1, number of position changes on day −1 and percentage of meals with full intake on day −1) were analysed using the GENMOD procedure. The models included the fixed effects of treatment (meloxicam v. placebo), parity (primiparous v. multiparous) and treatment by parity interaction.

In order to test the effect of parity and meloxicam on dependent variables after farrowing, for variables that were normally distributed (RT and ADG), the GLM procedure was applied and the Student–Newman–Keuls test was used to establish differences between the least-square means (LSMEANS) of fixed effects. For variables that were not normally distributed (number of position changes, total time lying down, % of meals with full intake and mortality rate of piglets) the GENMOD procedure was used. The LSMEANS adjusted to Bonferroni’s honestly significance difference was used as a test of comparisons. In these models, the fixed effects were parity (primiparous v. multiparous), treatment (placebo v. meloxicam) and their interaction, and data were analysed by day. In the feed intake model, the number of the meal effect (first, second or third meal of the day) also had been introduced as fixed effect. Moreover, sow was the experimental unit and replicate (from 1 to 6) was specified as a random effect.

In the RT model, RT at 90 min after the birth of the last piglet was considered as a covariable, because treated groups were not balanced in relation to this variable.
Meloxicam effect on post-farrowing sow behaviour

Results

For most behaviour and performance variables recorded before farrowing, both treatment groups (meloxicam v. placebo) were comparable at the start of the experimental procedure. However, at the time of drug administration, a group by parity interaction effect \( (P = 0.04) \) was observed regarding RT. Gilts in the meloxicam group had a higher RT 90 min after farrowing than multiparous sows \( (P = 0.04) \). Moreover, a parity effect was observed on the total number of piglets born per litter and the total number of piglets born alive per litter. Multiparous sows had a higher total number of piglets born per litter and piglets born alive per litter \( (P < 0.01 \text{ and } P < 0.001, \text{ respectively}; \text{ Table } 1) \).

Observations of sows

Number of position changes and total time lying down. The number of position changes did not show significant differences according to parity during the puerperal period. Total time spent lying down showed a parity effect on day 0 and day +1 \( (P < 0.0001 \text{ and } P = 0.02, \text{ respectively}) \), as the 2 days primiparous sows spent less time lying down than multiparous sows. Although the actual number of position changes did not show significant differences in relation to treatment, sows spent less time lying down on day +3 after farrowing in the meloxicam group compared with the placebo group \( (P = 0.04; \text{ Table } 2) \).

Feed intake. Feed intake showed a parity effect on day +1, +2 and +3 \( (P < 0.001) \) as multiparous sows consumed more number of meals than primiparous sows (67.1% v. 32.9% of meals with full intake). Feed intake showed a meal effect \( (P = 0.01) \). The first meal of each day was more frequently consumed than the second and the third meal (14.1% v. 10.3% and 8.9% of meals with full intake, respectively). No significant treatment effect was observed on day +1, +2 or +3 \( (P = 0.47, P = 0.41 \text{ and } P = 0.30, \text{ respectively}) \).

RT. A parity effect was shown in RT on day +2 and day +3 \( (P < 0.001 \text{ and } P < 0.0001) \) because primiparous sows had a higher RT on day +2 and day +3 after farrowing than multiparous sows. No significant treatment effect was observed \( (P = 0.67; \text{ Figure 1}) \).

Piglet performance

Mortality rate of piglets at weaning. Out of 648 piglets born, 586 were born alive (90.4%), 29 were stillborn (4.4%) and 33 were mummified foetuses (5.1%). After the cross-fostering process, a total of 579 piglets were studied during the lactation period. During the lactation period, 6.2% (18 of 291) and 5.6% (16 of 288) of piglets died in the meloxicam and placebo group, respectively \( (P = 0.62) \). The mortality rate was 7.6% (22 of 289) and 4.1% (12 of 290) in multiparous and primiparous sows, respectively \( (P = 0.11) \). During the 1st week after farrowing, 67.7% of deaths occurred, with 2.9% of piglets dying on the day of farrowing, 17.7% on day +1, 14.7% on day +2 and 11.8% on day +3. Finally, mortality during the 2nd and 3rd week after farrowing accounted for the 23.5% and 8.8% of the total, respectively.

ADG. Fostered piglets had a mean BW of 1502 ± 12.7 g, and only 26 piglets (4.5%) weighed less than 1 kg. ADG from birth to weaning did not show any treatment \( (P = 0.34) \) or parity interaction \( (P = 0.06) \) effect. A parity effect was, however, observed in those piglets nursed by multiparous sows had both greater body weight at weaning (5666.1 ± 53.6 v. 5971.6 ± 72.6 g; \( P < 0.001 \)) and ADG.

Table 1 Behaviour and performance of pre-farrowing variables (mean ± s.e.) regarding treatments (placebo v. meloxicam) and parity (primiparous v. multiparous) effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Placebo group</th>
<th>Meloxicam group</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>Primiparous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number born per litter</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.9b</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.5α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number born alive per litter</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.8b</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.5α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number stillborn per litter</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number mummification foetuses per litter</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number ‘cross-fostered’ per litter</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration of farrowing (min)</td>
<td>212.2 ± 30.3</td>
<td>234.8 ± 24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piglets born with manual intervention (%)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal temperature 90 min post-farrowing (°C)</td>
<td>39.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>39.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time lying down –1 (h)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>23.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of position changes –1</td>
<td>131.6 ± 16.6</td>
<td>117.8 ± 13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piglet weight at birth (g)</td>
<td>1518.2 ± 54.2</td>
<td>1487.5 ± 73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals with full intake –1 (%)</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscripts indicate significant differences \( (P < 0.05) \).
Table 2  Number of position changes and total time lying down per day in hours (mean ± s.e.) during the puerperal period regarding treatments (placebo v. meloxicam) and parity (primiparous v. multiparous) effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo group</th>
<th>Meloxicam group</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>Treatment (T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of position changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>143.3 ± 11.2</td>
<td>105.7 ± 12.0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day +1</td>
<td>21.75 ± 3.2</td>
<td>25.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day +2</td>
<td>26.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>27.6 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day +3</td>
<td>30.9 ± 4.1</td>
<td>41.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time lying down (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>22.3 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>23.3 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day +1</td>
<td>23.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.8 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day +2</td>
<td>23.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day +3</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>23.7 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscripts indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

![Figure 1](https://www.cambridge.org/core/figures/fig1.html)  Rectal temperature (mean ± s.e.) according to parity (primiparous v. multiparous) during days around farrowing. *indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

(213.3 ± 3.1 v. 196.9 ± 2.3 g/day; P < 0.001) than those nursed by primiparous sows. Moreover, in litters from multiparous sows, piglets of low BW (defined as percentile 15: BW < 1180 g) had an ADG significantly higher in the meloxicam group than in the placebo group (196.6 ± 7.2 v. 166.6 ± 9.1 g/day; P = 0.03).

Discussion

Number of position changes and total time lying down

Despite the individual variability in activity levels between sows (Lammers and De Lange, 1986), the number of position changes and total time lying down around farrowing showed similar or slightly higher values than other figures reported elsewhere (e.g. Thodberg et al., 2002; Hötzel et al., 2004; Anderson et al., 2005). In this study, the number of position changes was not affected by parity, but total time lying down was lower in primiparous sows on the farrowing day and on the day after it. Although Jarvis et al. (2001) described a tendency to reduce the number of position changes across parities on day 0, previous studies did not find a parity effect on both activity parameters (e.g. Kelley and Curtis, 1978).

No differences were found between treatment groups in the number of position changes. Haussmann et al. (1999) showed a reduced number of body position changes 48 h after farrowing in sows treated with butorphanol and hypothesised that this may lead to a decrease in crushing rates. Although butorphanol and meloxicam have analgesic properties, butorphanol has a different mechanism of action and effect as compared with meloxicam. Moreover, not only the frequency of postural changes but also the speed and in which movements are performed (Weary et al., 1996) and the protectiveness of the sow, described as an intense and quick responding to stimuli from piglets (Špinka et al., 2000), are important factors to prevent crushing events.

During the initial hours after birth, it has been reported that an optimum maternal behaviour is characterised by passivity and lateral lying (Jarvis et al., 1999). Lateral lying allows the piglets to find and remain near the udder to consume the colostrum. Wischner et al. (2009) reported that non-crushing sows performed significant longer bouts of lateral recumbency than crushing sows on the 1st day after parturition, but not on the second day. In general, as lactation advances, sows appear more active (Harris and Gonyou, 1998; Hötzel et al., 2004), probably indicating the recovery of the sows from the farrowing process. Our results show that meloxicam has an effect on the behaviour of sows, causing an increase in their total time standing on day +3 compared with non-treated sows. It can be suggested that the effect of meloxicam on sow behaviour is a consequence of its analgesic effect, because increased or reduced physical activity may be a consequence of discomfort and pain (Short, 1998). For instance, pigs suffering from acute abdominal pain (e.g. after abdominal surgery) may become passive and apathetic (Dobromylský et al., 2000). In addition, different studies have reported that a high activity level at the beginning of lactation might be a positive maternal characteristic of sows. Valros et al. (2003) showed that sows with low piglet mortality are more active (in terms of total time standing) on day 3 post farrowing than those with high piglet mortality, and these differences are even clearer when sows are compared on the basis of crushing events. Furthermore, Fraser and Phillips (1989) reported that sows...
Feed intake and RT

Results on feed intake and RT showed that the recovery of multiparous sows after farrowing is clearly faster than that of primiparous sows. In agreement with previous studies (e.g. Koketsu et al., 1996), feed intake in multiparous sows is higher than in primiparous sows during lactation. In contrast, Kelley and Curtis (1978) found higher RT in primiparous sows during farrowing, but not from the birth of the last piglet until 3 or 4 days post partum.

Although meloxicam treatment increased feed and water intakes in calves with neonatal calf diarrhoea complex (Todd et al., 2010), and improved feed intake in pigs with non-infectious locomotor disorders (Friton et al., 2003), in comparison with placebo treatment, no effect was found in this study in the appetite of the sows after farrowing. It is, however, difficult to objectivate an increase in feed consumption in sows just after farrowing, especially in primiparous sows. The physical limitation of the gastrointestinal tract after low feeding levels during gestation or the high parous sows. The physical limitation of the gastrointestinal tract after low feeding levels during gestation or the high environmental temperatures in the farrowing room are some of the important factors that reduce voluntary feed intake in sows after farrowing (Eissen et al., 2000).

The elevated (higher than normal) body temperature that persists during the first few days after farrowing is partly associated with normal physiology (King et al., 1972). On the basis of previous studies, the normal temperature during the periparturient period is below 39.5°C (Österlundh et al., 2002), and higher values are indicative of fever or pyrexia. Meloxicam has an antipyretic effect and is only effective against pyrogen-induced fever (Engelhardt, 1996). As only a few sows had showed fever during a very short period, it is probably not warranted to expect a significant effect of meloxicam on body temperature during the 3 days after farrowing.

In addition, in our study, meloxicam was injected only after farrowing, when the inflammatory process was already established, and this delay may have reduced its potential effects. Meloxicam was injected after farrowing because it may inhibit prostaglandin production, and injecting meloxicam before farrowing may therefore result in prolonged parturition (Taverne, 1992; Rao and Knaus, 2008). In consequence, if meloxicam had been injected before parturition, an increase of the total duration of farrowing might have been expected. Total duration and birth interval appear to be important indicators of farrowing ease, because the prolonged farrowings are associated to be more difficult (Mainau et al., 2010).

Mortality rate of piglets at weaning and ADG

The percentage of stillborn piglets in our study is similar to other figures reported in the literature for commercial pig farms despite differences in breed, litter size and farm management during farrowing (e.g. van der Lende et al., 2001; Leenhouwers et al., 2003; Borges et al., 2005). However, a higher percentage of mummified foetuses was found, and this could be due to the large litter size of the sows in this study (Borges et al., 2005).

The mortality rate of piglets during the lactation period (5.9%) is lower than the percentage reported in other studies (over 10%; Alonso-Spilsbury et al., 2007). Different reasons may explain this result, such as different environmental conditions in the farrowing room, differences in farm management or a higher BW of piglets in comparison with other studies. For instance, in relation to farm management, Deen and Bilkei (2004) showed that in large litters (n = 12), the mortality of low BW piglets was significantly lower when they were grouped with average BW piglets than with high BW piglets. Therefore, the fostering method used in our study may have reduced piglet mortality as compared with other studies. In relation to BW of piglets, piglets weighing less than 1 kg at birth had a very little chance of being alive at weaning (Quiniou et al., 2002) and in our study, only 26 piglets had a BW less than 1 kg.

No differences were found between treatment groups in relation to piglet mortality at weaning. Hirsch et al. (2003), testing the efficacy of meloxicam in sows with MMA, found that fewer piglets of diseased litters died in the meloxicam group (14%) as compared with the flunixin group (31.7%). In our investigation, the incidence of MMA in the farm enrolled was low, as was the rate of pre-weaning deaths.

The distribution of pre-weaning deaths across days was as reported by previous studies. The majority of deaths occurred during the first 3 days after birth, with the 24 h after birth being the most critical period (Barnett et al., 2001). Other authors observed that the majority of piglet deaths occurred in the first 2 days of lactation across several types of housing (English and Smith, 1975).

Although the causes of piglet deaths were not determined by necropsy in this study, several authors agree that the most common causes of mortality before weaning is crushing by the sow, starvation and the combination of these (Alonso-Spilsbury et al., 2007). In consequence, the behaviour of sows during lactation is crucial for the survival and growth of the piglets.

Piglet weights at birth and at weaning and ADG in this study are similar (Johansen et al., 2004) or slightly higher (Rozeboom et al., 1996; Quiniou et al., 2002; Deen and Bilkei, 2004) than values reported in other studies. Moreover, fostering strategies could lead not only to lower pre-weaning mortality, but also to higher daily gain in litters with similar BW (Deen and Bilkei, 2004). As reported in previous studies (Coffey et al., 1994; Yang et al., 2009), greater litter weight at weaning and higher ADG were observed in litters nursed by multiparous sows as compared with those nursed by primiparous ones.

In multiparous sows, piglets of low BW (less than 1180 g) had a greater ADG in the meloxicam group than in the placebo group (14%) as compared with the flunixin group (31.7%). Different reasons may explain this result, such as different environmental conditions in the farrowing room, differences in farm management or a higher BW of piglets in comparison with other studies.
group. Although this could be attributed to the decrease of total time lying down on day +3 as previously explained, it remains unclear why this effect was only found in multiparous sows and only in one of the percentiles studied. Clearly, more specific investigations are required to completely understand how meloxicam could improve performance of the smallest piglets in multiparous sows.

Conclusions

Meloxicam injected 90 min after farrowing has a positive effect on the total time lying of the sow. Additional investigations are required to qualify better relevant indicators of pain following farrowing in sows and to determine the analgesic effects of meloxicam on both sows and piglets.
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