Plant protein influence on appetite and food intake in healthy subjects
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Both the World Health Organisation (WHO) and World Cancer Research Fund UK (WCRFUK) promote increasing the population’s consumption of legumes and pulses alongside reduction in red meat, for preventative nutrition in gut health1,2. There is growing interest in the implementation of a plant-based diet, particularly in the context of high protein diets for appetite control3. Recent short-term meal feeding studies highlight the role for vegetable protein in influencing satiety4, through dietary fibre and protein effects. However, there is a paucity of data on appetite control to compare plant proteins.

One of the main aims of this dietary intervention study was to evaluate motivation to eat, assessed as a within-subject design during a controlled diet trial. We recruited 20 healthy subjects (6 male, 14 female) age 23–63 yr with BMI 19.3–38.9 kg/m² to participate in a randomised, cross-over human dietary intervention study. Ethics was obtained from The Rowett Institute Research Committee and volunteers provided written informed consent. Subjects recorded habitual intake by weighed food diary for the initial baseline week of the study. The plant diets were buckwheat and fava bean vegetarian diets, with all food provided for the 7 day dietary intervention period and there was a 1 week wash out between treatments. Participants recorded motivation to eat during waking hours with a subjectively reported paper visual analogue scale (VAS) with questions to assess hunger, fullness, prospective consumption and desire to eat. Appetite was assessed by combining 4 questions ‘How hungry do you feel?’, ‘How full do you feel?’, ‘How strong is your desire to eat?’, and ‘How much do you think you could eat now?’. Post-meal VAS indicated satiety and pleasantness of the meals. The formula ‘(desire to eat + hunger + (100- fullness) + prospective consumption)/4’ was used to calculate average appetite score for each diet5.

Based on the habitual food diaries, volunteers consumed 22.9±5.6 g fibre prior to the intervention diets. This significantly increased to 44.8±6.3 g and 37.1±5.7 g respectively, during the fava and buckwheat diets (p < 0.001). ANOVA confirmed that there was no significant effect of diet type on motivation to eat or appetite, relative to baseline diets (see Figure 1). Furthermore, there was no significant difference between the two intervention diets on appetite.

These data confirm that plant protein, consumed as buckwheat and fava bean rich diets, can easily be incorporated into the diet in the short term to maintain protein and fibre intake and diet quality without compromising appetite control.