## THE EXTENDED CENTER OF COPRODUCTS

## BY

WALLACE S. MARTINDALE, 3rd

ABSTRACT. The sole purpose of this paper is to prove that if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are algebras with 1 over a common field F, with each  $(R_i:F)>1$  and at least one  $(R_i:F)>2$ , then the extended center of the coproduct  $R_1 \coprod R_2$  is equal to F.

Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be arbitrary algebras with 1 over a common field F, with each  $(R_i:F)>1$ , and let  $R=R_1 \coprod R_2$  denote the coproduct of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  over F. We fix an F-basis  $\{x_i\} \cup 1$  for  $R_1$  and an F-basis  $\{y_i\} \cup 1$  for  $R_2$ . The various finite products of  $x_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's, in which the  $x_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's alternate, together with 1, will be called monomials; the degree of a monomial is the total number of  $x_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's in it (thus deg 1 = 0). The set  $\{U_k\}$  of all monomials forms an F-basis for R. For  $f = \sum \beta_k U_k \in R$ ,  $\beta_k \in F$ , the support of f consists of all  $U_k$ 's such that  $\beta_k \neq 0$ . The degree of f is the highest degree of any monomial in its support. Every  $f \neq 0$  may be written uniquely as the sum of its homogeneous components  $f = f_n + f_{n-1} + \cdots + f_0$ ,  $f_n \neq 0$ , where all monomials in the support of  $f_i$ have degree i. We shall generally denote the highest degree component of f by  $\hat{f}$  (rather than by  $f_n$ ). For  $0 \neq n$  even we use the suggestive notation  $\hat{f} = f_{xy} + f_{yx}$ , where the monomials in the support of  $f_{xy}$  (resp.  $f_{yx}$ ) begin with an  $x_i$ , (resp.  $y_i$ ) and end with a  $y_i$  (resp.  $x_i$ ). For *n* odd we write  $\hat{f} = f_x + f_y$ , where the monomials in the support of  $f_x$  (resp.  $f_y$ ) begin and end with an  $x_i$  (resp.  $y_i$ ). An element  $f \neq 0$  of degree n > 0 is called pure if for n even  $\hat{f} = f_{xy}$  or  $\hat{f} = fgy_x$  and for n odd  $\hat{f} = f_x$  or  $\hat{f} = f_y$ . A pair (f, g) is called pure interacting if f and g are pure and the monomials of  $\hat{f}$  end in the same letter in which the monomials of  $\hat{g}$  begin. It is clear that for  $f, g \neq 0$ , deg(fg) = deg f + deg g unless (f, g) is a pure interacting pair. It follows in particular that R is always a prime ring (if fg = 0for nonzero f and g then  $fzg \neq 0$  for z approximately chosen as  $x_1$  or  $y_1$ ). For prime rings R in general the notion of the extended center C was introduced in [3]. We refer the reader to that source for the basic construction and properties, emphasizing the key property that for  $\lambda \in C$  there exists a nonzero ideal  $I_{\lambda}$ of R such that  $\lambda a \in R$  for all  $a \in I_{\lambda}$ . It is well known that C is a field containing the ordinary center of R.

Received by the editors June 16, 1980 and in revised form, September 2, 1980. AMS Subject Classifications (1980) 16A12, 16A08.

Key words and Phrases: coproduct; extended center.

xey words and rinases, coproduct, extended ee

June

It is our purpose in the present note to show that if at least one of  $(R_i:F)>2$ then the extended center C of R is F itself. Aside from the feeling that it should be potentially useful to know precisely what the extended center is for certain important classes of prime rings, our result here is specifically needed in a joint paper with Susan Montgomery [4], in which we determine the so-called normal closure of coproducts of domains. First, however, we mention that the determination of C for the case where  $(R_1:F) = (R_2:F) = 2$  follows immediately from [1], p. 26, example 12.2. Here one writes  $R_1 = F[x]$ ,  $x^2 = \alpha x + \gamma$ ,  $R_2 = F[y]$ ,  $y^2 = \beta y + \delta$ , and shows that the center of R is the polynomial ring F[t], where  $t = xy + yx - \alpha y - \beta x$ , and that 1, x, y, xy form an F[t]-basis for R. R is thus a prime PI-ring and so by the sharper version of Posner's Theorem the extended center is simply the field of fractions F(t) of F[t]. We also mention in passing that in this case R is definitely not a primitive ring, whereas in case one of  $(R_i:F)>2$  we have Lichtmann's very pretty result [2] that R is always primitive.

Henceforward we assume at least one of  $(R_i:F)>2$ , which assumption is needed to establish

LEMMA 1. Let g and h be nonzero elements of R such that

(a) gfh = hfg for all  $f \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Then  $\deg g = \deg h$ .

**Proof.** We set  $n = \deg g$ ,  $m = \deg h$ , and first show that without loss of generality we may assume that n is odd and m > 0. Indeed, we observe that property (a) is preserved on replacing g, h by ug, uh for any u. Thus if n is odd but m = 0 we use  $u = x_1y_1 + y_1x_1$  whence by assumption  $n + 2 = \deg(ug) = \deg(uh) = m + 2$ . In case n is even we use  $u = x_1 + y_1$ , whence by assumption  $n + 1 = \deg(ug) = \deg(uh) = m + 1$ . We next show that m must be odd. Indeed, if  $g_x \neq 0$  we take  $f = y_j$ . Then the ()<sub>xy</sub> and ()<sub>yx</sub> terms of (a) respectively give  $g_xy_ih_{xy} = 0$  and  $0 = h_{yx}y_ig_x$ ; and so  $h_{xy} = h_{yx} = 0$ , a contradiction to m even. Therefore we may assume both n and m are odd and, for sake of argument,  $n \ge m+2$ , with  $g_x \ne 0$ . If  $h_x \ne 0$  we replace f by  $y_jx_iy_j$  in (a) to obtain  $g_xy_ix_iy_jh_x = h_xy_ix_iy_jg_x$ . It follows that every monomial in the support of  $g_x$  has  $y_j$  in the m + 1st position and  $x_i$  in the m + 2nd position. But as  $(R_1:F) > 2$  or  $(R_2:F) > 2$  we can get the same result with  $x_{i'}$  in place of  $x_i$   $(i \ne i')$  or  $y_{j'}$  in place of  $y_j$   $(j \ne j')$ , a contradiction. If  $h_x = 0$  then  $h_y \ne 0$  which results in the contradiction  $g_xh_y = 0$ .

LEMMA 2. Let g and h be pure and homogeneous of degree n, let s and t be pure and homogeneous of degree m, and suppose (g, s) and (h, t) are both noninteracting pairs such that gs = ht. Then for some  $\alpha \in F$   $h = \alpha g$  and  $s = \alpha t$ .

**Proof.** Writing  $g = \sum \alpha_i U_i$ ,  $s = \sum \beta_j V_j$ ,  $h = \sum \gamma_k W_k$ ,  $t = \sum \delta_l Z_l$  we have the

1982]

equation

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j U_i V_j = \sum_{k,l} \gamma_k \delta_l W_k Z_l,$$

in which  $\{U_i V_j\}$  are distinct monomials of degree n + m and likewise  $\{W_k Z_l\}$  are distinct monomials of degree n + m. This forces, via a suitable reordering,  $U_i = W_i$  and  $V_j = Z_j$ , and accordingly we may write  $h = \sum \gamma_i U_i$  and  $t = \sum \delta_j V_j$ . Therefore from  $\sum \alpha_i \beta_j U_i V_j = \sum \gamma_i \delta_j U_i V_j$  we have  $\alpha_i \beta_j = \gamma_i \delta_j$  for all *i*, *j*. In other words

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{\beta_j}{\delta_j}$$

for all *i*, *j*, whence  $\alpha$  is a constant, with  $h = \alpha g$  and  $s = \alpha t$ .

We are now in a position to fulfill the purpose of this note.

THEOREM. Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be algebras with 1 over a common field F, with each  $(R_i:F)>1$  and at least one  $(R_i:F)>2$ . Then the extended center C of  $R = R_1 \coprod R_2$  is equal to F.

**Proof.** We remark that the desired conclusion C = F is equivalent to the assertion that any pair of nonzero elements g, h satisfying property (a), namely,

(a) gfh = hfg for all  $f \in R$ ,

must be F-dependent. Let g, h be such a pair. By Lemma 1 we have  $n = \deg g = \deg h$ . We claim that without loss of generality n is odd. Indeed, if n is even we set  $u = x_1 + y_1$  and note that ug, uh are of degree n + 1 and also satisfy (a). By assumption we then have  $uh = \alpha ug$  for some  $\alpha \in F$ , whence  $u(h - \alpha g) = 0$  from which one concludes  $h = \alpha g$ . Our claim is thereby established and so we may assume now that n is odd. We next aim to show that there is  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $\hat{h} = \alpha \hat{g}$ . Indeed, we may assume  $g_x \neq 0$  which forces  $h_x \neq 0$  (since  $h_x = 0$ , with f = 1 in (a), would force the contradiction  $g_x h_y = 0$ ). We set  $f = y_1$  in (a) to obtain  $(g_x y_1)h_x = (h_x y_1)g_x$ . Now by Lemma 2 there is  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $h_x = \alpha g_x$ . If  $g_y = 0$  (and hence  $h_y = 0$ ) we are done. If  $g_y \neq 0$  then the preceding argument shows there is  $\beta \in F$  such that  $h_y = \beta g_y$ . On the other hand, setting f = 1 in (a) we get  $g_x h_y = h_x g_y$ , whence  $g_x (\beta g_y) = (\alpha g_x)g_y$ . It follows that  $\alpha = \beta$  and so  $\hat{h} = \alpha \hat{g}$ . To complete the proof of the theorem we note  $h - \alpha g$ , if not already zero, has smaller degree than g. But it is also clear that the pair g,  $h - \alpha g$  again satisfies (a), thus giving a contradiction to Lemma 1.

COROLLARY. Let  $\{R_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A, (R_{\alpha}:F) > 1, |A| > 1\}$  be a collection of algebras with 1 over a common field F, with either some  $(R_{\alpha}:F) > 2$  or |A| > 2, and let  $R = \coprod_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}$ . Then the extended center of R is equal to F.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We are indebted to the referee for several suggestions toward simplifying and clarifying portions of this paper.

## W. S. MARTINDALE, 3rd

## References

1. G. Bergman, Modules over coproducts of rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 200 (1974), 1-32. 2. A. I. Lichtman, The primitivity of free products of associative algebras, J. Algebra 54 (1978), 153-158.

3. W. S. Martindale, 3rd, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, J. Algebra 12 (1969), 576-584.

4. W. S. Martindale, 3rd and M. S. Montgomery, The normal closure of coproducts of domains, submitted for publication.

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, Amherst, MA 01003