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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the Stefan-type problem with a zone of coexistence of both phases. We formulate the problem in the enthalpy form and show that the interfaces between the liquid and the mushy, the mushy and the solid phase are smooth. Our approach is to study the structures of the level sets of the solution via Sard's Lemma and the implicit function theorem.


1. Introduction. The Stefan problem with mushy regions has been considered by many authors (cf. Fasano [7], Lacey [10], Meirmarov [11], Primicerio [14], Rubinstein [15], etc.). Recently, M. Bertsch et al. [1] formulated the problem as a degenerate parabolic equation and proved the existence and the uniqueness of the solution in a certain weak sense. Moreover, in [2] and [3], the continuity of the interfaces between the liquid, the mushy and the solid phase was obtained by means of the comparison principle. In this paper we shall improve the regularity of the interfaces. More precisely, we consider the one dimensional problem in the enthalpy form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial t} & =u_{x x}+g(u)_{x}+f(u), \quad \text { in } Q_{T},  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0, t) & =f_{1}(t)>0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,  \tag{1.2}\\
u(1, t) & =f_{2}(t)<0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,  \tag{1.3}\\
A(u(x, 0)) & =A\left(u_{0}(x)\right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{T}=(0,1) \times(0, T]$, while

$$
A(u)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{u} a_{1}(\xi) d \xi, & \text { if } u>0, \\ {[0,1],} & \text { if } u=0, \\ \int_{0}^{u} a_{2}(\xi) d \xi-1, & \text { if } u<0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
g(u)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{u} g_{1}(\xi) d \xi, & \text { if } u \geq 0, \\ \int_{0}^{u} g_{2}(\xi) d \xi, & \text { if } u<0 .\end{cases}
$$

The weak solution is defined as in Oleinik [13]:
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DEfinition. A continuous function $u(x, t)$ defined on $\bar{Q}_{T}$ is said to be a weak solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.4) if

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{T}} & {\left[A(u) \psi_{t}+u \psi_{x x}-g(u) \psi_{x}+f(u) \psi\right] d x d t } \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left[f_{2}(t) \psi_{x}(1, t)-f_{1}(t) \psi_{x}(0, t)\right] d t-\int_{0}^{1} A\left(u_{0}(x)\right) \psi(x, 0) d x \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for any test function

$$
\psi(x, t) \in X=\left\{\psi \in C^{2,1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right): \psi(0, t)=\psi(1, t)=\psi(x, T)=0\right\}
$$

In the above system, $u(x, t)$ represents the temperature. The fundamental constitutive assumption is that the phase change will take place whenever $u(x, t)$ reaches the melting temperature $(u(x, t)=0)$. Assume that $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{T}^{-} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u(x, t)>0\right\} ; \\
M_{T} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u(x, t)=0\right\} ; \\
Q_{T}^{+} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u(x, t)<0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Physically, $Q_{T}^{-}, M_{T}$ and $Q_{T}^{+}$are corresponding to the liquid, mushy and the solid phase, respectively. If $M_{T}$ is composed of the graph of a smooth curve $x=s(t)$, it is easy to verify that $(u(x, t), s(t))$ is the unique classical solution of the two-phase Stefan problem in one space dimension. Because of the effect of the heat source, the interior set $M_{T}^{0}$ may not be empty even though no mush exists at the initial moment (cf. [1]-[3]). Our main interest is to investigate the regularity property of the interfaces. We first use the argument developed in [5] (also see [4] and [16]) to establish the Lipschitz continuity of the interfaces. Then we show that the interfaces are smooth. For the several space dimension, the Lipschtiz continuity of the interface was established under the monotonicity conditions on the known data (cf. [12]).
2. Assumptions and the main results. We shall assume the following basic conditions:
$\mathrm{H}(1) a_{i}(\xi), g_{i}(\xi) \in C^{3}\left(R^{1}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $a_{0}$ such that $a_{i}(\xi)>a_{0}$ for all $\xi \in R^{1}, i=1,2$. Moreover, $f(0)=0$ and $f(u)$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous.
$\mathrm{H}(2) f_{i}(t) \in C^{2}[0, T]$ with $f_{1}(t)>0$ and $f_{2}(t)<0$ for all $t \in[0, T] . u_{0}(x) \in C^{4}[0,1]$ with the property $u_{0}(x)>0$ on $[0, a), u_{0}(x)=0$ on $[a, b]$ and $u_{0}(x)<0$ on $(b, 1]$, where $0<a \leq b<1$. Moreover, $u_{0}^{\prime}(a)<0$ and $u_{0}{ }^{\prime}(b)<0$. The consistency condition holds

$$
f_{1}(0)=u_{0}(0), \quad f_{2}(0)=u_{0}(1) .
$$

Remark. If $a<b$, this means that there exist three states at the initial moment.
We should point out that the condition $f(0)=0$ is crucial. This guarantees that no superheating or supercooling exists in the system. The example in [3] has been constructed with $f=8$ that the interface is not continuous. Our main result is

Theorem 2.1. Under the assumptions $H(1)-H(2)$, there exist two Lipschitz continuous curves $x=s_{1}(t)$ and $x=s_{2}(t)$ with $0<s_{1}(t) \leq s_{2}(t)<1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{T}^{-} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: 0 \leq x<s_{1}(t)\right\} ; \\
M_{T} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: s_{1}(t)<x<s_{2}(t)\right\} ; \\
Q_{T}^{+} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: s_{2}(t)<x \leq 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $s_{1}(t)$ and $s_{2}(t)$ belong to $C^{\infty}(0, T]$ if $g_{i}(\xi)$ and $f(\xi)$ are smooth, $(i=1,2)$.
If we assume that $s_{1}(t), s_{2}(t), u(x, t)$ and $A(u(x, t))$ are smooth, then we have the following parabolic-hyperbolic system:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{1}(u) u_{t}=u_{x x}+g_{1}(u) u_{x}+f(u), \quad Q_{T}^{-} \\
a_{2}(u) u_{t}=u_{x x}+g_{2}(u) u_{x}+f(u), \quad Q_{T}^{+} \\
A(u(x, t))_{t}=f(u(x, t)), \quad(x, t) \in M_{T}^{0}, \\
u(0, t)=f_{1}(t)>0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
u(1, t)=f_{2}(t)<0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
A(u(x, 0))=A\left(u_{0}(x)\right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
u\left(s_{1}(t), t\right)=u\left(s_{2}(t), t\right)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
A\left(u\left(s_{1}(t)+, t\right)\right) \dot{s}_{1}(t)=u_{x}\left(s_{1}(t)-, t\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
A\left(u\left(s_{2}(t)-, t\right)\right) \dot{s}_{2}(t)=u_{x}\left(s_{2}(t)+, t\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
s_{1}(0)=a, s_{2}(0)=b . \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

Conversely, if $s_{1}(t), s_{2}(t), u(x, t)$ and $A(u(x, t))$ satisfy the equations (2.1)-(2.10), we can see by performing the integration by parts that $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution. However, the equations (2.3), (2.8)-(2.9) are only formal since $A(u(x, t))$ is actually not continuous on $M_{T}$. But we have

Corollary. If $f(u) \equiv 0$ and $a=b$, then $s_{1}(t)=s_{2}(t)$ and is smooth. Thus, the Stefan problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique classical solution globally.

If we consider the periodic problem and assume that $f_{1}(t)$ and $f_{2}(t)$ are periodic with the period $T$ without the initial condition (1.4), then we have (cf. [16])

THEOREM 2.2. There exist two smooth periodic curves $x=s_{1}(t)$ and $x=s_{2}(t)$ with the period $T$ such that the result of Theorem 2.1 holds under the conditions $H(1)-H(2)$ and the additional restriction $f^{\prime}(u) \leq 0$.
3. The Proof. Since we need to analyze the structures of level sets of both the weak and the approximate solutions, we give the construction of the approximation solution. For simplicity, we assume that $f(u)$ is smooth. Construct the following approximate problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A_{n}(u)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial g_{n}(u)}{\partial x}+f(u) \quad \text { in } Q_{T} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
u(0, t) & =f_{1}(t), \quad t \in[0, T],  \tag{3.2}\\
u(1, t) & =f_{2}(t), \quad t \in[0, T],  \tag{3.3}\\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0 n}(x), \tag{3.4}
\end{align*} \quad x \in[0, T],
$$

where $A_{n}(\xi)$ and $g_{n}(\xi) \in C^{3}\left(R^{1}\right)$ and satisfy :
(i) $A_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)>a_{0} / 2>0$,
(ii) $A_{n}(\xi)=A(\xi)$ if $|\xi| \geq \frac{1}{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$,
(iii) $A_{n}(\xi), g_{n}(\xi) \rightarrow A(\xi), g(\xi)$ in $L^{2}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$,
while that $u_{0 n}$ is constructed such that
(i) $u_{0 n}(x) \in C^{4}[0, T]$ is uniformly convergent to $u_{0}(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
(ii) $u_{0 n}^{\prime}(x) \leq d(n)<0$ on $\left[a-\delta_{0}, b+\delta_{0}\right]$, and $\frac{\left.u_{0 n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\&_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{0 n}(x)\right)\right)_{0 n}^{\prime}(x)+f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{u_{0 n}^{\prime}(x)}$ is uniformly bounded on $\left[a-\frac{1}{n}, b+\frac{1}{n}\right]$
(iii) the consistency conditions up to the fourth order hold at $(0,0)$ and $(1,0)$, with a small constant $\delta_{0}>0$ and a negative number $d(n)$ which depends on $n$.

The property (ii) of $u_{0 n}$ can be done due to the assumption $\mathrm{H}(2)$. By the theory of parabolic equations, there exists a unique solution $u_{n}(x, t) \in C^{4,2}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$. The following result can be established by the analogous argument as [16] without the essential difficulty, we do not repeat it here.

LEMMA 3.1. There exists a unique weak solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.4) under the hypotheses $H(1)$ and $H(2)$. Moreover,

$$
u(x, t) \in C^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right) \cap C^{4,2}\left[Q_{T}^{-} /(0,0) \cup Q_{T}^{+} /(1,0)\right] .
$$

$u(x, t)$ satisfies the equations (2.1)-(2.2) and (2.4)-(2.5) in the classical sense. Moreover,

$$
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \text { for } x \in[0, a) \cup(b, 1] .
$$

Let $u(x, t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1)-(1.4) and $u_{n}(x, t)$ the classical one of (3.1)(3.4). Since the weak solution is continuous, we can define $Q_{T}^{-}, Q_{T}^{+}$and $M_{T}$ as in Section 1. Let $\varepsilon$ and $-\varepsilon$ be small positive noncritical values of both $u(x, t)$ and $u_{n}(x, t)$ for all $n$. Sard's lemma tells us that the above $\varepsilon$ exists. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u_{n}(x, t)=\varepsilon\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u_{n}(x, t)=-\varepsilon\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{\varepsilon} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u(x, t)=\varepsilon\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{-\varepsilon} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}: u(x, t)=-\varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is not a critical value of $u_{n}(x, t)$, we have that $\nabla u_{n}(x, t)=\left\{u_{n x}(x, t), u_{n t}(x, t)\right\} \neq$ $\overrightarrow{0}$ for all $(x, t) \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} \cup \Gamma_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}$.

Lemma 3.2. The level sets $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}$ and $\Gamma_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}$ consist of the graphs of the curves $x=s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)$ and $x=s_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)$, respectively, which enter at $t=0$ and exit at $t=T$. These curves belong to $C^{1}[0, T]$ and have the following properties:
(i) These curves are monotonic increasing in the t-direction;
(ii) No open segment on these curves is parallel to the $x$-axis.

Moreover, there exists a constant $r_{n}<0$ which may depend on $n$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial u_{n}(x, t)}{\partial x} \leq r_{n} \text { for all }(x, t) \in R_{n}(x, t)
$$

where

$$
R_{n}=\left\{(x, t): s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t) \leq x \leq s_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}
$$

Proof. The first part of the Lemma can be obtained exactly as in [4] by the implicit function theorem along with the maximum principle. To prove the last result, we set $V(x, t)=u_{n x}(x, t),(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}$. We claim that $V\left(s_{ \pm \varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), t\right)<0$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Indeed, let $Q_{n}^{-}=\left\{(x, t): 0<x<s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), 0<t \leq T\right\}$. Then, $u_{n}(x, t)$ is the classical solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n t} & =u_{n x x}+g_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n x}+f\left(u_{n}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
u_{n}(0, t) & =f_{1}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,  \tag{3.6}\\
u_{n}\left(s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), t\right) & =\varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,  \tag{3.7}\\
u_{n}(x, 0) & =u_{0 n}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_{n}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{n}=s_{\varepsilon}^{n}(0)$ is the point with $u_{0 n}\left(a_{n}\right)=\varepsilon$.
Since $f_{1}(t)>0$ on $[0, T]$ and $u_{0}(x)>0$ on $[0, a)$, we can take $\varepsilon$ small enough such that $f_{1}(t)$ and $u_{0 n}(x)$ are greater than $\varepsilon$. Furthermore, we can assume that $a_{n}$ satisfies $a-\delta_{0}<a_{n}<a$. Since $f(0)=0$, the maximum principle indicates that $u_{n}(x, t)$ attains its minimum at every point on the boundary $x=s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)$. Therefore, the strong maximum principle yields

$$
u_{n x}\left(s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), t\right)<0 \text { for all } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Similarly, we can show that $V\left(s_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), t\right)<0$ and there exists $b_{n}=s_{-\varepsilon}^{n}(0) \in\left(b, b+\delta_{0}\right)$ with the property $u_{0 n}\left(b_{n}\right)=-\varepsilon$. By the construction, we have $V(x, 0)<0$ on $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$. Hence $V(x, t)$ is strictly negative on the parabolic boundary of $R_{n}$. It is easy to see that $V(x, t)$ satisfies in $R_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) V_{t}=V_{x x}+g_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) V_{x}+\left[-A_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n t}+g_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n x}+f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right] V \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the maximum principle implies the desired result.

Lemma 3.3. The level sets $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Gamma_{-\varepsilon}$ consist of the graphs of the curves $x=s_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and $\quad x=s_{-\varepsilon}(t)$, respectively, which enter at $t=0$ and exit at $t=T$. These curves possess the same properties as those in Lemma 3.2. Moreover, there exists a constant $k_{0}<0$ such that

$$
u_{x}\left(s_{ \pm \varepsilon}(t), t\right)<k_{0} \text { for } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Proof. The first conclusion of the Lemma can be demonstrated as that in [6]. Let

$$
Q_{\varepsilon}^{-}=\left\{(x, t): 0<x<s_{\varepsilon}(t), 0<t \leq T\right\} .
$$

Note that $u(x, t)$ is a classical solution of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1}(u) u_{t} & =u_{x x}+g_{1}(u) u_{x}+f(u), \text { in } Q_{\varepsilon}^{-}  \tag{3.10}\\
u(0, t) & =f_{1}(t)>0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{3.11}\\
u\left(s_{\varepsilon}(t), t\right) & =\varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{3.12}\\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq a^{*}=s_{\varepsilon}(0), \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a^{*} \in(0, a)$ is a point where $u_{0}\left(a^{*}\right)=\varepsilon$. The strong maximum principle yields $u_{x}\left(s_{\varepsilon}(t), t\right)<0$. The proof of the other part is similar.

Since $u(x, t)$ is smooth on $Q_{T}^{-}$and $Q_{T}^{+}$, by Lemma 3.3 we immediately have
Corollary 3.4. There exists a constant $\delta>0$ which depends only on known data such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}(x, t) \leq \frac{k_{0}}{2}<0,(x, t) \in R_{\delta}^{-} \cup R_{\delta}^{+} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u_{t}(x, t)$ is also uniformly bounded on $R_{\delta}^{-} \cup R_{\delta}^{+}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\delta}^{-} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}:\left|x-s_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|<\delta, t \in[0, T]\right\} \subset Q_{T}^{-} \\
R_{\delta}^{+} & =\left\{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}:\left|x-s_{-\varepsilon}(t)\right|<\delta, t \in[0, T]\right\} \subset Q_{T}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider some special level sets of $u_{n}(x, t)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{n} & =\left\{(x, t): u_{n}(x, t)=\frac{1}{n}\right\} \\
\Gamma_{-n} & =\left\{(x, t): u_{n}(x, t)=-\frac{1}{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n$ be large enough such that $0<\frac{1}{n}<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\Gamma_{n} \cup \Gamma_{-n} \subset R_{n} .
$$

Since $u_{n x}(x, t)<0$ in $R_{n}$, we have the functions $x=s_{n}(t)$ and $x=s_{-n}(t)$ with $u_{n}\left(s_{n}(t), t\right)=\frac{1}{n}$ and $u_{n}\left(s_{-n}(t), t\right)=-\frac{1}{n}$. Moreover, the curves $s_{n}(t)$ and $s_{-n}(t)$ have the same features as $s_{ \pm \varepsilon}^{(n)}(t)$. Define

$$
K_{n}=\left\{(x, t): s_{n}(t)<x<s_{-n}(t), 0<t \leq T\right\} .
$$

It is clear that $K_{n} \subset R_{n}$. Let $U(x, t)=u_{n t},(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}$ and

$$
W(x, t)=\frac{U(x, t)}{V(x, t)},(x, t) \in R_{n} .
$$

The Lemma 3.2 indicates that $W(x, t)$ is well-defined. We show that $W(x, t)$ is uniformly bounded.

Lemma 3.5. There exists a constant $k_{1}$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
|W(x, t)| \leq k_{1},(x, t) \in R_{n} .
$$

Proof. We define the operator

$$
L=A_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\left[-\frac{1}{u_{n x}}\right]\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+g_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right] .
$$

It can be seen by a direct computation that $W(x, t)$ satisfies

$$
L W(x, t)=0, \text { in } R_{n}
$$

Hence, the maximum principle implies that

$$
\max _{R_{n}}|W(x, t)| \leq \max _{\partial_{p} R_{n}}|W(x, t)| .
$$

Since $u_{n}(x, t)$ converges $u(x, t)$ on $\bar{Q}_{T}$ uniformly, we have when $n$ is large enough

$$
s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t) \subset R_{\delta}^{-}, s_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t) \subset R_{\delta}^{+}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{n t}\left(s_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), t\right)\right| \leq 2 \max _{R_{\delta}^{-}}\left|u_{t}(x, t)\right|, \\
& \left|u_{n x}\left(s_{-\varepsilon}^{(n)}(t), t\right)\right| \leq-\frac{1}{2} \max _{R_{\delta}^{+}}\left|u_{x}(x, t)\right| \leq \frac{k_{0}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, observe that

$$
W(x, 0)=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{0 n}(x)\right)} \frac{u_{0 n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+g_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{0 n}(x)\right) u_{0 n}^{\prime}(x)+f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{u_{0 n}^{\prime}(x)}
$$

is also uniformly bounded, it follows that $W(x, t)$ is uniformly bounded on the parabolic boundary $\partial_{p} R_{n}$ when $n$ is large enough.

Now $u_{n}\left(s_{n}(t), t\right)=\frac{1}{n}$ we have

$$
\frac{d s_{n}(t)}{d t}=-W\left(s_{n}(t), t\right)
$$

Since $K_{n} \subset R_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d s_{n}(t)}{d t}\right| & =\left|-W\left(s_{n}(t), t\right)\right| \\
& \leq \max _{R_{n}}|W(x, t)| \\
& \leq \max _{\partial_{p} R_{n}}|W(x, t)| \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $n$.
By a compactness argument, we have a subsequence (still denoted by $s_{n}(t)$ ) which converges to a function, denoted by $s_{1}(t) \in C_{T}^{0+1}[0, T]$. The uniqueness of the weak solution indicates that the whole sequence $s_{n}(t)$ must converge to $s(t)$. Since $u_{n}\left(s_{n}(t), t\right)=$ $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$, we have $u\left(s_{1}(t), t\right)=0$.

To see the more regularity of the interfaces, we note that $u(x, t)$ satisfies the equation (2.1) in the classical sense. The regularity theory for parabolic equations yields that $u(x, t) \in C^{\infty, \infty}\left(Q_{T}^{-}\right)$if $g_{1}(\xi)$ and $f(\xi)$ are smooth. Moreover, since $s_{1}(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous, we know that $u_{x}(x, t)$ is continuous up to the boundary $x=s_{1}(t)$. Let

$$
Q_{T}^{\delta_{1} \delta_{2}}=\left\{(x, t): \delta_{1}<x \leq s_{1}(t)-\delta_{2}, 0<t<T\right\}
$$

where $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are positive numbers. We integrate the equation (2.1) over the region $Q_{T}^{\delta_{1} \delta_{2}}$ and perform the integration by parts, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(t)-\delta_{2}} A(u(x, t)) d x-\int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(0)-\delta_{2}} A\left(u_{0}(x)\right) d x+\int_{0}^{t} A\left(u\left(s_{1}(t)-\delta_{2}, t\right)\right) \dot{s}_{1}(t) d t \\
=\int_{0}^{t}\left[u_{x}\left(s_{1}(t)-\delta_{2}, t\right)-u_{x}\left(\delta_{1}, t\right)\right] d t+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(\tau)-\delta_{2}} f(u) d x d \tau .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $A(0-)=0$ and $u_{x}(x, t)$ is continuous up to $s_{1}(t)$; by taking $\delta_{2} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(t)} A(u(x, t)) d x-\int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(0)} A\left(u_{0}(x)\right) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left[u_{x}\left(s_{1}(t), t\right)-u_{x}\left(\delta_{1}, t\right)\right] d t+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(\tau)} f(u) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $s_{1}(t)$ is differentiable.
It is not difficult to see that $u(x, t), s_{1}(t)$ is now a solution of the following free boundary problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}(u) u_{t}=u_{x x}+g(u)_{x}+f(u), \delta_{1}<x<s(t), 0<t<T \\
& u\left(\delta_{1}, t\right) \text { is smooth, } u(s(t), t)=0,0 \leq t \leq T \\
& u_{x}(s(t), t)=u_{x}\left(\delta_{1}, t\right)+g\left(u\left(\delta_{1}, t\right)\right)+\int_{\delta_{1}}^{s_{1}(t)} f(u) d x, 0 \leq t \leq T
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f(0)=0$, we see that the boundary value of $u(x, t)$ at $x=s(t)$ is smooth. It is a Cauchy-type free boundary problem. The results of [6] implies that $s_{1}(t) \in C^{\infty}(0, T]$. The other part of Theorem 2.1 can be shown similarly.

REMARK. When $f(u) \equiv 0$ and $a=b$, it is easy to see that

$$
A_{n}\left(u_{n}\left(s_{n}(t), t\right)\right)+A_{n}\left(u_{n}\left(s_{-n}(t), t\right)\right)=A_{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)+A_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \rightarrow-1 .
$$

By the analogous computation as in [5], we can show $s_{1}(t)=s_{2}(t)$. For the proof of Theorem 2.2, the reader is referred to [5], [6] and [16].
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