Conference on ‘Nutrition dynamics in Africa: opportunities and challenges for meeting the sustainable development goals’

Improving nutrition-sensitivity of social protection programmes in Ghana

Amos K. Laar1*, Richmond N. O. Aryeetey1, Mary Mpereh2 and Francis B. Zotor3

1Department of Population, Family & Reproductive Health, School of Public Health Box LG 13, University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, Ghana
2National Development Planning Commission, Accra, Ghana
3University of Health and Allied Sciences, School of Public Health, Hohoe, Ghana

Social protection (SP) has been demonstrated as an effective tool against poverty and severe hunger. In Ghana, SP interventions have been employed to address vulnerability to poverty since 1965. Nevertheless, its potential for enhancing nutrition has hardly been explored. To harness the cross-sectoral benefits of scaling up nutrition-sensitive actions in Ghana, the national development planning commission requested an assessment of nutrition linkages across existing SP policies and programmes. The present paper presents gaps and opportunities for improving nutrition-sensitivity of existing SP programming in Ghana. The evidence draws heavily on desk review of available published and grey literature. The data show that SP provides an entry point for mainstreaming nutrition into other programmes. However, designing and coupling SP programmes with nutrition programmes remain a challenge in Ghana. Local SP interventions are predominantly designed as standalone services and therefore are implemented independent of each other. To increase synergy between SP and nutrition, including nutrition as an explicit objective of SP policies/strategies is recommended.
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Overview of poverty and nutrition situation in Ghana

The republic of Ghana is a relatively stable democracy in sub-Saharan Africa. The national population is estimated at 26 million with an annual growth rate just above 2% (1). Literacy rate within the youth population is approximately 70 and 60% among males and females, respectively (2). With a per capita gross domestic product of US$1652·00 in 2011 (3) the World Bank ranks Ghana as a lower-middle income country. During the past 10 years, Ghana has halved its poverty rate from 52 to 28% (4), and is recognised among the few sub-Saharan Africa countries that achieved the poverty reduction targets of the millennium development goals. Nevertheless, almost a quarter of Ghanaians still live below the poverty line (4). Despite the growing economy and some improvements in social and human development outcomes, wide disparities exist in wealth distribution (5). Regional disparities in poverty are most apparent, with the northern regions having poverty rates nearly twice that of regions in the South (6).

Key health challenges facing Ghana include maternal and child undernutrition, maternal morbidity and mortality. Poverty and vulnerability have been identified as underlying causes of malnutrition in the National Nutrition Policy (6). The most recent Demographic and Health Survey reported that 6% of Ghanaian women of reproductive age are undernourished (BMI <18·5 kg/m²), with higher rates among those in the lowest wealth quintiles and in the three northern regions (7). Micronutrient malnutrition is highly prevalent and persistent; 66% of children aged 6–59 months are anaemic while 42% of Ghanaian women aged 15–49 years are anaemic (7). Iodine deficiency disorders are still prevalent and the majority of households (61%) do not use adequately iodised salt in meal preparation (7). Among
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Ghanaian children aged 5 years or younger, 19% are stunted (too short for their age), 5% are wasted (too thin for their height), and 11% are underweight (too thin for their age). Although almost all children in Ghana (98%) are breastfed at some point in their life, only 52% of them are breastfed exclusively for 6 months\(^\text{14}\).

Desirous of addressing the unacceptably high rates of undernutrition among vulnerable Ghanaian populations, the Government of Ghana signed up with the global scaling up nutrition movement in 2011. The purpose was to stimulate scale up of both nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive interventions. Subsequently a multi-stakeholder platform known as the scaling up nutrition cross-sectoral planning group was established in 2012. The cross-sectoral planning group was tasked to harmonise planning, implementation and monitoring of nutrition actions to achieve reduction in stunting. The scaling up nutrition cross-sectoral planning group convenes and coordinates government and non-government agencies to mainstream nutrition into existing policies and programmes linked either directly or indirectly with nutrition.

### Defining and conceptualising social protection

The International Labour Organization defines social protection (SP) as security in the face of vulnerabilities and contingencies, including having access to health care\(^\text{6}\). This definition emphasises protection from chronic poverty, food and nutrition insecurity and other risks, which engenders vulnerability. To achieve this security, Handa & Park\(^\text{9}\) point to a focus on interventions (by public, private and voluntary organisations, as well as informal networks) designed to support communities, households and individuals to prevent, manage and overcome risks and vulnerabilities. Devereux and Sabates-Wheeler\(^\text{10}\) offer an additional dimension, noting that all SP actions ought to be protective, preventative, promotional and transformational. Kaplan and Jones\(^\text{11}\) also argue for a rights-based approach in SP programming. The rights-based dimension is premised on the fundamental principles of the universal right to accountability, non-discrimination and participation as indicated by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 25), as well as the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child also identifies (in Articles 20, 26 and 27) the right of all children to social security and insurance alongside an adequate standard of living. In the African context, Article 25 of the African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child underscores the rights dimension of SP. The rights-based dimension therefore obligates governments to provide the necessary institutional capacity and accountability to provide SP to citizens.

A review of SP efforts across Africa reveals a diversity of programme focus, ranging from those which address vulnerabilities due to general chronic poverty\(^\text{12}\), to those which focus on specific vulnerabilities, such as orphans of HIV/AIDS\(^\text{13}\), or food insecurity\(^\text{14}\). In Ghana, a less targeted conceptualisation of social protection, is adopted by the national SP strategy\(^\text{15}\). The national SP strategy is primarily designed to respond to risks and shocks in a rapid manner; and assures access to basic services such as health, education, water and energy for all sections of the population.

On the basis of the background provided, the present paper discusses the existing SP framework in Ghana and how it responds to nutrition vulnerabilities. Such a discussion helps to identify how SP interventions promote nutrition. It also helps to identify gaps in the present SP programming.

The evidence presented here draws on a desk review of available literature on SP in Ghana and elsewhere. The desk review included peer-reviewed journal articles, programme reports, evaluation reports, policy and guidance documents as well as programmatic document. The evidence from literature was supplemented by personal communications with key staff of national-level nutrition and SP institutions (Ghana School Feeding Programme, the Livelihood Empowerment against Poverty Programme (LEAP), the Ghana Social Opportunity Programme and the National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS)).

### Nutrition and social protection: the linkages

Over a decade of research has demonstrated the direct and indirect linkages between nutrition and SP\(^\text{16-23}\). With consideration for the UNICEF framework on malnutrition, it has been shown that SP addresses vulnerabilities linked with loss of livelihood, shocks and stresses that result in food insecurity. Slater et al.\(^\text{14}\) examined the available evidence across a range of SP instruments with a focus on food security and practical programming experience. They show that across a range of both productivity-focused and protection-focused programmes, increased household income is spent on increasing the quantity and also the quality of food consumed\(^\text{14}\). They further show that some SP interventions also have an important role in mitigating the effects of shocks or seasonal stresses on household food insecurity, through smoothing consumption and/or income. Presented in Fig. 1 are the potential pathways by which SP programmes mitigate malnutrition.

Earlier studies focusing on food transfers reported an association between SP actions and improved food consumption as well as nutritional outcomes. Ahmed\(^\text{24}\) and Jacoby\(^\text{25}\) respectively, reported that school snack programmes in Philippines and Bangladesh increased energy consumption of primary school children by 1255-2 kJ (300 kcal)/child per d, if parents did not reduce the amount of food served to children at home. In Uganda, school feeding reportedly led to the decline in the prevalence of mild anaemia among adolescent girls aged 10-13 years while a take-home ration component showed a decline in mild anaemia prevalence of adult women living in households that received these rations\(^\text{26}\). Kazianga et al.\(^\text{27}\) report positive spill-over effects to other household members, most notably younger siblings. Other evaluations of cash transfers
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(CT) interventions reported improved dietary consumption. The Department for International Development’s review of CT programmes focused on hunger reduction and food insecurity found that, households receiving CT had significantly higher spending and consumption of food compared with non-transfer households. In Malawi, Vincent and Cull reported that 75% of a CT was spent on groceries. In Zambia, CT significantly improved diets and nutritional status of beneficiaries: consumption of fats, proteins and vitamins-rich foods increased; percent of households living on one meal daily fell from 19 to 13%. de Groot et al. provide a comprehensive overview of the impacts of CT programmes on the immediate and underlying determinants of child nutrition. They conclude that while an increasing number of studies have stressed the positive role of CT programmes in increasing resources for food, health and care, the evidence to date on the immediate determinants of child nutrition is mixed with regard to whether CT can positively impact growth-related outcomes among children, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa (see Table 1).

Social protection in Ghana

In Ghana, SP interventions aimed at addressing the needs of the poor and vulnerable in society have been implemented since 1965. The first recorded intervention is the national social security law of 1965. Thereafter other SP programmes have included the national HIV/AIDS response programme in 2001, NHIS in 2003, the School Feeding Programme in 2003 and the LEAP initiative, a CT programme. Outlined in the next section are the flagship SP programmes in Ghana.

The National Health Insurance Scheme

The National Health Insurance Act, 2003 (Act 650) established the NHIS with the aim of increasing access to health care and improving the quality of basic health care services for all citizens, but especially for the poor and the vulnerable. Hitherto, majority of health care costs was paid from out of pocket by individuals and families, a system that was referred to as cash-and-carry. The benefit package covers about 95% of diseases in Ghana such as malaria, cervical and breast cancer, surgical operations, physiotherapy, maternity care (antenatal, deliveries, postnatal), dental care, and eye care. Presently, NHIS is available countrywide through both public and private health care providers, including chemical stores and laboratories. Witter and Garshong summarise the main features of the NHIS in Ghana (see Table 2).

The Ghana School Feeding Programme

In September 2005, the government of Ghana in collaboration with her bilateral partners (The Dutch government) launched the School Feeding Programme following the African Union-New Partnership for Africa’s Development model to use home-grown school feeding model. The basic concept of the Home Grown School Feeding Programme is to provide children in public basic schools one hot nutritious meal daily, using locally grown food-stuff. The programme has dual objectives: first to address acute hunger among young children in school, and secondly, to stimulate rural agricultural development. The long-term objective of the programme is to contribute
to poverty reduction and food security in Ghana, then in line with Ghana’s efforts towards realising the UN millennium development goals on hunger, poverty and primary education. The programme is administered by the National School Feeding programme and presently being overseen by the Ministry of Gender, Children and SP in collaboration with other government ministries including Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development (the lead agency prior to August 2015), Food and Agriculture, Finance and Education Ministries. A number of non-governmental organisations and bilateral agencies provide technical support.

Livelihood empowerment against poverty

LEAP is a social CT programme which seeks to alleviate short-term poverty and encourage long-term human capital development (33). The programme was piloted in 1654 beneficiary households in twenty-one selected districts across the country. As at 2013, LEAP had extended to 70,191 beneficiary households across 100 districts nationwide as reported by Department of Social Welfare with an annual expenditure of US$20 million. Households with orphan or vulnerable child, elderly poor, or person with extreme disability are eligible to benefit under the programme (33). The receipt of the LEAP transfer is unconditional for people aged over 65 and people with disability. Launched in 2016, the ‘LEAP 1000 programme’ targets pregnant women and children aged less than 2 years in the northern and upper West regions of Ghana due to the high prevalence of stunting and malnutrition in these regions. The nutrition-sensitivity of LEAP, and the other SP programme presented earlier, their weaknesses and challenges are discussed later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact on outcome</th>
<th>Positive impact</th>
<th>Mixed impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
<th>Knowledge gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional status (&gt;20 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pathways of impact or non-impact are unclear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on immediate determinants</td>
<td>Dietary intake (1–5 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Only three studies looked specifically at children’s dietary intake, as most studies assess the household level changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health status (11–20 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Pathways of impact or non-impact are unclear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on underlying determinants</td>
<td>Food security</td>
<td>Household consumption (6–10 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Most of the evidence at household level, rather than individual level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household diet diversity (6–10 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Most of the evidence at household level, rather than individual level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household food security (6–10 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Most of the evidence at household level, rather than individual level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health care</td>
<td>Preventive care visits (6–10 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Evidence is concentrated in programmes with health conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water, sanitation and hygiene (1–5 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Positive evidence, but only limited number of studies available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Care practices</td>
<td>Caregiver physical health (6–10 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Evidence concentrated on antenatal care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeding practices (1–5 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Not enough evidence and no consensus on measurement of indicators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychosocial care (1–5 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Not enough evidence to draw conclusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caregiver empowerment (11–20 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Qualitative evidence points to positive impacts, while quantitative evidence shows a mixed picture. No consensus on measurement of empowerment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intimate partner violence (1–5 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Lack of impact studies, only four so far.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caregiver stress/mental health (1–5 studies reviewed)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Subjective scales used, but lack of evidence with stress-related biomarkers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: de Groot et al. (31).

Nutrition sensitivity of the flagship social protection programmes in Ghana

The concepts and underlining motivators of the three major national level SP efforts (NHIS, School Feeding Programme and LEAP) in principle make them nutrition-sensitive. As indicated earlier, the School Feeding Programme aims to among others, provide children in public basic schools one hot nutritious meal each school day, using locally grown foodstuffs. Its objectives of reducing hunger and malnutrition, boosting domestic
Table 2. Main features of Ghana National Health Insurance Scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) established to pay for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Subsidies to schemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Reinsurance for schemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Cost of enrolling the indigent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Supporting access to health care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Funds to come from:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● National Health Insurance Levy (NHIL) – 2.5 % of V.A.T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Payroll deductions (2.5 % of income) for formal sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Other funds voted by Parliament, income from investments, any donations, or loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In addition, DHMIS will raise funds from premia for informal sector members, to be set by agreement with the National Health Insurance Authority (NHIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>Membership is mandatory (either via the DHMIS or a private insurance policy). Formal sector workers have involuntary payroll deductions (SSNIT contributions). Informal sector are charged premia, which should be income-related. Initially, there is a 6-month gap between joining and being eligible for benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exemptions</td>
<td>Some groups will be exempt from paying for membership (originally SSNIT pensioners, over-70s, under-18s where both parents are members; indigents). The NHIA will transfer subsidies to cover the cost of their enrolment. An indigent is defined as someone who meets four criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Is unemployed and has no visible source of income;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Does not have a fixed place of residence according to standards determined by the scheme;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Does not live with a person who is employed and who has a fixed place of residence; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Does not have any identifiable consistent support from another person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>All providers must offer a minimum package, which is specified and broad. National Health Insurance Drug List is established. 95 % of all health care is covered – all services are included other than: rehabilitation other than physiotherapy; appliances and prostheses; cosmetic surgery; HIV retroviral drugs; assisted reproduction; echocardiography; photography; angiography; orthoptics; kidney dialysis; heart and brain surgery other than those resulting from accidents; cancer treatment other than cervical and breast cancer; organ transplantation; non-listed drugs; treatment abroad; medical examinations for visas etc.; VIP wards; and mortuary services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>package</td>
<td>All providers are eligible, once accredited. Accreditation is reviewed every 5 years. Quarterly reports to be sent to the NHIC by providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>Providers are to be paid within 4 weeks of claim being made to DMHIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>providers</td>
<td>All providers are eligible, once accredited. Accreditation is reviewed every 5 years. Quarterly reports to be sent to the NHIC by providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>National Health Insurance Authority (NHIA) established to regulate the market, including accreditation of providers, agreeing contribution rates with schemes, resolving disputes, managing the NHIF and approving cards. Each district to have a DMHIS (with a minimum of 2000 members). Benefits to be transferable across district schemes. Each DHMIS to submit annual reports to NHIA and to undertake annual audit of accounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td>National Health Insurance Council (NHIC) established to oversee NHIA and licence schemes (every 2 years). Includes representatives of main stakeholder groups, such as Ministry of Health, Ghana Health Services, regulatory bodies, consumers, and Executive Secretary of the NHIA. Chair and Executive Secretary appointed by the President. NHIC proposes formula for allocation of funds to Parliament for annual approval, and provides annual report to Parliament on its use of funds. Each DHMIS governed by a Board. Rules established for handling complaints against providers or schemes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHMIS, District Health Mutual Insurance Scheme; SSNIT, Social Security and National Insurance Trust.

food production and increasing school enrolment, attendance and retention; and the long-term goal of contributing to poverty reduction and food security in Ghana, are all nutrition promoting. Presently, the programme is implemented nationwide, covering about 4877 public basic schools in 216 districts with total enrolment of over 1 728 681 pupils in the country. The programme also employs 5365 caterers and engages 1170 farmer organisations. In addition to improving enrolment, school feeding programmes have a range of food and nutrition benefits for vulnerable families. For children, it has potential to diversify dietary intake, increase frequency of meals and improve food security of household. Quaye et al.(34) aimed to deepen the present understanding of the emerging food sovereignty concept using a case study of the Ghana home-grown school feeding programme. Deploying a combination of quantitative and qualitative methodological approaches, they showed a significant improvement in household food access, one of many proxies for measuring food

---

DHMIS, District Health Mutual Insurance Scheme; SSNIT, Social Security and National Insurance Trust.
sovereignty. A comparative study by Danquah et al.\(^{(35)}\) showed that participating schools served about the same meals using local foodstuff compared with schools not participating in the school feeding programme. Informed by their assessments of meal quantity and quality, the researchers called for fortification of the meals in order to improve their nutrient profile. Gelli et al.\(^{(36)}\) are presently evaluating alternative school feeding models on nutrition, education, agriculture and other social outcomes in Ghana. An innovative capacity-building component is being integrated alongside the traditional Home Grown School Feeding Programme.

Presented in this review are CT programmes with proven impact on public health interventions\(^{(20,25)}\). Further, SP impact on prevention and management of domestic violence, and access to safe water and sanitation have been reported.\(^{(37)}\) In Ghana, Amuzu et al.\(^{(38)}\) provide data on whether or not the LEAP CT Programme is making a difference in gendered risks, poverty and vulnerability in Ghana. Over time, the Ghanaian governments commitment to SP has reflected growing attention to sex issues. The Ghana National SP Strategy\(^{(39)}\) includes an explicit sex-sensitive approach, highlighting that women suffer disproportionately from extreme poverty in their role as caregivers, and this in turn is reflected in the LEAP programme design. Not only are caregivers of orphans and vulnerable children (predominantly women) a key target group, but also transfers are allocated to a reasonable balance of men and women aged 65 years and above. There is also specific attention paid to girls’ vulnerability to child labour, especially exploitative forms of domestic work. Overall, LEAP is making a useful contribution to costs faced by poor households for basic consumption and basic services, many of which are viewed as women’s responsibilities.\(^{(38)}\) The data also suggest that LEAP is helping households to meet a range of practical sex needs, including covering the costs of essential food items, school supplies and the national health insurance card.\(^{(38)}\) Such efforts have nutrition promotion implications.

The Government of Ghana in 2016 introduced LEAP 1000, an extension of LEAP. LEAP 1000 targets pregnant women and mothers with children under 2 years. Households enrolled into LEAP 1000 receive a bimonthly transfer of 60–100 Ghana cedi (US$15–24), based on the number of beneficiaries in the household. The evaluation of this initiative is presently underway.\(^{(39)}\)

The introduction of NHIS, has resulted in increasing levels of utilisation of health services. Witter and Garshong praises the increasing access to free outpatient, in-patient, dental and maternal health services.\(^{(42)}\) It is worth noting however, that the scheme is designed predominantly as a standalone health service provision programme with no nutrition-specific objectives. Although implementation of the NHIS has positive externalities on nutrition, its design, its stated objectives and modus operandi make it not sensitive to nutrition.

**Challenges of social protection programming**

Across the wide range of social assistance programming reviewed and presented in the present paper, various challenges including governance, administrative and financial capacity limitations, lack of coordination and human resource are recognised. Generally, social protection-oriented services are often overseen by under-resourced agencies severely limited in terms of human, administrative and technical capacities. In Ghana for example, Yuster\(^{(40)}\) assessed the Department of Social Welfare as lacking administrative capacity to implement and oversee aspects of its SP programming mandate. These challenges are exacerbated by transparency, accountability issues and allegations of corruption in institutions managing social transfers. A much more mulish challenge is the lack of coordination within the range of agencies, ministries and other stakeholders tasked with designing and implementing SP programmes.\(^{(41)}\) Similar barriers are posed by poor communication and awareness, raising between national level officials and local programme and service providers. More importantly, designing and coupling SP programmes with nutrition, and other cognate programmes remains a challenge. In Ghana for example, SP interventions are predominantly designed as standalone services and therefore are implemented independent of each other. Abebrese\(^{(41)}\) identified lack of alignment of the different social programmes like LEAP and the School Feeding Programme and effective integration of the marginalised groups as challenges. Lack of robust national systems for monitoring and evaluating delivery of SP programmes and their impacts on nutrition is another challenge.

Local evidence of the implementation of Ghana’s CT programme (LEAP) has reported implementation and disbursement inconsistencies, including payment delays over long periods, and non-payment of all expected transfers.\(^{(33)}\) This results in poor predictability of cash receipt by families. Amuzu et al.\(^{(38)}\) report on the sex sensitivity of the programme stressing the need to strengthen programme design features so as to enhance sex equality. Gelli et al.\(^{(36)}\) report on the challenges in linking agriculture to other components of the Ghana School Feeding Programme. An evaluation of the programme, undertaken in 2012 identified the need for a more strategic approach in linking farmers to the programme.\(^{(42)}\)

**Opportunities for linking nutrition to social protection actions**

Although the challenges described earlier of SP programming are real, there exist opportunities. Recent local and interactional actions provide real opportunities for cementing the relationship between nutrition and SP in Ghana. Internationally, the present high level of attention and recognition that nutrition enjoys from donors, national governments, civil society organisation, academia and the private sector provide a conducive environment for discourses and actual coupling of nutrition to SP actions. Some of the relevant international actions or commitments include the *Lancet* Series on Maternal and Child Nutrition 2008 and 2013\(^{(43)}\), the Global Nutrition Report\(^{(44)}\), the Challenge Paper on...
Hunger and Malnutrition from the Third Copenhagen Consensus(45), together with high-level meetings such as the Nutrition for Growth Summit in London, 2013 and the Second International Conference on Nutrition held in Rome, 2014. The global political support for the Sustainable Development Goals(46) further provides an opportunity to advocate for the linkage of nutrition to SP actions and vice versa have provided consensus on the importance of alleviating malnutrition and the means to do so. Locally, Ghana is presently drafting a 40-year development plan with a major emphasis on nutrition; the national nutrition policy is being finalised. There is a real opportunity to have the recommendations delineated in the present paper carried in these national policy and strategic documents.

Conclusions

The present paper provides evidence in support of the argument that SP can be an effective tool for nutrition promotion. The evidence shows that SP schemes such as social transfers can support improved nutrition. However, designing and coupling SP programmes with nutrition programmes remains a challenge in Ghana. Local SP interventions are predominantly designed as standalone services and therefore are implemented independent of each other. There is presently the lack of robust national systems for monitoring and evaluating delivery, and nutrition-related impacts of social protection. To increase synergy between SP and nutrition, the present paper suggests the following recommendations. First, at the policy level, the paper recommends linking social protection, nutrition, agriculture and health policies so as to promote increased policy coherence and integrated actions. Second, the paper recommends the inclusion of nutrition as an explicit objective of every SP programme, as it is difficult to hold SP programmes accountable for nutritional impact if nutrition is not one of the designated programme objectives. Finally, social transfer sessions should contain nutrition and health education interventions.
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