

**ON A DIFFERENTIABILITY CONDITION
FOR REFLEXIVITY OF A BANACH SPACE**

J. R. GILES

(Received 26 June 1969)

Communicated by J. B. Miller

In studying the geometry of normed linear space it is useful to draw attention to the following mapping.

DEFINITION. A mapping $x \rightarrow f_x$ from a normed linear space X into its dual X^* is called a *support mapping* if, for each $x \in S \equiv \{X \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ and real $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$f_x \in D(x) \equiv \{f \in S^* : f(x) = \|f\| = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\lambda x} = \lambda f_x.$$

(The Hahn-Banach theorem guarantees that $D(x)$ is non-empty for each $x \in S$ so that such a mapping exists for every normed linear space.)

In his paper [3] the author formulated a characterisation of strong (Fréchet) differentiability of the norm of a normed linear space in terms of support mappings:

LEMMA 1. *The norm of a normed linear space X is strongly differentiable at $x \in S$ if and only if there exists a support mapping $x \rightarrow f_x$ from X into X^* which is continuous on S at x . [3, Theorem 1(ii)].*

Such a characterisation is particularly valuable used in conjunction with the subreflexivity property of Banach spaces.

DEFINITION. A normed linear space X is said to be *subreflexive* if the set P of continuous linear functionals which attain their norm on S , is dense in X^* .

E. Bishop and R. R. Phelps [1] have proved the significant result that every Banach space is subreflexive.

From Lemma 1 using the subreflexivity property the following known result can be easily deduced.

THEOREM 1. *For a Banach space X , if the norm of X^* is strongly differentiable on S^* then $P = X^*$ and X is reflexive. [3, Theorem 2].*

It is the purpose of this note to deduce the following improvement of Theorem 1.

NOTATION. For a set A in a linear space X we denote by $\text{sp}(A)$ the linear span of A .

THEOREM 1*. *For a Banach space X , if the norm of X^* is strongly differentiable on $\text{sp}(P) \cap S^*$ then $P = X^*$ and X is reflexive.*

LEMMA 2. *Let X be a normed linear space and $x \rightarrow f_x$ be a support mapping from X into X^* . Consider the linear space X with metric*

$$d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\{\|x - y\| + \|f_x - f_y\|\}.$$

The topology of the metric d is compatible with the linear structure of X if and only if the support mapping is continuous on S .

PROOF. Suppose the support mapping is continuous on S , then from the homogeneity property it is clear that the mapping is continuous on X . When $d(x, x_0) \rightarrow 0$ and $d(y, y_0) \rightarrow 0$, then $\|x - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y - y_0\| \rightarrow 0$ and so $\|(x + y) - (x_0 + y_0)\| \rightarrow 0$. But the continuity of the support mapping implies that $\|f_{x+y} - f_{x_0+y_0}\| \rightarrow 0$, and it follows that $d(x + y, x_0 + y_0) \rightarrow 0$. For a continuous support mapping it is clear that $f_{\lambda x} = \bar{\lambda}f_x$ for all $x \in S$ and all complex λ , and so it can be directly verified that $d(\lambda x, \lambda_0 x_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \rightarrow 0$ and $d(x, x_0) \rightarrow 0$.

Conversely, suppose that the topology of d is compatible with the linear structure. Then $d(x + y, x_0 + y_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $d(x, x_0) \rightarrow 0$ and $d(y, y_0) \rightarrow 0$. For $x, y \in S$ and λ real

$$d(x + \lambda y, x) = \frac{1}{2}\{|\lambda| + \|f_{x+\lambda y} - f_x\|\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } d(\lambda y, 0) = |\lambda| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, $\|f_{x+\lambda y} - f_x\| \rightarrow 0$, and uniformly for all $y \in S$, as $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$. But this condition is equivalent to the support mapping being continuous at x . [3, Lemma 1(ii)].

LEMMA 3. *For a Banach space X where X^* is smooth on $P \cap S^*$, given a support mapping $f \rightarrow F_f$ from X^* into X^{**} , then P is complete in X^* with respect to the metric*

$$d(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{2}\{\|f_1 - f_2\| + \|F_{f_1} - F_{f_2}\|\}.$$

PROOF. Consider a sequence $\{f_n\}$ which is Cauchy in $P \cap S^*$ with respect to the metric d . Then $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in $P \cap S^*$ with respect to the norm of X^* and convergent to $f \in S^*$ since X^* is complete. Also $\{\hat{x}_n\}$, where $\hat{x}_n = F_{f_n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is Cauchy in \hat{S} and so convergent to $\hat{x} \in \hat{S}$ since X is complete. But

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - f(x)| &\leq |f_n(x_n) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq \|f_n\| \|x_n - x\| + \|f_n - f\| \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

So $f(x) = 1$ and $f \in P \cap S^*$.

These lemmas are used in establishing the result.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1*. Since the norm of X^* is strongly differentiable on $\text{sp}(P) \cap S^*$, from Lemma 1, the unique support mapping $f \rightarrow F_f$ from $\text{sp}(P)$ into $\text{sp}(P)^*$ is continuous on $\text{sp}(P) \cap S^*$. Since X is complete it is subreflexive, so

for $f \in (\text{sp}(P) \setminus P) \cap S^*$ there exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \in P \cap S^*$ which converges to f . Then $\{\hat{x}_n\}$, where $\hat{x}_n = F_{f_n}$, is convergent to F_f . But $\{\hat{x}_n\}$ is Cauchy in \hat{S} so $F_f \in \hat{S}$, i.e. $f \in P \cap S^*$. Therefore $\text{sp}(P) = P$.

For a support mapping $f \rightarrow F_f$ from X^* into X^{**} , P is a linear space with metric

$$d(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\|f_1 - f_2\| + \|F_{f_1} - F_{f_2}\|),$$

and since the support mapping is continuous on $P \cap S^*$, we have from Lemma 2 that the topology of the metric d is compatible with the linear structure of P .

From the Metrisation theorem for linear topological spaces [4, p. 48] it follows that there exists an invariant metric on P which generates the same topology as the metric d . Since a support mapping is norm preserving the balls centred on 0 for the metric d and for the norm are equivalent. Therefore the invariant metric which generates the same topology as the metric d is that induced by the norm.

But further, from Lemma 3, P is complete with respect to the metric d . It then follows from a result of V. L. Klee [7, p. 84] that P is complete as a normed linear space, and so P is a closed subspace of X^* . However, P is dense in X^* . Therefore $P = X^*$.

The result then follows as in Theorem 1.

It should be noted that

1. a Banach space X whose norm is strongly differentiable on S , is not necessarily reflexive, and
2. a Banach space Y where the norm of Y^* is strongly differentiable on $P \cap S^*$, is not necessarily reflexive.

The following example constructed by R. R. Phelps [6, p. 447] illustrates both these points.

Let Y be the linear space l_1 of sequences $y = \{y_n\}$ where $\sum_n |y_n| < \infty$, with the norm

$$\|y\| = \left\{ \left(\sum_n |y_n| \right)^2 + \sum_n \left(\frac{y_n}{2^n} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and X be the linear space c_0 of sequences $x = \{x_n\}$ which converge to zero, with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_n x_n y_n : y = \{y_n\} \in Y \text{ and } \|y\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Phelps has shown that X is a non-reflexive Banach space, $Y = X^*$ and the norm of Y is locally uniformly rotund on S . He deduced from a theorem of A. R. Lovaglia [5, p. 232] that the norm of X is strongly differentiable on S . But also, from results of D. F. Cudia [2, p. 308 and p. 296] we can deduce that the norm of Y^* is strongly differentiable on $P \cap S^*$.

References

- [1] E. Bishop and R. R. Phelps, 'A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 67 (1961), 97–98.
- [2] D. F. Cudia, 'The geometry of Banach spaces. Smoothness', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 110 (1964), 284–314.
- [3] J. R. Giles, 'On a characterisation of differentiability of the norm of a normed linear space', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 12 (1971), 106–114.
- [4] J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, '*Linear Topological Spaces*' (Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1963).
- [5] A. R. Lovaglia, 'Locally uniformly convex Banach spaces', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1955), 225–238.
- [6] R. R. Phelps, 'Subreflexive normed linear spaces', *Arch. Math.* 8 (1957), 444–450.
- [7] A. Wilansky, '*Functional Analysis*' (Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1964).

The University of Newcastle, N.S.W.