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#### Abstract

A Hausdorff-Young theorem is given for $L^{p}$-valued analytic functions on the open unit disc and estimates on such functions and their derivatives are deduced.


Given a non-zero complex Banach space $X$ and a holomorphic function $f: \Delta \rightarrow X$, where $\Delta$ denotes the open unit disc of the complex plane, define

$$
M_{\lambda}(f)=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|f\left(\mathrm{re}^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{\lambda} d \theta\right)^{1 / \lambda}
$$

for $\lambda \geq 1$. By [7, p. 77], the power series $\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ converges uniformly to $f$ on compact subsets of $\Delta$ when $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence in $X$ given by $a_{n}=f^{(n)}(0) / n!$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=M_{2}(f) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $X$ is a Hilbert space since the classical proof for the case of complexvalued functions [10, p. 84] carries over without change (as is observed in [9]).

The following result is an extension of a variant of the Hausdorff-Young theorem [3, p. 94] and of (1) to functions with values in $X=L^{p}(S)$, where $S$ is any positive measure space and $1<p<\infty$. Throughout, for any given $1<p<\infty$, $p^{\prime}$ is the conjugate index and $\bar{p}=\max \left\{p, p^{\prime}\right\}$.

Theorem 1. If $f: \Delta \rightarrow L^{p}(S)$ is a holomorphic function with power series $f(z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{\lambda}\right)^{1 / \lambda} \leq M_{\lambda^{\prime}}(f) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\lambda}(f) \leq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{\lambda}\right)^{1 / \lambda^{\prime}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for all $\lambda \geq \bar{p}$. In particular,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1 / \bar{p}} \leq M_{2}(f) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and equality holds in (4) when both $p \geq 2$ and the coefficients $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ have disjoint supports.

Corollary 2. If $f: \Delta \rightarrow L^{p}(s)$ is a holomorphic function satisfying $M_{2}(f) \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f(z)-f(0)\| & \leq \frac{|z|}{\left(1-|z|^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}}\left(1-\|f(0)\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{5}\\
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}}{\left(1-|z|^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{1+1 / p^{\prime}}}\left(1-\|f(0)\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z \in \Delta$ when $2 \leq p<\infty$, and if $1<p<2$, the above inequalities hold with $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ interchanged.

Proofs. Theorem 1 is a consequence of generalized Clarkson inequalities of L. R. Williams and J. H. Wells [11, Th. 2]. (These inequalities can be deduced easily from [6, Th. 3.1]. Note that a factor of $1 / n$ is missing inside the first summation sign in the right hand side of [11, (9)]. The assumption of $\sigma$ finiteness is unnecessary by [2, p. 168].) Without loss of generality we may suppose that $f$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Delta}$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and define $\varphi(\theta)=\left\|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{\alpha}$ and $\varphi_{n}(\theta)=\left\|f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{\alpha}$, where $f_{n}(z)=\sum_{0}^{n-1} a_{k} z^{k}$. By [11, Th. 2], to prove (2) and (3), we need only show that $I_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
I_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi(\theta) d \theta-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{n}\left(\frac{2 \pi j}{n}\right) ;
$$

but since $f$ is uniformly continuous on $\bar{\Delta}$ and $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\bar{\Delta}$, we have

$$
\left|I_{n}\right| \leq \sup \left\{\left|\varphi\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)-\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right|:\left|\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right|<2 \frac{\pi}{n}\right\} \rightarrow 0
$$

as required. Clearly (4) follows from (2) with $\lambda=\bar{p}$ since $M_{\alpha}(f)$ is an increasing function of $\alpha$ by [4, p. 143]. The remaining assertion of the theorem is easily verified.

There is an elementary proof of (4) for $p \geq 2$. Indeed, suppose that $f$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Delta}$ and given $s \in S$, note that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}(s)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)(s)\right|^{2} d \theta
$$

since the map $z \rightarrow f(z)(s)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Delta}$ in the
classical sense. Then by [4, p. 4] and Minkowski's inequality [2, p. 530, 13], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{p} & \leq \int_{S}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}(s)\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d \mu(s) \\
& \leq \int_{S}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)(s)\right|^{2} d \theta\right)^{p / 2} d \mu(s) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|^{2} d \theta\right)^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and clearly (4) follows.
Inequality (5) follows easily from Hölder's inequality and (4). Finally, (6) follows similarly from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r} x^{n-1} \leq \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{(1-x)^{r+1}}, \quad 0<x<1,1<r, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is a consequence of the binomial theorem and

$$
(n+1)^{r} x^{n} \leq \Gamma(r+1)(-1)^{n}\binom{-r-1}{n} x^{n}
$$

which holds since

$$
\frac{(n+1)!(n+1)^{r-1}}{(r-1) r \cdots(r+n)} \leq \Gamma(r-1)
$$

by [8, p. 160]. (The number $\Gamma(r+1)$ is the best constant for which (7) holds for all $0<x<1$ by [ 1, p. 466].)

Other function-theoretic inequalities derived from interpolation theory are given in [5].
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