SOME RESULTS ON THE CENTER OF AN ALGEBRA OF OPERATORS ON VN(G) FOR THE HEISENBERG GROUP

C. CECCHINI AND A. ZAPPA

1. Introduction. Let G be an amenable locally compact group. We will use the terminology of [3] and denote by VN(G) the Von Neumann algebra of the regular representation and by A(G) its predual, which is the algebra of the coefficients of the regular representation. The Von Neumann algebra VN(G) is, in a natural fashion, a module with respect to A(G) [3].

The algebra \mathscr{A} of bounded linear operators on VN(G), which commute with the action of A(G), has been studied in [6] and in [1]. If $UCB(\hat{G})$ is the space of the elements of VN(G) of the form vT, for some v in A(G)and some T in VN(G) (see for instance [4]), in [6] and in [1] it is proved that, for any amenable locally compact group there exists an isometric bijection between \mathscr{A} and $UCB(\hat{G})^*$. In these papers it is also proved that the algebra B(G) of multipliers of A(G), which is isomorphic to the subalgebra \mathscr{R} of \mathscr{A} of the w^* -continuous operators of \mathscr{A} , is contained in the center $\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of \mathscr{A} .

The following conjecture appears natural: $\mathscr{Z}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is isomorphic to B(G).

The conjecture is motivated, as well as by the previous inclusion, by the result obtained in [10] for the case $G = \mathbf{R}$.

In [10] the result is obtained making essential use of the usual order of the real line and, therefore, of the total order structure induced by the order of **R** in the set of the irreducible representations of **R**.

In this paper we focus our attention on the Heisenberg group. For this group the set of the irreducible representations U_{λ} of G, with $\lambda \neq 0$, has a total order structure induced by **R** [9], as in the case of **R**; therefore we can apply a non-commutative version of the techniques used in [10] to this special group. By doing so, we are able to prove the required statement for a class of operators of \mathscr{A} , which includes those corresponding to positive functionals on $UCB(\hat{G})$.

This limitation however appears quite natural, in view of the techniques used in the proof, as will be seen in the conclusion, and might be seen in

Received July 29, 1980 and in revised form March 19, 1981. The work of the first author was partially supported by the Italian National Research Council through G.N.A.F.A. and Laboratorio Matematica Applicata while that of the second author was partially supported by the Italian National Research Council through G.N.A.F.A.

itself as a support to the main conjecture. In fact no additional noncommutative problems arise in the proof.

In Section 2 some preliminaries, true for the general case of locally compact amenable groups, are given. Section 3 is devoted to the necessary applications of the direct integration theory for algebras and groups representations, for the particular case of the Heisenberg group. In Section 4 our main result is given and in Section 5 some concluding remarks and comments are made.

2. Some preliminaries.

LEMMA (2.1). $UCB(\hat{G})$ is the norm-closure of the set of the compact support operators in VN(G).

Proof. See [3], p. 227.

PROPOSITION (2.1). $UCB(\hat{G})$ is a C*-algebra.

Proof. See [5], Proposition 2, p. 65.

Let us recall that we can associate to every positive bounded linear functional Φ on a C*-algebra A a representation π_{Φ} of the algebra, in the following way:

(2.1)
$$(\Phi, T) = \langle \pi_{\Phi}(T)\xi_1 | \xi_2 \rangle$$
, for all $T \in A$,

where ξ_1 , $\xi_2 \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}$ are totalizing vectors for π_{Φ} . This follows from [2], Theorems 2.4.4, 12.1.3, 12.2.4.

Let \mathscr{A} be the algebra of bounded linear operators on VN(G), which commute with the action of A(G); let also $UCB(\hat{G})^*$ be the dual space of the C^* -algebra $UCB(\hat{G})$. The isometric bijection σ between \mathscr{A} and $UCB(\hat{G})^*$ is defined by

 $(2.2) \quad (\sigma(\Phi)(T), v) = (\Phi, vT),$

for all $T \in VN(G)$, $v \in A(G)$, $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ (see [1] and [6]).

From now on, we shall write $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$, to denote that $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$, $\sigma(\Phi) \in \mathscr{R}$.

PROPOSITION (2.2). The functionals $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ are w^{*}-dense in UCB(\hat{G})^{*}.

Proof. Since $A(G) \subset B(G) \sim \mathcal{R}$, we have $UCB(\hat{G}) \subset VN(G) \sim A(G)^* \subset B(G)^*$ and also $UCB(\hat{G}) \subset B(G)^{**}$. Since the unit ball of B(G) is w^* -dense in the unit ball of $B(G)^{**}$, for all Φ in $UCB(\hat{G})^*$ there is $\{\Phi_{\alpha}\} \subset B(G)$, such that

$$(\Phi_{\alpha} - \Phi, x) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$$
, for all $x \in B(G)^*$,

and therefore in particular for all $x \in UCB(\hat{G})$.

The next proposition characterizes the representations of $UCB(\hat{G})$ corresponding to $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$.

PROPOSITION (2.3). Let $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$; let π_{Φ} be the representation of $UCB(\hat{G})$ associated with Φ . Then $\Phi \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if for all $\{T_{\alpha}\} \subset VN(G)$, such that $T_{\alpha} \to 0$ in the w*-topology and for all $w \in A(G)$,

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT_{\alpha})\lambda|\eta\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} 0, \quad \forall \lambda, \eta \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}$$

Proof. If (2.3) holds, then for all $w \in A(G)$

$$(\sigma(\Phi)(T_{\alpha}), w) = (\Phi, wT_{\alpha}) = \langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT_{\alpha})\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} 0,$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}$ are totalizers for π_{Φ} . Then $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$.

In order to prove the converse implication, let us prove that if $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ then (2.3) is satisfied for all $w \in A(G)$ and for all $\{T_{\alpha}\} \subset VN(G)$, $T_{\alpha} \to 0$ in the *w**-topology, such that $||T_{\alpha}|| \leq 1$, $\forall \alpha$. Indeed, if this property is satisfied, then the functional $\Psi \in VN(G)^*$ defined by setting

(2.4)
$$(\Psi, T) = \langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT)\lambda | \eta \rangle$$
, for $\lambda, \eta \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}, w \in A(G)$ fixed,

is w-continuous on $[VN(G)]_1$ and therefore ultraweakly continuous on VN(G). On the other hand, for VN(G) weak and ultraweak continuity coincide, and therefore Ψ is w-continuous on VN(G) and the thesis is proved. Then let

$$\{T_{\alpha}\} \subset VN(G), \|T_{\alpha}\| \leq 1 \ \forall \alpha, T_{\alpha} \to 0 \text{ in the } w^*\text{-topology.}$$

(a). Let $\lambda = \pi_{\Phi}(A)\xi_1$, $\eta = \pi_{\Phi}(B)\xi_2$, with A, B with compact support on G. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $u \in A(G)$ with compact support such that $\|u - w\|_{A(G)} < \epsilon$. Then, for all α , the support of uT_{α} is compact and

$$\|uT_{\alpha}-wT_{\alpha}\|_{A(G)}<\epsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT_{\alpha})\lambda | \eta \rangle| &= |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{+}(wT_{\alpha})A)\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{+}(uT_{\alpha})A)\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &+ |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{+}(wT_{\alpha} - uT_{\alpha})A)\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{+}(uT_{\alpha})A)\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| + ||\pi_{\Phi}|| ||B|| ||A|| \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since the support of $B^+(uT_{\alpha})A$ is contained, for all α , in a compact K (independent from α), we have

$$B^+(uT_{\alpha})A = v(B^+(uT_{\alpha})A)$$
 for all α ,

if $v \in A(G)$ and v(x) = 1 for $x \in K$. Since $B^+(uT_\alpha)A \to 0$ in the

 w^* -topology, then by w^* -continuity of $\sigma(\Phi)$,

$$\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^+(uT_{\alpha})A)\xi_1|\xi_2\rangle = (\Phi, v(B^+(uT_{\alpha})A))$$

= $(\sigma(\Phi)(B^+(uT_{\alpha})A), v) \to 0.$

(b). Let now $\lambda = \pi_{\Phi}(A)\xi_1$, $\eta = \pi_{\Phi}(B)\xi_2$, with A, B in $UCB(\hat{G})$; for $\epsilon > 0$, let A', $B' \in UCB(\hat{G})$ with compact support, such that $||A - A'|| < \epsilon$, $||B - B'|| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT_{\alpha})\lambda|\eta\rangle| &= |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{+}(wT_{\alpha})A)\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{\prime+}(wT_{\alpha})A^{\prime})\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &+ |\langle \pi_{\Phi}((B-B^{\prime})^{+}(wT_{\alpha})(A-A^{\prime}))\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &+ |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{\prime+}(wT_{\alpha})A^{\prime})\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{\prime+}(wT_{\alpha})A^{\prime})\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{\prime+}(wT_{\alpha})A^{\prime})\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &+ ||\pi_{\Phi}|| (||B-B^{\prime}|| ||A-A^{\prime}||) ||wT_{\alpha}|| \\ &\leq |\langle \pi_{\Phi}(B^{\prime+}(wT_{\alpha})A^{\prime})\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle| \\ &+ ||\pi_{\Phi}|| ||W||_{A(G)}(\epsilon + ||A^{\prime}|| + ||B^{\prime}||) \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\{\pi_{\Phi}(A)\xi_1, A \in UCB(\hat{G})\}, \{\pi_{\Phi}(B)\xi_2, B \in UCB(\hat{G})\}\$ are dense in $\mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}$, from (b) the required property follows.

Let $\Phi \in UBC(\hat{G})^*$ and let π_{Φ} be the canonically associated representation of $UCB(\hat{G})$; let us denote by $\pi_{\Phi}{}^{\sigma}$ the representation of the group G, which is the restriction to $\{U_x, x \in G\}$ of π_{Φ} . From Proposition (2.3) we have:

COROLLARY (2.1). Let $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$. Then $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ if and only if π_{Φ} is canonically defined by $\pi_{\Phi}{}^G$, in the sense that, for all $\lambda, \eta \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}, w \in A(G)$, the functional $\Psi \in VN(G)^*$ defined by

 $(\Psi, T) = \langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT)\lambda | \eta \rangle$

is obtained extending by w*-continuity and linearity its restriction to $\{U_x, x \in G\}$.

Proof. If the functional Ψ is obtained extending by w^* -continuity and linearity its restriction to $\{U_x, x \in G\}$, then $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$, by Proposition (2.3). Let us prove the converse implication. The linear space spanned by the set $\{U_x, x \in G\}$ is w^* -dense in VN(G); therefore, for any $T \in VN(G)$, there is $\{T_\alpha\} \subset VN(G)$, such that

$$T_{\alpha} = \sum_{x \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} c_x^{\alpha} U_x,$$

where $c_x^{\alpha} \in \mathbf{C}$ and Γ_{α} is a finite subset of G and $T_{\alpha} \to T$ weakly. If $w \in A(G)$, we have

$$wT_{\alpha} = \sum_{x \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} c_x^{\alpha} v(x) U_x.$$

By Proposition (2.3), if $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$, then for all $\lambda, \eta \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi_{\Phi}}$

$$\langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT_{\alpha})\lambda|\eta\rangle = \sum_{x\in\Gamma_{\alpha}} c_x^{\alpha} v(x) \langle \pi_{\Phi}(U_x)\lambda|\eta\rangle \rightarrow \langle \pi_{\Phi}(wT)\lambda|\eta\rangle.$$

Hence π_{Φ} is defined by $\{\pi_{\Phi}(U_x), x \in G\}$.

3. Some facts on the Heisenberg group. Let G be the Heisenberg group; if we denote an element of G by [x, y, z], where $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$, then for [x, y, z], $[x', y', z'] \in G$

$$[x, y, z] [x', y', z'] = [x + x', y + y', z + xy' + z'].$$

Let us recall [9] that for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, the map U_{λ} of G into $\mathscr{B}(L^{2}(\mathbf{R}))$ defined by

(3.1) $(U_{\lambda}([x, y, z]) f)(t) = e^{i\lambda(z+iy)} f(t+x),$

with $t \in \mathbf{R}, f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, $[x, y, z] \in G$, is an unitary continuous irreducible representation of G; furthermore every unitary irreducible representation (of dimension > 1) of G is unitarily equivalent to U_{λ} , for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$.

LEMMA (3.1). The following decomposition in direct integral holds: (i).

(3.2)
$$L^2(G) = \int^{\oplus} \mathscr{H}_{\lambda} d\lambda,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda} = L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and $d\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R} ;

(ii). For every
$$T \in VN(G)$$

(3.3) $T = \int^{\oplus} T_{\lambda} d\lambda$,

where for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, $(VN(G))_{\lambda} = \mathscr{B}(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$, that is the set of all bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$;

(iii). For every $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$, the center of VN(G),

(3.4)
$$T = \int^{\oplus} t(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda,$$

where $t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$; (iv).

$$(3.5) \qquad U = \int^{\oplus} U_{\lambda} d\lambda$$

Proof. By Proposition 18.7.7 of [2] and by the characterization of the dual \hat{G} of the Heisenberg group, [9], there exists a measure $d\lambda$ on **R** such that (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) hold. We note in particular that, for every $z \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$(3.6) \qquad U_{[0,0,z]} f_{\lambda} = e^{i\lambda z} f_{\lambda},$$

where $f_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda}$ for every λ . Since the irreducible representation of G for which (3.6) holds is unique, for $\lambda \neq 0, \mathscr{H}_{\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, for all $\lambda \neq 0$, as is well known from the general theory, and (3.5) holds.

Let us prove that $d\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on **R**. Let $v \in A(G)$ and let $f, g \in L^2(G)$ such that

$$v([x, y, z]) = \langle f | U_{[x, y, z]} g \rangle$$

for every $[x, y, z] \in G$. Then, by the above decomposition,

$$v([x, y, z]) = \left\langle \int^{\oplus} f_{\lambda} d\lambda \middle| \int^{\oplus} U_{\lambda}([x, y, z]) g_{\lambda} d\lambda \right\rangle$$
$$= \int^{\oplus} \left\langle f_{\lambda} \middle| U_{\lambda}(x, y, z) g_{\lambda} \right\rangle d\lambda = \int \int \overline{f_{\lambda}(t)} e^{i\lambda(z+iy)} g_{\lambda}(t+x) dt d\lambda.$$

Let us set $x = \bar{x}$, $y = \bar{y}$ and $\psi(z) = v([\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z])$; we have, for almost all \bar{x} , \bar{y} , that $\psi(z) \in A(G)$ and

$$\psi(z) = \int \int f_{\lambda}(t) e^{i\lambda(z+\bar{i}y)} g_{\lambda}(t+\bar{x}) dt d\lambda = \int e^{i\lambda z} \hat{\psi}(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where

$$\hat{\psi}(\lambda) = \int \overline{f_{\lambda}(t)} e^{i \, \bar{t} \bar{y} \lambda} g_{\lambda}(t + \bar{x}) dt.$$

This relation expresses the ordinary Fourier transform on the real line, and therefore $d\lambda$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on **R**.

Let us prove finally that $(VN(G))_{\lambda} = \mathscr{B}(L^{2}(\mathbf{R}))$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Indeed it is easy to check that, if $\lambda \neq 0$, $(VN(G))_{\lambda}$ contains the operators on $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ of the form

$$u(x) f(t) = f(t+x), v(k) f(t) = e^{ikt} f(t) \text{ for all } x, k \in \mathbf{R}.$$

It is easy to check that the commutant of u(x) and v(k) is e^{ikx} . By a well known result (see for its most general form [8]) $(VN(G))_{\lambda}$ coincides with $\mathscr{B}(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$.

LEMMA (3.2). (i). Let $\mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}, \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ denote the center of $UCB(\hat{G})$ and VN(G) respectively. Then

$$\mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})} = \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)} \cap UCB(\hat{G});$$

(ii). If
$$T \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}, v \in A(G)$$
, then $vT \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{\sigma})};$
(iii). Let $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)},$
 $T = \int^{\oplus} t(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda;$

then $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$ if and only if $t \in C_u(\mathbf{R})$, that is the set of the uniformly continuous bounded functions on \mathbf{R} ;

(iv). If $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$, there are $v_0 \in A(G)$, $T_0 \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ such that $T = v_0T_0$.

Proof. (i). If $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$, then T commutes with $\{U_x, x \in G\}$, generating VN(G). The converse is obvious.

(ii). By the above decomposition of VN(G), for all $v \in A(G)$, we can write

$$v = \int^{\oplus} v_{\lambda} d\lambda,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $d\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R} and $v_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}_{\lambda})*$.

Let us set $A_{v}(\lambda) = (v_{\lambda}, I_{\lambda})$ for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$; it is easy to see that $A_{v} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$; moreover, if $w \in A(G)$, then

 $(3.7) A_{vw} = A_v * A_w.$

Indeed, for $z \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$(U_{[0,0,z]}, vw) = (U_{[0,0,z]}, v)(U_{[0,0,z]}, w)$$

= $\int \int e^{i(\mu+\nu)z} A_{\nu}(\mu) A_{w}(\nu) d\mu d\nu = \int \int e^{i\lambda z} A_{\nu}(\mu) A_{w}(\lambda - \mu) d\lambda d\mu$
= $\int e^{i\lambda z} (A_{\nu} * A_{w})(\lambda) d\lambda$,

where $\lambda = \mu + \nu$. On the other hand, by definition,

$$(U_{[0,0,z]},vw) = \int e^{i\lambda z} A_{vw}(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

and since z is arbitrary, (3.7) follows.

$$T \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)},$$
$$T = \int^{\oplus} t(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda,$$

If

 $v \in A(G)$, let us consider $vT \in UCB(\hat{G})$. For all $w \in A(G)$ we have

$$(vT, w) = (T, vw) = \int t(\lambda)A_{vw}(\lambda)d\lambda$$
$$= \int t(\lambda)(A_v * A_w)(\lambda)d\lambda = \int \int t(\lambda)A_v(\mu - \lambda)A_w(\mu)d\lambda d\mu$$
$$= \int (t * \tilde{A}_v)(\mu)A_w(\mu)d\mu = (S, w)$$

where $\widetilde{A}_{v}(\mu) = A_{v}(-\mu)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, and $S = \int^{\oplus} (t * \widetilde{A}_{v})(\mu) I_{\mu} d\mu.$

From this equality, true for every $w \in A(G)$, it follows that vT = S. Since $S \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$, we conclude that $vT \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$.

(iii). Let $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$,

$$T=\int^{\oplus}t(\lambda)I_{\lambda}d\lambda;$$

let us prove that $t \in C_u(\mathbf{R})$. Let $v_0 \in A(G)$ be an approximate identity on A(G). For all α , $v_{\alpha}T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$, by (ii), and hence

$$v_{\alpha}T = \int^{\oplus} t_{\alpha}(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda.$$

Let us prove that $t_{\alpha} \in C_u(\mathbf{R})$. For all $w \in A(G)$, we have, with the notation in (ii),

$$(v_{\alpha}T,w) = (T,v_{\alpha}w) = \int t(\lambda)A_{v_{\alpha}w}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int (t*\widetilde{A}_{v_{\alpha}})(\mu)A_{w}(\mu)d\mu.$$

On the other hand

$$(v_{\alpha}T,w) = \int t_{\alpha}(\mu)A_w(\mu)d\mu.$$

Then, since w is arbitrary in A(G), we have $t_{\alpha}(\mu) = (t * \tilde{A}_{v_{\alpha}})(\mu)$, for a.e. $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$, $A_{v_{\alpha}} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$, it follows that $t_{\alpha} \in C_{u}(\mathbf{R})$. This implies that $t \in C_{u}(\mathbf{R})$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{\alpha}T - T\| &= \|v_{\alpha}v_{0}T_{0} - v_{0}T_{0}\| = \|(v_{\alpha}v_{0} - v_{0})T_{0}\| \\ &\leq \|v_{\alpha}v_{0} - v_{0}\|_{A}\|T_{0}\| \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $||t_{\alpha} - t||_{\infty} \to 0$.

Conversely, let us suppose that $t \in C_u(\mathbf{R})$. If $\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$, $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ satisfy $t = \phi * \tilde{\psi}$, we define $v_0 \in A(G)$ and $T_0 \in VN(G)$ in the following way:

$$egin{aligned} &v_0=\int^{\oplus}v_{0\lambda}d\lambda, & ext{where } (v_{0\lambda},\,I_\lambda)\,=\,\phi(\lambda) ext{ for a.e. }\lambda\in \mathbf{R}, \ &T_0=\int^{\oplus}\psi(\lambda)\,I_\lambda d\lambda; \end{aligned}$$

then $T = v_0 T_0$.

(iv). This follows immediately from (iii). We note that it is possible to choose $v_0 \in A(G)$ such that

$$\|v_0\|_{A(G)} = \|A_{v_0}\|_{L'(\mathbf{R})}.$$

From Theorem 2.9 in [7] it follows immediately that every unitary representation of G can be written as

(3.8)
$$\pi = \int^{\oplus} U_{\lambda} dm(\lambda).$$

Let us consider $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ and the canonically associated representation of $UCB(\hat{G})$, π_{Φ} ; from Theorem 8.5.1 of [2], it is possible to write π_{Φ} as a direct integral of irreducible representations of $UCB(\hat{G})$:

(3.9)
$$\pi_{\Phi} = \int^{\oplus} \pi^{\tau} dm(\tau).$$

From Corollary (2.1) and (3.8), (3.9) it follows immediately that:

COROLLARY (3.1). Let $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})$, π_{Φ} the canonically associated representation of $UCB(\hat{G})$ and $\pi_{\Phi}{}^{G}$ its restriction to G. Then $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$ if and only if

(3.10)
$$\pi_{\Phi} = \int^{\oplus} \pi^{\tau} dm(\tau)$$

where $dm(\tau)$ is supported on the set of irreducible representations π^{λ} of the algebra obtained extending the group representation U_{λ} , for some $\lambda \neq 0$.

Proof. This is immediate if we note that π_{Φ} is irreducible if and only if $\pi_{\Phi}{}^{G}$ is also.

Remark (3.1). Let us denote by \mathscr{S} the set of the functionals $\Phi \notin \mathscr{R}$, such that the support of the measure $dm(\tau)$ in (3.9) has void intersection with the set of the irreducible representations π^{λ} of the algebra $UCB(\hat{G})$, obtained by extending the group representations U_{λ} , for some $\lambda \neq 0$.

If $\Phi \notin \mathscr{R}$, it is possible to write

$$(3.11) \quad \Phi = \Phi' + \Phi'',$$

where $\Phi' \in \mathscr{S}, \Phi'' \in \mathscr{R}$.

Remark (3.2). For every $a \in \mathbf{R}$, let us denote by \mathcal{M}_a (respectively \mathcal{L}_a) the set of the functionals $\Phi \in \mathcal{R}$ such that the measure $dm(\lambda)$ in (3.8) is supported on the interval $[a, +\infty)$ (respectively $(-\infty, a]$).

PROPOSITION (3.1). Let $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist Φ_M , $\Phi_L \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ such that

(i)
$$\Phi_M \in \tilde{\mathscr{M}}_{\lambda_0+\delta}^{(w)}, \Phi_L \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{\lambda_0-\delta}^{(w)}, \text{ for some } \lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}, \delta > 0;$$

(ii) $\|\Phi - (\Phi_M + \Phi_L)\| < \epsilon.$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$; let also $\{a_i\}$ be a increasing sequence of **R**, such that

 $a_i - a_{i-1} > 2\delta$. For every *i*, we define $r_i \in C_u(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$r_{i}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ for } a_{i} - \delta/2 < \lambda < a_{i} + \delta/2 \\ 0 & , \text{ for } \lambda < a_{i} - \delta, \lambda > a_{i} + \delta \\ \text{linear, for } a_{i} - \delta \leq \lambda \leq a_{i} - \delta/2, \\ & a_{i} + \delta/2 \leq \lambda \leq a_{i} + \delta \end{cases}$$

and $R_i \in UCB(\hat{G})$ by

$$R_i = \int^{\oplus} r_i(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda$$

and also Ψ_t , $\Psi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ by

$$(\Psi_i, T) = (\Phi, R_i T)$$

 $(\Psi, T) = (\Phi, \sum R_i T)$, for all $T \in UCB(\hat{G})$.

Since $\sum \|\Psi_i\| = \|\Psi\| \leq \|\Phi\|$, then, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $\delta > 0$, there exists some \overline{i} , such that $\|\Psi_{\overline{i}}\| < \epsilon$; let $\lambda_0 = a_{\overline{i}}$.

Let us define $q_M, q_L \in C_u(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$q_{M}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 &, \text{ for } \lambda > \lambda_{0} + \delta \\ 0 &, \text{ for } \lambda_{0} + \delta/2 > \lambda \\ \text{ linear, for } \lambda_{0} + \delta/2 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{0} + \delta \end{cases}$$
$$q_{L}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 &, \text{ for } \lambda < \lambda_{0} - \delta \\ 0 &, \text{ for } \lambda > \lambda_{0} - \delta/2 \\ \text{ linear, for } \lambda_{0} - \delta \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{0} - \delta/2 \end{cases}$$

and $Q_M, Q_L \in UCB(\hat{G})$ by

$$Q_M = \int^{\oplus} q_M(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda, \quad Q_L = \int^{\oplus} q_L(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda.$$

The functionals Φ_M , $\Phi_L \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ such that

$$(\Phi_M, T) = (\Phi, Q_M T), (\Phi_L, T) = (\Phi, Q_L T) \text{ for all } T \in UCB(\hat{G})$$

are the required functionals. Indeed (i) is an immediate consequence of the definition and (ii) follows from the identity

 $\Phi - (\Phi_M + \Phi_L) = \Psi_{\tilde{i}}.$

4. The main results.

LEMMA (4.1). Let $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$, $\Phi \neq 0$. If $\Phi \in \mathscr{M}_{\lambda_0}$, for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, then for every $T \in UCB(\hat{G})$ such that $T_{\lambda} = 0$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we have $(\Phi, T) = 0$.

Proof. If ξ_1 , ξ_2 are totalizing vectors for π_{Φ} , then, since $\Phi \in \mathscr{R}$,

$$(\Phi, T) = \langle \pi_{\Phi}(T)\xi_{1}|\xi_{2}\rangle = \int \langle \pi_{\lambda}(T)\xi_{1\lambda}|\xi_{2\lambda}\rangle dm(\lambda),$$

where the integral is given by the direct decomposition of π_{Φ} , as in [2], $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\pi_{\lambda}(T)$ are the irreducible direct integrands of $\pi_{\Phi}(T)$ and $\xi_{i\lambda}$ (i = 1, 2) are the vectors in \mathscr{H}_{λ} such that

$$\xi_i = \int^{\oplus} \xi_{i\lambda} dm(\lambda), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Since, for every λ , we have $\pi_{\lambda}(T) = T_{\lambda}$, then

$$(\Phi, T) = \int \langle T_{\lambda} \xi_{1\lambda} | \xi_{2\lambda} \rangle dm(\lambda)$$

and since $\langle T_{\lambda}\xi_{1\lambda}|\xi_{2\lambda}\rangle$ is supported on $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, the above integral is null.

LEMMA (4.2). Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, $f_{\lambda_0} \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, $||f_{\lambda_0}||_2 = 1$. For every $v \in A(G)$ and for all $[x, y, z] \in G$, let

(4.1)
$$v_{\lambda_0}([x, y, z]) = v([x, y, z])e^{i\lambda_0 z} \int f_{\lambda_0}(t)e^{i\lambda_0 ty}f_{\lambda_0}(t+x)dt.$$

Then $v_{\lambda_0} \in A(G)$.

Proof. Let $v \in A(G)$, and $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)} \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$v([x, y, z]) = \langle v^{(1)} | U_{[x, y, z]} v^{(2)} \rangle$$
, for all $[x, y, z] \in G$.

Moreover, for $i = 1, 2, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, let $v_{\lambda}{}^{(i)} \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$v^{(i)} = \int v_{\lambda}{}^{(i)} d\lambda.$$

We have, by definition, for all $[x, y, z] \in G$,

$$v_{\lambda_0}([x, y, z]) = \langle v^{(1)} | U_{[x, y, z]} v^{(2)} \rangle \langle f_{\lambda_0} | U_{\lambda_0}([x, y, z]) f_{\lambda_0} \rangle.$$

If $U \otimes U_{\lambda_0}$ is the tensor product of the regular representation U and U_{λ_0} , then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\lambda_{0}}([x, y, z]) &= \langle v^{(1)} \otimes f_{\lambda_{0}} | (U \otimes U_{\lambda_{0}}) ([x, y, z]) (v^{(2)} \otimes f_{\lambda_{0}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle v^{(1)} \otimes f_{\lambda_{0}} | U([x, y, z]) v^{(2)} \otimes U_{\lambda_{0}} ([x, y, z]) f_{\lambda_{0}} \rangle \\ &= \int \langle v_{\lambda}^{(1)} \otimes f_{\lambda_{0}} | U_{\lambda}([x, y, z]) v_{\lambda}^{(2)} \otimes U_{\lambda_{0}} ([x, y, z]) f_{\lambda_{0}} \rangle d\lambda \\ &= \int d\lambda \int \int \overline{v_{\lambda}^{(1)}(t)} f_{\lambda_{0}}(s) e^{i\lambda(z+ty)} e^{i\lambda_{0}(z+sy)} v_{\lambda}^{(2)}(t+x) f_{\lambda_{0}}(s+x) \\ &\times ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $r = (\lambda t + \lambda_0 s)/(\lambda + \lambda_0)$, w = s - t, we have

For a.e. $\lambda, w \in \mathbf{R}$ (namely for $\lambda \neq -\lambda_0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$) let $g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(1)}, g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(2)}$ be the functions of $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(i)}(r) = v_{\lambda}^{(i)}\left(r - \frac{\lambda_0}{(\lambda+\lambda_0)}w\right)f_{\lambda_0}\left(r + \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda+\lambda_0)}w\right), \quad i = 1, 2$$

and let $g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}$ be the linear continuous functionals on $\mathscr{B}(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$ such that

$$(g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w},T) = \langle g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(1)} | Tg_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(2)} \rangle, \text{ for all } T \in \mathscr{B}(L^2(\mathbf{R})).$$

Let us show that for a.e. $\lambda \in {\boldsymbol{R}}$

~

$$\int ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}||dw < ||v_{\lambda}||_{(A(G))_{\lambda}}.$$

Indeed, for a.e. $w \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $T \in \mathscr{B}(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$,

 $|(g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}, T)| \leq ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(1)}||_2 ||Tg_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(2)}||_2 \leq ||T|| ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(1)}||_2 ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}^{(2)}||_2$ and hence

$$\begin{split} \int ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}||dw \\ &= \int \sup \{|(g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w},T)|, \text{ for } T \in \mathscr{B}(L^{2}(\mathbf{R})), ||T|| \leq 1\} dw \\ &\leq \int ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}^{(1)}||_{2} ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}^{(2)}||_{2} dw \\ &\leq \left(\int ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}^{(1)}||_{2}^{2} dw\right)^{1/2} \left(\int ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}^{(2)}||_{2}^{2} dw\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int \int (g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}^{(1)}(r))^{2} dw dr\right)^{1/2} \left(\int \int (g_{\lambda+\lambda_{0},w}^{(2)}(r))^{2} dw dr\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int \int (v_{\lambda}^{(1)}(t) f_{\lambda_{0}}(s))^{2} dt ds\right)^{1/2} \left(\int \int (v_{\lambda}^{(2)}(t) f_{\lambda_{0}}(s))^{2} dt ds\right)^{1/2} \\ &= ||v_{\lambda}^{(1)}||_{2} ||v_{\lambda}^{(2)}||_{2}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma (3.1) (ii), $||v_{\lambda}^{(1)}||_{2}||v_{\lambda}^{(2)}||_{2} = ||v_{\lambda}||$ and the inequality follows. Then, for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, the integral

$$\int g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}dw$$

is finite and has value in $\mathscr{B}(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$. Set

$$g_{\lambda+\lambda_0}=\int g_{\lambda+\lambda_0,w}dw.$$

By Lemma (3.1) (ii), for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $g_{\lambda+\lambda_0} \in (VN(G))_{\lambda}$; then there exists $g \in VN(G)^*$ such that, for all $T \in VN(G)$,

$$(g, T) = \int (g_{\lambda}, T_{\lambda}) d\lambda$$
, if $T = \int^{\oplus} T_{\lambda} d\lambda$.

Let us prove that $g \in A(G)$. Let us notice that, for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $||g_{\lambda+\lambda_0}|| \leq ||v_{\lambda}||$. Hence

$$\int ||g_{\lambda+\lambda_0}||d\lambda \leq \int ||v_{\lambda}||d\lambda = ||v||_{A(G)}.$$

On the other hand, for $[x, y, z] \in G$, $\lambda \neq -\lambda_0$,

$$\begin{split} v_{\lambda_0}([x, y, z]) &= \int d\lambda \int \langle g_{\lambda+\lambda_0, w}^{(1)} | U_{\lambda+\lambda_0}([x, y, z]) g_{\lambda+\lambda_0, w}^{(2)} \rangle dw \\ &= \int d\lambda \int (g_{\lambda+\lambda_0, w}, U_{\lambda+\lambda_0}([x, y, z]) dw \\ &= \int d\lambda (g_{\lambda+\lambda_0}, U_{\lambda+\lambda_0}([x, y, z])) = \int (g_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}([x, y, z]) d\lambda \\ &= (g, U([x, y, z])) = g([x, y, z]). \end{split}$$

Therefore $v_{\lambda_0} \in A(G)$.

PROPOSITION (4.1). Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $f_{\lambda_0} \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, $||f_{\lambda_0}||_2 = 1$. Let $\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})$ be the map in VN(G) defined by

$$(4.2) \quad (\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T), v) = (T, v_{\lambda_0}), \text{ for all } T \in VN(G).$$

Then

(i)
$$\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda}) \in \mathscr{A}$$
;
(ii) If $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$, then $\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T) \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ and if
 $T = \int^{\oplus} t(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda$

is the direct decomposition of T, then

(4.3)
$$\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T) = \int^{\oplus} t(\lambda + \lambda_0) I_{\lambda} d\lambda.$$

Proof. By definition, Ψ_{λ_0} is bounded and linear and $\|\Psi_{\lambda_0}\| \leq 1$. If $u, v \in A(G)$ then

$$(u\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T), v) \ (\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T), uv) = (T, (uv)_{\lambda_0}) \\ = (T, u(v_{\lambda_0})) = (uT, v_{\lambda_0}) = (\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(uT), v).$$

Therefore $\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0}) \in \mathscr{A}$.

(ii). Let $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ and

$$T=\int^{\oplus}t(\lambda)I_{\lambda}d\lambda,$$

with $t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. Then, for all $v \in A(G)$,

$$(\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T), v) = (T, v_{\lambda_0}) = \int (g_{\lambda+\lambda_0}, t(\lambda + \lambda_0) I_{\lambda+\lambda_0}) d\lambda.$$

On the other hand

$$(g_{\lambda+\lambda_0}, I_{\lambda+\lambda_0}) = \int dw (g_{\lambda+\lambda_0, w}, I_{\lambda+\lambda_0}) = \int \langle g_{\lambda+\lambda_0, w}^{(1)} | g_{\lambda+\lambda_0, w}^{(2)} \rangle dw$$
$$= \int \int \overline{v_{\lambda}^{(1)}(t) f_{\lambda_0}(s)} v_{\lambda}^{(2)}(t) f_{\lambda_0}(s) dt ds = \langle v_{\lambda}^{(1)} | v_{\lambda}^{(2)} \rangle = (v_{\lambda}, I_{\lambda})$$

and so

$$(\sigma(\Psi_{\lambda_0})(T), v) = \int t(\lambda + \lambda_0)(v_{\lambda}, I_{\lambda})d\lambda = (S, v),$$

where $S \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ and $S_{\lambda} = t(\lambda + \lambda_0)I_{\lambda}$, for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

THEOREM (4.1). Let $\Phi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$, $\Phi \notin \mathscr{R}$. If $\Phi = \Phi' + \Phi''$, where $\Phi' \in \mathscr{S}$, $\Phi'' \in \mathscr{R}$, and Φ' is not zero on $\mathscr{L}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$, then there exist $S_0 \in VN(G), \Psi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma(\Psi) \ \sigma(\Phi) \ (S_0) \neq \sigma(\Phi) \cdot \sigma(\Psi) \ (S_0).$$

Proof. Let us prove the theorem for $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$. Indeed, if $\Phi = \Phi' + \Phi''$, where $\Phi'' \neq 0$, then Φ is central if and only if Φ' is also.

(a). Let us suppose $\Phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_a^{(w)}$, for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$. We choose, for example, a = 0 (if $a \neq 0$ the proof is the same).

Let $T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$ such that $(\Phi, T) \neq 0$; by Lemma (3.2) there are $v_0 \in A(G)$ and $T_0 \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ such that $T = v_0 T_0$. Let $t_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$T_0=\int^{\oplus}t_0(\lambda)I_{\lambda}d\lambda.$$

Take a sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^+ such that $\nu_n \to +\infty$ and define $\{\mu_n\}$ by setting

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 = \nu_1 \\ \mu_n = \mu_{n-1} + \nu_{n-1} + \nu_n, \text{ for } n = 2, 3 \dots \end{cases}$$

Let us define $S_0 \in \mathscr{Z}_{VN(G)}$ by

$$S_0=\int^{\oplus}s_0(\lambda)I_{\lambda}d\lambda$$

where $s_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ is the function

$$\begin{cases} s_0(\lambda) = 0, & \text{for } \lambda \ge 0\\ s_0(\lambda) = t_0(\lambda + \mu_n), & \text{for } -\mu_n - \nu_n < \lambda < -\mu_n + \nu_n \end{cases}$$

Let us prove that $(\Phi, vS_0) = 0$, for all $v \in A(G)$.

If $\{\Phi_{\alpha}\}$ is a sequence of \mathcal{M}_{0} such that

$$\Phi_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{(w)}{\alpha} \Phi,$$

we denote by λ_{α} the maximum positive number such that $\Phi_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{M}_{\lambda_0}$. We have $\lambda_{\alpha} \to +\infty$. Otherwise there would be a subnet $\{\lambda_{\beta}\}$ converging to some $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbf{R}$ and therefore for all β the support of the measure dm_{β} would contain $\bar{\lambda}$ and therefore the support of the measure $dm(\tau)$ associated with π_{Φ} by (3.7) would contain the representation U_{λ} , against our hypothesis.

Let now $v \in A(G)$. By Lemma (3.2),

$$S = vS_0 \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$$
 and $S = \int^{\oplus} s(\lambda) I_{\lambda} d\lambda$

where $s(\lambda) = (s_0 * \tilde{A}_v)(\lambda)$, for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

If $A_v \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$ and supp $(A_v) \subset (-\infty, K]$, then supp $(s) \subset (-\infty, K]$; therefore by Lemma (4.1) it follows that $(\Phi_{\alpha}, vS_0) = 0$, for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_K$, where α_K is such that $\lambda_{\alpha_K} \geq \lambda_K$; we conclude, by taking the limit, that $(\Phi, vS_0) = 0$. Let now supp (A_v) be not necessarily compact. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $W \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$ such that $||A_v - W||_1 < \epsilon$ and $w \in A(G)$ such that $A_w = W$. Then

$$|(\Phi, vS_0)| = |(\Phi, (v - w)S_0) + (\Phi, wS_0)|$$

= $|(\Phi, (v - w)S_0)| \le ||\Phi|| ||S_0|| ||v - w||_A.$

On the other hand $||v - w||_{A(G)} = ||A_v - W||_1$ and therefore

$$|(\Phi, vS_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, $(\Phi, vS_0) = 0$ and therefore $\sigma(\Phi)(S_0) = 0$. Let us consider, for all $n, \Psi_{-\mu_n} \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$, as defined in Proposition (4.1). Since the unit ball of $UCB(\hat{G})^*$ is compact in the w^* -topology, there is $\Psi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ such that

$$(\Psi_{-\mu_n}, vT) \xrightarrow{n} (\Psi, vT), \text{ for all } vT \in UCB(\hat{G}),$$

by taking a subnet of $\{\mu_n\}$. If $\sigma(\Psi) \in \mathscr{A}$ is the operator associated to Ψ ,

let us prove that

 $(4.5) \quad \sigma(\Psi) (S_0) = T_0.$

Indeed, we notice that, for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$s_0(\lambda - \mu_n) \xrightarrow{n} t_0(\lambda),$$

because, for $\lambda \in [-\nu_n, \nu_n)$, $s_0(\lambda - \mu_n) = t_0(\lambda)$ and, for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, it is possible to choose \bar{n} such that, for $n \ge \bar{n}$, we can choose $\lambda \in [-\nu_n, \nu_n)$. On the other hand

$$(\sigma(\Psi_{-\mu_n})(S_0), v) = \int s_0(\lambda - \mu_n)(I_\lambda, v_\lambda)d\lambda \to ((\sigma(\Psi)(S_0))_\lambda, v_\lambda)d\lambda$$
$$= (\sigma(\Psi)(S_0), v).$$

It follows then, for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$((\sigma(\Psi) (S_0))_{\lambda}, \nu_{\lambda}) = t_0(\lambda) (I_{\lambda}, \nu_{\lambda})$$

and therefore

$$(\sigma(\Psi) (S_0), v) = (T_0, v).$$

Since $v \in A(G)$ is arbitrary, (4.5) follows.

Briefly, if S_0 and ψ are defined as above, we have

(4.6)
$$\sigma(\Phi)\sigma(\Psi)(S_0) = \sigma(\Phi)(T_0) \neq 0, \ \sigma(\Psi)\sigma(\Phi)(S_0) = 0.$$

(b). If $\Phi \in \bar{\mathscr{I}}_{a}{}^{(w)}$, for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$, the proof is the same as in (a).

(c). In the general case let, for $\epsilon > 0, T \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})}, ||T|| \leq 1$ such that

 $|(\Phi, T)| \geq \sup \{ |(\Phi, S)|, S \in \mathscr{Z}_{UCB(\hat{G})} \} - \epsilon.$

We can suppose that $T = v_0 T_0$, where $v_0 \in A(G)$, $||v_0|| \leq 1$, $T_0 \in \mathscr{L}_{UCB(\hat{G})}$, $||T_0|| \leq 1$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let Φ_M , Φ_L as in Proposition (3.1), then

 $\Phi_{M} = \Phi_{M}{'} + \Phi_{M}{''}, \ \Phi_{L} = \Phi_{L}{'} + \Phi_{L}{''},$

where $\Phi_{M}', \Phi_{L}' \in \mathscr{S}, \Phi_{M}'', \Phi_{L}'' \in \mathscr{R}$ and $\Phi_{M}'' \in \tilde{\mathscr{M}_{\lambda_{0}+\delta}}, \Phi_{L}'' \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}_{\lambda_{0}-\delta}}, \Phi_{L}'' \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}_{\lambda_{0}-\delta}}, \Phi_{L}'' \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}_{\lambda_{0}-\delta}}$

From property (i) of Proposition (3.1) and since \mathscr{R} is norm closed, from the hypothesis on Φ it follows that (as necessary replacing Φ_M with Φ_L)

$$(\Phi_M', T) \neq 0, \|\Phi_M''\| < \omega(\epsilon),$$

where $\omega(\epsilon) \to 0$ for $\epsilon \to 0$. Indeed if $\Phi \in \mathscr{S}$, then the norm of the functionals $(\Phi - \Phi_{M}' - \Phi_{L}')$, Φ_{M}'' , Φ_{L}'' are small.

Then if we construct $S_0 \in VN(G)$, $\Psi \in UCB(\hat{G})^*$ for Φ_M' , as in the case (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\sigma(\Phi)\sigma(\Psi) S_0), v_0)| &= |(\Phi, T)| \ge ||\Phi_{|\mathscr{Z}}|| - \epsilon \\ &= ||\Phi_{M|\mathscr{Z}}|| + ||\Phi_{L|\mathscr{Z}}|| - \epsilon; \\ |(\sigma(\Psi)\sigma(\Phi)(S_0), v_0)| \le |(\sigma(\Psi)\sigma(\Phi_M + \Phi_L)(S_0), v_0)| + \epsilon \\ &= |(\sigma(\Psi)\sigma(\Phi_{M}'' + \Phi_L)(S_0), v_0)| + \epsilon \\ &\le ||\Phi_{L|\mathscr{Z}}|| + \epsilon + \omega(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, the result follows.

5. A concluding remark. In the abelian case of \mathbf{R} , the total order of \mathbf{R} induces a total order structure again in the set of the functions

$$\{f_{\lambda}(x) = e^{i\lambda x}, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\},\$$

seen as coefficients of the (unidimensional) irreducible representations of ${f R}.$

On the other hand, in the case of the Heisenberg group, the total order structure of the set of the irreducible representations U_{λ} of G, with $\lambda \neq 0$, induces only a partial order in the set of their coefficients, while the order induced in the set of the restrictions to the center of G of the same coefficients (not zero on \mathscr{Z}_{G}) is total. Indeed, for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, \lambda \neq 0$, the restriction to \mathscr{Z}_{G} of a coefficient of the irreducible representation U_{λ} is given by

 $\langle U_{\lambda}([0, 0, z]) f \mid g \rangle = e^{i\lambda z} \langle f \mid g \rangle,$

for $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$; therefore all the coefficients of an irreducible representation restricted to \mathscr{Z}_G are functions on \mathscr{Z}_G which differ only by a scalar factor (not zero in the above hypothesis).

In view of our techniques, it is easy to note that the difference of our result from the one obtained for $G = \mathbf{R}$ reflects these structural differences between the dual objects of the Heisenberg group and the real line.

References

- 1. C. Cecchini, Operators on VN(G) commuting with A(G), to appear in Colloquium Mathematicum.
- 2. J. Dixmier, Les C*-algèbres et leur représentations (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969).
- 3. P. Eymard, L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe locallement compact, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), 181–236.
- 4. E. Granirer, Weakly almost periodic and uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group, Trans. A. M. S. 189 (1974), 371–382.
- 5. Density theorems for some linear subspaces, Symposia Math. 22 (1977), 61-70.
- 6. A. T. Lau, Uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group, Trans. A. M. S. 251 (1979), 39–59.

- 7. G. W. Mackey, *The theory of unitary group representations* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1976).
- 8. M. Nakamura and H. Umegaki, Heisenberg's commutation relation and the Plancherel theorem, Proc. Japan Acad. 37 (1961), 239-242.
- 9. L. Pukanszky, Leçons sur les réprésentations des groupes (Dunod, Paris, 1967).
- 10. A. Zappa, The center of the convolution algebra $C_u^*(G)$, Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova 52 (1974), 71-83.

Universitá di Genova, Genova, Italy