# GEOMETRIC APPLICATIONS OF CRITICAL POINT THEORY TO SUBMANIFOLDS OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

## THOMAS E. CECIL

#### Section 0—Introduction.

In a recent paper, [6], Nomizu and Rodriguez found a geometric characterization of umbilical submanifolds  $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  in terms of the critical point behavior of a certain class of functions  $L_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ , on  $M^n$ . In that case, if  $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ ,  $x \in M^n$ , then  $L_p(x) = (d(x,p))^2$ , where d is the Euclidean distance function.

The result of Nomizu and Rodriguez can be expressed as follows. Let  $M^n$   $(n \ge 2)$  be a connected, complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ . Suppose there exists a dense subset D on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  such that every function of the form  $L_p$ ,  $p \in D$ , has index 0 or n at any of its non-degenerate critical points. Then  $M^n$  is an umbilical submanifold, that is  $M^n$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  as a Euclidean subspace,  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or a Euclidean n-sphere,  $S^n$ .

Since the set of all points  $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  such that  $L_p$  is a Morse function is a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ , the above theorem could also have been stated in terms of Morse functions of the form  $L_p$ .

In this paper, we prove results analogous to those of Nomizu and Rodriguez for submanifolds of complex projective space,  $P^m(C)$ , endowed with the standard Fubini-Study metric.

Let  $M^n$  be a complex n-dimensional submanifold of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . For  $p \in P^{n+p}(C)$ ,  $x \in M^n$ , the function  $L_p(x)$  which we define is essentially the distance in  $P^{n+p}(C)$  from p to x. In section 2, we define the concept of a focal point of  $(M^n, x)$ . We then prove an Index Theorem for  $L_p$  which states that the index of  $L_p$  at a non-degenerate critical point x is equal to the number of focal points of  $(M^n, x)$  on the geodesic in  $P^{n+p}(C)$  from x to p.

Received July 9, 1973.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant GP 28419 A1.

In the process, we find that if  $L_p(x) = \pi/2$ , then  $L_p$  has a degenerate critical point at x. Because of this, it is impossible to state the following result in terms of Morse functions of the form  $L_p$ .

Our main result is the following. Let  $M^n$   $(n \ge 2)$  be a connected, complete, complex n-dimensional Kählerian manifold which is holomorphically and isometrically immersed in  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . Assume there exists a dense subset D of  $P^{n+p}(C)$  such that every function of the form  $L_p$ ,  $p \in D$ , has index 0 or n at any of its non-degenerate critical points. Then  $M^n$  is  $P^n(C)$  or  $Q^n(C)$ . Here  $P^n(C)$  denotes a totally geodesic submanifold of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ , and  $Q^n(C)$  is the standard complex quadric hypersurface of a totally geodesic  $P^{n+1}(C) \subset P^{n+p}(C)$ .

In section 3, we prove the above result for co-dimension p=1; and in section 4, we extend the result to arbitrary co-dimensions. Section 5 is devoted to a detailed study of the interesting special case  $Q^n(C) \subset P^{n+1}(C)$ . We find, among other things, that the set of focal points is  $P^{n+1}(R)$ , a real (n+1)-dimensional projective space naturally embedded in  $P^{n+1}(C)$ .

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to his adviser, Katsumi Nomizu, for his assistance in his work.

## Section 1—Preliminaries.

We first recall the construction of the Fubini-Study metric on  $P^m(C)$  (see [4], vol. II, p. 273-78 and [7], p. 514-515, for more detail). We consider  $P^m(C)$  endowed with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 (we choose 4 instead of 1 for the curvature to make calculations easier).

Consider  $C^{m+1}$  with natural basis  $e_0, \dots, e_m$ . The natural Hermitian inner product on  $C^{m+1}$  is defined by

$$(z,w)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}z^{k}\overline{w}^{k}$$

where

$$z = \sum_{k=0}^{m} z^k e_k$$
 and  $w = \sum_{k=0}^{m} w^k e_k$ .

The Euclidean metric g on  $C^{m+1}$  is given by

$$g(z, w) = \operatorname{Re}(z, w)$$
 for  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ .

The unit sphere

$$S^{2m+1} = \{ z \in C^{m+1} | (z, z) = 1 \}$$

is a principal fibre bundle over  $P^m(C)$  with structure group  $S^1$  and projection  $\pi$ . With the natural identification between vectors tangent to  $S^{2m+1}$  and vectors in  $C^{m+1}$ , one can show that for  $z \in S^{2m+1}$ , the tangent space to  $S^{2m+1}$  at z, which we denote as  $T_z(S^{2m+1})$ , is given by

$$T_z(S^{2m+1}) = \{ w \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} | g(z, w) = 0 \}.$$

If we define  $T'_z$  by

$$T_z' = \{w \in C^{m+1} | g(z, w) = g(iz, w) = 0\}$$
 ,

then  $T_z'$  is a subspace of  $T_z(S^{2m+1})$  whose orthogonal complement is  $\{iz\}$ , the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by the vector iz. The distribution T' defines a connection in the principal fibre bundle  $S^{2m+1}(P^m(C), S^1)$ , in that  $T_z'$  is complementary to the subspace  $\{iz\}$  tangent to the fibre through z, and T' is invariant by the action of  $S^1$ . Thus the projection  $\pi$  induces a linear isomorphism  $\pi_*$  of  $T_z'$  onto  $T_{\pi(z)}(P^m(C))$ , and  $\pi_*$  maps  $\{iz\}$  into 0 for each  $z \in S^{2m+1}$ .

We define the Fubini-Study metric,  $\tilde{g}$ , of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 by the equation

$$\tilde{q}(X,Y) = q(X',Y')$$

where  $X,Y\in T_p(P^m(C))$  and X',Y' are their respective horizontal lifts at z where  $\pi(z)=p$ . Since g is invariant by the action of  $S^1$ , the definition is independent of the choice of z. The complex structure on  $T'_z$  defined by multiplication by i induces the canonical complex structure, J, on  $P^m(C)$  by means of the isomorphism  $\pi_*$ . Finally,  $\pi_*$  induces the Kählerian connection,  $\tilde{V}$ , on  $P^m(C)$  in the following way. Let X,Y be vector fields on  $P^m(C)$ , and let X',Y' be their respective horizontal lifts. Then for V' the covariant derivative on  $S^{2m+1}$ , the equation

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{X}Y = \pi_{*}(\mathcal{V}'_{X'}Y')$$

defines the Kählerian connection on  $P^m(C)$ .

# Section 2—Focal points, the functions $L_v$ , and the Index Theorem.

Let  $M^n$  be a connected, complex *n*-dimensional Kählerian manifold, and let f be a holomorphic and isometric immersion of  $M^n$  into  $P^{n+p}(C)$ .

Let  $N(M^n)$  denote the normal bundle of  $M^n$ . Any point of  $N(M^n)$  can be represented by a pair  $(x, r\xi)$  where  $x \in M^n$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\xi$  is a unit-length vector in  $T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$ , the normal space to  $M^n$  at f(x).

We define  $\gamma(x, \xi, r)$ ,  $-\infty < r < \infty$ , to be the geodesic in  $P^{n+p}(C)$  parametrized by arc-length parameter r such that

$$\gamma(x,\xi,0) = f(x)$$
 and  $\vec{\gamma}(x,\xi,0) = \xi$ .

In terms of the vector representation of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ ,  $\gamma(x,\xi,r)$  can be described as follows. Let  $w \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  such that  $\pi(w) = f(x)$ , and let  $\xi' \in T'_w$  such that  $\pi_*(\xi') = \xi$ . Then

$$\gamma(x,\xi,r) = \pi(\cos r \, w + \sin r \, \xi') \ .$$

Of course,  $\gamma(x,\xi,r)$  does not depend on the choice of w.

We define a map  $F: N(M^n) \to P^{n+p}(C)$  by

$$F(x,r\xi)=\gamma(x,\xi,r)$$
.

We note that for any values of  $x, \xi$  and r the following holds,

$$F(x,(r+\pi)\xi)=F(x,r\xi).$$

Thus we may restrict the range of values of r to  $-\pi/2 \le r \le \pi/2$ .

For  $\xi \in T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$ , let  $A_{\xi}$  denote the symmetric endomorphism of  $T_x(M^n)$  corresponding to the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  at x in the direction of  $\xi$ . We first prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $(x, r\xi) \in N(M^n)$ . Then  $F_*$ , the Jacobian of F, is degenerate at  $(x, r\xi)$  in precisely the following cases:

- (i) If  $r = \pm \pi/2$ , then  $F_*$  is degenerate.
- (ii) For  $-\pi/2 \le r \le \pi/2$ , there is a contribution of  $\nu > 0$  to the nullity of  $F_*$  at  $(x, r\xi)$  if

$$\cot r = k$$

where k is an eigen-value of multiplicity  $\nu$  of  $A_{\varepsilon}$ .

*Proof.* Fix the point  $(x, r\xi) \in N(M^n)$ ; we want to examine the nullity of  $F_*$  at  $(x, r\xi)$ . We assume for the moment that  $r \neq 0$ , and by replacing  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$  if necessary, we may assume r > 0.

Let U be a local co-ordinate neighborhood of x in  $M^n$  with local co-ordinates  $u^1, u^2, \dots, u^{2n}$ . Choose orthonormal normal vector fields  $\xi_1, \dots$ ,

 $\xi_p, J\xi_1, \dots, J\xi_p$  on U such that  $\xi_1(x) = \xi$ . For ease in notation, we let  $\xi_{p+j} = J\xi_j$  for  $1 \le j \le p$ . For  $u \in U$ ,  $\eta \in T_u^{\perp}(M^n)$ , we can write

$$\eta = \mu \Big( \Big( 1 - \sum\limits_{j=2}^{2p} {(t^j)^2} \Big)^{1/2} \xi_1 + t^2 \xi_2 + \cdots + t^{2p} \xi_{2p} \Big)$$

where  $0 \le \mu < \infty$ ,  $0 \le |t^j| \le 1$  for all j, and  $\sum_{j=2}^{2p} (t^j)^2 \le 1$ . The  $t^j$  are the direction cosines of  $\eta$  and  $\mu = ||\eta||$ . The co-ordinates  $u^1, \dots, u^{2n}, \mu, t^2, \dots, t^{2p}$  are local co-ordinates for N(U).

Let  $w \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$ . To avoid confusion, we will denote the map  $\pi_* \colon T'_w \to T_{\pi(w)}(P^{n+p}(C))$  by  $(\pi_*)_w$  when such precision is required.

Now let  $w \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  such that  $\pi(w) = f(x)$ . We define  $z \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  by the vector equation

$$z = \cos r w + \sin r \xi'$$

where  $(\pi_*)_w(\xi') = \xi$ . Then  $F(x, r\xi) = \pi(z)$ . For any j,  $2 \le j \le 2p$ , the definition of F implies that

$$\left.F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^j}\right)\right|_{(x,r\xi)}=\left.(\pi_*)_z(\vec{\eta}(t^j))\right|_{t^j=0}$$

where  $\eta(t^j)$  is a curve on  $S^{2(n+p)+1}$  defined by

$$\eta(t^j) = \cos r \, w \, + \, \sin r ((1 - (t^j)^2)^{1/2} \xi_1' + \, t^j \xi_j')$$
 ,

where  $\xi'_1, \xi'_j$  are the horizontal lifts of  $\xi_1, \xi_j$  respectively to  $T'_w$ . We see that  $\eta(0) = z$  for any j.

If  $r=\pm\pi/2$ , we will show  $F_*(\partial/\partial t^{p+1})|_{(x,r\xi)}=0$ . In that case,  $\xi_{p+1}=J\xi_1$  and for  $r=\pi/2$ 

$$\vec{\eta}(t^{p+1})|_{t_{p+1-0}} = i\eta(0) = iz$$

and

$$\left.F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{p+1}}\right)\right|_{(x,r\xi)}=(\pi_*)_z(iz)=0$$
 .

The case  $r = -\pi/2$  is handled similarly. This proves (i).

For  $|r| < \pi/2$ , a straight-forward calculation which we omit shows,

$$\left.F_*\!\!\left(\!rac{\partial}{\partial t^j}
ight)
ight|_{(x,r\xi)} = \sin r\,(\pi_*)_{\it z}(\xi_j') 
eq 0 \;, \;\;\;\; {
m for} \; 2 \leq j \leq 2p \;,$$

and

$$F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\right)\Big|_{(x,r\xi)} = (\pi_*)_z(\sin r w + \cos r \, \xi_1') \neq 0$$
.

In fact, these computations show that if

$$V=a_1\!\!\left(\!rac{\partial}{\partial\mu}
ight)+\sum\limits_{j=2}^{2p}a_j\!\!\left(\!rac{\partial}{\partial t^j}
ight)\!\in T_{(x,rarepsilon)}(N(U))$$
 ,

then  $F_*(V) = 0$  only if  $a_j = 0$  for all j. If we let

$$X = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} b_j \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \right) \in T_{(x,r\xi)}(N(U))$$
 ,

we shall next compute  $F_*(X)$ . That computation and the above will show that

$$F_*(X+V)=0$$
 only if  $V=0$ .

(We remark that if r = 0, we must choose a slightly different co-ordinate system to obtain the same result.)

Consider a vector  $X=\sum_{j=1}^{2n}b_j(\partial/\partial u^j)\in T_{(x,r\xi)}(N(U))$ . If r=0, one easily shows  $F_*(X)=X$  and so  $F_*$  is non-degenerate at (x,0). Assume again, then, that r>0. Considering  $T_{(x,r\xi)}(N(U))$  as  $T_x(U)\oplus R^{2p}$ , we can write X=(Y,0) where  $Y\in T_x(U)$ . To facilitate the computation of  $F_*(X)$ , we assume that the vector field  $\xi_1$  defined above has been chosen so that

$$\nabla \bar{\psi} \xi_1 = 0$$

where  $\mathcal{V}^{\perp}$  is the connection in the normal bundle.

Locally, i.e. for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a curve  $\beta(t)$ ,  $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$ , in  $M^n$  such that  $\beta(0) = x$  and  $\bar{\beta}(0) = Y$ . Let  $\alpha(t)$  be the lift of  $\beta(t)$  to  $S^{2(n+p)+1}$  so that  $\alpha(0) = w$ , and  $\pi(\alpha(t)) = f(\beta(t))$  for  $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$ .

If we define the curve  $\eta(t)$  in  $S^{2(n+p)+1}$  by

$$\eta(t) = \cos r \, \alpha(t) + \sin r \, \xi_1'(\alpha(t)) \, ,$$

then  $\eta(0) = z$ , and

(1) 
$$F_{*}(X) = (\pi_{*})_{z}(\vec{\eta}(0)) .$$

We need to find the component of  $\vec{\eta}(0)$  in  $T'_z$ . Considering  $\eta(t)$  as a curve in  $C^{n+p+1}$ , we find

(2) 
$$\vec{\eta}(t) = \cos r \, \vec{\alpha}(t) + \sin r \, D_{\vec{\sigma}(t)} \xi_1'$$

where D is the Euclidean covariant derivative in  $C^{n+p+1}$ . Since  $g(\vec{\alpha}(t), \xi'_1(\alpha(t)) = 0$  for  $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$ , we have  $D_{\vec{\alpha}(t)}\xi'_1 = V'_{\vec{\alpha}(t)}\xi'_1$ . Thus we have by evaluating (2) at t = 0,

(3) 
$$\vec{\eta}(0) = \cos r \, \vec{\alpha}(0) + \sin r \, \mathcal{V}'_{\vec{\alpha}(0)} \xi'_1.$$

One can show by a straight-forward calculation that

$$g(\vec{\eta}(0), z) = 0 = g(\vec{\eta}(0), iz)$$
,

and hence  $\vec{\eta}(0) \in T'_z$ . Since  $(\pi_*)$  is an isomorphism on  $T'_z$ , we have shown

(4) 
$$(\pi_*)_z \vec{\eta}(0) = 0$$
 if and only if  $\vec{\eta}(0) = 0$ .

To find when  $\vec{\eta}(0) = 0$ , we proceed as follows. We displace the vector  $\vec{\eta}(0) \in T_z'$  by Euclidean parallelism and consider  $\vec{\eta}(0) \in T_w(S^{2(n+p)+1})$ . Equation (3) shows that, in fact,  $\vec{\eta}(0) \in T_w'$  since  $\vec{\alpha}(t)$  and  $\xi_1'(\alpha(t)) \in T_{\alpha(t)}'$  for all t. Now, applying the isomorphism  $(\pi_*)_w$  we have

(5) 
$$(\pi_*)_w(\vec{\alpha}(0)) = \vec{\beta}(0) = Y$$

and

(6) 
$$(\pi_*)_w(V'_{\vec{a}(0)}\xi'_1) = \tilde{V}_Y \xi_1 .$$

But  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_Y \xi_1 = -A_{\xi_1} Y + \mathcal{V}_Y^{\perp} \xi_1$ , and since  $\xi_1(x) = \xi$  and  $\mathcal{V}_Y^{\perp} \xi_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\tilde{V}_Y \xi_1 = -A_{\xi} Y .$$

Thus, using (5), (6), (7) and applying  $(\pi_*)_w$  to (3) we have

(8) 
$$(\pi_*)_{w} \vec{\eta}(0) = \cos r \, Y - \sin r \, A_{\xi} Y .$$

Since  $\vec{\eta}(0) \in T_w'$ , we know  $(\pi_*)_w \vec{\eta}(0) = 0$  if and only if  $\vec{\eta}(0) = 0$ . From (8) we see that  $\vec{\eta}(0) = 0$  if and only if  $k = \cot r$  is an eigen-value of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  and Y is an eigen-vector of k. From (1) and (4) we see that this also gives necessary and sufficient conditions under which  $F_*(X) = 0$ . If  $\cot r$  is an eigen-value of multiplicity  $\nu$ , then it is clear that  $F_*$  vanishes on a  $\nu$ -dimensional subspace of  $T_{(x,r_{\varepsilon})}N(M^n)$ , i.e.  $F_*$  has nullity  $\nu$ .

Q.E.D.

Since the degeneracies of  $F_*$  of type (i) in Proposition 1 depend only on  $r = \pm \pi/2$  and not on  $M^n$  or the point  $x \in M^n$ , they provide no information about  $M^n$  itself. Thus such degeneracies will not be included in the following definition of a focal point of  $(M^n, x)$ . In the definition

it is understood, as above, that  $\xi$  is a unit vector in  $T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$  and  $-\pi/2 \le r \le \pi/2$ .

DEFINITION. A point  $p \in P^{n+p}(C)$  is called a focal point of  $(M^n, x)$  of multiplicity  $\nu$  if  $p = F(x, r\xi)$  and  $\cot r$  is an eigen-value of multiplicity  $\nu > 0$  of  $A_{\xi}$ . (We say p is a focal point of  $M^n$  if p is a focal point of  $(M^n, x)$  for some  $x \in M^n$ .)

We now proceed to define the functions  $L_p$ . For  $p, q \in P^{n+p}(C)$ , and  $z, w \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  such that  $\pi(z) = p$ ,  $\pi(w) = q$ , we define

$$L_p(q) = \cos^{-1}(|(z, w)|^2)$$
 ,

where  $0 \le \cos^{-1}() \le \pi/2$ . One easily checks that the definition of  $L_p(q)$  is independent of the choice of z, w.

We remark that  $L_p(q)$  is essentially d(p,q) the distance in  $P^{n+p}(C)$  from p to q which is given by

$$d(p,q) = \cos^{-1}(|(z,w)|)$$
.

We use  $L_p(q)$  rather than d(p,q) to gain differentiability at points q such that  $L_p(q) = \pi/2$ . i.e. (z,w) = 0.

For  $p \in P^{n+p}(C)$ ,  $x \in M^n$ , we define  $L_p(x) = L_p(f(x))$ . If  $p \notin f(M^n)$ , then the restriction of  $L_p$  to  $M^n$  is a differentiable function on  $M^n$ . From this point on, we will only consider  $L_p$  such that  $p \notin f(M^n)$ . For such a point p, the following proposition describes the critical points of the function  $L_p$  on  $M^n$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $p \in P^{n+p}(C)$ , and  $x_0 \in M^n$  such that  $f(x_0) \neq p$ . Then  $x_0$  may be a critical point of  $L_p$  in precisely the following 2 ways.

- (i) If  $L_p(x_0) = \pi/2$ , then  $L_p$  has a degenerate maximum at  $x_0$ .
- (ii) If  $L_p(x_0) < \pi/2$ ,  $L_p$  has a critical point at  $x_0$  if and only if p can be expressed as  $F(x_0, r\xi)$  where  $\xi$  is a unit vector in  $T_{x_0}^{\perp}(M^n)$  and  $0 < r < \pi/2$ . In this case,
- (a)  $x_0$  is a degenerate critical point if and only if  $\cot r$  is an eigenvalue of  $A_{\epsilon}$ .
- (b) The index of  $L_p$  at a non-degenerate critical point  $x_0$  equals the number of eigen-values,  $k_i$ , of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $k_i > \cot r$ . Each  $k_i$  is counted with its multiplicity.

*Proof.* Fix  $x_0 \in M^n$ , and let  $p \in P^{n+p}(C)$ . Fix  $z_0 \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  such that

 $\pi(z_0) = p$ . Let X be a vector field on  $M^n$ , and let X' be the horizontal lift of X. For  $x \in M^n$  and  $w \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  such that  $\pi(w) = x$ , we have

$$\begin{split} XL_p(x) &= (\pi_* X') L_p(x) = X'(L_p \circ \pi)(w) \\ &= X'(\cos^{-1}(|(z_0, w)|^2)) = X'(\cos^{-1}(g(z_0, w)^2 + g(z_0, iw)^2)) \\ &= \frac{-[2g(z_0, w)X'(g(z_0, w)) + 2g(z_0, iw)X'(g(z_0, iw))]}{(1 - [g(z_0, w)^2 + g(z_0, iw)^2]^2)^{1/2}} \;. \end{split}$$

But  $X'(g(z_0, w)) = g(z_0, X'_w)$ , and we obtain

(9) 
$$XL_p(x) = \frac{-2[g(z_0, w)g(z_0, X'_w) + g(z_0, iw)g(z_0, iX'_w)]}{(1 - [g(z_0, w)^2 + g(z_0, iw)^2]^2)^{1/2}} .$$

In particular, to find  $XL_p(x_0)$ , we can choose  $w_0 \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  such that  $\pi(w_0) = x_0$ , and such that  $g(z_0, iw_0) = 0$  and  $0 \le g(z_0, w_0) < 1$ . We know  $g(z_0, w_0) < 1$  since  $p \ne f(x_0)$ . From (9) we then obtain,

(10) 
$$XL_p(x_0) = \frac{-2[g(z_0, w_0)g(z_0, X'_{w_0})]}{(1 - g(z_0, w_0)^4)^{1/2}} .$$

From (10) we see that to have  $XL_p(x_0) = 0$ , we must have either,

- (i)  $g(z_0, w_0) = 0$  or
- (ii)  $g(z_0, X'_{w_0}) = 0$ .

In case (i)  $x_0$  is obviously a maximum of  $L_p$  since  $L_p(x_0) = \pi/2$  which is the maximum value  $L_p$  attains on  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . A direct calculation of the Hessian of  $L_p$  at  $x_0$  would show that the Hessian is degenerate, and hence  $x_0$  is a degenerate maximum of  $L_p$ . We omit that argument here and appeal instead to the following geometric argument. The set of points

$$P^{n+p-1}(C) = \{q \in P^{n+p}(C) \, | \, L_p(q) = \pi/2\}$$

is a totally geodesic hypersurface of  $P^{n+p}(C)$  given by the image under the projection  $\pi$  of  $S^{2(n+p)-1}$  where

$$S^{2(n+p)-1} = S^{2(n+p)+1} \cap \{ w \in \mathbb{C}^{n+p+1} | (z_0, w) = 0 \}.$$

This  $P^{n+p-1}(C)$  is the set of zeroes of an analytic function on  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . If  $f(x_0) \in f(M^n) \cap P^{n+p-1}$ , then in a neighborhood U of  $x_0$  in  $M^n$ , the set  $f(U) \cap P^{n+p-1}$  is the set of zeroes of an analytic function on U. It follows essentially from the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem (see [1], p. 37-43) that  $f(U) \cap P^{n+p-1}(C)$  is a sub-variety of U of dimension j, where  $j \ge n-1$ . For  $n \ge 2$ , this illustrates that  $x_0$  is not an isolated maximum of  $L_p$  on  $M^n$ ; clearly then,  $x_0$  is a degenerate maximum. This proves (i).

Now we assume  $g(z_0, w_0) > 0$ , i.e.  $L_p(x_0) < \pi/2$ . Since  $L_p(x_0) \neq 0$ , we know  $g(z_0, w_0) < 1$ ; and so there exists r,  $0 < r < \pi/2$ , so that  $\cos r = g(z_0, w_0)$ . Then it is easy to show,

$$(11) z_0 = \cos r \, w_0 + \sin r \, \xi'$$

where  $\xi' \in T'_{w_0}$  and  $\|\xi'\| = 1$ . Then,

$$g(z_0, X'_{w_0}) = \sin r \, g(\xi', X'_{w_0})$$

for  $X'_{w_0}$  the horizontal lift of  $X \in T_{x_0}(M^n)$ . This and (10) imply that if  $L_p(x_0) < \pi/2$ , then  $x_0$  is a critical point of  $L_p$  if and only if  $\pi_*(\xi') = \xi \in T^1_{x_0}(M^n)$ ; in that case,  $p = F(x_0, r\xi)$  and we have proven (ii).

Now for  $p = F(x_0, r\xi)$ ,  $0 < r < \pi/2$ , we wish to prove (a) and (b). We first compute the Hessian of  $L_p$  at  $x_0$ . Let X, Y be vector fields on  $M^n$  and X', Y' their respective horizontal lifts. We have shown

$$(9) XL_p(x) = \frac{-2[g(z_0, w)g(z_0, X'_w) + g(z_0, iw)g(z_0, iX'_w)]}{(1 - [g(z_0, w)^2 + g(z_0, iw)^2]^2)^{1/2}}$$

where  $\pi(w) = x$ .

We now find  $YXL_p(x_0)$ . For  $w_0$  as chosen above,

$$g(z_0, X'_{w_0}) = g(z_0, iX'_{w_0}) = 0$$
 and  $g(z_0, iw_0) = 0$ .

We also know that

$$Y'(q(z_0, X'_0)) = q(z_0, D_{Y'}X')$$

where D is the Euclidean covariant derivative in  $C^{n+p+1}$ . Using these facts we differentiate (9) to find  $YXL_p(x)$  and then evaluate at  $x_0$  obtaining

(12) 
$$YXL_p(x_0) = \frac{-2g(z_0, w_0)g(z_0, D_{Y'}X')|_{w_0}}{(1 - g(z_0, w_0)^4)^{1/2}}.$$

But we know  $g(z_0, w_0) = \cos r$  so

$$1 - g(z_0, w_0)^4 = 1 - \cos^4 r = \sin^2 r (1 + \cos^2 r)$$

and we re-write (12) as

(13) 
$$YXL_{p}(x_{0}) = \frac{-2\cos r g(z_{0}, D_{Y'}X')|_{w_{0}}}{(1 + \cos^{2} r)^{1/2}\sin r}.$$

From well-known properties of the embedding of  $S^{2(n+p)+1}$  in  $C^{n+p+1}$ , we know that for any  $w \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$ ,

(14) 
$$D_{Y'}X'|_{w} = \nabla'_{Y'}X'|_{w} - g(X', Y')w.$$

We can also write

(15) 
$$V'_{Y'}X' = W + \alpha'(X', Y')$$

where  $\pi_*(W) = \mathcal{V}_Y X$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the covariant derivative on  $M^n$ , and

$$\pi_{\star}(\alpha'(X',Y')) = \alpha(X,Y) ,$$

where  $\alpha(X,Y)$  is the second fundamental form of the immersion f. Now since  $\pi_*(\xi') \in T^{\perp}_{x_0}(M^n)$ , we have  $g(\xi',W) = 0$ . Since  $\xi',W \in T'_{w_0}$ , we know

$$g(w_0, \xi') = 0 = g(w_0, W)$$
.

Thus (11), (14), and (15) yield,

(16) 
$$g(z_0, D_{Y'}X')|_{w_0} = \sin r g(\xi', \alpha'(X', Y'))|_{w_0} - \cos r g(X', Y')|_{w_0}$$

But

$$\begin{split} g(\xi',\alpha'(X',Y'))\,|_{w_0} &= \,\tilde{g}(\xi,\alpha(X,Y))\,|_{x_0} \\ &= \,\tilde{g}(A_\xi X,Y)\,|_{x_0} \;. \end{split}$$

Thus (16) becomes

$$|g(z_0, D_{Y'}X')|_{y_0} = \sin r \, \tilde{g}(A_{\epsilon}X, Y) - \cos r \, \tilde{g}(X, Y)|_{x_0}$$

and (13) becomes

(17) 
$$YXL_{p}(x_{0}) = \frac{2\cos r}{(1+\cos^{2}r)^{1/2}}\tilde{g}((-A_{\xi}+\cot rI)X,Y)|_{x_{0}}$$

where I is the identity endomorphism on  $T_{x_0}(M^n)$ .

From this expression for the terms of the Hessian of  $L_p$  at  $x_0$ , we conclude that  $x_0$  is a degenerate critical point of  $L_p$ , if and only if  $\cot r = k$  for k an eigen-value of  $A_{\varepsilon}$ . This proves (a).

The index of  $L_p$  at a non-degenerate critical point  $x_0$  is defined to be the number of negative eigen-values of the Hessian of  $L_p$  at  $x_0$ . For  $\cot r \neq k_i$  for any eigen-value  $k_i$  of  $A_{\xi}$ , we see from (17) that the index

of  $L_p$  at  $x_0$  is the number of  $k_i$  such that  $k_i > \cot r$ . This proves (b). Q.E.D.

Propositions (1) and (2) yield immediately the following theorem:

THEOREM 1 (Index Theorem for  $L_p$ ). Let  $p = F(x, r\xi)$  for  $0 < r < \pi/2$ . Suppose  $L_p$  has a non-degenerate critical point at x. Then the index of  $L_p$  at x equals the number of focal points of  $(M^n, x)$  which lie on the geodesic in  $P^{n+p}(C)$  from f(x) to p. Each focal point is counted with its multiplicity.

# Section 3—A Characterization of $P^n(C)$ and $Q^n(C)$ .

We now proceed to the main result of this article which we state here.

THEOREM 2. Let  $M^n$   $(n \geq 2)$  be a connected, complete, complex n-dimensional Kählerian manifold which is holomorphically and isometrically immersed in  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . If there exists a dense subset D of  $P^{n+p}(C)$  such that every function of the form  $L_p$ ,  $p \in D$ , has index 0 or n at any of its non-degenerate critical points, then  $M^n$  is embedded in  $P^{n+p}(C)$  as  $P^n(C)$  or  $Q^n(C)$ .

In the above statement,  $P^n(C)$  stands for a totally geodesic submanifold of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ , and  $Q^n(C)$  is the standard complex quadric hypersurface of some totally geodesic  $P^{n+1}(C)$ . In  $P^{n+1}(C)$  has homogeneous co-ordinates  $(z_0, \dots, z_{n+1})$ , then  $Q^n(C)$  is defined by the equation

$$z_0^2 + \cdots + z_{n+1}^2 = 0$$
.

In the remainder of this section we assume that  $M^n$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. To begin the proof of Theorem 2, we state the following proposition. Its proof, which we omit here, depends on Propositions 1 and 2. With minor changes, the proof is identical to the corresponding proposition for submanifolds of  $R^m$  proven by Nomizu and Rodriguez ([6], p. 199).

PROPOSITION 3. Let D be a dense subset of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . Assume that for  $p \in P^{n+p}(C)$ ,  $L_p$  has a non-degenerate critical point of index j at  $x \in M^n$ . Then there exists  $q \in D$ ,  $y \in M^n$  such that  $L_q$  has a non-degenerate critical point of index j at y (q and y may be chosen as close to p and x, respectively, as desired).

Using Proposition 3 and the Index Theorem, we now prove the following proposition which is sufficient to complete the proof of Theorem 2 for the case of co-dimension p=1.

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $x \in M^n$  and  $\xi$  be a unit-length vector in  $T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$ . Then there exists  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that  $A_{\xi}^2 = \lambda^2 I$  on  $T_x(M^n)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in M^n$  and  $\xi$  a unit-length vector in  $T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$ . If  $A_{\xi}$  has no non-zero eigen-values, then  $A_{\xi} = 0$  and the proof is complete.

Suppose  $A_{\varepsilon}$  has at least one non-zero eigen-value. It is known that  $A_{\varepsilon}$  must have the form

when diagonalized for  $k_i \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the largest of the eigen-values. If  $k_i = \lambda$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $A_{\xi}^2 = \lambda^2 I$  and the proof is finished. If  $k_i \neq \lambda$  for some i, let  $\beta \geq 0$  be the second largest of the non-negative eigen-values. Choose  $r, 0 < r < \pi/2$ , such that  $\beta < \cot r < \lambda$ . For  $p = F(x, r\xi)$ , Proposition 2 implies that  $L_p$  has a non-degenerate critical point of index j at x where  $0 < j \leq 2n$ . Since  $\lambda > \cot r > k_i$ , for any  $k_i \neq \lambda$ , Proposition 2 also implies that j equals the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

For D as in Theorem 2, Proposition 3 implies that there exists  $q \in D$  and  $y \in M^n$  such that  $L_q$  has a non-degenerate critical point of index j at y. Since j > 0, the hypothesis on the index of  $L_q$ ,  $q \in D$ , at a non-degenerate critical point implies that j = n. Thus  $\lambda$  has multiplicity equal to n, and again we conclude  $A_{\xi}^2 = \lambda^2 I$ . Q.E.D.

Remark 1. For the case when  $M^n$  is a hypersurface of  $P^{n+1}(C)$ , Proposition 4 yields the proof of Theorem 2 in the following way.

The condition that  $A_{\xi}^2 = \lambda^2 I$  for any  $\xi \in T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$  and any  $x \in M^n$  implies that  $M^n$  is an Einstein manifold. This is clear from the following

equation (see [8], p. 253). For S(X, Y), the Ricci tensor of  $M^n$ , it is true that

$$S(X, Y) = -2\tilde{g}(A_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2 X, Y) + 2(n+1)\tilde{g}(X, Y)$$
  
=  $2(n+1-\lambda^2)\tilde{g}(X, Y)$ .

Since the real dimension of  $M^n$  exceeds 2, a classical theorem (see [4], Vol. I, p. 292) implies that  $2(n+1-\lambda^2)$  is indeed constant on  $M^n$ . Thus  $M^n$  is an Einstein manifold. Theorem 2 then follows from the following result of Brian Smyth ([8], p. 265).

THEOREM (Smyth). For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $P^n(C)$  and  $Q^n(C)$  are the only complex hypersurfaces of  $P^{n+1}(C)$  which are complete and Einstein.

(end of Remark 1).

### Section 4—Reducing the co-dimension.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2 for arbitrary co-dimensions, we will show that under the hypotheses of Theorem 2,  $M^n$  is actually a hypersurface of a totally geodesic  $P^{n+1}(C) \subset P^{n+p}(C)$ .

We first must introduce the concept of the first normal space of  $M^n$  at  $x \in M^n$ .

DEFINITION. For  $x \in M^n$ , the first normal space,  $N_1(x)$ , is the orthogonal complement in  $T_x^{\perp}(M^n)$  of the set

$$N_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^\perp(M^n) \, | \, A_{\scriptscriptstyle \xi} = 0 \}$$
 .

We define a new inner product,  $\langle , \rangle$ , on  $N_1(x)$  by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \operatorname{trace} A_{\xi} A_{\eta} \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in N_{\mathfrak{I}}(x) .$$

One easily checks that  $\langle , \rangle$  is a positive definite inner product on  $N_1(x)$ , and that for  $\xi, \eta \in N_1(x)$ ,

$$\langle J\xi, J\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \xi, J\xi \rangle = 0.$$

For  $\xi \in N_1(x)$ , Proposition 4 implies  $A_{\xi}^2 = \lambda^2 I$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . Then it is easy to see that  $T_x(M^n)$  can be decomposed as

$$T_x(M^n) = T_{\varepsilon}^+ \oplus T_{\varepsilon}^-$$

where

$$T_{\varepsilon}^+ = \{X \in T_x(M^n) | A_{\varepsilon}X = \lambda X\}$$

and

$$T_{\varepsilon}^{-} = \{X \in T_{x}(M^{n}) \mid A_{\varepsilon}X = -\lambda X\}.$$

It is a simple matter to show that if  $X \in T_{\xi}^+$ , then  $JX \in T_{\xi}^-$ ; and if  $X \in T_{\xi}^-$ , then  $JX \in T_{\xi}^+$ . We employ the inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  in the following proposition to prove that  $N_1(x)$  has complex dimension no larger than 1 for all  $x \in M^n$ .

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $x \in M^n$  and let k be the complex dimension of  $N_1(x)$ . Then  $k \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume k > 1. Choose  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$  so that with respect to the inner product  $\langle , \rangle$ , the vectors  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, J\xi_1, \dots, J\xi_k$  from an orthonormal basis for  $N_1(x)$ .

We know there is a positive function  $\lambda$  on  $N_1(x)$  such that  $A_{\xi}^2 = \lambda^2(\xi)I$  for any  $\xi \in N_1(x)$ . If  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are an orthonormal basis for  $T^+ = T_{\xi_1}^+$ , then  $Je_1, \dots, Je_n$  are an orthonormal basis for  $T^- = T_{\xi_1}^-$ . With respect to the basis  $\Omega$  for  $T_x(M^n)$ ,

$$\Omega = \{e_1, \cdots, e_n, Je_1, \cdots, Je_n\},\,$$

the endomorphism  $A_{\xi_1}$  is represented by the matrix

(20) 
$$A_{\xi_1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(\xi_1)I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda(\xi_1)I_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $I_n$  is an  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

Fix  $j, 2 \le j \le k$ . Consider  $X \in T^+$ , and suppose  $A_{\epsilon_j}X = Y + Z$  where  $Y \in T^+$ ,  $Z \in T^-$ . First of all, we have

$$(21) A_{\xi_1+\xi_j}^2 X = \lambda^2(\xi_1+\xi_j)X.$$

But also we find,

(22) 
$$A_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{f}}^{2}X = A_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{f}}A_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{f}}X = A_{\xi_{1}}^{2}X + (A_{\xi_{1}}A_{\xi_{f}} + A_{\xi_{f}}A_{\xi_{1}})X + A_{\xi_{f}}^{2}X$$
$$= \lambda^{2}(\xi_{1})X + \lambda^{2}(\xi_{f})X + \lambda(\xi_{1})(Y - Z) + \lambda(\xi_{1})(Y + Z)$$
$$= (\lambda^{2}(\xi_{1}) + \lambda^{2}(\xi_{f})X + 2\lambda(\xi_{1})Y.$$

Then (21) and (22) yield

(23) 
$$Y = \mu X$$
, where  $\mu = [\lambda^2(\xi_1 + \xi_j) - \lambda^2(\xi_1) - \lambda^2(\xi_j)]/2\lambda(\xi_1)$ .

Since we see that  $\mu$  does not depend on the choice of X, we have shown that for any  $X \in T^+$ ,

(24) 
$$A_{\xi}X = \mu X + Z$$
 where  $Z \in T^-$ .

From (24) we can also compute for  $X \in T^+$ ,

(25) 
$$A_{\xi,\ell}JX = -JA_{\xi,\ell}X = -J(\mu X + Z) = -\mu JX - JZ.$$

Equations (24) and (25) and the fact that  $A_{\xi_j}$  is symmetric imply that with respect to the basis  $\Omega, A_{\xi_j}$  has the form

(26) 
$$A_{\xi_f} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu I_n & {}^t B \\ B & -\mu I_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where B is an  $n \times n$  matrix.

Since  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are orthogonal with respect to  $\langle , \rangle$ , we know

(27) 
$$\operatorname{trace} A_{\xi_1} A_{\xi_2} = 0.$$

However, equations (20) and (26) imply that with respect to the basis  $\Omega$ ,

(28) 
$$A_{\xi_1} A_{\xi_j} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(\xi_1) \mu I_n & {}^t B \\ B & \lambda(\xi_1) \mu I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

From (28) we compute trace  $A_{\xi_1}A_{\xi_f}=2n\lambda(\xi_1)\mu$ . Comparing this with (27), we conclude  $\mu=0$ , since  $\lambda(\xi_1)>0$ . Hence (26) becomes

$$A_{\epsilon_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & {}^tB \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the fact that  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  is a Kählerian connection, one easily shows that  $A_{J \epsilon_f} = J A_{\epsilon_f}$ . From (29), we see that as a matrix,

$$A_{J \varepsilon_j} = J A_{\varepsilon_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & {}^t B \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -B & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t B \end{bmatrix} \,.$$

This shows that  $A_{J\xi_f}$  maps  $T^+$  into  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  into  $T^-$ . This fact and computations similar to those leading to (23) show that for  $X \in T^+$ ,

$$A_{J\xi_f}X=\nu X$$
 ,

where

$$\nu = [\lambda^{2}(\xi_{1} + J\xi_{j}) - \lambda^{2}(\xi_{1}) - \lambda^{2}(J\xi_{j})]/2\lambda(\xi_{1}).$$

Thus we can represent  $A_{J\xi}$ , as,

(30) 
$$A_{J \in j} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now equations (20) and (30) imply that  $A_{\xi_1}A_{J\xi_j}=\lambda(\xi_1)\nu I$  on  $T_x(M^n)$ , and (31)  $\operatorname{trace} A_{\xi_1}A_{J\xi_j}=2n\lambda(\xi_1)\nu \ .$ 

But  $\langle \xi_1, J \xi_j \rangle = 0$ , and so trace  $A_{\xi_1} A_{J \xi_j} = 0$ . Comparing this with (31), we conclude  $\nu = 0$ . Then (30) implies  $A_{J \xi_j} = 0$  which implies  $A_{\xi_j} = 0$ , and  $\xi_j \notin N_1(x)$ . This is true for  $2 \le j \le k$ , and we have obtained a contradiction if we assume k > 1. Thus,  $k \le 1$ . Q.E.D.

We first want to make it clear that we have no further use for the inner product  $\langle , \rangle$ . Any subsequent references to metric properties such as orthogonality are made with respect to the metrics g or  $\tilde{g}$ .

We now begin to reduce the co-dimension. The argument is similar to that used by Cartan to show that an umbilical submanifold of  $R^m$  which is not totally geodesic must be a Euclidean sphere embedded in  $R^m$  (see [2], p. 231).

Proposition 5 enables us to define a function  $\lambda$  on  $M^n$  in the following way. Let  $\alpha(X,Y)$  be the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  in  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . If  $\alpha(X,Y)=0$  at  $x\in M^n$ , we set  $\lambda(x)=0$ . If  $\alpha(X,Y)\neq 0$  at  $x\in M^n$ , then by Proposition 5,  $N_1(x)$  has complex dimension 1. We define  $\lambda(x)$  to be the well-defined positive number such that  $A_{\xi}^2=\lambda^2(x)I$  for any unit vector  $\xi$  in  $N_1(x)$ . It is easy to show from the obvious dependence of  $\lambda$  on  $\alpha(X,Y)$  that  $\lambda$  is continuous on  $M^n$ . We omit that proof here, however, and next prove the following.

PROPOSITION 6. Let  $x \in M^n$  and suppose the second fundamental form  $\alpha(X,Y) \neq 0$  at x. Then there is a neighborhood U of x in  $M^n$  on which the function  $\lambda$  is constant.

*Proof.* Let U be a neighborhood of x on which  $\alpha(X,Y) \neq 0$ . Then by Proposition 5,  $N_1(u)$  has constant dimension 1 on U. It is easy to show, then, that there exists a unit-length vector field  $\xi_1$ , on U such that

$$N_1(u) = \operatorname{span} \{\xi_1, J\xi_1\}$$
 for every  $u \in U$ .

Let  $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_p$  be unit-length normal vector fields on U such that  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_p, J\xi_1, \dots, J\xi_p$  are an orthonormal basis for  $T_u^{\perp}(M^n)$  for any  $u \in U$ .

Fix an arbitrary point  $u \in U$ . The following equation defines the tensors  $s_{kj}$  and  $t_{kj}$  on  $T_u(M^n)$ ,

(32) 
$$V_{X}^{\perp} \xi_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} s_{kj}(X) \xi_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} t_{kj}(X) J \xi_{k} \quad \text{for } X \in T_{u}(M^{n}) .$$

The fact that  $abla^{\perp}$  is a Kählerian connection readily implies

$$(33) s_{ki}(X) = -s_{ik}(X)$$

and

$$(34) t_{k,i}(X) = t_{i,k}(X).$$

Now we know  $A_{\xi_j}=A_{J\xi_j}=0$  for  $2\leq j\leq p$ . This fact and (33) imply that Codazzi's equation for  $A_{\xi_1}$  reduces to

$$(35) \qquad (\nabla_X A_{\varepsilon,i})(Y) - t_{ii}(X)JA_{\varepsilon,i}(Y) = (\nabla_Y A_{\varepsilon,i})(X) - t_{ii}(Y)JA_{\varepsilon,i}(X) .$$

Let  $X, Y \in T^+ = T^+_{\epsilon_1}(u)$  such that X, Y are linearly independent, and suppose

$$egin{aligned} {\cal V}_XY&=X_1+X_2 & ext{ for } X_1 \in T^+, X_2 \in T^- \ , \ {\cal V}_YX&=Y_1+Y_2 & ext{ for } Y_1 \in T^+, Y_2 \in T^- \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using the above equations and recalling the following equations,

$$A_{arepsilon_1} Z = \lambda Z \qquad ext{for } Z \in T^+ \; , \ A_{arepsilon_1} Z = -\lambda Z \qquad ext{for } Z \in T^- \; ,$$

we find after some calculation that (35) becomes

$$(36) \qquad (X\lambda)Y + 2\lambda X_2 + t_{11}(X)\lambda JY = (Y\lambda)X + 2\lambda Y_2 + t_{11}(Y)\lambda JX.$$

But  $X_2, Y_2, JX, JY$  are in  $T^-$ , and the component of (36) in  $T^+$  is,

$$(37) (X\lambda)Y = (Y\lambda)X.$$

The linear independence of X and Y implies that  $X\lambda = 0$ . This is true for any  $X \in T^+$ . A similar calculation shows  $X\lambda = 0$  for any  $X \in T^-$ . So we have  $X\lambda = 0$  for any  $X \in T_u(M^n)$  for any  $u \in U$ . This is implies  $\lambda$  is constant on U.

Proposition 6 enables us to prove that  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension on  $M^n$  as follows.

PROPOSITION 7.  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension on  $M^n$ .

*Proof.* If the second fundamental form  $\alpha(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $x \in M^n$ , then  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension 0; and the proof is complete.

Suppose  $\alpha(X, Y) \neq 0$  at  $x_0 \in M^n$ . Consider the set S defined by

$$S = \{x \in M^n | \lambda(x) = \lambda(x_0)\}.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is continuous on  $M^n$ , we know S is closed. However Proposition 6 implies S is open. Since  $x_0 \in S$ , we know  $S \neq \phi$ ; so the connectedness of  $M^n$  implies  $S = M^n$ . Hence  $\lambda = \lambda(x_0)$  on  $M^n$ , and  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension 1 on  $M^n$ .

In the case where  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension 0,  $M^n$  is totally geodesic, and hence  $M^n = P^n(C)$ . To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we must show that when  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension 1, we can reduce the co-dimension to 1.

Let U be any co-ordinate neighborhood of  $M^n$ . As before we choose orthonormal vector fields  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$  so that  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p, J\xi_1, \dots, J\xi_p$  span  $T_u^{\perp}(M^n)$  for any  $u \in U$ , and such that  $\xi_1, J\xi_1$  span  $N_1(u)$  for any  $u \in U$ . We then prove, .

PROPOSITION 8. For any  $x \in U$  and  $X \in T_x(M^n)$  the following equations are true:

- (i)  $\nabla_{X}^{\perp}\xi_{1} = t_{11}(X)J\xi_{1}$
- (ii) For  $j \geq 2$ ,  $V_{\overline{X}} \xi_j$  and  $V_{\overline{X}} J \xi_j \in \operatorname{span} \{ \xi_k, J \xi_k | 2 \leq k \leq p \}$ , i.e.  $N_1(x)$  and  $N_0(x)$  are invariant with respect to  $V^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* For ease of notation, let  $A_j = A_{\xi_j}$ ,  $1 \le j \le p$ . For any fixed  $j, 2 \le j \le p$ , Codazzi's equation says the following,

$$(V_X A_j)(Y) - \sum_{k=1}^p s_{kj}(X) A_k(Y) - \sum_{k=1}^p t_{kj}(X) J A_k(Y)$$

is symmetric in X and Y.

Since  $A_j = 0$ , then  $(\nabla_x A_j) = 0$  and Codazzi's equation can be written as:

(38) 
$$s_{1,t}(X)A_1(Y) + t_{1,t}(X)JA_1(Y) = s_{1,t}(Y)A_1(X) + t_{1,t}(Y)JA_1(X)$$
.

Choose X, Y linearly independent vectors in  $T_{\varepsilon_1}^+(x)$ ; then since  $A_1(X) = \lambda X$  and  $A_1(Y) = \lambda Y$ , (38) becomes

$$(39) s_{1j}(X)\lambda Y + t_{1j}(X)\lambda JY = s_{1j}(Y)\lambda X + t_{1j}(Y)\lambda JX.$$

But X, Y, JX, JY are linearly independent, so (39) implies

(40) 
$$s_{1j}(X) = t_{1j}(X) = 0$$
,  $2 \le j \le p$ .

A similar calculation shows that (40) holds for  $X \in T_{\varepsilon_1}(x)$ , and hence (40) holds for all  $X \in T_x(M^n)$ . We recall that for  $1 \le j \le p$ ,

(32) 
$$V_X^{\perp} \xi_j = \sum_{k=1}^p s_{kj}(X) \xi_j + \sum_{k=1}^p t_{kj}(X) J \xi_k.$$

Then  $s_{kj} = -s_{jk}$  and  $t_{kj} = t_{jk}$  and (40) imply that for j = 1, (32) becomes

proving (i). For the same reasons, for j > 1, (32) becomes

(42) 
$$V_X^{\perp} \xi_j = \sum_{k=2}^p s_{kj}(X) \xi_k + \sum_{k=2}^p t_{kj}(X) J \xi_k.$$

Then  $V_{\overline{X}}^{\perp}J\xi_{j}=J(V_{\overline{X}}^{\perp}\xi_{j})$  and (42) prove (ii). Q.E.D.

Finally Proposition 8 and the fact that  $N_1(x)$  has constant complex dimension 1 will imply that  $f(M^n) \subset P^{n+1}(C)$  after we prove the following proposition. We note that J. Erbacher, [3], has proven a corresponding result for real submanifolds of real space forms. With minor changes, the following proposition can be proven for submanifolds of  $C^{n+p}$  and the complex hyperbolic space form,  $H^{n+p}(C)$ .

PROPOSITION 9. Let  $f: M^n \to P^{n+p}(C)$  be a holomorphic and isometric immersion of a connected, complete, complex n-dimensional Kählerian manifold  $M^n$  into  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . Suppose the first normal space  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension k, and is parallel with respect to the normal connection. Then there is a totally geodesic (n+k)-dimensional submanifold,  $P^{n+k}(C)$ , such that  $f(M^n) \subset P^{n+k}(C)$ .

*Proof.* We first remark that since  $N_1(x)$  is parallel with respect to  $V^{\perp}$ , so is its complement  $N_0(x)$ . Let U be a co-ordinate neighborhood of  $M^n$  and fix  $x_0 \in U$ .

Choose  $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_p \in T_{x_0}^\perp(M^n)$  so that the following equations hold for  $x=x_0$ ,

(43) 
$$N_{1}(x) = \operatorname{span} \{\xi_{j}, J\xi_{j} | 1 \le j \le k\}$$

and

(44) 
$$N_0(x) = \operatorname{span} \{ \xi_j, J \xi_j | k + 1 \le j \le p \}.$$

Extend  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$  to vector fields on U by parallel translation with respect to  $V^{\perp}$  along geodesics of  $M^n$ . Then (43) and (44) hold for any  $x \in U$ .

Let  $\xi_j'$  denote the horizontal lift to  $T_w'$  of  $\xi_j(\pi(w))$  where  $\pi(w) \in U$ . Fix  $w_0 \in S^{2(n+p)+1}$  so that  $\pi(w_0) = x_0$ . Let  $V_{w_0}$  be the real affine subspace of  $C^{n+p+1}$  through  $w_0$  given by

$$V_{w_0} = \operatorname{span} \{ \xi'_j(w_0), i \xi'_j(w_0) | k+1 \le j \le p \}.$$

Let  $W_{w_0}$  be the real affine space through  $w_0$  of real dimension 2(n+k+1) which is orthogonal to  $V_{w_0}$ . Since the vector  $-w_0 \in W_{w_0}$ , we know that the affine space  $W_{w_0}$  passes through the origin in  $C^{n+p+1}$ . Hence the set

$$S^{2(n+k)+1} \equiv W_{w_0} \cap S^{2(n+p)+1}$$

is a great (2(n+k)+1)-dimensional sphere in  $S^{2(n+p)+1}$ . The set  $P^{n+k}(C)$  =  $\pi(S^{2(n+k)+1})$  is an (n+k)-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . We will show that  $f(M^n) \subset P^{n+k}(C)$ .

We first prove  $f(U) \subset P^{n+k}(C)$ . Fix  $u \in U$ , and let x(t),  $0 \le t \le t_0$ , be a curve in f(U) from  $f(x_0)$  to f(u). Let w(t) be the lift of x(t) to  $S^{2(n+p)+1}$  so that  $w(0) = w_0$  and  $\pi(w(t)) = x(t)$ ,  $0 \le t \le t_0$ .

We know that for  $0 \le t \le t_0$  we have

$$\widetilde{V}_{\vec{x}(t)}\xi_j = \pi_*(V'_{\vec{w}(t)}\xi'_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p .$$

We also know

$$\tilde{V}_{\vec{x}(t)}\xi_j = -A_{\xi_j}(\vec{x}(t)) + V^\perp_{\vec{x}(t)}\xi_j \; . \label{eq:variation}$$

For j > k, however,  $A_{\xi_j} = 0$  and

$$V_{\vec{x}(t)}^{\perp} \xi_j \in \operatorname{span}\left\{ \xi_m, J \xi_m \,|\, k+1 \leq m \leq p 
ight\}$$
 ,

and thus

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\vec{x}(t)}\xi_{j}\in\operatorname{span}\left\{ \xi_{m},J\xi_{m}\left|\,k\,+\,1\leq m\leq p
ight\}$$
 .

A similar result holds for  $\tilde{V}_{\vec{x}(t)}J\xi_{j}$ . If we let

$$\boldsymbol{V}_t = \operatorname{span}\left\{\xi_{\boldsymbol{m}}'(\boldsymbol{w}(t)), i\xi_{\boldsymbol{m}}'(\boldsymbol{w}(t)) \,|\, k\,+\,1 \leq m \leq p\right\}$$
 ,

then by the isomorphism  $\pi_*$ , we have for each t,

Since  $g(w(t), \xi'_j) = 0$ , for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ , we have  $D_{\vec{w}(t)}\xi'_j = V'_{\vec{w}(t)}\xi'_j$ , where D is the Euclidean covariant derivative in  $C^{n+p+1}$ .

This fact and (45) imply that for all t, and for  $k+1 \le j \le p$ ,

$$D_{\vec{w}(t)}\xi'_j$$
 and  $D_{\vec{w}(t)}i\xi'_j \in V_t$ .

Thus  $V_t$  is a parallel Euclidean subspace along w(t), i.e. for each  $t, V_t$  is parallel to  $V_{w_0}$  in the sense of Euclidean parallelism.

For each t, let  $W_t$  be the 2(n+k+1)-dimensional real affine space through w(t) which is orthogonal to  $V_t$ . Since  $V_t$  is parallel to  $V_{w_0}$  for each t,  $W_t$  is parallel to  $W_{w_0}$  for each t, in the Euclidean sense of parallelism. However, for each t,  $-w(t) \in W_t$ , and thus  $W_t$  passes through the origin for each t. Hence we conclude  $W_t = W_{w_0}$  for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ .

Since  $\vec{w}(t)$  is orthogonal to  $V_t$  for all t, we have  $\vec{w}(t) \in W_t = W_{w_0}$ . Since  $w(0) \in W_{w_0}$ , this shows that  $w(t) \in W_{w_0}$  for all t; and so  $w(t) \in W_{w_0}$   $\cap S^{2(n+p)+1} = S^{2(n+k)+1}$  for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ . Applying  $\pi$ , we get  $x(t) \in P^{(n+k)}(C)$  for all t. In particular,  $f(u) = x(t_0) \in P^{n+k}(C)$ . Since  $u \in U$  was arbitrary, we have shown  $f(U) \subset P^{n+k}(C)$ .

To prove the global result we use the connectedness of  $M^n$ . Let  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  be co-ordinate neighborhoods of  $M^n$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \phi$ . We have shown above that there exist 2 totally geodesic (n+k)-dimensional submanifolds of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ , call them  $P_1^{n+k}$  and  $P_2^{n+k}$ , such that  $f(U_1) \subset P_1^{n+k}$  and  $f(U_2) \subset P_2^{n+k}$ .

Suppose  $P_1^{n+k} \neq P_2^{n+k}$ . Then,  $P_1^{n+k} \cap P_2^{n+k} = P^{n+k-1}$ , a totally geodesic (n+k-1)-dimensional submanifold of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ , and  $f(U_1 \cap U_2) \subset P^{n+k-1}$ . This implies that for  $z \in U_1 \cap U_2$ , the first normal space  $N_1(z)$  has dimension k-1. This contradicts the assumption that  $N_1(x)$  has constant dimension k on  $M^n$ . Thus we conclude  $P_1^{n+k} = P_2^{n+k} = P^{n+k}(C)$ . Using this, one easily proves from the connectedness of  $M^n$  that  $f(M^n) \subset P^{n+k}(C)$ .

Q.E.D.

Now Propositions 7, 8, and 9 combine to imply that under the hypotheses of Theorem 2,  $f(M^n) \subset P^{n+1}(C)$ , a totally geodesic (n+1)-dimensional submanifold of  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . The proof of Theorem 2 then follows from Remark 1.

### Section 5—The Special Case $Q^n \subset P^{n+1}(C)$ .

In this section we make a detailed study of the case  $Q^n \subset P^{n+1}(C)$ . The main results are contained in Theorem 3. We first discuss some necessary preliminaries.

Consider  $C^{n+2}$  with natural basis  $e_0, \dots, e_{n+1}$ . We denote by H(z, w) the complex bi-linear form defined by

$$H(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} z^k w^k$$
, where  $z = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} z^k e_k$  and  $w = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} w^k e_k$ .

Then  $Q^n$  is defined as

$$Q^n = \{\pi(z) \mid z \in S^{2(n+1)+1} \text{ and } H(z,z) = 0\}$$
,

where  $\pi$  is the projection from  $S^{2(n+1)+1}$  to  $P^{n+1}(C)$ . We continue to assume that  $P^{n+1}(C)$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4.

Let  $q \in Q^n$  and  $\xi$  be a unit-length vector in  $T_q^{\perp}(Q^n)$ . Then Smyth ([8], p. 263-265) shows that  $A_{\xi}$  has the following form when diagonalized,

$$A_{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where again  $I_n$  is an  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

With these remarks aside, we first prove the following elementary proposition.

PROPOSITION 10. Let  $z=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}z^ke_k\in S^{2(n+1)+1}$ . Then H(z,z)=1 if and only if  $z^k$  is real for  $0\leq k\leq n+1$ .

*Proof.*  $H(z,z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(z^k)^2$ ; and if each  $z^k$  is real, then  $H(z,z)=\|z\|^2=1$ . Conversely, suppose H(z,z)=1. Then letting  $\bar{z}=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\bar{z}^ke_k$ , we have

(46) 
$$|(z,\bar{z})|^2 = \left|\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (z^k)^2\right| = 1 = ||z||^2 \cdot ||\bar{z}||^2.$$

The Schwarz inequality for the inner product (,) implies that (46) can be true only if  $\bar{z} = cz$  for some  $c \in C$ .

But then since (z, z) = 1,

$$1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} z^k \bar{z}^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} z^k c z^k = c \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (z^k)^2 = c.$$

Hence c=1 and so  $\bar{z}=z$  and z is real, i.e.  $z^k$  is real for  $0 \le k \le n+1$ . Q.E.D.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  denote the real vector space spanned by  $e_0, \dots, e_{n+1}$ . Then  $S^{n+1}$ , defined by  $S^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \cap S^{2(n+1)+1}$ , is an (n+1)-dimensional Euclidean

sphere. The projection  $\pi$  takes the antipodal points z and  $-z \in S^{n+1}$  onto the same point  $p = \pi(z) \in P^{n+1}(C)$ . This is the only identification on  $S^{n+1}$  induced by  $\pi$ , and we see that  $\pi(S^{n+1}) = P^{n+1}(R)$ , a real (n+1)-dimensional projective space naturally embedded in  $P^{n+1}(C)$ . Let  $p \in P^{n+1}(R)$ , and let  $z \in S^{n+1}$  such that  $\pi(z) = p$ . We define a set  $S^n_p$  by

$$S_p^n = \left\{ \pi \left( \frac{x + iz}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \middle| x \in S^{n+1}, g(x, z) = 0 \right\}$$

One easily shows that  $S_p^n$  is independent of the choice of z.

PROPOSITION 11. Let  $p \in P^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $S_p^n$  is the image of a Euclidean n-sphere of radius  $1/\sqrt{2}$  isometrically embedded in  $P^{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in S^{n+1}$  such that  $\pi(z) = p$ . We define  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by

$$\mathbf{R}^{n+1} = \{ w \in \mathbf{R}^{n+2} | g(z, w) = 0 \}$$
.

Let  $\bar{R}^{n+2} \equiv R^{n+1} \times \{iz\}$  where  $\{iz\}$  is the 1-dimensional real subspace spanned by the vector iz. Then

$$\bar{S}^{n+1} \equiv \bar{R}^{n+2} \cap S^{2(n+1)+1}$$

is a Euclidean (n + 1)-sphere of radius 1. Then

$$S \equiv \left\{ rac{x + iz}{\sqrt{2}} \left| x \in S^{n+1}, g(x, z) = 0 
ight\} \subset \bar{S}^{n+1}$$
.

In fact, it is easy to see that S is a small-sphere of dimension n with center  $iz/\sqrt{2}$  and radius  $1/\sqrt{2}$  contained in  $\overline{S}^{n+1}$ . One checks that no two points of S are identified under the projection  $\pi$ . Thus  $\pi$  is a one-to-one isometry on S, and  $\pi(S) = S_p^n$  is the image of a Euclidean n-sphere of radius  $1/\sqrt{2}$  isometrically embedded in  $P^{n+p}(C)$ . Q.E.D.

The following theorem describes the focal point behavior for  $Q^n \subset P^{n+1}(C)$ .

THEOREM 3. (i) The set of focal points of  $Q^n \subset P^{n+1}(C)$  is  $P^{n+1}(R)$ . (ii) Let  $p \in P^{n+1}(R)$ ; then

$$\{q \in Q^n \mid p \text{ is a focal point of } (Q^n, q)\} = S_p^n$$
.

*Proof.* To prove (i), we first show that the set of focal points of  $Q^n$  is contained in  $P^{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ .

Let  $p \in P^{n+1}(C)$  be a focal point of  $(Q^n, q)$  for some  $q \in Q^n$ . By

Proposition 1,  $p = F(q, r\xi)$  where  $\xi$  is a unit-length vector in  $T_q^{\perp}(Q^n)$  and  $\cot r = \lambda$  for some eigen-value  $\lambda$  of  $A_{\xi}$ . As we remarked at the beginning of this section,  $\lambda = \pm 1$  for any such q and  $\xi$ . Choosing the sign of  $\xi$  properly we may assume  $\cot r = 1$ , and then

$$F\Big(q,\frac{\pi}{4}\xi\Big)=\pi\Big(\frac{w}{\sqrt{2}}\,+\,\frac{\xi'}{\sqrt{2}}\Big)\qquad\text{where }\pi(w)=q\ \text{ and }\ \pi_*(\xi')=\xi\ .$$

It is known (see [4], Vol. II, p. 279) that there exist unique real vectors x, y of length  $1/\sqrt{2}$ , with g(x, y) = 0, such that w = x + iy. Then  $T_q^{\perp}(Q^n)$  is spanned by  $\pi_*(ix + y)$  and  $\pi_*(-x + iy)$ . Thus we can express  $\xi'$  as

$$\xi' = \cos \phi (ix + y) + \sin \phi (-x + iy)$$
 for some  $\phi, 0 \le \phi \le 2\pi$ .

Thus  $p = \pi(z)$  where

$$\begin{split} z &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (w + \cos \phi (ix + y) + \sin \phi (-x + iy)) \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} [(1 - \sin \phi) + i \cos \phi] + \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} [\cos \phi + (1 + \sin \phi)i] \;. \end{split}$$

Using the defining properties of x and y, we compute

$$H(z,z) = -\sin\phi + i\cos\phi = e^{i(\phi + \pi/2)}.$$

Let  $z' = e^{-i(\phi + \pi/2)/2}z$ ; then  $\pi(z') = p$ , but

$$H(z',z')=e^{-i(\phi+\pi/2)}H(z,z)=1$$
.

Thus by Proposition 10, z' is real, and so  $p \in P^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Conversely, suppose  $p = \pi(z)$  where  $z \in S^{n+1}$ . Let  $x \in S^{n+1}$  such that g(x,z) = 0. Let  $w = (x + iz)/\sqrt{2}$ . Then,

$$H(w, w) = 0$$
, and  $q = \pi(w) \in Q^n$ .

One easily shows that  $\xi' = (-x + iz)/\sqrt{2} \in T'_w$  and  $\pi_*(\xi') \in T^{\perp}_q(Q^n)$ . If we let

$$z'=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(rac{x+iz}{\sqrt{2}}\Big)+rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(rac{-x+iz}{\sqrt{2}}\Big)=iz$$
 ,

then by Proposition 1,  $\pi(z')$  is a focal point of  $(Q^n, q)$ . But  $\pi(z') = \pi(iz) = p$ , and so the proof of (i) is complete.

To prove (ii) we let  $p = \pi(z)$  for  $z \in S^{n+1}$ . Let

$$S = \{(x + iz)/\sqrt{2} \mid x \in S^{n+1}, g(x, z) = 0\}$$

and

$$T = \{q \in Q^n | p \text{ is a focal point of } (Q^n, q)\}$$
.

By definition  $S_p^n = \pi(S)$ , and in the above proof of (i) we showed that  $S_p^n \subset T$ . To complete the proof of (ii), we show  $T \subset S_p^n$ .

Suppose  $q \in T$ . Let  $w \in S^{2(n+1)+1}$  such that  $\pi(w) = q$ . Then  $w = (x + iy)/\sqrt{2}$  for a unique choice of  $x, y \in S^{n+1}$  such that g(x, y) = 0. By (i) we know  $p \in P^{n+1}(R)$ , so there is  $z \in S^{n+1}$  such that  $\pi(z) = p$ . We first show

$$z = \cos \alpha x + \sin \alpha y$$
 for some  $\alpha, 0 \le \alpha \le 2\pi$ .

We know that  $T_q^{\perp}(Q^n)$  is spanned by

$$\pi_* \left( \frac{-x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$
 and  $\pi_* \left( \frac{ix + y}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$ .

By Proposition 1, any focal point of  $(Q^n, q)$  can be expressed as  $\pi(u)$  where

$$(47) \qquad u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{x+iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos \phi \left( \frac{-x+iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \sin \phi \left( \frac{ix+y}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)$$

for some  $\phi$ ,  $0 \le \phi \le 2\pi$ .

Since  $\pi(z)=p$  is a focal point of  $(Q^n,q)$ , we must have  $z=e^{i\beta}u$  for some u as in (47), and for some  $\beta$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 2\pi$ . This implies that z is a real linear combination of x,y,ix and iy. Since x,y and z are all real, we must have

(48) 
$$z = \cos \alpha x + \sin \alpha y$$
 for some  $\alpha, 0 \le \alpha \le 2\pi$ .

Consider  $w' = (\sin \alpha + i \cos \alpha)[(x + iy)/\sqrt{2}]$ . Then  $\pi(w') = \pi(w) = q$ . But from (48) we see

$$w' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(\sin \alpha x - \cos \alpha y) + i(\cos \alpha x + \sin \alpha y)]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(\sin \alpha x - \cos \alpha y) + iz].$$

Thus  $w' \in S$ , and  $q \in \pi(S) = S_p^n$ . This is true for any  $q \in T$ , and we have  $T \subset S_p^n$ . Q.E.D.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- L. Bers, Introduction to Several Complex Variables, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, 1964.
- [2] E. Cartan, Lecons sur la geometrie des espaces de Riemann, deuxième edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1946.
- [3] J. Erbacher, Reduction of the codimension of an isometric immersion, J. Differential Geometry 5 (1971), 333-340.
- [4] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. I-II, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1963, 1969.
- [5] J. Milnor, Morse Theory, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 51, Princeton University Press, 1963.
- [6] K. Nomizu and L. Rodriguez, Umbilical Submanifolds and Morse Functions, Nagoya Math. J. 48 (1972), 197-201.
- [7] K. Nomizu and B. Smyth, Differential Geometry of Complex Hypersurfaces II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 20 (1968), 498-521.
- [8] B. Smyth, Differential Geometry of Complex Hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. 85 (1967), 246-266.

Vassar College