

**Methods.** Mr AB was admitted last year with profound self-neglect. He was detained under Section 2MHA as he wasn't eating and drinking and wasn't engaging with services. With the initial diagnosis being Recurrent Depressive Disorder, AB was commenced on treatment for the same and eventually received ECT, for which he had strongly opposed. Following 6 sessions of ECT, AB bargained with the team that he would start eating and drinking if ECT was stopped and did so as well. He then requested a transfer to a different ward and consultant, with whom he shared that he doesn't agree with our diagnosis of depression or Schizoid personality disorder. AB expressed that he doesn't find his life worth living and wants to be left alone. He strongly believed that his liberty to take decisions about his life is being unfairly taken away by the NHS and accused professionals of trying to protect themselves. No evidence of SMI found at this stage. Following several discussions, AB was discharged home. He however was readmitted within a couple of days' time by his brother following disengagement, self-neglect and again, no evidence of SMI.

**Results.** A capacious patient, in the absence of Serious Mental Illness puts forth an argument that purely because his way of living and his opinions on life and death differ from that of the society, doesn't mean that his rights over his life can be taken away from him. He, however, struggles to acknowledge that as fellow humans we are strongly inclined to intervene and try to stop anyone from taking their own life.

**Conclusion.** A Challenging case that raises several questions surrounding Medical Ethics. The team is now looking into guardianship to ensure welfare of the patient.

## Legal Parameters of Practice in Psychiatry

Dr Christina Barmpagianni\*, Dr Patrick Cremin,  
Dr Antonio Fialho and Dr Afaf Qazi

Surrey and Borders Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Epsom,  
United Kingdom

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.352

**Aims.** The amended Mental Health Act (MHA) of 2007 gave Psychiatrists the right to detain, assess and treat individuals with mental health disorders, not only with a view to offer medical treatment but also to ensure their safety and that of the public, by containing them. This meant that patients diagnosed with disorders such as Antisocial Personality (APD), previously un-detainable under the MHA of 1983, would no longer be considered untreatable and could be sectioned, if appropriate. The idea was then generated, that Psychiatrists would now assume the role of custodians of potentially dangerous people and raised the concern that all persons with APD would be perceived as dangerous and find themselves at a dynamic risk of being sectioned under the revised MHA. The balance between the role of Psychiatrists as medical professionals versus this new, unpopular role as figures of public order was and still is, debatable.

**Methods.** We present the case of a patient with a background of Depression and Post-traumatic Stress Disorder with aggressive features, who during a consultation revealed a powerful homicidal urge and fantasies directed to an individual he believed had wronged him. The patient had access to the individual and had attempted to confront him. He had no forensic history, nor had he expressed criminal intent before. This triggered a safeguarding response, the consensus being that advice should be sought from the Forensics team, not only to protect the potential victim but

also the potential perpetrator from the consequences of a criminal act.

**Results.** Considering the lack of police involvement, plans, or weapons; the separation between patient and potential victim; and the patient's distress associated with the disclosure of the homicidal fantasies, the level of risk was deemed to not merit disclosure. Closer risk assessment with ongoing psychological and pharmacological interventions created a therapeutic alliance which allowed for open communication with regards to the dynamic nature of the risk and the potential for any further disclosure.

**Conclusion.** Within the definition of Duty of Care lie responsibilities beyond the strictly medical role of clinicians. Not unlike the duty to inform the DVLA about a patient's fitness to drive, breaking confidentiality for the purposes of patient or public safety is not a power that makes Psychiatrists figures of Authority, but a responsibility that is part of their role. At the same time, we should bear in mind that the license to disclose is also a license not to disclose.

## Key Learning Points from a Case of Cannabinoid Induced Catatonia

Dr Lydia Benazaize<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Dr Nieves Mercadillo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom  
and <sup>2</sup>Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,  
Warrington, United Kingdom

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.353

**Aims.** Catatonia has an effective treatment: benzodiazepines. A first presentation of catatonia may present initially to an acute medical trust. It is important acute clinicians are familiar with its manifestations, medical differentials, and most importantly, understand the role of benzodiazepines in both the investigation and management of catatonia.

**Methods.** Here we describe a case of catatonia in a nineteen-year-old male, who presented acutely to the accident and emergency department with odd behaviour following inhalation of the synthetic cannabinoid 'spice'. Initially, he was found to be rigid, mute and doubly incontinent, but able to follow vague commands. He was admitted to the acute trust for twelve days in which he was worked-up as a case of drug induced psychosis. As he was not improving, he was then transferred to psychiatric inpatient services for further investigation and management.

**Results.** The acute medical team did not recognise this as a presentation of catatonia and did not conduct a lorazepam challenge, as suggested by specialist services. A lorazepam challenge is helpful in both diagnosing and treating catatonia. In this case, we believe this may have been missed, due to a lack of knowledge and understanding of the condition. Medical mimics of psychosis, such as autoimmune encephalitis, may be life threatening, but have a good prognosis if treated early. Here, these were not considered, which may have led to disastrous consequences had they been present. This case shows an opportunity for education into the differentials and management of catatonia.

**Conclusion.** We believe this case highlights a degree of poor understanding surrounding catatonia and its clinical work-up in the acute setting. There were missed opportunities to instigate treatment earlier and consider rarer alternative causes for the presentation. We hope this case will simplify diagnosis and management for acute clinicians, and highlight important medical mimics of catatonia. This case also shows the potential significant harms of synthetic cannabinoids such as 'spice' and highlights a

need for further research and potential review for grading above Class B in the Misuse of Drugs act 1971.

## Working with a Patient With Personality Disorder: A Case Report and Reflection on My Experience

Dr Jiaying Chen\*

Oxford Foundation School, Thames Valley Region, United Kingdom

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.354

**Aims.** Emotionally unstable personality disorder (EUPD) accounts for up to 20% of diagnoses in the inpatient psychiatry population. The assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of any personality disorder may be challenging, and its classification remains debatable. Here I will describe a case of a dual diagnosis of EUPD and schizotypal personality disorder. Through the case report I will also reflect on my first experience of working with a patient with personality disorder, as a Psychiatry Foundation Fellowship doctor with little previous exposure to the psychiatry specialty.

**Methods.** The patient was a female in her thirties, previously diagnosed with EUPD, who had not benefitted from a number of psychological treatments. She had a history of suicidal behaviour and previous admissions but presented differently this time. She had short hair that was dyed in a vivid colour, was paranoid that she was being spied upon from an alternative universe and had suicidal plans to join the alternative universe. She also had auditory and visual hallucinations. On exploration it became apparent that she had similar episodes in the past, each lasting no more than a day. An additional diagnosis of schizotypal personality disorder was made, and she responded well to risperidone. Unfortunately, she was transferred to another ward for bed management reasons, whereupon the diagnosis reverted to EUPD and antipsychotics were stopped.

**Results.** This case highlights how in mixed personality disorders, features of one personality disorder may be more predominant than another at different times. It also contradicts the notion that people with schizotypal personality disorder rarely present to mental health services. The inconsistency of diagnosis and lack of continuity of care caused immense distress to the patient, prolonging the acute episode. This highlights the importance of a good formulation in order to tailor care for the patient.

**Conclusion.** As a newly qualified doctor, working with patients with personality disorders was a meaningful experience. Through ward rounds and the seemingly trivial conversations along the corridor, I thought about the effect of transference and countertransference for the first time, which is applicable to any interpersonal interaction. I witnessed the harm caused by the lack of continuity of care. I reflected on the intricate balance between the advantage of establishing a diagnosis for the patient, and the drawback of the diagnosis leading to labelling. It made me face the stereotypes I held and allowed me to learn about the patient as an individual.

## Prevalence of Burnout in Intern Doctors on a Compulsory Rotational Internship in the Aftermath of the 2nd and 3rd Wave of COVID-19, Conducted in a Tertiary Hospital in Kolkata, India for the Academic Year 2021–2022

Dr Sagarika Choudhury\*

R.G.Kar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, India

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.355

**Aims.** Intern doctors are the backbone of the hospital infrastructure. While they are the first to provide patient care on an Emergency and Elective basis, they also happen to be the junior most. The interns of the year 2021–2022, apart from working in various departments of the hospital, were also the frontline workers in the 2nd and the 3rd wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. In the current global public health crisis, interns are more exposed to physical and mental exhaustion, owing to being overworked, along with carrying the burden of loss of patients, colleagues, and potentially infecting themselves and their loved ones to COVID-19. Burnout, a psychological syndrome that occurs due to work-related stress, includes emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalisation (DP), and a sense of reduced personal accomplishment (PA). Intern doctors run a high risk of facing burnout—the prevalence of which is yet unknown. Hence, the survey was conducted.

**Methods.** An online survey was carried out using MASLACH BURNOUT INVENTORY (MBI) among interns with their voluntary participation. 22 symptom items pertaining to occupational burnout were assessed, with three-component scales: emotional exhaustion (9 items), depersonalisation (5 items), and personal achievement (8 items), with a 7-level frequency scale for all MBI scales and 0–6 scoring.

Responses were received from 180 interns ( $n = 180$ ). Questions regarding current department postings and contraction of COVID-19 were included in the survey.

**Results.** Burnout was prevalent in most interns who tested positive for COVID-19 = 60% (108), followed by those whose family members tested positive for COVID-19 = 23.8% (43).

Burnout was seen more in female interns = 30% (54) than in males = 10.56% (19).

Burnout was seen more in interns working in the Emergency and Trauma = 41.67% (75), and the least in Ophthalmology = 1.67% (3).

**Conclusion.** Burnout is significantly prevalent in intern doctors at the end of the academic year, especially due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Burnout can lead to increased medical errors, reduced patient satisfaction, which affects the quality of patient care. Understanding risk factors, improving workplace environment, limiting duty hours, workshops promoting healthy behaviours have been suggested to reduce burnouts and to prioritise both mental and physical health of interns so as to ultimately improve patient care.

## Trauma-Informed Care on CAMHS ID Unit. Case Study of a Child with Neurodevelopmental Disorder and Self-Injurious Behaviour

Dr Evelina Eggleston\* and Dr Omaira Daoud

College of North West London, The Kingswood Centre, London, United Kingdom

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.356

**Aims.** Crystal House is a specialist CAMHS ID inpatient 5-bedded unit based in the Kingswood Centre, North West London - for children aged between 13 and 18 years with primary diagnosis of Intellectual Disabilities with or without additional concerns that warrant admission to hospital for purpose of assessment and management. Reporting this case, we wanted to highlight