## ON SOME PROPERTIES OF QUASI-DISTANCE-BALANCED GRAPHS

#### **ADEMIR HUJDUROVIĆ**

(Received 28 July 2017; accepted 2 September 2017; first published online 30 January 2018)

#### Abstract

For an edge uv in a graph G,  $W_{u,v}^G$  denotes the set of all vertices of G that are closer to u than to v. A graph G is said to be *quasi-distance-balanced* if there exists a constant  $\lambda > 1$  such that  $|W_{u,v}^G| = \lambda^{\pm 1}|W_{v,u}^G|$  for every pair of adjacent vertices u and v. The existence of nonbipartite quasi-distance-balanced graphs is an open problem. In this paper we investigate the possible structure of cycles in quasi-distance-balanced graphs and generalise the previously known result that every quasi-distance-balanced graph is triangle-free. We also prove that a connected quasi-distance-balanced graph admitting a bridge is isomorphic to a star. Several open problems are posed.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 05C12.

Keywords and phrases: distance-balanced graphs, quasi-distance-balanced graphs, bipartite graphs, bridge.

## 1. Introduction

Let *G* be a finite, undirected, connected graph with diameter *d* and let *V*(*G*) and *E*(*G*) be the vertex set and the edge set of *G*, respectively. For  $u \in V(G)$ , let  $N_G(u)$  denote the set of neighbours of *u* in *G*. For  $u, v \in V(G)$ , let  $d_G(u, v)$  denote the minimal pathlength distance between *u* and *v*. When the graph *G* is clear from the context, we will simply write d(u, v). For a pair of adjacent vertices u, v of *G*, define the set  $W_{u,v}^G$  by

$$W_{uv}^G = \{ x \in V(G) \mid d(x, u) < d(x, v) \}.$$

If the graph *G* is clear from the context, we write simply  $W_{u,v}$ . Observe that for a connected bipartite graph *G*, the sets  $W_{u,v}$  and  $W_{v,u}$  form a partition of its vertex set. The sets  $W_{u,v}$  and  $W_{v,u}$  are important in metric graph theory.

A subgraph G of a hypercube H that preserves distances, that is, the distance between any two vertices in G is the same as the distance between those vertices in H, is called a *partial cube*. A set of vertices  $S \subseteq V(G)$  of a graph G is *convex* if, for any two points  $a, b \in S$ , all the points on any shortest path between a and b are contained

This work is supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P1-0285 and research projects N1-0032, N1-0038, N1-0062 and J1-7051).

<sup>© 2018</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

in *S*. Djoković [4] proved that a connected bipartite graph is a partial cube if and only if the sets  $W_{u,v}$  and  $W_{v,u}$  are convex for every edge uv of *G*. These sets also occur in chemical graph theory, where the Szeged index of a graph *G*, introduced by Gutman in [5], is defined as  $S_Z(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |W_{u,v}| \cdot |W_{v,u}|$ .

A graph *G* is called *distance-balanced* (in short, DB) if the sets  $W_{u,v}$  and  $W_{v,u}$  are of the same size for arbitrary pairs of adjacent vertices *u* and *v*. Graphs having this property were first studied by Handa [6], who considered distance-balanced partial cubes. The term itself was introduced by Jerebic *et al.* [8], who gave some basic properties and characterised Cartesian and lexicographic products of distance-balanced graphs. Kutnar *et al.* [9] investigated this property for graphs having a certain type of symmetry and, among other results, proved that every vertex-transitive graph is distance-balanced. The problem of characterising distance-balanced graphs in the family of generalised Petersen graphs was studied in [10, 13]. For more results on this and related concepts, see [2, 3, 7, 11, 12].

Quasi-distance-balanced graphs, introduced by Abedi *et al.* in [1], generalise the concept of distance-balanced graphs. A graph *G* is *quasi-distance-balanced* (in short, quasi-DB) if there exists a positive rational number  $\lambda > 1$  such that, for any edge *uv* of *G*, either  $|W_{u,v}| = \lambda |W_{v,u}|$  or  $|W_{v,u}| = \lambda |W_{u,v}|$ . In this case, we set  $QDB(G) = \lambda$ . From [1], every quasi-distance-balanced graph is triangle-free and the only quasi-distance-balanced graphs with diameter two are the complete bipartite graphs. Quasi-distance-balanced lexicographic and Cartesian products are characterised in [1, Theorems 1.4 and 1.5]. All known examples of quasi-distance-balanced graphs are bipartite, so the following question, which was posed in [1], is still open.

**PROBLEM** 1.1 [1, Problem 1.1]. Does there exist a nonbipartite quasi-distance-balanced graph?

Since a graph is bipartite if and only if it contains no odd cycle, Problem 1.1 naturally leads to the investigation of the possible structure of cycles in quasi-distance-balanced graphs. Edges of a quasi-distance-balanced graph *G* can be naturally oriented in the following way: for two adjacent vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , we define  $u \to v$  if and only if  $|W_{u,v}| = \lambda |W_{v,u}|$ . (See Figure 1 for an example.) Let Q(G) be the directed graph obtained in this way.

Let  $C = v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a cycle of length n in a quasi-DB graph G. Let  $C^+$  be the set of indices  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  for which  $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}$ , that is,  $C^+ = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}\}$  (here we identify  $v_{n+1}$  with  $v_1$  and  $v_0$  with  $v_n$ ). Similarly, let  $C^- = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_{i+1} \rightarrow v_i\}$ . (See Figure 2 for an example.)

The main focus of this paper is the investigation of the cycle structure in quasidistance-balanced graphs. The main results are as follows.

**THEOREM** 1.2. Let G be a quasi-DB graph and let  $C = v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a cycle in G. Then

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}| = \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}|.$$



FIGURE 1. Orientation of edges in  $P_3 \Box P_3$ .



FIGURE 2. Example of  $C^+$  and  $C^-$  in a quasi-DB graph.

The following result generalises [1, Theorem 1.2], where it was proved that every quasi-DB graph is triangle-free.

**THEOREM** 1.3. Let *G* be a quasi-DB graph and let  $C = v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a cycle of length *n* in *G*. Then  $2 \le |C^+| \le n - 2$  and  $2 \le |C^-| \le n - 2$ .

For bipartite quasi-DB graphs, we have equality between the sizes of  $C^+$  and  $C^-$ .

**THEOREM** 1.4. Let G be a bipartite quasi-DB graph and let  $C = v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}$  be a cycle of length 2n in G. Then  $|C^+| = |C^-| = n$ .

Recall that a *bridge* in a graph is an edge whose removal increases the number of connected components of the graph. Quasi-DB graphs admitting a bridge are characterised in the following theorem.

## **THEOREM** 1.5. The only connected quasi-DB graphs having a bridge are stars.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we develop some important tools and obtain results about partitions of the vertex set defined by distance from a given closed walk in a graph (see Theorem 2.5). In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we develop some important tools that will be useful later on. We first need to introduce some terminology.

**DEFINITION** 2.1. Let *G* be a graph and let  $C = v_1, v_2, ..., v_n, v_{n+1}$  be a walk of length *n* in *G*. Define a mapping  $\varphi_C : V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}^n$  by

$$\varphi_C(v) = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{ where } x_i = d_G(v, v_{i+1}) - d_G(v, v_i) \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

For  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , let  $A_i^{\pm} = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n \mid x_i = \pm 1\}$ .

For any walk *C* in a graph *G* and any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we have  $\varphi_C(v) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$  and hence the following observation.

**OBSERVATION** 2.2. Let *G* be a graph and let  $C = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1}$  be a walk of length *n* in *G*. The set  $\{\varphi_C^{-1}((x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \mid (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n\}$  is a partition of V(G).

The next lemma gives a connection between the sets  $W_{uv}$  and the mapping  $\varphi_C$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let G be a graph and let  $C = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1}$  be a walk of length n in G. Then  $W_{v_i,v_{i+1}} = \varphi_C^{-1}(A_i^+)$  and  $W_{v_{i+1},v_i} = \varphi_C^{-1}(A_i^-)$  for every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $v \in V(G)$ . Suppose first that  $v \in W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}$ . Then  $d(v, v_i) < d(v, v_{i+1})$ . Moreover, since  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(G)$ , it follows that  $d(v, v_{i+1}) = d(v, v_i) + 1$ . Therefore,  $d(v, v_{i+1}) - d(v, v_i) = 1$  and hence  $\varphi_C(v) \in A_i^+$ . Conversely, let v be a vertex such that  $\varphi_C(v) \in A_i^+$ . By the definition of the mapping  $\varphi_C$ , it follows that  $d(v, v_{i+1}) - d(v, v_i) = 1$  and hence  $v \in W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}$ . This proves that  $W_{v_i,v_{i+1}} = \varphi_C^{-1}(A_i^+)$ . Similarly,  $W_{v_{i+1},v_i} = \varphi_C^{-1}(A_i^-)$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let G be a graph and let  $C = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1}$  be a walk of length n in G. Let  $v \in V(G)$  and let  $\varphi_C(v) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = d(v, v_{n+1}) - d(v, v_1)$ .

**PROOF.** By the definition of the mapping  $\varphi$ , it follows that  $x_i = d(v, v_{i+1}) - d(v, v_i)$ . Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (d(v, v_{i+1}) - d(v, v_i)) = d(v, v_{n+1}) - d(v, v_1)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_1$  be a closed walk in a graph G. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |W_{\nu_i,\nu_{i+1}}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |W_{\nu_{i+1},\nu_i}|$$

**PROOF.** Let  $C = v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_1$  be a closed walk in *G* and let *v* be an arbitrary vertex of *G*. Let  $\varphi_C(v) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ . Suppose that *v* contributes *k* to the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}|$ , that is, there exist *k* indices  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $v \in W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}$ . Lemma 2.3 implies that there are exactly *k* coordinates of  $\varphi_C(v)$  equal to 1. By Lemma 2.4, it follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$ . Since  $x_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , there are also exactly *k* coordinates of  $\varphi_C(v)$  equal to -1. Therefore, *v* contributes *k* to the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |W_{v_{i+1},v_i}|$ . Since this is true for every  $v \in V(G)$ , the result follows.

### 3. Cycles in quasi-distance-balanced graphs

Let *G* be a quasi-DB graph with  $QDB(G) = \lambda > 1$ . As explained in the introduction, there is a natural orientation of the edges of *G* defined by  $u \to v$  if and only if  $|W_{u,v}| = \lambda |W_{v,u}|$ . Again, from the introduction, for a cycle  $C = v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , we defined  $C^+ = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_i \to v_{i+1}\}$  and  $C^- = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_{i+1} \to v_i\}$ , where  $v_{n+1} = v_1$  and  $v_0 = v_n$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** It is clear that  $C^+ \cap C^- = \emptyset$  and  $C^+ \cup C^- = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . By Theorem 2.5,

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}| + \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}| = \sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}| + \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}|$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}| - \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}| = \sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}| - \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}|.$$
(3.1)

By the definition of the sets  $C^+$  and  $C^-$ ,

 $|W_{v_{i},v_{i+1}}| = \lambda |W_{v_{i+1},v_{i}}| \quad \text{(for all } i \in C^{+}\text{)},$ (3.2)

$$|W_{v_{i+1},v_i}| = \lambda |W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| \quad \text{(for all } i \in C^-\text{)}.$$
(3.3)

By combining (3.2) and (3.3), it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}| - \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}| = \lambda \Big( \sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{\nu_{i+1}, \nu_i}| - \sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}}| \Big).$$
(3.4)

The result now follows from (3.1), (3.4) and the fact that  $\lambda > 1$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3.** Suppose first that  $|C^-| = 0$ . Then it is clear that  $C^+ = \{1, ..., n\}$ and that  $\sum_{i \in C^-} |W_{v_{i+1},v_i}| = 0$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $\sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| = 0$ . However, since  $v_i \in W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}$ , it follows that  $|W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| \ge 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\sum_{i \in C^+} |W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| = 0$ . Thus,  $|C^-| \ge 1$ .

Suppose now that  $|C^-| = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $C^- = \{n\}$  and  $C^+ = \{1, ..., n-1\}$ . We claim that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |W_{v_i, v_{i+1}}| - |W_{v_1, v_n}| > 0.$$
(3.5)

We are first going to prove that

$$W_{\nu_1,\nu_n} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{\nu_i,\nu_{i+1}}$$

Let  $v \in W_{v_1,v_n}$  and  $\varphi_C(v) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $x_n = -1$  and, by Lemma 2.4,  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ . Since  $x_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and  $x_n = -1$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $x_j = 1$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $v_j \in W_{v_j,v_{j+1}}$ . Therefore,  $W_{v_1,v_n} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}$ . Now observe that  $v_{n-1} \in W_{v_{n-1},v_n}$  and  $v_{n-1} \notin W_{v_1,v_n}$ . This proves that  $W_{v_1,v_n}$  is a proper subset of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}$ , which gives (3.5). However, (3.5) contradicts Theorem 1.2. Thus,  $|C^-| \ge 2$  and similarly  $|C^+| \ge 2$ .

**COROLLARY** 3.1. Let *G* be a quasi-DB graph and let  $C = v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  be a 4-cycle in *G*. Then  $|C^+| = |C^-| = 2$ .

We now show that in a bipartite quasi-DB graph,  $|C^+| = |C^-|$  for every cycle C.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4.** Let *G* be a bipartite quasi-DB graph. Recall that for any edge uv in *G*, the sets  $W_{u,v}$  and  $W_{v,u}$  form a partition of V(G). Hence, there exists some constant *M* with |V(G)|/2 < M < |V(G)| such that

$$|W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| = M, \quad |W_{v_{i+1},v_i}| = |V(G)| - M \quad \text{(for all } i \in C^+\text{)}$$

and

 $|W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| = |V(G)| - M, \quad |W_{v_{i+1},v_i}| = M \quad \text{(for all } i \in C^-\text{)}.$ 

By Theorem 2.5,

$$|C^{+}| \cdot M + |C^{-}| \cdot (|V(G)| - M) = |C^{+}| \cdot (|V(G)| - M) + |C^{-}| \cdot M,$$

which implies that

$$2(|C^+| - |C^-|) \cdot M = (|C^+| - |C^-|) \cdot |V(G)|.$$

If  $|C^+| - |C^-| \neq 0$ , then M = |V(G)|/2, which is a contradiction. Thus,  $|C^+| = |C^-|$ .  $\Box$ 

**REMARK** 3.2. Since all known examples of quasi-DB graphs are bipartite, it follows that in all known quasi-DB graphs,  $|C^+| = |C^-|$  for every cycle C.

We now consider the existence of cycles of length 5 in quasi-DB graphs. A 5-cycle  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5$  in a graph *G* is said to be *central* if every vertex in *G* is at distance at most 2 from every vertex on the 5-cycle, that is,  $d(v, v_i) \le 2$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and for all  $v \in V(G)$ . The following result shows that there is no central 5-cycle in a quasi-DB graph.

### **PROPOSITION** 3.3. Let G be a graph having a central 5-cycle. Then G is not quasi-DB.

**PROOF.** Suppose on the contrary that *G* is quasi-DB and that  $C = v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5$  induces a central 5-cycle in *G*. We claim that  $W_{v_{i+1},v_i} \setminus \{v_{i+2}\} = W_{v_{i+1},v_{i+2}} \setminus \{v_i\}$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Let  $v \in W_{v_{i+1},v_i} \setminus \{v_{i+2}\}$ . If  $v = v_{i+1}$ , then clearly  $v \in W_{v_{i+1},v_{i+2}} \setminus \{v_i\}$ . If  $v \neq v_{i+1}$ , then since *C* is a central 5-cycle in *G*, it follows that  $d(v, v_{i+1}) = 1$ . Since *G* is triangle-free by [1, Theorem 1.2], it follows that  $d(v, v_i) = d(v, v_{i+2}) = 2$ . It is now clear that  $v \in W_{v_{i+1},v_{i+2}} \setminus \{v_i\}$ , which proves that  $W_{v_{i+1},v_i} \setminus \{v_{i+2}\} \subseteq W_{v_{i+1},v_{i+2}} \setminus \{v_i\}$ . It is easy to see that the reverse inclusion also holds. It is now clear that

$$|W_{v_{i+1},v_i}| = |W_{v_{i+1},v_{i+2}}| \quad \text{(for all } i \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}\text{)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since G is quasi-DB,  $|W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| = \lambda^{e_i} |W_{v_{i+1},v_i}|$ , where  $e_i = \pm 1$ . By multiplying these equalities and using (3.6),

$$\prod_{i=1}^{5} |W_{v_i, v_{i+1}}| = \lambda^{e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{5} |W_{v_i, v_{i+1}}|.$$

Since  $|W_{v_i,v_{i+1}}| \ge 1$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , it follows that  $\lambda^{e_1+e_2+e_3+e_4+e_5} = 1$ . But this is impossible, since  $\lambda > 1$  and  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 \neq 0$ .

**PROBLEM 3.4.** Does there exist a quasi-DB graph admitting a 5-cycle?

### 4. Bridges in quasi-DB graphs

For a graph *G*, the *minimum degree of G*, denoted by  $\delta(G)$ , is the minimum degree of vertices in *G*. The following lemma characterises quasi-DB graphs with  $\delta = 1$ .

**LEMMA** 4.1. Let G be a connected quasi-DB graph. If  $\delta(G) = 1$ , then G is isomorphic to a star.

**PROOF.** Let *G* be a connected quasi-DB graph and let *u* be a vertex of degree 1 in *G*. Let *v* be the unique neighbour of *u*. It is easy to see that  $|W_{u,v}| = 1$  and  $|W_{v,u}| = |V(G)| - 1$ , which implies that QDB(G) = |V(G)| - 1. Let *w* be a neighbour of *v* different from *u*. Since  $|W_{v,w}| \ge 2$ , it follows that  $|W_{v,w}| = |V(G)| - 1$  and  $|W_{w,v}| = 1$ . This shows that every neighbour of *v* is a leaf in *G* and hence *G* is isomorphic to a star.

We are now going to characterise quasi-DB graphs admitting a bridge. Recall that a *bridge* (or *cut edge*) in a graph G is an edge whose removal increases the number of connected components of G.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5.** Let *G* be a connected quasi-DB graph and let  $v_1v_2$  be a bridge in *G*. Let  $\lambda = QDB(G)$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $G_i$  be the component containing  $v_i$  after removing the bridge  $v_1v_2$ . We assume, without loss of generality, that  $|V(G_1)| \ge |V(G_2)|$ . It is clear that  $W_{v_1,v_2}^G = V(G_1)$  and  $W_{v_2,v_1}^G = V(G_2)$ . It follows that  $\lambda = |V(G_1)|/|V(G_2)|$ . If  $V(G_2) = \{v_2\}$ , then  $\delta(G) = 1$  and hence by Lemma 4.1 it follows that *G* is isomorphic to a star. Let  $x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_2\}$ . It is now easy to see that  $|W_{v_2,x}^G| \ge |V(G_1)| + 1$  and that  $|W_{x,v_2}^G| \le |V(G_2)| - 1$ . It is also clear that  $|W_{v_2,x}^G| \ge |W_{x,v_2}^G|$ , implying that  $|W_{v_2,x}^G| = \lambda |W_{x,v_2}^G|$ , that is,

$$\lambda = \frac{|W_{\nu_{2,x}}^G|}{|W_{x,\nu_2}^G|} \ge \frac{|V(G_1)| + 1}{|V(G_2)| - 1} > \frac{|V(G_1)|}{|V(G_2)|} = \lambda,$$

which is a contradiction, showing that a quasi-DB graph with a bridge is isomorphic to a star.  $\hfill \Box$ 

The next natural question is the characterisation of quasi-DB graphs with a cut vertex. As shown in [1, Proposition 3.4], there are infinitely many examples of such graphs. The examples constructed in [1, Proposition 3.4] are formed from bipartite DB graphs with the same number of vertices, glued along a vertex. All the graphs constructed in this way are bipartite. We conclude with the following problem.

PROBLEM 4.2. Characterise quasi-DB graphs having a cut vertex.

#### References

- A. Abedi, M. Alaeiyan, A. Hujdurović and K. Kutnar, 'Quasi-λ-distance-balanced graphs', Discrete Appl. Math. 227 (2017), 21–28.
- [2] K. Balakrishnan, M. Changat, I. Peterin, S. Špacapan, P. Šparl and A. R. Subhamathi, 'Strongly distance-balanced graphs and graph products', *European J. Combin.* **30** (2009), 1048–1053.

- [3] S. Cabello and P. Lukšič, 'The complexity of obtaining a distance-balanced graph', *Electron. J. Combin.* 18(1) (2011), Article ID #P49, 10 pages.
- [4] D. Ž. Djoković, 'Distance-preserving subgraphs of hypercubes', J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 14 (1973), 263–267.
- [5] I. Gutman, 'A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to graphs containing cycles', *Graph Theory Notes N. Y.* **27** (1994), 9–15.
- [6] K. Handa, 'Bipartite graphs with balanced (a, b)-partitions', Ars Combin. 51 (1999), 113–119.
- [7] A. Ilić, S. Klavžar and M. Milanović, 'On distance-balanced graphs', *European J. Combin.* 31 (2010), 733–737.
- [8] J. Jerebic, S. Klavžar and D. F. Rall, 'Distance-balanced graphs', Ann. Comb. 12 (2008), 71–79.
- [9] K. Kutnar, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič, 'Distance-balanced graphs: symmetry conditions', *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006), 1881–1894.
- [10] K. Kutnar, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič, 'The strongly distance-balanced property of the generalized Petersen graphs', Ars Math. Contemp. 2 (2009), 41–47.
- [11] K. Kutnar and Š. Miklavič, 'Nicely distance-balanced graphs', *European J. Combin.* 39 (2014), 57–67.
- [12] Š. Miklavič and P. Šparl, 'On the connectivity of bipartite distance-balanced graphs', *European J. Combin.* 33 (2012), 237–247.
- [13] R. Yang, X. Hou, N. Li and W. Zhong, 'A note on the distance-balanced property of generalized Petersen graphs', *Electron. J. Combin.* **16**(1) (2009), Article ID #N33, 3 pages.

# ADEMIR HUJDUROVIĆ, University of Primorska, FAMNIT,

Glagoljaška 8, 6000 Koper, Slovenia and

University of Primorska, IAM, Muzejski trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia e-mail: ademir.hujdurovic@upr.si

[8]