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#### Abstract

For an edge $u v$ in a graph $G, W_{u, v}^{G}$ denotes the set of all vertices of $G$ that are closer to $u$ than to $v$. A graph $G$ is said to be quasi-distance-balanced if there exists a constant $\lambda>1$ such that $\left|W_{u, v}^{G}\right|=\lambda^{ \pm 1}\left|W_{v, u}^{G}\right|$ for every pair of adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$. The existence of nonbipartite quasi-distance-balanced graphs is an open problem. In this paper we investigate the possible structure of cycles in quasi-distance-balanced graphs and generalise the previously known result that every quasi-distance-balanced graph is trianglefree. We also prove that a connected quasi-distance-balanced graph admitting a bridge is isomorphic to a star. Several open problems are posed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite, undirected, connected graph with diameter $d$ and let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ be the vertex set and the edge set of $G$, respectively. For $u \in V(G)$, let $N_{G}(u)$ denote the set of neighbours of $u$ in $G$. For $u, v \in V(G)$, let $d_{G}(u, v)$ denote the minimal pathlength distance between $u$ and $v$. When the graph $G$ is clear from the context, we will simply write $d(u, v)$. For a pair of adjacent vertices $u, v$ of $G$, define the set $W_{u, v}^{G}$ by

$$
W_{u, v}^{G}=\{x \in V(G) \mid d(x, u)<d(x, v)\} .
$$

If the graph $G$ is clear from the context, we write simply $W_{u, v}$. Observe that for a connected bipartite graph $G$, the sets $W_{u, v}$ and $W_{v, u}$ form a partition of its vertex set. The sets $W_{u, v}$ and $W_{v, u}$ are important in metric graph theory.

A subgraph $G$ of a hypercube $H$ that preserves distances, that is, the distance between any two vertices in $G$ is the same as the distance between those vertices in $H$, is called a partial cube. A set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ of a graph $G$ is convex if, for any two points $a, b \in S$, all the points on any shortest path between $a$ and $b$ are contained

[^0]in $S$. Djoković [4] proved that a connected bipartite graph is a partial cube if and only if the sets $W_{u, v}$ and $W_{v, u}$ are convex for every edge $u v$ of $G$. These sets also occur in chemical graph theory, where the Szeged index of a graph $G$, introduced by Gutman in [5], is defined as $S z(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left|W_{u, v}\right| \cdot\left|W_{v, u}\right|$.

A graph $G$ is called distance-balanced (in short, DB) if the sets $W_{u, v}$ and $W_{v, u}$ are of the same size for arbitrary pairs of adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$. Graphs having this property were first studied by Handa [6], who considered distance-balanced partial cubes. The term itself was introduced by Jerebic et al. [8], who gave some basic properties and characterised Cartesian and lexicographic products of distancebalanced graphs. Kutnar et al. [9] investigated this property for graphs having a certain type of symmetry and, among other results, proved that every vertex-transitive graph is distance-balanced. The problem of characterising distance-balanced graphs in the family of generalised Petersen graphs was studied in [10,13]. For more results on this and related concepts, see $[2,3,7,11,12]$.

Quasi-distance-balanced graphs, introduced by Abedi et al. in [1], generalise the concept of distance-balanced graphs. A graph $G$ is quasi-distance-balanced (in short, quasi-DB) if there exists a positive rational number $\lambda>1$ such that, for any edge $u v$ of $G$, either $\left|W_{u, v}\right|=\lambda\left|W_{v, u}\right|$ or $\left|W_{v, u}\right|=\lambda\left|W_{u, v}\right|$. In this case, we set $Q D B(G)=\lambda$. From [1], every quasi-distance-balanced graph is triangle-free and the only quasi-distancebalanced graphs with diameter two are the complete bipartite graphs. Quasi-distancebalanced lexicographic and Cartesian products are characterised in [1, Theorems 1.4 and 1.5]. All known examples of quasi-distance-balanced graphs are bipartite, so the following question, which was posed in [1], is still open.

Problem 1.1 [1, Problem 1.1]. Does there exist a nonbipartite quasi-distance-balanced graph?

Since a graph is bipartite if and only if it contains no odd cycle, Problem 1.1 naturally leads to the investigation of the possible structure of cycles in quasi-distancebalanced graphs. Edges of a quasi-distance-balanced graph $G$ can be naturally oriented in the following way: for two adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, we define $u \rightarrow v$ if and only if $\left|W_{u, v}\right|=\lambda\left|W_{v, u}\right|$. (See Figure 1 for an example.) Let $Q(G)$ be the directed graph obtained in this way.

Let $C=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a cycle of length $n$ in a quasi-DB graph $G$. Let $C^{+}$be the set of indices $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for which $v_{i} \rightarrow v_{i+1}$, that is, $C^{+}=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_{i} \rightarrow v_{i+1}\right\}$ (here we identify $v_{n+1}$ with $v_{1}$ and $v_{0}$ with $v_{n}$. Similarly, let $C^{-}=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_{i+1} \rightarrow v_{i}\right\}$. (See Figure 2 for an example.)

The main focus of this paper is the investigation of the cycle structure in quasi-distance-balanced graphs. The main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a quasi-DB graph and let $C=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a cycle in $G$. Then

$$
\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right| .
$$



Figure 1. Orientation of edges in $P_{3} \square P_{3}$.

$C=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5} v_{6}, C^{+}=\{1,2,3\}, C^{-}=\{4,5,6\}$
Figure 2. Example of $C^{+}$and $C^{-}$in a quasi-DB graph.

The following result generalises [1, Theorem 1.2], where it was proved that every quasi-DB graph is triangle-free.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G$ be a quasi-DB graph and let $C=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a cycle of length $n$ in $G$. Then $2 \leq\left|C^{+}\right| \leq n-2$ and $2 \leq\left|C^{-}\right| \leq n-2$.

For bipartite quasi-DB graphs, we have equality between the sizes of $C^{+}$and $C^{-}$.
Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be a bipartite quasi-DB graph and let $C=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}$ be a cycle of length $2 n$ in $G$. Then $\left|C^{+}\right|=\left|C^{-}\right|=n$.

Recall that a bridge in a graph is an edge whose removal increases the number of connected components of the graph. Quasi-DB graphs admitting a bridge are characterised in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. The only connected quasi-DB graphs having a bridge are stars.
This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we develop some important tools and obtain results about partitions of the vertex set defined by distance from a given closed walk in a graph (see Theorem 2.5). In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we develop some important tools that will be useful later on. We first need to introduce some terminology.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a graph and let $C=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}$ be a walk of length $n$ in $G$. Define a mapping $\varphi_{C}: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ by

$$
\varphi_{C}(v)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad \text { where } x_{i}=d_{G}\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)-d_{G}\left(v, v_{i}\right) \text { for } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} .
$$

For $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $A_{i}^{ \pm}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n} \mid x_{i}= \pm 1\right\}$.
For any walk $C$ in a graph $G$ and any vertex $v \in V(G)$, we have $\varphi_{C}(v) \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}$ and hence the following observation.

Observation 2.2. Let $G$ be a graph and let $C=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}$ be a walk of length $n$ in $G$. The set $\left\{\varphi_{C}^{-1}\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}\right\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$.

The next lemma gives a connection between the sets $W_{u v}$ and the mapping $\varphi_{C}$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a graph and let $C=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}$ be a walk of length $n$ in $G$. Then $W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}=\varphi_{C}^{-1}\left(A_{i}^{+}\right)$and $W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}=\varphi_{C}^{-1}\left(A_{i}^{-}\right)$for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Proof. Let $v \in V(G)$. Suppose first that $v \in W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}$. Then $d\left(v, v_{i}\right)<d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)$. Moreover, since $v_{i} v_{i+1} \in E(G)$, it follows that $d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)=d\left(v, v_{i}\right)+1$. Therefore, $d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)-d\left(v, v_{i}\right)=1$ and hence $\varphi_{C}(v) \in A_{i}^{+}$. Conversely, let $v$ be a vertex such that $\varphi_{C}(v) \in A_{i}^{+}$. By the definition of the mapping $\varphi_{C}$, it follows that $d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)-d\left(v, v_{i}\right)=1$ and hence $v \in W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}$. This proves that $W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}=\varphi_{C}^{-1}\left(A_{i}^{+}\right)$. Similarly, $W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}=\varphi_{C}^{-1}\left(A_{i}^{-}\right)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a graph and let $C=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}$ be a walk of length $n$ in $G$ Let $v \in V(G)$ and let $\varphi_{C}(v)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=d\left(v, v_{n+1}\right)-d\left(v, v_{1}\right)$.

Proof. By the definition of the mapping $\varphi$, it follows that $x_{i}=d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)-d\left(v, v_{i}\right)$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)-d\left(v, v_{i}\right)\right)=d\left(v, v_{n+1}\right)-d\left(v, v_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{1}$ be a closed walk in a graph $G$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right| .
$$

Proof. Let $C=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{1}$ be a closed walk in $G$ and let $v$ be an arbitrary vertex of $G$. Let $\varphi_{C}(v)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $v$ contributes $k$ to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|$, that is, there exist $k$ indices $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $v \in W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}$. Lemma 2.3 implies that there are exactly $k$ coordinates of $\varphi_{C}(v)$ equal to 1 . By Lemma 2.4, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=0$. Since $x_{i} \in\{-1,0,1\}$, there are also exactly $k$ coordinates of $\varphi_{C}(v)$ equal to -1 . Therefore, $v$ contributes $k$ to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|$. Since this is true for every $v \in V(G)$, the result follows.

## 3. Cycles in quasi-distance-balanced graphs

Let $G$ be a quasi-DB graph with $Q D B(G)=\lambda>1$. As explained in the introduction, there is a natural orientation of the edges of $G$ defined by $u \rightarrow v$ if and only if $\left|W_{u, v}\right|=\lambda\left|W_{v, u}\right|$. Again, from the introduction, for a cycle $C=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$, we defined $C^{+}=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_{i} \rightarrow v_{i+1}\right\}$ and $C^{-}=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid v_{i+1} \rightarrow v_{i}\right\}$, where $v_{n+1}=v_{1}$ and $v_{0}=v_{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is clear that $C^{+} \cap C^{-}=\emptyset$ and $C^{+} \cup C^{-}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By Theorem 2.5,

$$
\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|+\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|+\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|-\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|=\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|-\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right| . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of the sets $C^{+}$and $C^{-}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=\lambda\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right| & \left(\text { for all } i \in C^{+}\right), \\
\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}=\lambda\right| W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}} \mid & \text { (for all } \left.i \in C^{-}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

By combining (3.2) and (3.3), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|-\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|=\lambda\left(\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|-\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows from (3.1), (3.4) and the fact that $\lambda>1$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose first that $\left|C^{-}\right|=0$. Then it is clear that $C^{+}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and that $\sum_{i \in C^{-}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|=0$. By Theorem 1.2, $\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=0$. However, since $v_{i} \in W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}$, it follows that $\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right| \geq 1$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, which contradicts the fact that $\sum_{i \in C^{+}}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=0$. Thus, $\left|C^{-}\right| \geq 1$.

Suppose now that $\left|C^{-}\right|=1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C^{-}=\{n\}$ and $C^{+}=\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|-\left|W_{v_{1}, v_{n}}\right|>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are first going to prove that

$$
W_{v_{1}, v_{n}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}} .
$$

Let $v \in W_{v_{1}, v_{n}}$ and $\varphi_{C}(v)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 2.3, $x_{n}=-1$ and, by Lemma 2.4, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=0$. Since $x_{i} \in\{-1,0,1\}$ and $x_{n}=-1$, there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $x_{j}=1$. By Lemma 2.3, $v_{j} \in W_{v_{j}, v_{j+1}}$. Therefore, $W_{v_{1}, v_{n}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}$. Now observe that $v_{n-1} \in W_{v_{n-1}, v_{n}}$ and $v_{n-1} \notin W_{v_{1}, v_{n}}$. This proves that $W_{v_{1}, v_{n}}$ is a proper subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}$, which gives (3.5). However, (3.5) contradicts Theorem 1.2. Thus, $\left|C^{-}\right| \geq 2$ and similarly $\left|C^{+}\right| \geq 2$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $G$ be a quasi-DB graph and let $C=v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ be a 4 -cycle in $G$. Then $\left|C^{+}\right|=\left|C^{-}\right|=2$.

We now show that in a bipartite quasi-DB graph, $\left|C^{+}\right|=\left|C^{-}\right|$for every cycle $C$.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be a bipartite quasi-DB graph. Recall that for any edge $u v$ in $G$, the sets $W_{u, v}$ and $W_{v, u}$ form a partition of $V(G)$. Hence, there exists some constant $M$ with $|V(G)| / 2<M<|V(G)|$ such that

$$
\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=M, \quad\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|=|V(G)|-M \quad\left(\text { for all } i \in C^{+}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=|V(G)|-M, \quad\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|=M \quad\left(\text { for all } i \in C^{-}\right) .
$$

By Theorem 2.5,

$$
\left|C^{+}\right| \cdot M+\left|C^{-}\right| \cdot(|V(G)|-M)=\left|C^{+}\right| \cdot(|V(G)|-M)+\left|C^{-}\right| \cdot M,
$$

which implies that

$$
2\left(\left|C^{+}\right|-\left|C^{-}\right|\right) \cdot M=\left(\left|C^{+}\right|-\left|C^{-}\right|\right) \cdot|V(G)| .
$$

If $\left|C^{+}\right|-\left|C^{-}\right| \neq 0$, then $M=|V(G)| / 2$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\left|C^{+}\right|=\left|C^{-}\right|$.
Remark 3.2. Since all known examples of quasi-DB graphs are bipartite, it follows that in all known quasi-DB graphs, $\left|C^{+}\right|=\left|C^{-}\right|$for every cycle $C$.

We now consider the existence of cycles of length 5 in quasi-DB graphs. A 5-cycle $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}$ in a graph $G$ is said to be central if every vertex in $G$ is at distance at most 2 from every vertex on the 5 -cycle, that is, $d\left(v, v_{i}\right) \leq 2$ for all $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and for all $v \in V(G)$. The following result shows that there is no central 5 -cycle in a quasi-DB graph.

Proposition 3.3. Let $G$ be a graph having a central 5-cycle. Then $G$ is not quasi-DB.
Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $G$ is quasi-DB and that $C=v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}$ induces a central 5-cycle in $G$. We claim that $W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}} \backslash\left\{v_{i+2}\right\}=W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ for every $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$. Let $v \in W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}} \backslash\left\{v_{i+2}\right\}$. If $v=v_{i+1}$, then clearly $v \in W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. If $v \neq v_{i+1}$, then since $C$ is a central 5 -cycle in $G$, it follows that $d\left(v, v_{i+1}\right)=1$. Since $G$ is triangle-free by [1, Theorem 1.2], it follows that $d\left(v, v_{i}\right)=d\left(v, v_{i+2}\right)=2$. It is now clear that $v \in W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$, which proves that $W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}} \backslash\left\{v_{i+2}\right\} \subseteq W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. It is easy to see that the reverse inclusion also holds. It is now clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i}}\right|=\left|W_{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}}\right| \quad(\text { for all } i \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G$ is quasi-DB, $\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=\lambda^{e_{i}}\left|W_{v_{i+1}}, v_{i}\right|$, where $e_{i}= \pm 1$. By multiplying these equalities and using (3.6),

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{5}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right|=\lambda^{e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{5}\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right| .
$$

Since $\left|W_{v_{i}, v_{i+1}}\right| \geq 1$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$, it follows that $\lambda^{e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}}=1$. But this is impossible, since $\lambda>1$ and $e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5} \neq 0$.
Problem 3.4. Does there exist a quasi-DB graph admitting a 5-cycle?

## 4. Bridges in quasi-DB graphs

For a graph $G$, the minimum degree of $G$, denoted by $\delta(G)$, is the minimum degree of vertices in $G$. The following lemma characterises quasi-DB graphs with $\delta=1$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be a connected quasi-DB graph. If $\delta(G)=1$, then $G$ is isomorphic to a star.

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected quasi-DB graph and let $u$ be a vertex of degree 1 in $G$. Let $v$ be the unique neighbour of $u$. It is easy to see that $\left|W_{u, v}\right|=1$ and $\left|W_{v, u}\right|=|V(G)|-1$, which implies that $Q D B(G)=|V(G)|-1$. Let $w$ be a neighbour of $v$ different from $u$. Since $\left|W_{v, w}\right| \geq 2$, it follows that $\left|W_{v, w}\right|=|V(G)|-1$ and $\left|W_{w, v}\right|=1$. This shows that every neighbour of $v$ is a leaf in $G$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to a star.

We are now going to characterise quasi-DB graphs admitting a bridge. Recall that a bridge (or cut edge) in a graph $G$ is an edge whose removal increases the number of connected components of $G$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $G$ be a connected quasi-DB graph and let $v_{1} v_{2}$ be a bridge in $G$. Let $\lambda=Q D B(G)$. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $G_{i}$ be the component containing $v_{i}$ after removing the bridge $v_{1} v_{2}$. We assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|$. It is clear that $W_{v_{1}, v_{2}}^{G}=V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $W_{v_{2}, v_{1}}^{G}=V\left(G_{2}\right)$. It follows that $\lambda=\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| /\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|$. If $V\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{2}\right\}$, then $\delta(G)=1$ and hence by Lemma 4.1 it follows that $G$ is isomorphic to a star. Let $x \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{2}\right\}$. It is now easy to see that $\left|W_{v_{2}, x}^{G}\right| \geq\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|+1$ and that $\left|W_{x, v_{2}}^{G}\right| \leq\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|-1$. It is also clear that $\left|W_{v_{2}, x}^{G}\right| \geq\left|W_{x, v_{2}}^{G}\right|$, implying that $\left|W_{v_{2}, x}^{G}\right|=\lambda\left|W_{x, v_{2}}^{G}\right|$, that is,

$$
\lambda=\frac{\left|W_{v_{2}, x}^{G}\right|}{\left|W_{x, v_{2}}^{G}\right|} \geq \frac{\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|+1}{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|-1}>\frac{\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|}=\lambda,
$$

which is a contradiction, showing that a quasi-DB graph with a bridge is isomorphic to a star.

The next natural question is the characterisation of quasi-DB graphs with a cut vertex. As shown in [1, Proposition 3.4], there are infinitely many examples of such graphs. The examples constructed in [1, Proposition 3.4] are formed from bipartite DB graphs with the same number of vertices, glued along a vertex. All the graphs constructed in this way are bipartite. We conclude with the following problem.

Problem 4.2. Characterise quasi-DB graphs having a cut vertex.
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