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**Abstract** The Critically Endangered saiga antelope *Saiga tatarica* faces an uncertain future, with populations dwindling from epidemics in its range countries, and ongoing demand for its horns in the traditional Chinese medicine trade. Singapore is a major hub for the global trade in saiga horn and an important consumer country, with saiga horn products widely available in the domestic market. Despite this, little is known about the consumers that drive domestic demand. Before interventions are carried out, it is important to understand who the consumers are, and their motivations. We conducted an investigation into consumption prevalence and consumer demographics, knowledge and motivations. We surveyed 230 Chinese Singaporeans, through a combination of face-to-face interviews and self-administered questionnaires. Recent consumption incidence (in the previous 12 months) was relatively high, at 13%. Younger respondents (18–35 years) had the highest prevalence of recent consumption (25%), often as a result of influence from an older family member or friend. Bottled saiga horn cooling water was the most popular product among recent users (50%), followed by horn shavings (31%) and tablets (13%). Awareness of conservation issues and regulations was uniformly low. Awareness raising may have an effect in reducing consumer demand in Singapore. However, given the exploratory nature of this study, it is best used to guide and inform future research underlying behavioural change interventions in a relatively understudied but important consumer group, Chinese Singaporeans.
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**Introduction**

The demand for animal products for medicinal purposes is one of the major drivers of the illegal wildlife trade globally, across a range of taxa (Warchol, 2004; Nijman, 2010). This demand has led to the unsustainable killing of wildlife, causing rapid declines in the affected populations (Mittermeier et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2008; Bennett, 2015; Ferreira et al., 2015). Understanding consumer behaviour and motivations is a vital component in tackling this threat, as it provides a foundation for the development of effective long-term campaigns that reduce wildlife consumption (Wasser & Jiao, 2010; Burgess, 2016).

Singapore has a history of wildlife consumption for medicinal use (Martin, 1983; Barnard, 2014). Although there have been investigations into wildlife products for sale in the traditional Chinese medicine market (Govind & Ho, 2001; Ng & Burgess, 2004; Meibom et al., 2010), little work has been done to understand the local consumers that drive the trade.

Traditional Chinese medicine is regarded as being a part of Singapore’s heritage and Chinese culture (Ministry of Health, 1995), and as a form of complementary and alternative medicine alongside Singapore’s Western-based healthcare system. Chinese medicine shops located within housing estates, and modern franchises in shopping malls, provide traditional medicinal products over the counter throughout the country. The number of traditional Chinese medicinal products from threatened animals available in Singapore appears to have decreased since the 1980s as a result of reduced domestic demand, trade bans on species listed on Appendix I of CITES (2017), higher penalties and increased enforcement (e.g. in relation to rhinoceros and bear products; Martin, 1983; Foley et al., 2011; AVA & Singapore Customs, 2017; Theng & Krishnasamy, in press). One of the few products that remains widely available is saiga horn (Theng & Krishnasamy, in press), known locally as *ling yang jiao*, which is believed to be effective in reducing fevers, detoxification, assuaging epilepsy, and benefiting the liver (Zang, 1990).

The horn used in *ling yang jiao* comes from the saiga antelope *Saiga tatarica*, a nomadic herding species of the steppes and semi-desert regions of south-eastern Europe and Central Asia, of which there are two subspecies. *Saiga tatarica tatarica* occurs in Kalmykia, Russian Federation (one population), and in Kazakhstan (three populations: Ural, Ustiurt, Betpak-Dala), and *S. tatarica mongolica* occurs in Mongolia. Once abundant (Sokolov & Zhirmov, 1998), populations have declined drastically, from > 1,000,000 in the 1980s to an estimated low of 178,000 in 2000, largely as a result of uncontrolled hunting for meat and horns (Milner-Gulland et al., 2001; CMS, 2015). Horns are a key target for poachers, as they are highly prized in traditional Chinese medicine (Chan, 1995). Consequently, males have been hunted intensively, resulting in heavily skewed sex ratios and reproductive collapse (Milner-Gulland et al., 2003).
Following conservation action the species started to recover, but a catastrophic mass die-off from bacterial infection of > 200,000 individuals (> 60% of the global population) in Kazakhstan in May 2015 and an ongoing epidemic in Mongolia (which may kill up to 80% of the Saiga t. mongolica population) have undermined this recovery, putting the Betpak-Dala and Mongolian populations in a critical situation (Bonn, 2016; SCA, 2017). Together, the disease outbreaks and the ongoing poaching, especially of males for their horns, have resulted in saiga antelopes facing an uncertain future (SCA, 2016).

To protect the species, it was listed on Appendix II of CITES in 1995. It was categorized as Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List in 2002 (Mallon, 2008). All saiga range countries have implemented moratoria on hunting and trade of the species at various times during 1999–2014, which effectively means that no export of saiga horn is permitted from range countries until the species’ conservation status improves (CMS, 2017). Legal international trade in saiga horn remained high during 1995–2004, at 87,449 kg, the largest importers being China (34,851 kg), Singapore (17,186 kg) and Japan (13,312 kg; Meibom et al., 2010). Singapore played an important role as the main hub of the saiga horn trade in South-east Asia, importing mainly from the Russian Federation and Hong Kong and re-exporting mainly to China, Malaysia and Hong Kong (Meibom et al., 2010). A 2016 study focused on Singapore’s international and domestic saiga horn trade found that although legal import of horns had declined by 99% over the previous decade, Singapore’s stockpile of horns continues to fuel high-quantity exports to Hong Kong, China and Malaysia, and to supply the domestic trade in saiga products (Theng & Krishnasamy, in press). Because of the domestic stockpile, consumption of saiga products is legal in Singapore, and products are displayed and bought openly. Of the 188 Chinese medical halls surveyed, 97% sold some form of saiga horn (whole horns, shavings, cooling water, tablets, tea; Theng & Krishnasamy, in press), which was often displayed prominently and spoken about openly by vendors. The ongoing availability of the product in shops suggests there continues to be a demand, prompting the need to gain an understanding of saiga horn consumption among the Singapore populace.

We attempted to gain an understanding of consumer insights and awareness levels by surveying Chinese Singaporeans to (1) gauge the prevalence of consumption of saiga horn products, (2) identify the demographics of users, non-users and lapsed users of saiga horn products, (3) identify consumption and purchase behaviours relating to saiga horn products, (4) identify the motivations and barriers to consuming the products, and (5) gauge their level of understanding of the conservation status and harvest of the saiga antelope.

**Methods**

**Pre-survey**

A questionnaire was formulated in English and Mandarin (Supplementary Material 1). Although vendors of traditional Chinese medicine mentioned non-local purchasers of saiga horn in an earlier market survey (specifically tourists from mainland China; Theng & Krishnasamy, in press), only local Chinese Singaporeans were surveyed. Non-Chinese people were excluded from the study to focus on the core demographic of users of traditional Chinese medicine.

The questionnaire consisted of three parts. The first comprised questions about the respondent’s socio-demographic attributes, including consumption and purchase of traditional Chinese medicine. The respondents were then categorized as either users (consumed saiga horn product in the previous 12 months) or non- or lapsed users (did not consume saiga horn product in the previous 12 months). Users completed Section A, comprising questions about their consumption behaviour in relation to saiga horn products, trends between past and present use, and motivations for consumption. Non- and lapsed users completed Section B, containing questions about past use (> 12 months previously) and motivations for non-consumption or recent non-consumption of saiga horn products. Both sections included questions about the use of alternatives to saiga horn (synthetic, animal- or plant-based products) and the reasons for their use instead of saiga horn products. The questionnaire was revised following a pilot survey of 19 respondents in the Choa Chu Kang neighbourhood conducted a month prior to this study.

Based on several vendors in an earlier market survey identifying elderly Chinese Singaporeans as their main local clientele for saiga horn products (Theng & Krishnasamy, in press), we biased our sampling towards elderly people (> 60 years; 50% of our sample), with the middle-aged (36–59 years) and young (18–35 years) each comprising 25% of respondents.

**Survey administration**

The questionnaires were completed by 230 Singaporean respondents, and were either self-administered or administered through face-to-face interviews by MT and an assistant (both Chinese Singaporeans). In October and November 2016, survey sessions were conducted during 08.30–15.00 in nine public housing-dominated neighbourhoods (Bishan, Bukit Merah, Chinatown, Jurong East, Jurong West, Serangoon, Tampines, Woodlands, Yishun; Supplementary Fig. 1). The locations and times were selected based on the likelihood of people from a variety of socio-economic backgrounds being available. Given
constraints of time and cost, potential respondents were targeted based on their likely willingness to complete a questionnaire (e.g. someone waiting for a friend rather than rushing to work). Respondents were instructed to complete the questionnaire in their preferred language (English or Mandarin), with interviewers present to clarify questions when required. Some respondents requested that questions be read aloud in an interview format. To minimize self-selection bias, potential respondents were asked if they were willing to participate in a general survey about traditional Chinese medicine, without describing the topic or intent. To protect respondents’ anonymity and minimize survey sensitivity, no personal data that could be used to identify individuals were collected. We used the face-to-face approach because it garners better response rates than online, mail or telephone surveys and is usually more representative of the population compared to online surveys (Groves et al., 2009; Szolnoki & Hoffmann, 2013).

Data analyses

Responses were accepted for analysis if the first section on demographics was completed. Statistical analysis of the survey data was performed using R v. 2.7.0 (R Development Core Team, 2016). Chi-square tests were used to determine whether there was a significant difference between expected and observed frequencies of consumption behaviour between the groups.

Results

We obtained responses from 230 Chinese Singaporeans (109 elderly, 66 middle-aged and 55 young: 118 female, 112 male). Overall, 13% had consumed saiga horn products recently (in the previous 12 months), with an additional 40% having consumed the product more than 12 months previously (lapsed users). Our data indicate a relationship between age class and saiga horn use ($\chi^2 = 7.814, n = 230, df = 2, P = 0.020$), reflecting a higher prevalence of recent consumption among younger people (18–35 years; 25% prevalence) compared to middle-aged (36–59 years; 17% prevalence) and elderly people (> 60 years; 9% prevalence).

General traditional Chinese medicine consumption and purchase behaviours differed between the users and lapsed/non-users. Recent users of saiga horn product were more likely to have also consumed and purchased other traditional Chinese medicinal products recently compared to lapsed/non-users. Recent users of saiga horn product were more likely to have made the decision themselves to consume saiga horn, whereas higher numbers of middle-aged and old people had been recommended by a family member or friend (Fig. 1), whereas higher numbers of middle-aged and old people had made the decision themselves to consume saiga horn. However, the differences were not statistically significant.

Fluctuations in price (by ± 20%) and not knowing anyone else who used saiga horn products did not appear to be considerations in consumption decisions among most users (Fig. 2). Most respondents said they would stop consuming saiga horn if these products were banned or if they learnt that the harvest threatened the survival of saiga in the wild or was cruel.

Lapsed/non-users

Among the 195 respondents who had not consumed saiga horn in the previous 12 months, 47% had consumed it previously (i.e. lapsed users) and 51% had never consumed it (i.e. non-users). Slightly more than half of the lapsed users had not consumed saiga horn in more than 10 years (53%), 27% had consumed it within the previous 2–3 years, and 20% had consumed it in the previous 4–10 years. No significant differences were found between the reasons given by lapsed and non-users for stopping/not consuming saiga horn, except that more non-users selected ’elder/family stopped buying for me’ (Fig. 3). The most popular reason given by lapsed and non-users for stopping/not consuming saiga horn was not having a use or need for it (45 and 48%, respectively). Among the 21 respondents who stated that

Users

The most commonly used saiga horn product was bottled ‘fresh’ saiga water (49%), followed by shavings (40%), bottled ‘supermarket’ saiga water (20%) and tablets (17%; Plate 1). Only one user had used a whole horn, which has to be shaved down and boiled before being consumed. In shops, horn shavings are usually sold in small amounts by weight or ready-packaged with herbs. Fresh saiga water is made out of horn shavings that have been boiled with water and packaged into bottles for sale in traditional Chinese medicine shops, for immediate consumption. This is different from supermarket saiga water, which is a widely available brand of cooling water that does not contain animal products and that consumers often mistake for containing ling yang jiao. Tablets usually contain saiga horn in trace amounts of < 1% and are sold as remedies for cold and fever.

For most users, overall consumption had not changed in the previous 2–3 years (69%). Saiga horn products were obtained from a variety of sources: franchise/chain stores (34%), privately owned stores (34%), family/friends (23%) and others (9%). Regarding the users’ motivations for their most recent consumption of saiga horn, more young people than middle-aged or older indicated that an elder or family member had bought it for them, and that it had been recommended by a family member or friend (Fig. 1), whereas higher numbers of middle-aged and old people had made the decision themselves to consume saiga horn. However, the differences were not statistically significant.

Fluctuations in price (by ± 20%) and not knowing anyone else who used saiga horn products did not appear to be considerations in consumption decisions among most users (Fig. 2). Most respondents said they would stop consuming saiga horn if these products were banned or if they learnt that the harvest threatened the survival of saiga in the wild or was cruel.
people had stopped buying horn for them, there were significantly fewer old people than young and middle aged ($\chi^2 = 12.79$, $P = 0.002$).

Reasons for using saiga horn or alternatives

The main reason for consuming saiga horn was significantly different among users, lapsed users and non-users ($\chi^2 = 65.67$, $n = 225$, $P = 3.563\times10^{-16}$; Fig. 4). Among users and lapsed users saiga horn is still widely believed to be effective as a coolant and for releasing toxins to cure related ailments such as fevers and sore throats (users: 77%; lapsed users: 86%). Among those who had not consumed saiga horn, 37% thought reducing ‘heatiness’ was the main reason others consumed it, but most indicated that they did not know or did not want to answer (46%).

There was no significant difference between the groups in their use of alternatives ($\chi^2 = 16.71$, $n = 220$, $P = 0.081$; Fig. 5). Synthetic alternatives such as paracetamol appeared to be the most popular alternative to saiga horn used in the previous 12 months (users: 40% users; lapsed/non-users: 45%), followed by plant-based alternatives such as herbal tea (users: 42%; lapsed/non-users: 31%), and none of the stated alternatives (users: 26%; lapsed/non-users: 24%). None of the saiga horn users indicated use of an animal-based alternative (e.g. horns from other species) and only three lapsed/non-users indicated their recent use of such an alternative. Among the reasons given for the use of alternatives instead of saiga horn, the most cited among the users was that alternatives were more readily available than saiga horn (29%). In the lapsed and non-user group the main reason was that they had not considered using saiga horn (40%).

Awareness

Awareness of the regulations regarding saiga horn use, the species’ status and harvest methods was consistently low across all user groups and ages. Only 13% ($n = 29$) of all respondents were aware that there were regulations on saiga horn trade (users: 0.4%; lapsed: 8%; non-users: 4%; uncategorised: 0.6%). Of these, only two answered correctly that only licensed products can be sold; 11 did not know, and 16 answered incorrectly. Similarly, few were aware of the status of the saiga in the wild, with 79% of all respondents saying they did not know, and only 4% giving the correct answer (that the saiga occurs in several countries and some populations are declining). A higher proportion of respondents were aware that saiga are killed in the wild for their horns and meat, but awareness that this is the case was still low (13%). The majority did not know or did not want to comment about the harvest method (72%). When asked if they thought saiga horns could grow back after being cut off, a third of lapsed and non-users correctly answered ‘no’ (34%), and 49% of users answered incorrectly.

Discussion

The main aim of this study was to explore the prevalence of saiga horn consumption among the Singaporean Chinese population and their motivations for doing so. On a broader level, we wanted to understand general consumption and purchase behaviours, and the level of knowledge about the status and conservation of the saiga. This is the first study of the consumers of a wildlife product in Singapore and provides baseline information upon which further behavioural intervention studies can be founded. As the study was conducted only in neighbourhoods dominated by public housing, our responses may be limited to low- to middle-class citizens. Furthermore, given the small sample size and the possibility of confusion of saiga with other horn products (particularly when purchased as shavings), any trends should not be taken to be a conclusive representation of the volume of trade in saiga horn.

As expected from the availability of saiga products in the Singapore market (Theng & Krishnasamy, in press), there appears to be relatively high ongoing use of saiga horn.
products in Singapore, and the trade does not appear to be in decline. Contrary to our assumption that the main local consumers of saiga horn are elderly, based on previous remarks by Chinese medicine vendors (Theng & Krishnasamy, in press), that age group had the lowest prevalence of recent consumption, followed by the middle-aged (36–59 years) and the young (18–35 years). The significant difference in use could be attributed to the general belief that saiga horn is more effective in treating the young and that the cooling effects of the product may be detrimental to the health of the elderly, a point made by several elderly respondents during the survey. The elderly may often purchase products for the consumption of their younger kin, as a higher number of young respondents compared to both the older age groups indicated as reasons for their most recent consumption that an elder or family member had purchased saiga products for them or that a family member or friend had recommended it. This suggests there may be some intergenerational influence on the consumption of saiga horn, which could be leveraged in future behavioural change campaigns. A similar study of the consumption of animal products in traditional Chinese medicine in Beijing found the reverse, that older people were more likely than younger people to consume animal-based medicinal products; however, this did not differentiate between products depending on their specific target demographic (Liu et al., 2016). Several studies on the level of use of traditional Chinese medicine in Taiwan, and one in Australia, found a similar trend, that use of traditional Chinese medicine peaked in younger adults (c. 30 years old) and was lowest among older adults (51–62 years old), although it is not known why (Sherwood, 2000; Chen et al., 2007; Daly et al., 2009; Shih et al., 2012). The same studies in Taiwan found that females were more likely than males to use traditional Chinese medicine, a finding not reflected in our study. We found that recent users of saiga horn were more likely than lapsed/non-users to have consumed and purchased other traditional Chinese medicinal products recently.

Our study suggests that consumption behaviour is not particularly price sensitive. Previous studies have demonstrated a similar phenomenon, that consumers of traditional Chinese medicine are willing to pay high prices for wild-sourced animal products because they believe that these products are more potent (Gratwicke et al., 2008; Dutton et al., 2011). Saiga horn products are relatively expensive compared to the products they are grouped with in shops; for example, of the range of cooling waters routinely on

Fig. 1 Reasons for the most recent consumption of saiga horn among Chinese Singaporean users of saiga horn products (n = 35), by age group.

Fig. 2 The factors that Chinese Singaporean users of saiga horn products (n = 35) reported would or would not lead to a change in their personal consumption of those products.

Fig. 3 Reasons for stopping or not consuming saiga horn products, according to lapsed users (n = 94) and non-users (n = 105) among a sample of Chinese Singaporeans. Respondents were allowed to select up to three reasons.

Fig. 4 The main reasons for consumption of saiga horn products, according to users (n = 35), lapsed users (n = 94) and non-users (n = 105) among a sample of Chinese Singaporeans.
As a traditional Chinese medicinal product and yang jiao relating to the saiga was low. Most respondents knew as a cooling product (authors, pers. obs.).

is generally considered to be particularly strong and effective water (authors, pers. obs.). This may be because saiga water threatened or if harvest practices were cruel (Fig. 2).

would be most likely to stop consuming saiga horn if the consumer behaviour. According to respondents they they would be most likely to stop consuming saiga horn if the product was banned, if the practice caused the saiga to be threatened or if harvest practices were cruel (Fig. 2), indicating potential for raising conservation awareness to reduce demand. It has previously been argued that conservation awareness has an important impact on consumer behaviour in the wildlife trade context (Nowell & Xu, 2007; Wasser & Jiao, 2010). It has been effective in decreasing the demand for shark fin in Singapore (WWF Singapore, 2016) and could also be leveraged to reduce the trade in saiga horn.

A study in Beijing found that as conservation awareness among survey respondents increased, they were more likely to choose substitutes and less likely to choose wild-sourced animal-based traditional medicinal products (Liu et al., 2016). It appears that many of our respondents already use alternatives to saiga horn, generally to cool the body and cure related ailments such as fever. The use of horns from other species (i.e. water buffalo Bubalus bubalis, sheep Ovis aries and goat Capra hircus) as substitutes does not appear to be popular, possibly because they are perceived as being too weak to cure ailments such as persistent fevers (according to vendors) or are usually sold in the form of shavings rather than the more popular cooling waters (Theng & Krishnasamy, in press). The synthetic alternative (Western medicine, e.g. paracetamol) is popular mostly because it is more widely available; however, a quarter of saiga horn users said they did not use a substitute.

Given the exploratory nature of this study, our observations and suggestions are neither representative nor conclusive. Future studies could explore promoting the use of effective synthetic alternatives, which are cheaper and more readily available than saiga horn, to treat fever, and consumption of herbal tea to cool the body. There is a need for a deeper understanding of the thought process involved in deciding between the use of saiga horn or an alternative to treat an ailment, as conservation awareness alone may not drive behavioural change and subsequent demand reduction (Lertzman & Baragona, 2016). It is important to investigate the attitudes saiga horn users generally have towards traditional Chinese medicine versus Western medicine; for example, in Hong Kong some consumers of traditional medicine perceive that Western medicine is sometimes too powerful, with significant side effects, and prefer traditional medicine because it is believed to cure the root of the problem (Lam, 2001).

Future research perspectives

This study was the first in Singapore to examine consumer relationships with a traditional Chinese medicinal product derived from a Critically Endangered species. Although suggestive findings emerged, the study was exploratory in nature. Sampling was opportunistic and focused on older consumers, and the sample size was small. Despite the relatively high prevalence of saiga horn use, the number of recent users was small, and therefore any trends derived should not be considered to be a conclusive representation of the population. Future surveys could be randomized to improve representativeness. It will also be important to examine whether saiga horn consumption is a sensitive issue, potentially necessitating an indirect questioning method (Nuno & St. John, 2015), and to investigate its price sensitivity.

This research is being used to guide and inform more detailed research underlying behavioural change interventions. This includes understanding the motivations and demographics of users of traditional Chinese medicine, to design and implement effective behavioural change campaigns. Too often, campaigns are implemented without a robust foundation in behavioural science (Olmedo et al., 2017). This survey lays the foundation for a more detailed understanding of the identity and attitudes of the consumers of a particularly important medicinal product, saiga horn, in a relatively understudied but important consumer group, Chinese Singaporeans. We have shown that saiga horn use is relatively prevalent, awareness of the conservation issues and relevant legislation is low, and younger people in particular are using saiga products, especially cooling...
water. These results suggest that an awareness-raising campaign that focuses on this demographic group and product type may be a useful approach to reducing consumption of saiga horn; such a campaign is now under development by E. J. Milner-Gulland and Hunter Doughty. It is also vital to investigate the prevalence and characteristics of the trade in saiga products in other countries and online, to tackle the dearth of information about the trade in this Critically Endangered species.
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