LETTER TO THE EDITOR

To the Editor

Further Investigation of Screening for Anxiety and Depression in Epilepsy
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Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the letter by Aileen McGonigal and Jean-Arthur Micoulaud-Franchi. In their letter to the editor, the authors point out a concern that our choice of 14 as a cutoff score on the general anxiety disorder-7 (GAD-7) may have resulted in some cases of anxiety not being screened in by the instrument. We welcome these comments and herein explain in more detail our choice of 14 as a cutoff and also present a re-analysis of the GAD-7 data using a cutoff of 10.

Screening instruments for mental health disorders are a useful starting point in a non-psychiatric patient population. When choosing a screening tool for use in any patient population, there needs to be a balance between high sensitivity and negative predictive value and between specificity and positive predictive value. In addition, these statistical measurements are based on validation in the original study population and may have different results when applied to different study populations. In our study, early intervention services, such as a First Seizure Clinic, are particularly challenging environments to measure depression and anxiety. These are patients who often have not had much previous contact with the healthcare system and are naturally worried about a potential diagnosis of epilepsy. In this unique setting, patients can experience increased anxiety upon presentation to clinic. It was this setting that led to our choice of a cutoff value that had a higher specificity to try and capture those patients with severe anxiety who were more likely to benefit from a referral to psychological testing and counseling.

The responses from the GAD-7 from the original study were re-analyzed with $\chi^2$ odd’s ratios for control versus unprovoked seizure patients for a new cutoff value of 10. The results are shown in Figure 1, and they do not differ trend-wise from what we saw in the initial study with a cutoff value of 14. The revised analysis gave an odds ratio (OR) of 0.58 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.14-2.33) for the first seizure-only patient group; an OR of 1.14 (95% CI = 0.36-3.55) for new-onset epilepsy patients; and an OR of 1.28 (95% CI = 0.38-4.34) for the newly diagnosed patients (seizure history of greater than 12 months duration). There is a significant linear trend ($p = 0.038$) between subgroups with this cutoff. This raises the possibility that anxiety is increasing over time with duration of disease, which would be in keeping with our findings regarding our depression screening.

Interestingly, the increase in the cutoff value for the GAD-7 led to more control individuals meeting criteria, but there was still no statistical difference between control and patient groups. Our selection criteria for control participants excluded blood relatives of the patient to the clinic, but healthy control participants were individuals who did not have a diagnosed psychiatric disorder and were accompanying patients to clinic. We chose this arrangement to try and control for the anxiety of the hospital environment. The anxiety of attending a hospital appointment can extend to those who accompany patients to clinic, particularly caregivers and family members, and served as our control group. This may have affected the levels of anxiety of our control group. There is also the possibility of an undiagnosed anxiety disorder in these individuals, but that seems less likely.

We appreciate the thoughtful feedback from our colleagues. Completing this second analysis confirms the results, and we remain confident that our initial approach, that is, using the lower cutoff criteria of 10 for the GAD-7, did not significantly change the conclusions from our study. We feel that we should continue to emphasize that although screening questionnaires are helpful tools in quantifying psychiatric co-morbidities they are not a replacement for a full psychiatric assessment. This suggests that the presence of a psychiatric condition, and in particular depression, may precede the onset of a seizure disorder in this clinic population. We therefore theorize that recognizing psychiatric co-morbidities at an early stage of epilepsy may increasingly play a role in identifying subtle biomarkers for underlying epileptogenesis. This is an area that we hope to continue to explore in our research.
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