ASYMPTOTIC VARIATIONAL FORMULAE

FOR EIGENVALUES

C.A. Swanson

(received March 25, 1962)

1. <u>Introduction</u>. The eigenvalues of a second order self-adjoint elliptic differential operator on Riemannian n-space R will be considered. Our purpose is to obtain asymptotic variational formulae for the eigenvalues under the topological deformations of (i) removing an ε -cell (and adjoining an additional boundary condition on the boundary component thereby introduced); and (ii) attaching an ε -handle, valid on a half-open interval $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$. In particular the formulae will exhibit the non-analytic nature of the variation. Similar variational problems for singular ordinary differential operators have been considered by the writer in [3] and [4].

The variation of harmonic Green's functions and other domain functionals on finite Riemann 2-surfaces has been considered at length by M. Schiffer and D.C. Spencer in their book [7]. This elegant theory depends on analytic function theory and most of the results are written in complex form. Our treatment depends on the theory of elliptic differential equations [2] and functional analysis, and has the advantage that the results are obtained for $n \ge 2$ and for differential equations more general than Laplace's equation. Even in the case of the Laplacian operator on finite 2-surfaces, our results are not readily available in the literature.

The first theorem gives a general asymptotic variational formula, which in particular can be applied to deformations of the type (i) and (ii) above. This formula is in effect a reformulation of Green's symmetric identity. To apply it

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 6, no. 1, January 1963.

This research was supported by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research, under contract number AF-AFOSR-61-89.

to the cases (i) and (ii) we shall use some uniform asymptotic estimates for eigenfunctions which were obtained in [1]. The main results are given in theorems 3 and 5.

2. Preliminaries. Let M be an open, connected domain with compact closure in R whose boundary B consists of smooth (n-1)-dimensional closed manifolds. The latter are supposed to be homeomorphic images of the unit (n-1)-sphere in Euclidean space, with continuous unit normal vectors. We do not exclude the possibility that M is a closed Riemannian space, that is, B is void. Let Δ denote the Laplacian operator on M and let a: $p \rightarrow a(p)$ denote a continuous, positive-valued function on M. Eigenvalue problems will be considered for the formally self-adjoint elliptic differential operator L defined by

$$(Lf)(p) = -(\Delta f)(p) + a(p)f(p), p \in M, f \in C^{2}[M].$$

The basic domain D is defined to be the set of all complex-valued functions on \overline{M} which are of class $C^2[M]$, continuous on \overline{M} , and zero on B, (the last condition being deleted in the case that B is void). The basic eigenvalue problem for L is

$$Lx = \lambda x, \quad x \in D$$

Our purpose is to derive asymptotic variational formulae for the eigenvalues λ of L when the domain D is perturbed to a "slightly different" domain D_{ϵ} (or D_{ϵ}^{*}) by the deformation of removing an ϵ -cell (or attaching an ϵ -handle) to M.

Let s(p,q) denote the geodesic distance in M from p to q, uniquely determined for q in some neighbourhood of p [2]. Let q_j (j = 1, 2, ... J) be fixed but arbitrary points in M. The specific ε -cells to be considered are the open balls N_{ε_i} defined by

$$N_{\epsilon j} = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}: \ s(p,q_j) < \epsilon \right\}, \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_{o}; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots J.$$

It will be supposed that the positive number ϵ_{a} has been

selected so that (i) $N_{\epsilon j} \subset M$, and (ii) the boundary $\gamma_{\epsilon j}$ of $N_{\epsilon j}$ is a smooth homeomorphic image of the unit (n-1)-sphere in Euclidean space, whenever $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ (j = 1, 2, ... J). The parameter ϵ measures the smallness of $N_{\epsilon j}$, and as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $N_{\epsilon j}$ shrinks to the point q_{j} .

The notations

$$\gamma_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \gamma_{\varepsilon j}, \quad N_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} N_{\varepsilon j}, \quad M_{\varepsilon} = M - \overline{N}_{\varepsilon}$$

will be used. The domain D_{ε}^{o} is defined to be the set of all complex-valued functions on $\overline{M}_{\varepsilon}$ which are of class $C^{2}[M_{\varepsilon}]$, continuous on $\overline{M}_{\varepsilon}$, and zero on $B \cup N_{\varepsilon}$. The notations (,) and || || will designate the inner product and norm in the Hilbert space $L^{2}[M]$.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of Green's symmetric identity for L on M_{ϵ} [2]. The unit positive normal <u>n</u> to γ_{ϵ} is supposed to point toward the outside of γ_{ϵ} (inside of M_{ϵ}).

LEMMA. If $u \in D_{\epsilon}^{\circ}$, $v \in D_{\epsilon}^{\circ}$, <u>then</u> (2.2) $(u, Lv) - (Lu, v) = I_{\epsilon}[u, v]$, <u>where</u>¹ $I_{\epsilon}[u, v] = \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} (u\nabla \overline{v} - \overline{v}\nabla u) \cdot \underline{n} dS$

3. The main variational formula. The asymptotic variational formula (3.1) below is to be applied in the sequel

1 A bar over a lower case letter denotes the complex conjugate.

to the non-analytic surface deformations referred to in the introduction. The form of (3.1) is somewhat similar to Hadamard's classical formula [7, p. 274]. The latter is essentially an analytic formula, however, and is not pertinent to situations in which the basic and perturbed regions are of different topological types.

THEOREM 1. Let λ be an eigenvalue of the basic problem and let x be an arbitrary eigenfunction associated with λ . Let μ be a complex number such that there exists a non-zero y ϵ D_{ϵ}° satisfying Ly = μ y and $|| y - x || \le \delta ||x||$, where $0 < \delta \le \delta_{\circ} < 1$. Then

(3.1)
$$\overline{\mu} - \lambda = ||\mathbf{x}||^{-2} I_{\varepsilon} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] [1 + \mathbf{0}(\delta)] .$$

<u>Proof.</u> Let u be the function with support $\overline{M}_{\varepsilon}$ that coincides with x on $\overline{M}_{\varepsilon}$. Since $x \in D$, it follows that $u \in D_{\varepsilon}^{O}$. We can then apply the lemma to u and y to obtain

$$\overline{\mu}(u, y) - \lambda(u, y) = (u, Ly) - (Lu, y) = I [u, y].$$

However, (u, y) = (x, y) and u(p) = x(p) for $p \in \gamma_{F}$. Then

$$(3.2) \qquad (\overline{\mu} - \lambda) (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{I}_{\varepsilon} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] .$$

By hypothesis, $|(y, x) - (x, x)| = |(y-x, x)| \le \delta ||x||^2$, and $|(y, x)| \ge ||x||^2 - |(y-x, x)| \ge ||x||^2 - \delta ||x||^2 = (1-\delta) ||x||^2$.

Hence (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |(\overline{\mu} - \lambda) - ||\mathbf{x}||^{-2} \mathbf{I}_{\varepsilon} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]| &= \left| \frac{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})}{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})} \mathbf{I}_{\varepsilon} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta} ||\mathbf{x}||^{-2} |\mathbf{I}_{\varepsilon} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]| . \end{aligned}$$

4. Asymptotic variation under cell removal. In this section N_{ϵ} will be specialized to a single open ball $N_{\epsilon 1}$ with centre $q_1 \in M$ and boundary γ_{ϵ} . We define the perturbed

domain D_{ϵ} to be the set of all $f \in D_{\epsilon}^{\circ}$ which vanish on γ_{ϵ} , and consider the perturbed eigenvalue problem

$$(4.1) Ly = \mu y, y \in D_{f}.$$

The eigenvalues will be denoted by μ_i ($0 < \mu_1 \le \mu_2 \le ...$) and a corresponding orthonormal set of eigenfunctions by y_i (i = 1, 2, ...).

An L-measure for M_{ϵ} with respect to the boundary components B and γ_{ϵ} is defined to be the uniquely-determined solution h of the Dirichlet problem [2]

(4.2) (Lh)(p) = 0, $p \in M_{F}$; h(p) = 0, $p \in B$; h(p) = 1, $p \in Y_{F}$.

Let φ be the positive-valued function on $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon$ defined as follows:

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) = -1/\log \varepsilon \qquad \text{if } n = 2$$
$$= \varepsilon^{n-2} \qquad \text{if } n \ge 3$$

Except for a multiplicative constant, φ is the reciprocal of the parametrix [2]. Estimates of the type stated in the following theorem were obtained in [1].

THEOREM 2. <u>Corresponding to each eigenvalue λ of the</u> <u>basic problem (2.1)</u>, <u>of multiplicity m</u>, <u>there are positive</u> <u>constants ε_1 and c (independent of ε) <u>such that exactly</u> <u>m eigenvalues μ_i of (4.1) lie in the interval $[\lambda, \lambda + c\varphi(\varepsilon)]$ </u> <u>provided $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$. If y_1, y_2, \dots are orthonormal eigen-</u> <u>functions associated with μ_1, μ_2, \dots , <u>there exists an ortho-</u> <u>normal set x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m in the eigenspace of λ such that the uniform estimates</u></u></u>

(4.3)
$$y_{i}(p) = x_{i}(p) - x_{i}(q_{1})h(p) + 0(\psi)$$
$$p \in M_{\varepsilon}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_{1}, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

are valid where $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varphi(\varepsilon)$ if n = 2 and $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ if n > 3.

It is not our purpose to reproduce the entire proof here. To indicate some of the arguments, we shall deduce the first part of the theorem in the cases n = 2, 3 rather directly from some spectral estimation theory given by the writer in [6]. Let A, A_ε be the linear integral operators whose kernels are the respective Green's functions G(p,q), $G_{\epsilon}(p,q)$ associated with M, M_ε. The eigenvalues α , α_{ϵ} are known to be reciprocals of λ , μ respectively. Let X_α be the eigenspace corresponding to the m-fold degenerate eigenvalue α , and let X_{αε} = P_{ϵ} X_α where P_ε is the projection mapping from $L^2[M]$ onto $L^2[M_{\epsilon}]$. Clearly ϵ_{ϵ} can be chosen so that dim X_{αε} = dim X_α for $0 < \epsilon \le \epsilon_{0}$.

For $u \in X_{\alpha \varepsilon}$, the function $f = A_{\varepsilon} u - \alpha u$ is a solution of Lf = 0 in M_{ε} satisfying $f = -\alpha u$ on γ_{ε} . Let functions g and F be defined in M_{ε} by the equations

$$g(p) = \omega \varphi(\varepsilon) G(p, q_1), \quad F(p) = [2 \max_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} |f|]g(p) - f(p)$$

where $\omega = 2\pi$ or 4π according as n = 2 or 3. There is no loss of generality in supposing ε_0 has been selected so that $g(p) \ge 1/2$ for all $p \in \gamma_{\varepsilon}$ whenever $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, because of the singularity of $G(p, q_1)$ at $p = q_1$.

Since LF = 0 in M_{ϵ} , F = 0 on B, and $F \ge 0$ on γ_{ϵ} , it follows from the maximum principle for elliptic differential equations [2, p. 102] that $F(p) \ge 0$ throughout M_{ϵ} . Then $f(p) \le 2[\max_{\gamma} |f|]g(p)$. A lower bound for f(p) is established similarly, and we then obtain $|f(p)| \le 2\alpha[\max_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} |u|]g(p)$, $p \in M_{\epsilon}$. Then $||A_{\epsilon}u - \alpha u|| = ||f|| \le c\varphi(\epsilon)||u||$ for all $u \in X_{\alpha\epsilon}$. Since A_ε is a symmetric and completely continuous linear transformation on $L^2[M_{\epsilon}]$, a known spectral estimation theorem [6, p. 35] shows that at least m eigenvalues $\alpha_{\epsilon i}$ of A_ε lie in the interval $[\alpha - c\varphi(\epsilon), \alpha]$. It is well-known from the minimum-maximum principle for eigenvalues that M_ε \subset M implies $\alpha_{n} \geq \alpha_{\epsilon n}$ (n = 1, 2, ...). Then an easy induction proof establishes that there are exactly m eigenvalues in $[\alpha - c\varphi(\epsilon), \alpha]$. This is equivalent to the first statement of theorem 2. The arguments used to prove the second part are similar to those used in [5] and will not be given here.

Theorem 2 will now be used to obtain the following special case of theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. If λ , μ_i are eigenvalues of (2.1), (4.1) and x_i , y_i are corresponding normalized eigenfunctions, as described in theorem 2, then the following asymptotic variational formulae are valid:

(4.4)
$$\mu_{i} - \lambda = \left[-\left|x_{i}(q_{1})\right|^{2} + 0(\psi)\right] \int_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} \nabla h \cdot \underline{n} \, dS$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, i = 1, 2, ..., m.

<u>Proof.</u> Since the L-measure has the property $||h|| = 0(\psi)$, it follows from (4.3) that $||y_i - x_i|| \le \delta(\varepsilon) ||x_i||$, where $\delta(\varepsilon) = c\psi(\varepsilon)$, $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$. Theorem 1 can then be applied provided ε is on a positive interval $(0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) \le \delta_0 < 1$. Since μ_i is real and y_i vanishes on γ_c , (3.1) reduces to

(4.5)
$$\mu_{i} - \lambda = \int_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} x_{\overline{y}} \overline{y}_{i} \cdot \underline{n} \, dS \left[1 + 0(\psi)\right]$$

We apply (2.2) to h, y_i and h, x_i in turn to obtain

(4.6)
$$\mu_{i}(h, y_{i}) = \int_{\gamma} \nabla \overline{y}_{i} \cdot \underline{n} \, dS$$

(4.7)
$$\lambda(h, x_i) = \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} (h \nabla \overline{x}_i - \overline{x}_i \nabla h) \cdot \underline{n} \, dS$$

Use of (4.3), (4.6), and (4.7) yields

$$\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \nabla \overline{y}_{i} \cdot \underline{n} \, dS = [\lambda + 0(\varphi)][(h, x_{i}) - (h, h)x_{i}(q_{1}) + (h, 1)0(\psi)]$$
$$= \lambda(h, x_{i}) + 0(\psi^{2})$$
$$(4.8) = -\overline{x}_{i}(q_{1})[1 + 0(\epsilon)] \int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \nabla h \cdot \underline{n} \, dS + 0(\psi^{2}) .$$

The result (4.4) then follows from (4.5) and (4.8).

As an example, consider the elliptic operator $L = I - \Delta$, where I is the identity operator, on the unit 2-sphere. The metric is $ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\phi^2$, where θ , ϕ are the usual spherical polar angles. We select for q_1 the north pole $\theta = 0$. Then γ_{ϵ} is the closed curve $\theta = \epsilon$, $0 \le \phi \le 2\pi$ about q_1 . The eigenvalues of the basic problem (2.1) are $\lambda_m = m^2 - m + 1$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, which are (2m-1)-degenerate. The corresponding normalized eigenfunctions are $x_{mi} = S_{m-1}^{i-1} / ||S_{m-1}^{i-1}||$, where S_{m-1}^{i-1} are the spherical harmonics. It will be sufficient to consider the values $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Thus $x_{mi}^2(q_1) = (2m-1)\delta_{i1}/4\pi$ from the properties of Legendre functions, where δ_{i4} is the Kronecker symbol, and (4.4) yields

$$\mu_{\rm mi} = m^2 - m + 1 + \frac{1}{2}(2m-1)\delta_{\rm i1} \left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} + 0 \left[\left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-2}\right].$$

If i = 2, 3, ..., m, the leading variational term vanishes (i.e. x_{mi} has a zero at q_1). The variables in the partial differential equation are separable in this example, and consideration of the associated Legendre ordinary differential equation by the methods of [3] or [4] leads to an asymptotic variation of order ε^{2i-2} if $i \ge 2$. It is left as an open question to decide if this is the general situation when x has a zero of order i-1 at q_{i} .

5. Asymptotic variation under handle attachment. In this section, N_{ϵ} will be specialized to two open balls $N_{\epsilon 1}$, $N_{\epsilon 2}$, with centres $q_{1} \epsilon M$, $q_{2} \epsilon M$ and boundaries $\gamma_{\epsilon 1}$, $\gamma_{\epsilon 2}$ respectively. For a fixed homeomorphism h of $\gamma_{\epsilon 1}$ into $\gamma_{\epsilon 2}$, let points $p_{1} \epsilon \gamma_{\epsilon 1}$ and $p_{2} \epsilon \gamma_{\epsilon 2}$ be identified whenever $p_{2} = h(p_{1})$. The corresponding perturbed region M_{ϵ}^{*} consists of all points in $M_{\epsilon} = M - N_{\epsilon}$ with the boundaries $\gamma_{\epsilon 1}$, $\gamma_{\epsilon 2}$ identified according to the rule $p_{2} = h(p_{1})$. We assume that h is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Thus M_{ϵ}^{*} is orientable along with M, and $\gamma_{\epsilon 1}$, $\gamma_{\epsilon 2}$ are oppositely oriented with respect to the common domain M.

The perturbed domain D_{ϵ}^{*} is defined to be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on the closure of M_{ϵ}^{*} which are of class $C^{2}[M_{\epsilon}^{*}]$ and zero on B. The perturbed eigenvalue problem for this domain is

(5.1)
$$Ly = \mu y, \quad y \in D_{\varepsilon}^{*}.$$

Instead of (4.2), the L-measure h to be used in this section is the solution of the Dirichlet problem

(5.2) (Lh)(p) = 0,
$$p \in M_{\varepsilon}$$
; h(p) = 0, $p \in B$;
h(p) = (-1)^j, $p \in \gamma_{\varepsilon j}$ (j = 1, 2).

The following analogue of theorem 2 has been obtained by the writer by a proof similar to that in [1].

THEOREM 4. The assertions of theorem 2 remain valid if (4. 1) is replaced by (5. 1) and (4. 3) is replaced by

(5.3)
$$y_i(p) = x_i(p) - \frac{1}{2}[x_i(q_2) - x_i(q_1)]h(p) + 0(\psi).$$

The following is then obtained as the analogue of theorem 3.

THEOREM 5. If λ , μ_i are eigenvalues of (2.1), (5.1) and x_i , y_i are corresponding normalized eigenfunctions, as described in theorem 4, then

$$(5.4) \ \mu_{i} - \lambda = \left[\frac{1}{2} | \mathbf{x}_{i}(\mathbf{q}_{2}) - \mathbf{x}_{i}(\mathbf{q}_{1}) |^{2} + \mathbf{0}(\psi)\right] \int_{\substack{\gamma_{\varepsilon 1} \\ \gamma_{\varepsilon 1}}} \nabla \mathbf{h} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{n}} \ \mathrm{dS}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, i = 1, 2, ..., m.

<u>Proof.</u> With (5.1) instead of (4.1), (4.5) is replaced by $\mu_{i} - \lambda = \int_{Y_{\epsilon}} (x_{i} \nabla \overline{y}_{i} - \overline{y}_{i} \nabla x_{i}) \cdot n \, dS \left[1 + 0(\psi)\right].$

Hence

$$\mu_{i} - \lambda = [x_{i}(q_{1}) + 0(\psi)] \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon 1}} \nabla \overline{y}_{i} \cdot \underline{n} dS$$
$$+ [x_{i}(q_{2}) + 0(\psi)] \int_{\gamma_{\epsilon 2}} \nabla \overline{y}_{i} \cdot \underline{n} dS + 0(\epsilon^{n-1}).$$

The result (5.4) would follow if we knew that the order relation (5.3) could be differentiated. The actual proof of (5.4) is similar to that of theorem 3 and will be omitted.

In the example at the end of section 4, if we take q_1 and q_2 to be the north and south poles respectively, then $x_{m1}^2(q_1) = (2m-1)/4\pi$, $x_{m1}(q_2) = (-1)^{m-1} x_{m1}(q_1)$, and (5.4) gives in particular $\mu_{m1} = m^2 - m + 1 + \frac{1}{2}[1 + (-1)^m](2m-1)\left(\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} + 0\left[\left(\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-2}\right]$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$

REFERENCES

- 1. H.F. Bohnenblust, C.R. DePrima, and C.A. Swanson, Elliptic operators with perturbed domains, in preparation.
- 2. G. F. D. Duff, Partial Differential Equations, (University of Toronto, 1956).
- 3. C.A. Swanson, Differential operators with perturbed domains, J. of Math. Mech., 6 (1957) 823-846.
- 4. , On a non-analytic perturbation problem, Canad. Math. Bull. 4 (1961) 243-248.
- 5. _____, Asymptotic estimates for limit circle problems, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961) 1549-1559.
- 6. _____, On spectral estimation, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1962) 33-35.
- 7. M. Schiffer and D.C. Spencer, Functionals of Finite Riemann Surfaces, (Princeton University, 1954).

The University of British Columbia