

A THEOREM ON PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS AND ITS APPLICATION

VLADIMIR DEVIDÉ

(Received 18 July 1967)

Let (S, \leq) be a (non-void) partially ordered set with the property that for every (non-void) chain C (i.e., every totally ordered subset) of S , there exists in S the element $\sup C$. Let S_M be the set of all maximal elements s of S .¹ Let $f: S \setminus S_M \rightarrow S$ be a *slowly increasing* mapping in the sense that

$$(\forall s \in S \setminus S_M)[s < fs \ \& \ \text{non} \ (\exists z \in S) \ s < z < fs].$$

Let s_0 be a fixed element of S .

A subset Z of S will be called *closed* if

- (i) $s_0 \in Z$,
- (ii) for every (non-void) chain C in Z , $\sup C$ is in Z ,
- (iii) if $z \in Z \setminus S_M$, then $fz \in Z$.

There exist closed subsets of S ; for example, S itself. Let T be the intersection of all closed subsets of S ; T itself is closed.

THEOREM. *T is well-ordered.*

We prove first that T is a chain (i.e. totally ordered). Let us suppose the contrary, that is, let us suppose that in T there are incomparable elements and let W be the set of all elements of T which are less than or equal to each element t of T for which there is in T an element incomparable to t .

For all $t \in T$, $s_0 \leq t$ (otherwise, the proper subset of T consisting of all elements t of T for which $s_0 \leq t$ would be closed, contrary to the definition of T). Hence W is non-void. By definition of W , the elements of W are comparable with every $t \in T$, W is a chain and $\sup W \in W$. Let X be the subset of T defined by

$$X \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} \{t | t \in T \ \& \ (t \leq \sup W \vee f \sup W \leq t)\}.$$

X is closed (since for $t < \sup W$, by definition of W ft must be comparable to $\sup W$ and by the assumption on f it cannot be $\sup W < ft$, hence

¹ We are not interested here that, assuming the axiom of choice, according to Zorn's lemma S_M is necessarily non-void.

$ft \leq \sup W$). Hence, by definition of X , $X = T$. But then $f \sup W$, too, would be an element of W , contrary to the definition of W . This proves that T cannot contain incomparable elements i.e. $W = T$.

To prove that the ordering of T is a well-ordering, consider a non-void subset Y of T and let T_0 be the set of all elements of T which are less than or equal to each element of Y . Let $\sup T_0 = t_0$; we have to prove that $t_0 \in Y$. But this is immediate, since otherwise ft_0 would contradict either the definition of t_0 or the assumption that f is slowly increasing.

COROLLARY. *Assuming the axiom of choice, every set can be well-ordered.*

PROOF. Let R be a non-void set and $S = \mathcal{P}R$ its power-set. We define in S an order relation \leq by

$$(\forall s_1, s_2 \in S) \quad s_1 \leq s_2 \stackrel{\text{Df}}{\Leftrightarrow} s_1 \supset s_2.$$

Obviously, for every chain C in S , $\sup C \in S$. $S_M = \{\emptyset\}$. Let γ be a choice function for S , i.e. $(\forall s \in S \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \gamma s \in s$. Then $f : S \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow S$ defined by

$$(\forall s \in S \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \quad fs \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} s \setminus \{\gamma s\}$$

is slowly increasing. Let furthermore $s_0 = R$.

By the Theorem, the corresponding subset T of S is well-ordered. To prove that R can be well-ordered, it suffices to define a 1-1 mapping φ of R into T . This can be done by putting

$$(\forall r \in R) \quad \varphi r = \sup_{r \in t \in T} t,$$

since then $\gamma \varphi r = r$ (otherwise, it would be $r \in \varphi r \setminus \{\gamma \varphi r\}$, contrary to the definition of φr).

Institute of Mathematics
University of Zagreb, Yugoslavia