Changes to the quantity and processing of starchy foods in a Western diet can increase polysaccharides escaping digestion and improve \textit{in vitro} fermentation variables
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This study investigated how readily achievable changes to the quantity and processing of starchy foods in a typical Western diet: (1) were reflected in levels of resistant starch (RS) and NSP excreted from the small intestine; and (2) more favourable profiles of butyrate, NH$_3$ and phenol production. Two diets, a low-starch diet (LSD) and a high-starch, low-fat diet (HSLFD) were compared. The LSD with 20\% total energy (%E) from starch was based on a ‘typical’ Australian diet, while the HSLFD (40\%E as starch) was the same Australian diet modified by an increased content of legumes, starchy foods and coarsely-ground cereals and by a reduced fat content. Four subjects with ileostomies consumed each diet for 2 d, with ileal effluent collection on the second day. On the HSLFD compared with the LSD, RS in ileal effluent increased from 0.49 to 1.7 g/MJ per d ($P < 0.005$) while ileal NSP excretion increased from 2.0 to 3.3 g/MJ per d ($P < 0.05$). Ileal effluents obtained after each diet were incubated for 24 h \textit{in vitro} with a human faecal inoculum. After fermentation, ileal effluent from the HSLFD produced more butyrate relative to other short-chain fatty acids (17:5 v. 15:8 molar \%, $P < 0.005$) and less phenol (2:3 v. 5:7 mmol/l, $P < 0.05$) and NH$_3$ (20:3 v. 23:1 mmol/l, $P < 0.005$) than the LSD diet. The HSLFD also generated a lower pH (6:15 v. 6:27, $P < 0.05$). On a wt/wt basis, RS was 2.3-fold higher in the HSLFD effluent while NSP did not increase, suggesting that the change in RS largely contributed to the fermentation effects. Changes in \textit{in vitro} variables when the HSLFD ileal effluent was ground before fermentation indicated the importance of physical structure in determining ileal excretion of RS. We conclude that: (1) readily achievable modifications to the amount and processing of starchy foods in an Australian diet would produce potential benefits for \textit{in vitro} fermentation variables; and (2) the physical structure of grains and cereals is important in determining access by colonic bacteria to a carbohydrate substrate.

\textbf{Ileostomy: Undigested polysaccharides: \textit{In vitro} fermentation}

Increased consumption of indigestible polysaccharides, rich in resistant starch (RS) and NSP may favourably influence human colonic events (van Munster \textit{et al.} 1994; Phillips \textit{et al.} 1995; Stephen \textit{et al.} 1995; Birkett \textit{et al.} 1996; Cummings \textit{et al.} 1996). In the colon, indigestible polysaccharides enhance faecal bulking (Jenkins \textit{et al.} 1987; Lampe \textit{et al.} 1993; Phillips \textit{et al.} 1995; Stephen \textit{et al.} 1995; Cummings \textit{et al.} 1996), thereby diluting potential carcinogens and cytotoxic compounds such as NH$_3$ and phenols (Mortensen, 1992; Birkett \textit{et al.} 1996). Further, RS and NSP are fermented and metabolised by the colonic bacteria, stimulating increased bacterial growth (Scheppach \textit{et al.} 1988a), which in turn increases short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) production, leading to a lower lumen pH (Phillips \textit{et al.} 1995). These effects are all potentially protective against colonic diseases such as colon cancer (Boutwell & Bosch, 1959; Candido \textit{et al.} 1978; Visek, 1978; Roediger, 1982; Walker \textit{et al.} 1986; Cummings \textit{et al.} 1992).

Although nutritionists have long recommended an increased consumption of indigestible polysaccharides in
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the Western diet, for many people intake remains low. An intake of around 18 g NSP/d and 20 g RS/d appears necessary for beneficial effects to the bowel (Cummings & Englyst, 1995; Baghurst et al. 1996). We have estimated the intake of NSP by Australians to be 14 g/d (Birkett et al. 1997) and RS intake has been estimated at 5 g/d (Baghurst et al. 1996; Birkett et al. 1997). The content of RS and NSP in the Australian diet could be increased by two relatively simple dietary changes. First, by the replacement of some dietary fat with more starch-containing foods to increase consumption of NSP and RS. Second, by the inclusion of some modest changes to the physical structure of starchy foods (e.g. coarse grinding of cereals) to ensure that a greater proportion of RS reaches the colon (Livesey et al. 1995; Muir et al. 1995). In this paper, we investigate, in an ileostomy model, the impact of these two moderate dietary changes on the delivery of indigestible polysaccharides to the colon. A third strategy, whereby RS content of foods can be increased, i.e. by supplementing breads and cereals with high amylose starch (van Munster et al. 1994; Muir et al. 1995; Noakes et al. 1996) has not been used in this present study.

Use of a human ileostomy model enables non-invasive, quantitative collection of the material that would normally be available as substrate for fermentation in the colon of healthy intact subjects. It must be noted, however, that the ileostomate model does not exactly reflect the situation in intact human subjects. Studies on ileostomy subjects consuming a wheat bread-based or an oat bran-based diet have indicated a contribution from both endogenous and microbial sources to dietary fibre residues in ileal excreta (Aman et al. 1995; Sundberg et al. 1996). Nevertheless, the availability of ileal effluent has allowed investigation of the effects of diet on colonic metabolism, via fermentation of effluent in vitro with an inoculum of human faeces. By this means the direct observation of simulated colonic fermentation is possible. The technique is relatively simple and results correlate well with in vivo events (Rumney & Rowland, 1992; Barry et al. 1995). When ileal effluent is unavailable, fermentation of dietary fibre isolates from foods, but not the fermentation of complete foods, provide the closest estimates of colonic SCFA production (McBurney et al. 1988).

In this present paper we compare a simulated Australian diet, based on the average intake reported by food frequency questionnaire by nearly 3000 adults (Baghurst et al. 1993; Walker et al. 1997) with a similar diet enriched with starchy and unprocessed foods and lower in fat. Our study quantifies how these modest changes: (1) may increase RS and NSP content in ileal excreta and (2) provide increased substrates for bacterial fermentation, potentially increasing levels of beneficial SCFA and decreasing levels of harmful NH₃ and phenols.

Materials and methods

Subjects and study design

The four subjects who participated in this study (one male, three females) were recruited through the Ostomy Association of Geelong, Victoria, Australia. Each had undergone a total colectomy for ulcerative colitis (average duration since surgery 14 years, range 3–34 years), but no more than 10 cm of the terminal ileum was removed. All subjects were in good health, and none had taken antibiotics or medication, that might alter small intestinal function, at least 1 month prior to the study. The average age was 58 years (range 49–69 years) and average BMI was 22 kg/m² (range 18–24 kg/m²). No intolerances were reported for foods consumed in this study. Ethical approval for the study was given by the Deakin University Human Ethics Committee (no. EC 16/94).

The study followed a standard protocol for starch digestion studies in subjects with ileostomies (Asp, 1991). There were two test dietary periods, each of two days duration, separated by a week or more, when subjects consumed their habitual diet. All subjects participated in the study while in their own homes, and were visited daily for 3 d during each test period.

Subjects received the same diet (Table 1) for each day of a test period. Day 1 was used as a ‘run-in’ to acquaint subjects with the diet and protocol, while on day 2 effluent was collected. All food provided was consumed between 07.00 and 18.00 hours. On day 2, subjects were asked to empty or change their ileostomy bag at 07.00 hours, and then to empty the bag and immediately freeze all its contents in plastic containers every 2 h until 23.00 hours. On day 3 a final collection was made at 07.00 hours. At 18.00 hours on day 2, after the evening meal, subjects consumed a gelatine capsule containing polyvinyl chloride markers (Terumo (Aust.) Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia). The presence of these markers in ileal effluent was used to indicate the completeness of the collection of undigested residue from the final evening meal.

Diets

‘Typical’ Australian low-starch diet. The ‘typical’ Australian low-starch diet (LSD) was based on self-reported data of usual dietary intake of nearly 3000 subjects over the age of 18 years surveyed in Victoria, Australia in 1990 (Baghurst et al. 1993). Data from this 1990 Victorian Nutrition Survey included the average amount of food eaten by each subject for over 100 common food items (H. Stafford, unpublished results). This list was reduced to seventy-four individual foods that were each consumed by over 50 % of the population surveyed and eaten in quantities greater than 5 g/d. A ‘typical’ Australian diet was then constructed from these foods with intake of each food item in this diet adjusted to within 5 % of the average amount of that consumed by subjects in the 1990 Nutrition Survey. This adjustment of food quantities also ensured that the macronutrient content of the diet matched the average macronutrient intake reported in the survey (Table 1). All foods for the LSD were purchased at a major supermarket in Geelong, Victoria and were prepared in a form that subjects could eat without further processing.

High-starch, low-fat diet. The high-starch, low-fat diet (HSLFD) was based on the LSD but was modified to include a reduced fat intake and a larger intake of starchy foods, some of which were provided in a less processed form than in the LSD. Some meat was replaced by red kidney beans. Wholegrain muesli and wholegrain bread replaced a more refined wheat-based breakfast biscuit (Weetbix®) and...
wholemeal bread. Muesli and wholegrain bread were prepared especially for the study. Muesli consisted of (g): oatmeal 10, barley flakes 20, wheat flakes 20, sesame seeds 5, cornflakes 10, sunflower seeds 5, millet 10. This dry mixture of grains was eaten with milk as a breakfast meal. Each 1 kg loaf of wholegrain bread was made from (g): wholewheat grains (par-boiled 1 minute) 150, cracked wheat (particle size 1–3 mm) 300, cracked wheat (particle size <1 mm) 274, gluten 50, salt 15, yeast 7.5, water 395. Basmati rice, which has a high amylose content and a low glycaemic index (Foster-Powell & Brand-Miller, 1995), replaced long-grain white rice. Both diets included a fruit salad made up of (g): orange 23, peeled apple 29.5, banana 30.5, strawberry 6.5, watermelon 10.5. All food preparation employed simple methods commonly used in Australian homes.

The macronutrient contents of the LSD and HSLFD were analysed using SODA 5.0 (Computer Models, Cottesloe, Australia) based on a food composition database of Australian foods (National Food Authority, 1991). Values for NSP, however, were calculated manually using data from British food composition tables (Holland et al. 1988, 1991, 1992). The amount of food supplied to each subject was determined from their habitual energy intake, calculated from a 7 d weighed food record, analysed as described earlier with SODA 5.0 (Computer Models). Subjects were instructed to consume all food supplied. Any uneaten food portions were returned for weighing. Additional bread was supplied in case the subjects became hungry, but this was never eaten. Black tea and coffee and water were provided ad libitum.

### Table 1. Formulation of the experimental diets and suggested meal plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meal</th>
<th>Foods included in experimental diets (g)*</th>
<th>Low-starch diet</th>
<th>High-starch, low-fat diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast (08.00 hours)</td>
<td>wholewheat breakfast biscuit (30 g)†, milk (200 g)</td>
<td>Muesli (90 g)‡, skimmed milk (251 g)</td>
<td>Toast made from wholegrain bread (60 g)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning tea</td>
<td>Sweet biscuit (9 g)‡, chocolate (15 g)¨, water (250 g)</td>
<td>Fruit salad (134 g), rice (100 g)</td>
<td>Water (250 g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Sandwich: White bread (84 g), margarine (10 g), lettuce (50 g), cucumber (45 g), tomato (55 g), cheese (13 g), chicken (49 g), lemonade (391 g)</td>
<td>Toast made from wholegrain bread (120 g)§</td>
<td>Margarine (27 g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon tea</td>
<td>White bread roll (54 g)‡, milk (239 g), water (250 g)</td>
<td>Mixed salad: Rice (100 g), tomato (37 g), lettuce (50 g), kidney beans (40 g), cucumber (45 g), olive oil (10 g), water (250 g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner (18.00 hours)</td>
<td>rump steak (84 g), sausage (75 g), potato (69 g), peas (24 g), beans (19 g), carrot (113 g), fruit salad (206 g), ice cream (48 g), beer (283 g), water (250 g)</td>
<td>Rump steak (30 g), rice (237 g), potato (60 g), beans (63 g), peas (16 g), carrot (150 g), fruit salad (100 g), yoghurt (100 g), beer (283 g), water (250 g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Additional water, black tea and black coffee were available ad libitum.
† The wholewheat breakfast biscuit was Weetbix®.
‡ The muesli was a dry mixture of (g): oatmeal 10, barley flakes 20, wheat flakes 20, sesame seeds 5, cornflakes 10, sultanas 10, sunflower seeds 5, millet 10.
§ The wholegrain bread contained parboiled whole wheatgrains and cracked wheat.
¨ The fruit salad was (g): orange 23, apple 29.5, banana 30.5, strawberry 6.5, watermelon 10.5.

Sample analysis

**Ileal effluent analysis.** Frozen effluent samples were weighed and thawed rapidly (15 min in a 30°C water-bath). Effluents collected for each 2 h time point were then pooled for each diet for each of the four subjects and thoroughly stirred to achieve homogeneity. The wet effluent was subsampled immediately after mixing for analysis of pH, SCFA, phenols (phenol and p-cresol), soluble N, and particle size distribution. pH was immediately measured by a pH meter fitted with a protein-resistant glass electrode (AEP 344, Activon Scientific Products, Carlton, Australia). SCFA
were isolated as described by Phillips et al. (1995) at Royal Melbourne Hospital, Victoria, Australia. They were subsequently separated on a 25 m × 0.5 mm BP21 silica column (SGE Scientific Pty Ltd, Ringwood, Australia) at 100°C by GLC (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) with flame ionisation detection, and quantitated using methylvaleric acid as an internal standard. Phenols were deconjugated by boiling in HCl and separated by reverse phase HPLC using a 250 mm × 4.6 mm Econosil RP-18 5 μm column (Alltech, Deerfield, IL, USA), attached to a Waters 501 pump (Milford, MA, USA). The mobile phase was methanol–phosphate buffer (0.02 M, pH 4.4) (48:52, v/v) infused at a rate of 0.7 ml/min. Phenols were measured with a u.v. detector at 270 nm (Barspec, Hart Analytical, Collingwood, Australia) (Birkett et al. 1995). Total soluble N was determined as the quantity of N soluble in phosphate buffer (0·1 mol/l, pH 7), after centrifuging at 1500 g. N was determined by a semi-automated Kjeldahl apparatus (Gerhardt, Bonn, Germany). It should be noted that this assay measures total nitrogenous compounds only, and is unable to distinguish the contribution from proteins, polypeptides, peptides, amino acids, urea or NH₃ which have to be measured separately. Nevertheless, protein and products of protein breakdown are likely to represent the major contributors and so the term protein has been used in the results and discussion sections of this paper.

Large particles present in the ileostomy effluents (i.e. with a diameter > 2.8 mm or > 1 mm) were estimated by washing an aliquot of effluent sequentially through two sieves (2.8 mm: CE Propert Pty Ltd, China; 1 mm: Chef, Hong Kong) using distilled water. The particles were then collected, dried and weighed.

Aliquots of pooled ileal effluent were freeze-dried, and used for all subsequent determinations. Energy content was measured against a benzoic acid standard by bomb calorimetry (Gallenkamp, Loughborough, Leics, UK). N was determined by a semi-automated Kjeldahl apparatus as described earlier. Starch was determined with a commercial kit which employed dimethylsulfoxide dissolution and enzymatic hydrolysis before spectrophotometric determination of the released glucose (Total Starch Kit AA/AMG, Megazyme Australia, Sydney, Australia). NSP (total and insoluble) was measured by the colorimetric method of Englyst & Cummings (1988). Total dietary fibre was analysed by the enzymatic–gravimetric method of Proskey et al. (1985) and total lipid content was determined gravimetrically after chloroform–methanol extraction (Folch et al. 1957). Oligosaccharides (raffinose and stachyose) were also determined by a semi-automated Kjeldahl apparatus (Gerhardt, Bonn, Germany) and the automated Berthelot reaction. Starch in the incubation mixture pellet was solubilised by dimethylsulfoxide and hydrolysed (Englyst & Cummings, 1988) before the released glucose was determined enzymatically (GLUC GDH, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using an automated analyser (Cobas Mira, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The ethanolic incubation mixture was centrifuged at 1500 g (Mistral 3000i, SH Scientific, UK) and soluble N in the supernatant was measured by micro-Kjeldahl digestion (Gerhardt, Bonn, Germany) and the automated Berthelot reaction. Starch in the incubation mixture pellet was solubilised by dimethyl-sulfoxide and hydrolysed (Englyst & Cummings, 1988) before the released glucose was determined enzymatically (GLUC GDH, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) on an automated analyser, as earlier. NSP levels were determined by the colorimetric assay of Englyst & Cummings (1988).

Duplicate fermentation tubes were prepared for the 0, 24 and 48 h time points. These were boiled to stop the bacterial fermentation before phenols (phenol and p-cresol) were measured by HPLC as earlier (Birkett et al. 1995).

In the standard in vitro fermentation method described by Edwards et al. (1996) the substrate is ground so that a representative homogeneous sample can be fermented. This process, however, disrupts type 1 RS (Englyst et al. 1992). To investigate the effect of particle size or structure on fermentation, incubations were carried out with 100 mg dried ileal effluent from the HSLFD that had not been ground. Results were then compared with those from similar incubations with a ground substrate.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are presented as the mean and standard error of the mean. Data have been compared by one-tailed paired Student t test, with n 4 and degrees of freedom 3. Significance was taken where P < 0·05. Statistical analyses were performed using the Minitab Release 8.21 software package (Minitab Inc, State College, PA, USA).
results

Diet and ileal effluent output

The menus for the LSD and HSLFD are presented in Table 1. Food intake was divided into three main meals and three smaller meals per day. Both diets were well received by the subjects, who recorded their responses in a questionnaire (data not shown). The LSD was recognised as being similar to the subjects’ habitual diet. The macronutrient composition of the two diets is presented in Table 2. The LSD contained 44% total energy (%E) as carbohydrate with 20% present as starch. In the HSLFD, carbohydrate intake was increased by 56% with 40% present as starch. Dietary fibre intake on the HSLFD was increased from 26 to 45 g/d. Total fat content was 34% on the LSD, but only 23% on the HSLFD.

Ileostomy output over 24 h differed significantly between diets (Table 3) both in terms of wet weight evacuated (LSD 673 (SE 41) v. HSLFD 819 (SE 17) g, P < 0.05) and dry weight (LSD 56 (SE 9) v. HSLFD 83 (SE 7) g, P < 0.01). Effluent from both diets contained visible fragments of food. Peas, fruit seeds, vegetable seeds and vegetable skins could be identified. In addition, the effluent after the HSLFD contained whole rice and cereal grains, more varieties of seeds and fragments of kidney bean. Further, a significantly higher proportion of large particles (diameter > 2.8 mm) calculated as a percentage of total wet weight were excreted on the HSLFD (LSD 1.9 (SE 0.5) v. HSLFD 4.2 (SE 0.9) %) (Table 3).

To confirm that minimal fermentation had occurred during the collection, storage and processing of ileal effluents, their pH, SCFA and phenols were measured. Effluents collected after either diet did not differ in pH (LSD 7.58 (SE 0.08) v. HSLFD 7.28 (SE 0.10)). Total phenol concentration in effluent from the LSD was low (0.5 μg/g (SE 0.1)), n 4) as was the concentration of SCFA (21.5 (SE 7.1) μmol/g, n 2).

Ileal effluent composition was significantly influenced by diet composition (Table 4). On the HSLFD, subjects excreted a larger amount of energy (P < 0.01) due to the presence of increased amounts of undigested starch (RS) (P < 0.005), NSP (P < 0.01) and protein (P < 0.05). On the HSLFD, RS excretion was increased almost 4-fold from 3.5 g/d (4.9 g/10 MJ per d) to 11.8 g/d (17.0 g/10 MJ per d). The content of

Table 1. Food intake was divided into three main meals and three smaller meals per day. Both diets were well received by the subjects, who recorded their responses in a questionnaire (data not shown). The LSD was recognised as being similar to the subjects’ habitual diet. The macronutrient composition of the two diets is presented in Table 2. The LSD contained 44% total energy (%E) as carbohydrate with 20% present as starch. In the HSLFD, carbohydrate intake was increased by 56% with 40% present as starch. Dietary fibre intake on the HSLFD was increased from 26 to 45 g/d. Total fat content was 34% on the LSD, but only 23% on the HSLFD.

Ileostomy output over 24 h differed significantly between diets (Table 3) both in terms of wet weight evacuated (LSD 673 (SE 41) v. HSLFD 819 (SE 17) g, P < 0.05) and dry weight (LSD 56 (SE 9) v. HSLFD 83 (SE 7) g, P < 0.01). Effluent from both diets contained visible fragments of food. Peas, fruit seeds, vegetable seeds and vegetable skins could be identified. In addition, the effluent after the HSLFD contained whole rice and cereal grains, more varieties of seeds and fragments of kidney bean. Further, a significantly higher proportion of large particles (diameter > 2.8 mm) calculated as a percentage of total wet weight were excreted on the HSLFD (LSD 1.9 (SE 0.5) v. HSLFD 4.2 (SE 0.9) %) (Table 3).

To confirm that minimal fermentation had occurred during the collection, storage and processing of ileal effluents, their pH, SCFA and phenols were measured. Effluents collected after either diet did not differ in pH (LSD 7.58 (SE 0.08) v. HSLFD 7.28 (SE 0.10)). Total phenol concentration in effluent from the LSD was low (0.5 μg/g (SE 0.1)), n 4) as was the concentration of SCFA (21.5 (SE 7.1) μmol/g, n 2).

Ileal effluent composition was significantly influenced by diet composition (Table 4). On the HSLFD, subjects excreted a larger amount of energy (P < 0.01) due to the presence of increased amounts of undigested starch (RS) (P < 0.005), NSP (P < 0.01) and protein (P < 0.05). On the HSLFD, RS excretion was increased almost 4-fold from 3.5 g/d (4.9 g/10 MJ per d) to 11.8 g/d (17.0 g/10 MJ per d). The content of

Table 2. Macronutrient composition of the experimental diets expressed as both nutrient intake (g/10 MJ) and percentage of energy (%E)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macronutrient</th>
<th>Low-starch diet</th>
<th>High-starch, low-fat diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>273 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>351 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>starch</td>
<td>123 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>252 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>104 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>87 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>92 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>62 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>11 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>11 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre</td>
<td>26 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>45 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP total</td>
<td>17 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>30 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>9 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>18 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>8 g/10 MJ</td>
<td>18 g/10 MJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values were significantly different from those of the low-starch-diet group (Student’s t test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005.

Table 3. Characteristics of ileal effluent collected during the low-starch diet (LSD) and the high-starch, low-fat diet (HSLFD)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LSD</th>
<th>HSLFD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet wt (g)</td>
<td>673 ± 41</td>
<td>819* ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry wt (g)</td>
<td>56 ± 9</td>
<td>83** ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet wt with particle size &gt; 2.8 mm (%)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.2*** ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values were significantly different from those of the low-starch-diet group (Student’s t test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005.

Table 4. Comparison between nutrient intake, calculated from food composition tables, and ileal excretion (g/d) of macronutrients‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Intake Mean</th>
<th>Intake SE</th>
<th>Excretion Mean</th>
<th>Excretion SE</th>
<th>% Excreted Mean</th>
<th>% Excreted SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>7204±693</td>
<td>828±177</td>
<td>11.4±1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total starch</td>
<td>88±8</td>
<td>3.5±0.8</td>
<td>3.8±0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>76±7</td>
<td>11.7±2.5</td>
<td>15.6±2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>65±7</td>
<td>3.8±2.2</td>
<td>5.4±2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>19.3±2.4</td>
<td>99.7±4.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP total (g)</td>
<td>13±1</td>
<td>14.6±1.4</td>
<td>117.5±5.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soluble (g)</td>
<td>6±1</td>
<td>7.5±0.9</td>
<td>124.7±8.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insoluble (g)</td>
<td>7±1</td>
<td>7.1±0.5</td>
<td>102.2±2.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS+NSP (g)</td>
<td>18±1</td>
<td>2.0±0.2</td>
<td>34.3***±2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RS, resistant starch; NSP, non-starch polysaccharides; NA, not analysed; ND, not detected.

Mean values were significantly different from those of the low-starch-diet group (Student’s t test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005.

† Macronutrient intake was calculated using a food composition database SODA 5.0 (Computer Models, Cotlesloe, Australia). NSP was calculated using British food composition tables (Holland et al 1986, 1991, 1992).

‡ For details of diets and procedures see Tables 1 and 2 and pp. 64–66.
total undigested polysaccharides (RS + NSP) in ileal effluent after the HSLFD was 34.3 (SE 2.6) g. This value was nearly double the amount of 18.1 (SE 2.0) g found after the LSD. There was a significant increase in the proportion of ingested energy, protein, fat (all \( P < 0.005 \)) and starch (\( P < 0.05 \)) excreted from the HSLFD. The total dietary fibre output in effluent was also examined as a proportion of dietary input (Table 4). On the LSD, ileal excretion of total dietary fibre was 99.7 % of intake, while on the HSLFD ileal excretion was 100.5 % of intake. There was also a significant increase (\( P < 0.01 \)) in the excretion of the oligosaccharides raffinose and stachyose during the HSLFD (Table 4). Raffinose was increased over 3.4-fold compared to the LSD, but the oligosaccharide stachyose (an important constituent of legumes) was not detected in the effluent of subjects on the LSD.

In vitro fermentation

Fig. 1 presents 48 h data for total SCFA concentration and pH for both diets, and compares this data with blank tubes that contained no effluent. This figure demonstrates the importance of fermentable substrate, since SCFA concentration was considerably higher and the pH considerably lower when effluent was present in the in vitro fermentation tubes. The majority of SCFA were produced within the first 3 h period (53 % LSD, 49 % HSLFD); with 89 % and 86 % of the total 48 h production occurring within 24 h (LSD and HSLFD respectively). Similarly the majority of the change in pH occurred within the first 3 h period (73 % LSD, 75 % HSLFD) with 89 % and 86 % of the overall 48 h change occurring within 24 h (LSD and HSLFD respectively).

The concentration of end-products resulting from undigested carbohydrate and protein fermentation in ileal effluents after 24 h in vitro incubation is presented in Table 5. Although data were collected for 48 h, the 24 h time point only has been chosen for inclusion, to assist in comparison with other in vitro studies. There was no difference between diets in the concentration of total SCFA. The concentration of butyrate, however, increased with the HSLFD (13 \( \times \) SE 5 mmol/l v. 12.3 (SE 0.6) mmol/l, \( P = 0.05 \)), and the molar proportion of butyrate was also significantly increased (17.5 (SE 0.5) molar % v. 15.8 (SE 0.6) molar %, \( P < 0.005 \)) at the expense of both acetate and propionate. Fermentation of the HSLFD was also associated with a decrease in protein end-products. Fermentation of HSLFD effluent generated significantly less \( \text{NH}_3 \) (20.3 (SE 1.7) mmol/l v. 23.1 (SE 1.9) mmol/l, \( P < 0.005 \)); branched SCFA (i.e. isobutyrate + isovalerate) (3.7 (SE 0.8) mmol/l v. 4.6 (SE 0.8) mmol/l, \( P < 0.005 \)); and phenol (2.3 (SE 0.6) mg/l v. 5.7 (SE 0.9) mg/l, \( P < 0.005 \)). These changes are consistent with the observation that although absolute levels of protein excreted in ileal effluent rose on the HSLFD (from 11.7 to 15.6 g, \( P < 0.05 \)) (Table 4), the relative proportion of protein in the effluent declined from 34.8 to 28.5 %. Changes in fermentation on the HSLFD were accompanied by a reduced pH (6.15 (SE 0.07) v. 6.27 (SE 0.11), \( P < 0.05 \)). There was no significant difference in soluble N concentration (data not shown).

Data for production of total SCFA and butyrate from the 24 h fermentation of 100 mg dry effluent together with data on the total amount of effluent excreted per d were used to estimate potential total daily production of SCFA. The HSLFD with increased daily excretion of ileal solids (Table 1), potentially would yield significantly more total SCFA (423 (SE 50) mmol/d v. 620 (SE 25) mmol/d, \( P < 0.005 \)) and butyrate (65 (SE 6) mmol/d v. 108 (SE 3) mmol/d, \( P < 0.005 \)) than the LSD.

Particle size effects

The in vitro fermentation technique usually employs ground ileal effluent as a substrate (Edwards et al. 1996). Grinding may, however, expose starch and other substrates that would otherwise be physically trapped in the tissues of grains (i.e. type 1 RS) and remain unavailable to the action of bacteria. In this study it was observed that the ileal effluent after the HSLFD was much richer in particulate matter than the ileal effluent after the LSD. To determine the effects of entrapment of carbohydrate within particles of this type, duplicate
ground and unground samples from the HSLFD were fermented and important differences were observed (Table 6). After 6 h incubation, the unground effluent produced less SCFA (52.8 (SE 2.8) mmol/l v. 63.6 (SE 2.1) mmol/l, unground and ground respectively, P < 0.05), with a significantly higher pH (6.51 (SE 0.06) v. 6.19 (SE 0.05), P < 0.05). Changes in individual SCFA (data for butyrate only presented) did not however, reach statistical significance. The differences in total SCFA were no longer evident when the incubation proceeded for 24 h. Nevertheless, after 48 h, unground intact effluent contained a higher concentration of the protein end-products NH₃ (28.0 (SE 0.5) mmol/l v. 23.8 (SE 1.7) mmol/l, P < 0.05) and total phenols (15.2 (SE 2.4) mg/l v. 8.1 (SE 1.0) mg/l, P < 0.05).

Discussion

This present study aimed to determine whether readily achievable modifications to a ‘typical’ Australian (Western-type) diet (LSD) designed to increase the intakes of starchy foods and to reduce fat intake could have beneficial effects on large bowel fermentation. Our strategy was to alter the whole diet. Legumes replaced a proportion of meat, and wholegrain muesli and bread replaced refined alternatives in a HSLFD that proved most acceptable to our subjects with an ileostomy.

Good compliance during the two diets was indicated by the recovery of total dietary fibre in the ileal effluent which was 99.7% and 100.5% of intake for the LSD and the HSLFD respectively. Interestingly, indigestible polysaccharides could be measured as dietary fibre or RS+NSP. The dietary fibre values were close to the RS+NSP value (see Table 4) for the LSD, probably because in processed foods, RS is mostly in the form of RS type 3, which is included in the dietary fibre analysis. In the HSLFD, the RS +NSP component was greater than the dietary fibre component, possibly because the dietary fibre method does not include RS type 1 and 2 in its estimation.

Ileal outputs of wet and dry digesta were 22 and 48% greater after the HSLFD. The extra dry material contained 3.9 g protein and 16.2 g total undigested polysaccharides (RS+NSP), there was a considerable enrichment of fermentable substrate potentially available to colonic flora. While oligosaccharides were measured in the effluent, the contribution of these to the total indigestible carbohydrate level even during the HSLFD was small (0.86 g/d) when compared to the RS+NSP (34.3 g/d).

The complex nature of these substrates present in ileal effluent, however, complicates interpretation of the in vitro fermentations. Nevertheless, since an equal mass of dry ileal effluent was added to each incubation, the absolute concentration (g/g) of nutrients present in the substrate is likely to have had a major determining influence on fermentation. On this basis, effluent from the HSLFD was considerably enriched with starch (0.063 v. 0.142 g/g for LSD and HSLFD respectively), while differences in other major substrates were minor (0.209 v. 0.188 g/g; fat 0.068 v. 0.059 g/g; NSP 0.261 v. 0.271 g/g for LSD and HSLFD respectively).

The greater absolute concentration and molar proportion of butyrate after 24 h fermentation with effluent from the

| Table 5. Concentration and molar proportion of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), ammonia, phenols and pH in the fermentation mixture after 24 h in vitro incubation of ileal effluent following consumption of a low-starch diet (LSD) or a high-starch, low-fat diet (HSLFD)† (Mean values with standard errors for n 4 per diet) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Fermentation end-product** | **LSD** | **HSLFD** |
| SCFA | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Total (mmol/l) | 79.1 | 2.8 | 78.6 | 4.8 |
| Acetate (mmol/l) | 39.6 | 2.0 | 38.7 | 2.8 |
| Propionate (mmol/l) | 19.3 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 1.0 |
| Butyrate (mmol/l) | 12.3 | 0.6 | 13.8 | 1.0 |
| molar % | 15.8 | 0.6 | 17.5 | 0.5 |
| Branched-chain‡ (mmol/l) | 4.6 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Ammonia (mmol/l) | 23.1 | 1.9 | 20.3 | 1.7 |
| Phenol (mg/l) | 5.7 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 |
| m-Cresol (mg/l) | 4.9 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 1.1 |
| Total phenols (mg/l) | 10.6 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 1.6 |
| pH | 6.27 | 0.11 | 6.15 | 0.07 |

Mean values were significantly different from those of the low-starch-diet group (Student’s t test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005.
† For details of diets and procedures see Tables 1 and 2 and pp. 64–66.
‡ Branched-chain fatty acids: isobutyrate + isovalerate.

| Table 6. Effect of grinding the ileal effluent substrate from the high-starch, low-fat diet (HSLFD) on the concentrations of fermentation end-products after 6 h and 48 h in vitro incubation† |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Fermentation end-product** | **6 h in vitro incubation** | **48 h in vitro incubation** |
| | **Ground** | **Unground** | **Ground** | **Unground** |
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Total SCFA (mmol/l) | 63.6 | 2.1 | 52.8 | 2.8 | 88.9 | 2.7 | 91.2 | 3.3 |
| Butyrate (mmol/l) | 9.5 | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 17.5 | 0.9 | 18.1 | 0.7 |
| Ammonia (mmol/l) | 13.1 | 1.7 | 14.0 | 0.6 | 23.8 | 1.7 | 28.0 | 0.5 |
| Total phenols (mg/l) | NA | NA | 6.5 | 0.06 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 15.2 | 2.4 |
| pH | 6.19 | 0.05 | 6.5 | 0.06 | 6.03 | 0.15 | 6.22 | 0.05 |

SCFA, short-chain fatty acid; NA, not analysed.
Mean values were significantly different from those of the ground substrates (Student’s t test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
† For details of diets and procedures see Tables 1 and 2 and pp. 64–66.
HSLFD (Table 5) is consistent with observations made in vitro (Englyst et al. 1987; Goodlad & Mathers, 1988) and in vivo (Scheppach et al. 1988b; van Munster et al. 1994; Phillips et al. 1995; Silvester et al. 1995; Mathers et al. 1997) with pure substrates that fermentation of starch generates butyrate. Butyrate has importance as the preferred energy substrate for colonocytes (Roediger, 1982) and as a factor protecting normal colonic mucosal function (Sakata, 1987, 1988; Key et al. 1996; Hass et al. 1997; Mathers, 1998). In vitro, butyrate inhibits proliferation of a number of colorectal tumour cell lines (Tsaou et al. 1983; Augeron & Laboisse, 1984) suggesting that it protects against colonic carcinogenesis (D’Argenio et al. 1996).

The HSLFD also resulted in altered protein fermentation, with significantly reduced concentrations of branched-chain fatty acids, NH₃ and phenol (but not p-cresol) after 24 h fermentation of ileal effluent (Table 5). Whilst these changes might result from the slightly reduced concentration of protein substrate, it seems more likely that they reflect a partial suppression of protein fermentation. Soluble proteins are rapidly fermented by colonic bacteria in vitro (Macfarlane & Allison, 1986) but effluents from each diet did not differ in soluble N content. Instead, increased levels of fermentable polysaccharides, particularly starch, present in the HSLFD effluent may have depressed protein fermentation by providing an alternative substrate for bacterial action. Bingham (1988) has suggested a similar mechanism to explain the protective effect of starch (Cassidy et al. 1994) and NSP (Bingham et al. 1985) against development of colon cancer in epidemiological studies. As NH₃ and phenol are potential carcinogens (Boutwell & Bosch, 1959; Visek, 1978), dietary modifications that reduce their concentration in the colon may be beneficial.

One limitation of conventional in vitro fermentation procedures for assessing fermentation of ileal-derived substrates is the dependence on finely ground substrates. It was apparent by visual inspection that many wholegrains and seeds and large plant fragments would have entered the colon during the HSLFD. By analogy with the effects of grinding of wholegrains on starch digestion in the small bowel (Liljeberg & Bjorck, 1994; Livesey et al. 1995; Muir et al. 1995), we anticipated that grinding ileal effluent would alter the surface area of the substrate and increase accessibility to the bacteria, which could have important effects on the extent and pattern of fermentation. Short-term incubation (6 h) of the unground effluent from the HSLFD resulted in significantly lower production of SCFA and higher pH although these differences were no longer apparent after 48 h (Table 5). Grinding may therefore increase the availability of the carbohydrate substrate to the colonic bacteria, while native (unground) digesta is likely to be more slowly digested than in vitro systems might predict. This has implications for the location in the colon at which various digesta fractions are fermented, with larger particles remaining resistant until more distal sites are reached.

This present study employed minor modifications to a ‘typical’ Australian, Western-type diet. Many of the same foods were used although amounts were varied, some refined starchy foods were replaced with less processed alternatives, and a few additional but familiar foods such as legumes were incorporated. These changes gave a palatable and acceptable diet that potentially would result in substantially greater flows of starch or RS (4-fold) and NSP (2-fold) into the large bowel. The dietary modifications also resulted in a diet of reduced total and saturated fat content that might be expected to be advantageous in relation to the prevention of cardiovascular disease and obesity in addition to colon cancer. Although excretion of undigested polysaccharides on this diet was modest compared with that reported in previous dietary studies (Silvester et al. 1995), it was nevertheless sufficient to potentially alter colonic fermentation. In vitro incubation of ileal effluent suggests that the extra substrate provided by the HSLFD could have increased colonic SCFA production by about 50 % and butyrate by about 66% whilst reducing production of protein-derived end-products. Such changes may improve colonic health and reduce the risk of colorectal cancer.

As the benefits of diets high in both RS and NSP become accepted, future research should explore other practical ways to introduce RS- and NSP-containing foods into the diet. In the present study, subjects adapted readily to a 2-fold increase in starch intake, while in a previous study (Muir et al. 1998) a 3-fold increase in starch intake was achieved. It is also noteworthy that foods rich in RS (e.g. grains and cereals) also contain protein and NSP, the combination of which will influence fermentation-dependent events. Finally, further work is also needed to determine the importance of type 1 RS, both for ileal excretion of starch and colonic fermentation.
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