Pitfalls in Posterior Fossa CT Scanning: An Illustrative Case Report
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SUMMARY: A case is described that illustrates the difficulties involved with the interpretation of postoperative posterior fossa CT scans. The reasons for the difficulties are discussed and the pertinent literature reviewed.

INTRODUCTION

This report describes a 24 year old man with a posterior fossa medulloblastoma. His postoperative course was instructive because of the discrepancies that developed between the CT scan interpretations and the operative findings. His case history will be presented and the findings discussed.

PATIENT HISTORY

This 24 year old man presented with headaches one month before admission. He progressed to an ataxic gait and this precipitated his admission to hospital. There were no cranial nerve abnormalities. His initial CT scan (GE Scanner - 8800) showed a midline posterior fossa mass (Figure 1). He was initially drained through a ventriculostomy, but the following day he had swallowing difficulty and problems with articulation. He was taken to the operating room where a midline superior vermis tumor was identified and removed. Histology was consistent with a medulloblastoma.

He did well for 24 hours, but then his level of consciousness decreased and he began to have difficulties with speech. An unenhanced CT scan shown in Figure 2 did not reveal an area of high absorption typical of a blood clot. Despite this, when he deteriorated further and was re-explored, a large blood clot was found. Blood usually gives an absorption coefficient in the range of 25 to 50 MU(u). C.S.F. on the other hand has an absorption coefficient of between 0 and 10 MU(u). The absorption coefficient that was seen in this case was between these two and was unable to be differentiated from normal cerebellum. Because of this the CT scan was initially interpreted as showing just postoperative cerebellar swelling. At re-exploration a mixture of C.S.F. and blood clot was found. It is hypothesized that the mixture of clotted blood and C.S.F. from the decompressed aqueduct resulted in the confusing
Figure 1 — Contrast enhanced scan shows large circular posterior fossa mass.

Figure 2 — Postoperative unenhanced scan showing air in the posterior fossa and no high absorption area that is typical of fresh blood clot.

Figure 3 — Unenhanced scan showing only obliteration of the fourth ventricle.

Figure 4 — Enhanced scan showing a large circular mass.

The radiological picture. The mixture of these two components gave an absorption co-efficient that was in the same range as normal cerebellar tissue. The patient improved following his second surgical procedure, but two weeks later again deteriorated. The plain and enhanced CT scan pictures of the posterior fossa done at that time showed an enhancing circular mass (Figure 3, 4). It was thought that this represented recurrent or persistent tumor. It was our initial tendency not to operate again. At surgery, however, a large cyst was entered which contained high protein fluid. We suspect that the C.S.F. was mixed with protein that leaked from the residual tumor. These two components gave an absorption co-efficient about equal to that of normal brain. The reason why such a cystic space should enhance is not clear. It would seem unlikely that the permeability of the tumor would be great enough or rapid enough to allow the escape of contrast into the cyst, but we have no other explanation for this.

The diagnostic specificity of the CT scanner is being questioned as more experience accumulates. The sign of peripheral enhancement has been shown to be nonspecific in several recent studies (Balsys et al., 1979; Spetzler et al., 1979). The realization that subdurals pass through a stage in which they are isodense with brain has been reported by Tsai et al. (1979). As the blood breaks down there is an associated decrease in absorption and in two to three weeks the values are close to that of the normal adjacent brain tissue. The only clues may be a ventricular shift or an early enhancing membrane. It almost goes without saying that for the CT scanner to show abnormalities the appropriate slices must be taken. Luetje (1979) has described small lesions, such as small acoustic neuromas, that have been overlooked in this manner.

RESULTS
This report emphasizes that the mixture of blood, C.S.F. and protein may give a confusing CT picture depending on the relative proportion of each. Realizing that the scanner may be misinterpreted, the clinical status of the patient should be the final determinant of patient care.
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