

## SKELETON $C^*$ -SUBALGEBRAS

HUAXIN LIN

**ABSTRACT.** We study skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebras of a given  $C^*$ -algebra. We show that if  $A$  is a unital (non-unital but  $\sigma$ -unital) simple  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  is any unital (nonunital) matroid  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $A$  contains a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  with a quotient which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Other results for skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebras are also obtained. Applications of these results to the structure of quasi-multipliers and perturbations of  $C^*$ -algebras are given.

**1. Introduction.** Matrix algebras  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , the  $C^*$ -algebras of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on an infinite dimensional, separable Hilbert space are often called elementary  $C^*$ -algebras for the obvious reasons. Matroid  $C^*$ -algebras may be viewed as a generalization of elementary  $C^*$ -algebras. Though non-elementary matroid  $C^*$ -algebras are quite different (they are antiliminal, for instance) from elementary ones, they inherit many properties from elementary  $C^*$ -algebras. They are “matroid”. Next, of course, are (simple)  $AF C^*$ -algebras. The class of  $AF C^*$ -algebras is one of the best understood classes of  $C^*$ -algebras. They have a rich but manageable structure of projections and provide many interesting and important examples. The reason that  $AF C^*$ -algebras are better understood is that they are approximately finite dimensional and therefore “matrix-like”.

In [20] and [25], fundamental approximate identities were studied. For example, S. Zhang ([25]) showed that every  $\sigma$ -unital (non-unital) simple  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero has a fundamental approximate identity. The existence of such an approximate identity provides some “matrix-like” structure inside the  $C^*$ -algebra. For example, we showed in [20] that a  $C^*$ -algebra with fundamental approximate identity has a “skeleton” algebra with a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}$ . In this note we introduce formally the concept of “skeleton”:

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  is called a *skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra* if the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $B$  is  $A$ .

It should be noted that if  $A$  is unital,  $A$  has a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, we do not search for a trivial skeleton but for a rich skeleton with nice properties. We will show that if  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital (non-unital) simple  $C^*$ -algebra then for any unital (non-unital) matroid  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B$  has a quotient which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ . This shows that every  $\sigma$ -unital

---

Partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Received by the editors July 16, 1990.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 46L05.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1992.

simple  $C^*$ -algebra has a “matrix-like” structure. For a simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with real rank zero, stable rank one and unperforated  $K_0(A)$ , there is a simple  $AF$  skeleton  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $K_0(A) = K_0(B)$  and for every projection  $p$  in  $A$  there is a projection  $q$  in  $B$  such that  $p \sim q$  (in the sense of Murray and von Neumann). Applications of these results are given in Section 3.

1.2. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a, b \in A$ . We write (see [10])  $a \lesssim b$  if there are  $x, y \in A$  such that  $a = xby$ . If  $a, b \in A_+$ ,  $a \lesssim b$ , then, by [10, 1.7], there is  $z \in A$  such that  $z^*z = a$ ,  $zz^* \in \text{Her}(b)$ , the hereditary  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $b$ .

1.3. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f_\varepsilon$  be the continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ \frac{2}{\varepsilon}(t - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < t < \varepsilon \\ 1 & t \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

1.4. Given  $z$  in  $A$  with polar decomposition (in  $A^{**}$ )  $z = u|z|$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we know from [10, 1.3] that  $uf_\varepsilon(|z|)$  is in  $A$ . For any  $x \in \text{Her}(|z|)$ ,

$$\|uf_\varepsilon(|z|)x - ux\| \leq \|f_\varepsilon(|z|)x - x\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $ux \in A$  for any  $x \in \text{Her}(|z|)$ .

In fact the mapping  $\varphi$  defined by

$$\varphi(x) = uxu^*$$

is an isomorphism from  $\text{Her}(|z|)$  onto  $\text{Her}(|z^*|)$  (see [10, 1.7]). If  $a, b \in A_+$ , we write  $a \sim_\phi b$  if there is  $z \in A$  such that  $z^*z = a$ ,  $zz^* = b$ . If  $a \sim_\phi b$ , then there is a partial isometry  $u \in A^{**}$ , where  $z = u|z|$  is the polar decomposition, such that the mapping  $\varphi = uxu^*$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Her}(a)$  onto  $\text{Her}(b)$ . Moreover, if  $a' \in \text{Her}(a)_+$ ,  $b' \in \text{Her}(b)_+$  are such that  $\varphi(a') = b'$  then  $[u(a')^{1/2}][u(a')^{1/2}]^* = b'$  and  $[u(a')^{1/2}]^*[u(a')^{1/2}] = a'$ . Therefore,  $a' \sim_\phi b'$ . We write  $a \lesssim_\phi b$  if there is  $b' \in \text{Her}(b)$  such that  $a \sim_\phi b'$ . Clearly, the relation  $\lesssim_\phi$  is transitive and the relation “ $\sim_\phi$ ” is an equivalence relation.

1.5. There is another relation “ $\sim_T$ ” introduced by G.K. Pedersen [20, 5.26]. (See also [13] for the case of infinite sums.) If  $x, y \in A_+$ , we write  $x \sim_T y$  if there are  $z_i \in A$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^*z_i$ ,  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i^*$ , and write  $x \underset{T}{\sim} y$  if there is  $y' \in A_+$  such that  $x \sim_T y'$ ,  $y' \leq y$ . If  $A$  has a trace  $\tau$ , then  $\tau(x) = \tau(y)$  if  $x \sim_T y$ . (See [20, 5.26] or [13].)

1.6. We will use the notation  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  for the Pedersen ideal of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

2. Scaling Approximate Identities and Skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebras.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a$  and  $b$  two positive elements in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is such that  $f_\varepsilon(b)$  generates  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  as an ideal, then there are  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{P}(A)_+$  such that*

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, a_2 \underset{\sim_T}{\leq} a_3 \underset{\sim_T}{\leq} \dots \underset{\sim_T}{\leq} f_{\varepsilon/2}(b),$$

$$a_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi a_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } a_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi f_{\varepsilon/2}(b).$$

PROOF. There are  $x_i, y_i \in A, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  such that

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i f_\varepsilon(b) y_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m x_i f_\varepsilon(b) x_i^* + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* f_\varepsilon(b) y_i \right).$$

We may write  $a \leq \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$ , where  $0 \leq r_i \leq f_\varepsilon(b)$ . It follows from [22, 1.4.10] that there are  $z_i \in A$  such that  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^* z_i$  and  $z_i z_i^* \underset{\sim}{\leq} r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore we may write, by 1.4,

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \text{ and } a_i \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi b_i, b_i \in \text{Her}(f_\varepsilon(b)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We will adjust the  $b_i$ 's and  $a_i$ 's so that

$$b_1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b),$$

$$a_i \underset{\sim_T}{\leq} b_i, a_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi a_i,$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, n$  and  $a_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} b_1$ .

We use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 2, a = a_1 + a_2, a_i \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi b_i$ , and  $b_i \in \text{Her}(f_\varepsilon(b)), i = 1, 2$ . Since

$$b_1 \leq (f_{\varepsilon/2}(b) - b_2) + b_2,$$

applying [22, 1.4.10] we obtain  $c_1, c'_1, d_1, d'_1$  such that  $c_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi d_1, c'_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi d'_1, b_1 = c_1 + c'_1, d_1 \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b) - b_1$ , and  $d'_1 \leq d_2$ . Set  $b'_1 = d'_1$  and  $b'_2 = b_2 + d_1$ . Then  $b'_1 \leq b'_2 \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b)$ . Since  $a_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi b_1$ , there are  $t_1, t'_1 \geq 0$  such that  $a_1 = t_1 + t'_1, t_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi c_1$ , and  $t'_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi c'_1$ . Set  $a'_1 = t'_1$  and  $a'_2 = a_2 + t_1$ . Then  $a = a'_1 + a'_2, a'_1 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi b'_1, a'_2 \underset{\sim_T}{\leq} b'_2$ .

Now assume that  $a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ ,

$$b_1 \leq b_3 \leq \dots \leq b_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b),$$

$$a_i \underset{\sim_T}{\leq} b_i, \quad a_2 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi a_i, \quad i = 3, 4, \dots, n,$$

$$a_2 \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi b_2 \text{ and } b_1 \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b).$$

Since  $b_1 \leq (f_{\varepsilon/2}(b) - b_n) + b_n$ , applying [22, 1.4.10] we obtain  $c_n, c'_n, d_n, d'_n \geq 0$  such that

$$b_1 = c_n + c'_n, \quad c_n \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi d_n, \quad c'_n \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi d'_n,$$

$$d_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b) - b_n, \text{ and } d'_n \leq b_n.$$

Set  $b'_1 = d'_n, b'_n = b_n + d_n$ . Then  $b'_1 \leq b'_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b)$ . There are  $t_n, t'_n \geq 0$  such that  $t_n + t'_n = a_1, t_n \sim_\phi c_n, t'_n \sim_\phi c'_n$ . Set  $a'_1 = t'_n, a'_n = a_n + t'_n$ . Then  $a'_n \sim_T b'_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b), a'_1 \sim_\phi b'_1 \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b)$ , and  $a = a'_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} a_i + (a_n + a'_n)$  and

$$b_2 \leq b_3 \leq \dots \leq b'_n = b_n + d_n, \quad b'_1 \leq b'_n.$$

Repeating this argument with  $a'_1, b'_1$  and  $b_{n-1}$ , we get  $a'_1 = t_{n-1} + t'_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \sim_\phi d_{n-1} \leq b_n - b_{n-1}, t'_{n-1} \sim_\phi d'_{n-1} \leq b_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, d_{n-1}, d'_{n-1} \geq 0$ . Set  $b''_1 = d'_{n-1}, b'_{n-1} = b_{n-1} + d_{n-1}, a''_1 = t'_{n-1}, a'_{n-1} = t_{n-1} + a_{n-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} b''_1 &\leq b'_{n-1} \leq b_n \leq b'_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b), \\ b'_2 &\leq b_3 \leq \dots \leq b_{n-1} \leq b'_{n-1} \leq b_n \leq b'_n, \\ a &= a''_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-3} a_i + (a_{n-1} + t_{n-1}) + (a_n + t_n), \end{aligned}$$

and  $a''_1 \sim_\phi b''_1, a'_{n-1} = a_{n-1} + t_{n-1} \sim_T b'_{n-1}, a'_n \sim_\phi b'_n$ .

Proceeding in this way, we can write

$$a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i, \quad t_i \geq 0, \quad t_i \sim_\phi d_i \leq b_{i+1} - b_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n$$

$(b_{n+1} = f_{\varepsilon/2}(b)), t_1 \sim_\phi d'_1 \leq b_2, b'_i = b_i + d_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n$ . We have

$$a = t_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (a_i + t_i) \text{ and } b'_i = b_i + d_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

Set  $b'_1 = d'_1$ ; then

$$b'_1 \leq b'_2 \leq \dots \leq b'_n \leq f_{\varepsilon/2}(b)$$

and  $a'_i = a_i + t_i \sim_T b'_i, 2 \leq i \leq n, a'_1 \sim_\phi b'_1$ .

This completes the proof. ■

**DEFINITION 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\{e_n\}$  be an approximate identity for  $A$ . Denote  $e_n - e_{n-1}$  by  $g_n$  ( $e_0 = 0$ ). If there is a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  and a subsequence of positive integers  $\{n(k)\}$  such that

- (i)  $f_{\varepsilon_k}(g_{n(k)}) \underset{\sim_T}{>} g_n \underset{\sim_T}{>} g_{n+1}$  for  $n(k) < n < n(k+1)$ ,
- (ii)  $g_{n(k)} \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi g_n$  for  $n(k-1) < n < n(k)$  and  $g_{n(k)} \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi f_{\varepsilon_{k-1}}(g_{n(k-1)})$ ,
- (iii)  $g_{n(2k-1)} \perp g_n$  if  $n > n(2k-1)$  or  $n < n(2k-3)$ ,
- (iv)  $g_{n(2k)} \left( \sum_{n(2k-2) \leq i \leq n(k)} g_i \right) = \left( \sum_{n(2k-2) \leq i \leq n(2k)} g_i \right) g_{n(2k)} = g_{n(2k)}$ ,

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then we say that  $\{e_n\}$  is a scaling approximate identity.

It should be noted that if  $\{e_n\}$  is a fundamental approximate identity, then  $\{e_n\}$  is a scaling approximate identity.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital (non-unital) simple  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $A$  contains a scaling approximate identity.*

**PROOF.** Let  $a$  be a strictly positive element of  $A$ . By taking a proper sequence of continuous functions  $h_n$ , we can construct (by taking  $e'_n = h_n(a)$ ) an approximate identity  $\{e'_n\}$  such that for each  $n$ , there is  $0 \leq a_n \leq e_n - e_{n+1}$  ( $e_0 = 0$ ),  $a_n(e_n - e_{n-1}) = (e_n - e_{n-1})a_n = a_n$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ , and  $a_n \perp e_m - e_{m-1}$  if  $n \neq m$ . Moreover,  $e_n \in P(A)$ . Set  $g_n = e_n - e_{n-1}$ ,  $b_n = g_n - a_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$b_2 = r_{2,1} + r_{2,2} + \dots + r_{2,m(2)}$$

such that  $0 \leq r_{2,i+1} \underset{\sim T}{\leq} f_{\varepsilon_1}(a_1) \leq f_{\varepsilon_1}(g_1)$ ,  $r_{2,m(2)} \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi r_{2,i}$  and  $r_{2,m(2)} \underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi f_{\varepsilon_1}(a_1) \leq f_{\varepsilon_1}(g_1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(2) - 1$ , for some  $1 > \varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $r_{2,i} \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(2)$ .

We also obtain

$$a_2 = r_{2,m(2)+1} + \dots + r_{2,m(2)+m'(2)}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq r_{2,m(2)+i+1} &\underset{\sim T}{\leq} r_{2,m(2)+i} \underset{\sim T}{\leq} f_{\varepsilon_2}(r_{2,m(2)}), \\ r_{2,m(2)+m'(2)} &\underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi r_{2,m(2)+i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m'(2) - 1, \\ r_{2,m(2)+m'(2)} &\underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi f_{\varepsilon_2}(r_{2,m(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

for some  $1 > \varepsilon_2 > 0$ , and  $r_{2,m(2)+i} \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m'(2)$ .

Repeating this process, we get a sequence of nonzero positive elements as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &= r_{3,1} + r_{3,2} + \dots + r_{3,m(3)}, \\ a_3 &= r_{3,m(3)+1} + \dots + r_{3,m(3)+m'(3)}, \\ &\dots \\ b_k &= r_{k,1} + r_{k,2} + \dots + r_{k,m(k)}, \\ a_k &= r_{k,m(k)+1} + \dots + r_{k,m(k)+m'(k)}, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,i+1} &\underset{\sim T}{\leq} r_{k,i} \underset{\sim T}{\leq} f_{\varepsilon_{2k-1}}(r_{k-1,m(k-1)+m'(k-1)}), \\ r_{k,m(k)} &\underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi r_{k,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m(k) - 1, \\ r_{k,m(k)} &\underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi f_{\varepsilon_{k-1}}(r_{k-1,m(k-1)+m'(k-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $1 > \varepsilon_{k-1} > 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,m(k)+i+1} &\underset{\sim T}{\leq} r_{k,m(k)+i} \underset{\sim T}{\leq} f_{2\varepsilon}(r_{k,m(k)}), \\ r_{k,m(k)+m'(k)} &\underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi r_{k,m(k)+i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m'(k) - 1, \\ r_{k,m(k)+m'(k)} &\underset{\sim}{\leq} \phi f_{\varepsilon_{2k}}(r_{k,m(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $1 > \varepsilon_{2k} > 0$ .

Now set

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_1 &= e'_1, e_2 = e'_1 + r_{2,1}, e_3 = e'_1 + r_{2,1} + r_{2,2}, \\
 &\dots \\
 e_{m(2)+1} &= e'_1 + r_{2,1} + \dots + r_{2,m(2)} = e'_1 + b_2, \\
 e_{m(2)+2} &= e'_1 + b_2 + r_{2,m(2)+1}, \\
 &\dots \\
 e_{m(2)+m'(2)+1} &= e'_1 + b_2 + r_{2,m(2)+1} + \dots + r_{2,m(2)+m'(2)} = e'_1 + b_2 + a_2 = e'_2, \\
 &\dots \\
 e_{1+m(k)+\sum_{n=2}^{k-1}(m(n)+m'(n))} &= e'_{k-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{m(k)} r_{k,n} = e'_{k-1} + b_k, \\
 e_{2+m(k)+\sum_{n=2}^{k-1}(m(n)+m'(n))+1} &= e'_{k-1} + b_k + r_{k,m(k)+1}, \\
 &\dots \\
 e_{1+\sum_{n=2}^k(m(n)+m'(n))} &= e'_{k-1} + b_k + a_k = e'_k, \\
 &\dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Take  $n(1) = 1, n(2) = 1 + m(2), n(3) = 1 + m(2) + m'(2), \dots, n(2k) = 1 + m(2) + \dots + m(k - 1)$ , and  $n(2k + 1) = 1 + m(2) + \dots + m(k - 1) + m'(k - 1), k = 1, 2, \dots$ . From the construction one can check easily that  $\{e_n\}, \{n_k\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  satisfy the conditions (i) to (iv) in 2.2. ■

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with a scaling approximate identity  $\{e_n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  such that  $B$  has a quotient which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

**PROOF.** We will keep the notation of 2.2.

We first claim that there are  $g_k^{(i)} \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $u_k^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad &g_k^{(i)} \leq g_l^{(i)}, \text{ if } l \leq k, \quad g_k^{(i)} \in \text{Her}(f_{\varepsilon_{2i}}(g_{n(2i)})), \\
 (2) \quad &(u_k^{(i)})(u_k^{(i)})^* = g_k^{(i)}, (u_k^{(i)})^*(u_k^{(i)}) = f_{\sigma_{2i}}(g_{n(2i)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_k = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{2k}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

We will prove the claim by induction on  $k$ . Assume that the claim is true for all  $k' \leq k$ . Since  $g_{n(2(k+1))} \lesssim \phi f_{\varepsilon_{2(k-1)}}(g_{2k})$ , there is  $u_{k+1}^{(k)}$  in  $A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u_{k+1}^{(k)})^*(u_{k+1}^{(k)}) &= f_{\sigma_{k+1}}(g_{n(2(k+1))}), \\
 (u_{k+1}^{(k)})(u_{k+1}^{(k)})^* &= g_{k+1}^{(k)} \in \text{Her}(f_{\varepsilon_{2k}}(g_{n(2k)})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Define  $u_{k+1}^{(i)} = u_k^{(i)}u_{k+1}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i < k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u_{k+1}^{(i)})^*(u_{k+1}^{(i)}) &= (u_{k+1}^{(k)})^*(u_k^{(i)})^*(u_k^{(i)})(u_{k+1}^{(k)}), \\
 &= (u_{k+1}^{(k)})^* f_{\sigma_k}(g_{n(2(k))})(u_{k+1}^{(k)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_{\sigma_k}(g_{n(2(k))})$  is a unit for  $\text{Her}(f_{\varepsilon_{2k}}(g_{n(2(k))}))$ ,

$$(u_{k+1}^{(i)})^*(u_{k+1}^{(i)}) = f_{\sigma_{k+1}}(g_{n(2(k+1))}).$$

Set

$$g_{k+1}^{(i)} = (u_{k+1}^{(i)})(u_{k+1}^{(i)})^* = (u_k^{(i)})g_{k+1}^{(k)}(u_k^{(i)})^*,$$

$1 \leq i < k$ ; then  $g_{k+1}^{(i)} \in \text{Her}(f_{\varepsilon_{2i}}(g_{n(2i)}))$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

Let  $\chi_k(t)$  denote the characteristic function of the set  $[0, \varepsilon_{2k}]$ . Set  $p_k^{(k-1)} = \chi_k(g_{n(2k)})$  and  $p_k^{(i)} = u_k^{(i)}p_k^{(k-1)}(u_k^{(i)})^*$ . Then  $p_k^{(i)}$  are closed projections (with respect to  $A$ ) in  $A^{**}$ . Set  $e'_k = \sum_{i=1}^k p_k^{(i)}$ ;  $e'_k$  is also a closed projection in  $A^{**}$ . Let  $B_2$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{u_2^{(1)}, e_{n_2}\}, \dots, B_{k+1}$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{B_k, u_{k+1}^{(k)}, e_{n(2(k+1))} - e_{n(2k)}\}$ . Notice that  $e'_k$  commutes with  $e_{n(2(i+1))} - e_{n(2i)}$  and  $u_{i+1}^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . It is a routine exercise that  $e'_k B_k$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ).

Now for fixed  $m$ , for  $k \geq m$ ,

$$e_{n(2m)}e'_k = e'_k e_{n(2m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_k^{(i)}.$$

So  $\{e_{n(2m)} e'_k\}$  ( $k \geq m$ ) is a decreasing sequence of closed projections in  $A^{**}$ . So  $\{e_{n(2m)}e'_k\}$  converges strongly to a positive element  $q_m$  in  $A^{**}$ . Hence  $q_m$  is an upper semi-continuous function or the quasi-state space of  $A$  (see [20, 3.11]). By a standard compactness argument,  $q_m \neq 0$ , and hence  $q_m$  is a nonzero projection in  $A^{**}$ . Now  $\{q_m\}$  is an increasing sequence of projections, so  $q_m \nearrow q$  for some nonzero projection  $q$  in  $A^{**}$ . Furthermore,  $e'_k \rightarrow q$  strongly.

Since  $e'_k$  commutes with every element of  $B_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , we conclude that  $q$  commutes with every element of  $B_i$ ,  $2 \leq i$ . It is routine to check that  $qB_i$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ . Denote by  $B$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{B_i : i = 2, 3, \dots\}$ ; then  $q$  commutes with every element of  $B$ . Thus there is  $*$ -homomorphism from  $B$  onto  $Bq$ . Moreover, one can easily check that  $Bq$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{K}$ . ■

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $A$  be a non-elementary simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a$  be a nonzero positive positive element of  $P(A)$ . Then for every  $k$ , there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $\text{Her}(a)$  and a closed projection  $p$  in  $A^{**}$  such that  $p$  commutes with each element in  $B$  and such that  $pB$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_k$ .*

PROOF. Since  $A$  is simple, so also is  $\text{Her}(a)$ . If  $\text{sp}(a)$  is finite, then  $\text{Her}(a)$  has an identity  $e$ . There is a positive element  $b$  in  $\text{Her}(a)$  with infinitely many points in  $\text{sp}(b)$ . So  $\text{sp}(e + b)$  has infinitely many points. Since  $\text{Her}(e + b) = \text{Her}(a)$ , we may assume that  $\text{sp}(a)$  has infinitely many points. There are continuous functions  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k$  and  $h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_k$  on  $\text{sp}(a)$  such that

- (1) 
$$a \leq \sum_{i=1}^k h_i(a),$$
- (2) 
$$h'_i(a) \perp h_j(a) \text{ if } j \neq i,$$
- (3) 
$$h'_i(a)h_i(a) = h_i(a)h'_i(a) = h'_i(a),$$
  

$$\|h'_i(a)\| = \|h_i(a)\| = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Repeated application of [10, 1.8] shows that there are nonzero elements  $b'_i \in A_{h'_i(a)}$  such that

$$b'_1 \gtrsim b'_2 \gtrsim \dots \gtrsim b'_k$$

(see [10, 2.3]); we may assume that  $0 \leq b'_i \leq 1$ , and that  $\|b'_i\| = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Take  $b_1 = b'_k$  and apply [10, 1.7] repeatedly; we obtain  $b_i \in A_{h'_i(a)}, \|b_i\| = 1$ , and  $z_i \in \text{Her}(a)$  such that

$$z_i^* z_i = b_1, z_i z_i^* = b_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, k.$$

There are  $u_i \in \text{Her}(a)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^* u_i &= f_{1/8}(b_1), \\ u_i u_i^* &= f_{1/8}(b_i) \end{aligned}$$

(see 1.4),  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Let  $\chi_{1/4}(t)$  denote the characteristic function of  $[1/4, 1]$ . Set  $p_i = \chi_{1/4}(b_i)$  and  $p = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i$ . Then  $p$  is a closed projection in  $A^{**}$ . It is easy to see that  $p$  commutes with  $h_i(a), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and commutes with  $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Let  $B$  denote the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by

$$\{h_i(a), i = 1, 2, \dots, k, u_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, k\}.$$

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k h_i(a) \geq a$ . So  $B$  is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\text{Her}(a)$ . Moreover,  $p$  commutes with each element of  $B$ . It is a routine exercise that  $pB$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_k$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital, non-unital, non-elementary simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for any non-unital matroid  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B$  has a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

**PROOF.** As in the proof of 2.3, there is an approximate identity  $\{e_n\}$  for  $A$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $e_n e_m = e_m e_n = e_m$ , if  $n > m$ ;
- (ii) there are  $a_n$  in  $A$  such that  $0 \leq a_n \leq e_n - e_{n-1} (e_0 = 0)$  and  $a_n (e_m - e_{m-1}) = (e_m - e_{m-1}) a_n = 0$  if  $m \neq n$ ;
- (iii)  $(e_n - e_{n-1})(e_m - e_{m-1}) = 0$  if  $|n - m| \geq 2$  and  $\|e_n\| = 1$ .

Suppose that

$$0 < q(1) < r(1) \leq q(2) < r(3) \leq \dots$$

is a sequence of integers such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is the following inductive limit:

$$\mathcal{M}_{q(1)} \xrightarrow{f_{q(1)r(1)}} \mathcal{M}_{r(1)} \xrightarrow{q_{r(1)q(2)}} \mathcal{M}_{q(2)} \xrightarrow{f_{q(2)r(2)}} \mathcal{M}_{r(2)} \xrightarrow{q_{r(2)q(3)}} \mathcal{M}_{q(3)} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Here  $r(n) \mid q(n + 1)$ , and  $f_{mn}$  is the homomorphism consisting of adding  $n - m$  rows and columns of zeros to each matrix in  $\mathcal{M}_m$ , and  $g_{mn} = 1 \otimes 1_p$ , i.e.

$$g_{mn}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x \end{bmatrix}_{p \times p},$$



where  $p = \frac{n}{m}$ . We set  $s(n) = \frac{q(n+1)}{r(n)}$ ,  $t(n) = r(n) - q(n)$  and  $g_n = e_n - e_{n-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

As in Lemma 2.5, there are  $d_1^{(1)}, d_2^{(1)}, \dots, d_{q(1)}^{(1)}$  and  $u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{q(1)}$  in  $\text{Her}(a_1)$  such that  $0 \leq d_i^{(1)}$ ,  $\|d_i^{(1)}\| = 1$ ,  $d_i^{(1)} \perp d_j^{(1)}$  if  $i \neq j$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{(1)*} u_i^{(1)} &= f_{1/8}(d_1^{(1)}), \\ u_i^{(1)} u_i^{(1)*} &= f_{1/8}(d_i^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we take  $e'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{q(1)} p_i^{(1)}$ , where  $p_i^{(1)} = \chi_{1/4}(d_i^{(1)})$ , and  $B_1$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{g_1, u_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, q(1)\}$ , then  $e'_1$  commutes with each element of  $B_1$  and  $e'_1 B_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{q(1)}$ .

Repeated application of [10, 1.8] shows that there are elements  $d'_i \in \text{Her}(a_{i+1})$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, t(1)$ ) such that

$$f_{1/2}(d_1^{(1)}) \gtrsim d'_1 \gtrsim d'_2 \gtrsim \dots \gtrsim d'_{t(1)}$$

(see [10, 2.3]). As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, there are  $d_i^{(2)} \in \text{Her}(a_{i+1})$  with  $d_i^{(2)} \geq 0$ ,  $\|d_i^{(2)}\| = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t(1)$ ,  $d_{t(1)+1}^{(2)} \in \text{Her}(f_{1/4}(d_1^{(1)}))$  with  $d_{t(1)+1}^{(2)} \leq 0$ ,  $\|d_{t(1)+1}^{(2)}\| = 1$ , and  $u_i^{(2)} \in A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i^{(2)})^* (u_i^{(2)}) &= f_{1/8}(d_1^{(2)}), \\ (u_i^{(2)})(u_i^{(2)})^* &= f_{1/8}(d_i^{(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, t(1) + 1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} u_{j+t(1)}^{(2)} &= u_j^{(1)} u_{t(1)+1}^{(2)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, q(1), \\ p_i^{(2)} &= \chi_{1/4}(d_i^{(2)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t(1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i^{(2)} p_1^{(2)})^* (u_i^{(2)} p_1^{(2)}) &= p_1^{(2)}, \\ (u_i^{(2)} p_1^{(2)})(u_i^{(2)} p_1^{(2)})^* &= p_i^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, t(1) + 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (u_j^{(2)} p_1^{(2)})^* (u_j^{(2)} p_1^{(2)}) &= p_1^{(2)} (u_{t(1)+1}^{(2)})^* (u_{j-t(1)}^{(2)})^* (u_{j-t(1)}^{(2)}) (u_{t(1)+1}^{(2)}) p_1^{(2)} = p_1^{(2)}, \\ (u_j^{(2)} p_1^{(2)})(u_j^{(2)} p_1^{(2)})^* &= p_j^{(2)} \leq p_{j-t(1)}^{(1)}, \quad j = t(1) + 2, \dots, r(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_j^{(2)}$  are closed projections. Let  $e'_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{r(1)} p_j^{(2)}$ ; then  $e'_2 p_{j-t(1)}^{(1)} = p_{j-t(1)}^{(1)} e'_2 = p_j^{(2)}$ ,  $j = t(1) + 2, \dots, r(1)$ ,  $e'_2 e'_1 = e'_1 e'_2 = \sum_{j=t(1)+2}^{r(1)} p_j^{(2)}$  and  $e'_2$  commutes with each element of  $B_2$ , where  $B_2$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{B_1, u_i^{(2)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, t(1) + 1, e_{t(1)+1} - e_1\}$ . It is a routine exercise to check that  $e'_2 B_2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{r(1)}$ . Moreover,  $e'_2 B_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{q(1)}$ . If we identify  $e'_2 B_2$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{r(1)}$ , and  $e'_2 B_1$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{q(1)}$ , then the isomorphism  $e'_1 B_1 \rightarrow e'_2 e'_1 B_1 = e'_2 B_1$  gives the homomorphism  $f_{q(1)r(1)}$  from  $\mathcal{M}_{q(1)}$  into  $\mathcal{M}_{r(1)}$ , and we may write  $e'_1 B_1 \xrightarrow{f_{q(1)r(1)}} e'_2 B_2$ .

We assume that there are  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m, \dots$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $B_{2n}$  is generated by  $\{B_{2n-1}, e_{\sum_{i=1}^n t(i)+1}, u_i^{(2n)}, i = 2, 3, \dots, t(n) + 1\}$ ;
- (2) there are  $d_i^{(2n)} \geq 0, \|d_i^{(2n)}\| = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n) + 1$ , such that

$$d_i^{(2n)} \in \text{Her}(a_{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t(j)+1+i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n),$$

$$d_{t(n)+1}^{(2n)} \in \text{Her}(f_{1/2}(d_1^{(2n-1)})),$$

$$(u_i^{(2n)})^*(u_i^{(2n)}) = f_{1/8}(d_1^{(2n)}),$$

$$(u_i^{(2n)})(u_i^{(2n)})^* = f_{1/8}(d_i^{(2n)}),$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, t(n) + 1$ ;

- (3) if we set  $u_{j+t(n)}^{(2n)} = u_j^{(2n-1)}u_{t(n)+1}^{(2n)}, j = 2, 3, \dots, q(n)$  and  $p_i^{(2n)} = \chi_{1/4}(d_i^{(2n)}), i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n) + 1$ , then

$$(u_i^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)})^*(u_i^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)}) = p_1^{(2n)},$$

$$(u_i^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)})(u_i^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)})^* = p_i^{(2n)},$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, t(n) + 1$ , and

$$(u_j^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)})^*(u_j^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)}) = p_1^{(2n)},$$

$$(u_j^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)})(u_j^{(2n)}p_1^{(2n)})^* = p_j^{(2n)}$$

where  $p_j^{(2n)} \leq p_{j-q(2)}^{(2n-1)}$  are closed projections,  $j = t(n) + 2, \dots, r(n)$ , and  $p_1^{(2n)} \leq p_1^{(2n-1)}$ ;

- (4) if we set  $e'_{2n} = \sum_{j=1}^{r(n)} p_j^{(2n)}$  then  $e'_{2n}e'_{2n-1} = e'_{2n-1}e'_{2n} = \sum_{j=t(n)+1}^{r(n)} p_j^{(2n)}$  and  $e'_{2n}$  commutes with each element of  $B_{2n}$ ,  $e'_{2n}B_{2n}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{r(n)}$  and  $e'_{2n}B_{2n-1} = e'_{2n}e'_{2n-1}B_{2n-1}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{q(n)}$ ;
- (5) if we identify  $e'_{2n}B_{2n}$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{r(n)}$  and  $e'_{2n-1}B_{2n-1}$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{q(n)}$ , the isomorphism  $e'_{2n-1}B_{2n-1}$  to  $e'_{2n}B_{2n}$  given by  $x \rightarrow e'_{2n}x$  ( $x \in e'_{2n-1}B_{2n-1}$ ) gives the homomorphism:

$$\mathcal{M}_{q(n)} \xrightarrow{f_{q(n)r(n)}} \mathcal{M}_{r(n)};$$

- (6)  $B_{2n+1}$  is generated by  $\{B_{2n}, u_i^{(2n+1)}, i = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)\}$ ;
- (7)  $u_i^{(2n+1)} \in \text{Her}(f_{1/2}(d_1^{(2n)}))$   $i = 2, \dots, s(n)$ , and there are

$$d_i^{(2n+1)} \in \text{Her}(f_{1/2}(d_1^{(2n)})),$$

$$d_i^{(2n+1)} \geq 0, \|d_i^{(2n+1)}\| = 1, d_i^{(2n+1)} \perp d_j^{(2n+1)},$$

$i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, s(n)$ , such that

$$(u_i^{(2n+1)})^*(u_i^{(2n+1)}) = f_{1/8}(d_1^{(2n+1)}),$$

$$(u_i^{(2n+1)})(u_i^{(2n+1)})^* = f_{1/8}(d_i^{(2n+1)}),$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, 2(n)$ ;

(8) if we set

$$u_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(2n+1)} = u_k^{(2n)} u_j^{(2n-1)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, s(n), k = 2, 3, \dots, s(n),$$

$$p_i^{(2n+1)} = \chi_{1/4}(d_i^{(2n+1)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s(n),$$

then

$$(u_i^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)})^* (u_i^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)}) = p_1^{(2n+1)},$$

$$(u_i^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)}) (u_i^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)})^* = p_i^{(2n+1)},$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)$ , and

$$(u_j^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)})^* (u_j^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)}) = p_1^{(2n+1)},$$

$$(u_j^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)}) (u_j^{(2n+1)} p_1^{(2n+1)})^* = p_j^{(2n+1)},$$

$j = s(n) + 1, \dots, q(n + 1)$ , where  $p_j^{(2n+1)}$  are closed projections;

- (9) if we set  $e'_{2n+1} = \sum p_j^{(2n+1)}$ , then  $e'_{2n+1} e'_{2n} = e'_{2n} e'_{2n+1} = e'_{2n+1}$ ,  $e'_{2n+1}$  commutes with each element of  $B_{2n+1}$ . Moreover,  $e'_{2n+1} B_{2n+1}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{q(n+1)}$  and  $e'_{2n+1} B_{2n}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{r(n)}$ .
- (10) if we identify  $e'_{2n+1} B_{2n+1}$  with  $M_{q(n+1)}$  and  $e'_{2n} B_{2n}$  with  $M_{r(n)}$ , then the isomorphism from  $e'_{2n} B_{2n}$  to  $e'_{2n+1} B_{2n}$  given by  $x \rightarrow e'_{2n+1} x, x \in e'_{2n} B_{2n}$ , gives the homomorphism:

$$e'_{2n} B_{2n} \xrightarrow{g_{r(n)q(n+1)}} e'_{2n+1} B_{2n}.$$

If  $m = 2n$ , then, as in Lemma 2.5, there are  $d_1^{(m+1)}, d_2^{(m+1)}, \dots, d_{s(n)}^{(m+1)}$  and

$$u_i^{(m+1)} \in \text{Her}(f_{1/2}(d_i^{(m)})),$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)$ , such that  $d_i^{(m+1)} \geq 0, \|d_i^{(m+1)}\| = 1, d_i^{(m+1)} \perp d_j^{(m+1)}$  if  $i \neq j$  and

$$(u_i^{(m+1)})^* (u_i^{(m+1)}) = f_{1/8}(d_i^{(m+1)}),$$

$$(u_i^{(m+1)}) (u_i^{(m+1)})^* = f_{1/8}(d_i^{(m+1)}),$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, s(b)$ . Set  $B_{m+1}$  equal to the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by

$$\{B_m, u_i^{(m+1)}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)\}$$

and

$$p_i^{(m+1)} = \chi_{1/4}(d_i^{(m+1)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s(n),$$

$$u_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)} = u_k^{(m)} u_j^{(m+1)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, s(n), k = 2, 3, \dots, s(n).$$

Then

$$(u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)})^* (u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) = p_1^{(m+1)},$$

$$(u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) (u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)})^* = p_i^{(m+1)},$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(u_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}\right)^* \left(u_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}\right) \\ &= p_1^{(m+1)} \left(u_j^{(m+1)}\right)^* \left(u_k^{(m)}\right)^* \left(u_k^{(m)}\right) \left(u_j^{(m+1)}\right) p_1^{(m+1)} \\ &= p_1^{(m+1)}, \\ & \left(u_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}\right) \left(u_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}\right)^* \\ &= u_k^{(m)} u_j^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)} \left(u_j^{(m+1)}\right)^* \left(u_k^{(m)}\right)^* \\ &= u_k^{(m)} u_j^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)} \left(u_j^{(m+1)}\right)^* \left(u_k^{(m)}\right)^* \\ &= p_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$j = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots, s(n)$ , where  $p_{j+(k-1)r(n)}^{(m+1)}$  are closed projections. If we set  $e'_{m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{q(n+1)} p_j^{(m+1)}$ , then  $e'_{m+1} e'_m = e'_m e'_{m+1} = e'_{m+1}$  and  $e'_{m+1}$  commutes with each element in  $B_{m+1}$ . It is a routine exercise to check that  $e'_{m+1} B_{m+1}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{q(n+1)}$ . Moreover, if we define a map  $\varphi$  from  $e'_m B_m$  onto  $e'_{m+1} B_m$  by  $\varphi(x) = e'_{m+1} x$  then the map is an isomorphism. If we identify  $e'_{m+1} B_{m+1}$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{q(n+1)}$  and  $e'_m B_m$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{r(n)}$ , the map  $\varphi$  gives the homomorphism:

$$e'_m B_m \xrightarrow{g_{r(n)q(n+1)}} e'_{m+1} B_{m+1}.$$

If  $m = 2n - 1$ , repeated application of [10, 1.8] shows that there are elements  $d'_i \in \text{Her}\left(a_{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t(j)+1+i}\right)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n)$ , such that

$$f_{1/2}(d_1^{(m)}) \gtrsim d'_2 \gtrsim d'_2 \gtrsim \dots \gtrsim d'_{t(n)}$$

(see [10, 2.3]). As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, there are

$$\begin{aligned} d_i^{(m+1)} &\in \text{Her}\left(a_{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(j)+1+i}\right), \\ d_{t(n)+1}^{(m+1)} &\in \text{Her}\left(f_{1/2}(d_1^{(m)})\right), \end{aligned}$$

$d_i^{(m+1)} \geq 0$ ,  $\|d_i^{(m+1)}\| = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n) + 1$ , and  $u_i^{(m+1)} \in A$  such that

$$\left(u_i^{(m+1)}\right)^* \left(u_i^{(m+1)}\right) = f_{1/8}(d_1^{(m+1)})$$

and

$$\left(u_i^{(m+1)}\right) \left(u_i^{(m+1)}\right)^* = f_{1/8}(d_i^{(m+1)}),$$

$i = 2, 3, \dots, t(n) + 1$ .

Set

$$u_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)} = u_j^{(m)} u_{t(n)+1}^{(m+1)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, q(n),$$

and

$$p_i^{(m+1)} = \chi_{1/4}(d_i^{(m+1)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n) + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)})^* (u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) &= p_1^{(m+1)}, \\ (u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) (u_i^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)})^* &= p_i^{(m+1)}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, t(n) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)})^* (u_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) \\ &= p_1^{(m+1)} (u_{t(n)+1}^{(m+1)})^* (u_j^{(m)})^* (u_j^{(m)}) (u_{t(n)+1}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) \\ &= p_1^{(m+1)}, \\ &(u_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)}) (u_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)})^* \\ &= u_j^{(m)} u_{t(n)+1}^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)} p_1^{(m+1)} (u_{t(n)+1}^{(m+1)})^* (u_j^{(m)})^* \\ &= p_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, q(n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)}$  are closed projections and  $p_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)} \leq p_j^{(m)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q(n)$ .

Set  $e'_{m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{r(n)} p_j^{(m+1)}$ ; then

$$e'_{m+1} p_j^{(m)} = p_j^{(m)} e'_{m+1} = p_{j+t(n)}^{(m+1)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q(n),$$

$$e'_{m+1} e'_m = e'_m e'_{m+1} = \sum_{j=t(1)+1}^{r(1)} p_j^{(m+1)}$$

and  $e'_{m+1}$  commutes with each element in  $B_{m+1}$ , where  $B_{m+1}$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by

$$\{B_m, u_i^{(m+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t(n) + 1, \quad e_{\sum_{j=1}^n t(j)+1} - e_1\}.$$

It is a routine exercise to check that  $e'_{m+1} B_{m+1}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{r(n)}$ . Moreover, if we define a map  $\varphi$  from  $e'_m B_m$  onto  $e'_{m+1} B_m$  by  $\varphi(x) = e'_{m+1} x$  then the map is an isomorphism. If we identify  $e'_{m+1} B_{m+1}$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{r(n)}$  and  $e'_m B_m$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{q(n)}$ , the map  $\varphi$  gives the homomorphism:

$$e'_m B_m \xrightarrow{f_{q(n)r(n)}} e'_{m+1} B_{m+1}.$$

For fixed  $n$ ,  $\{e'_n e'_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed projections ( $m \geq n$ ). So  $\{e'_n e'_m\}$  converges strongly to a positive element  $q_m$  in  $A^{**}$ . Hence  $q_m$  is an upper semi-continuous function on the quasi-state space of  $A$  (see [20, 3.11]). By a standard compactness argument,  $q_m \neq 0$ , and hence  $q_m$  is a nonzero projection in  $A^{**}$ . Now  $\{q_m\}$  is an increasing sequence of projections, and so  $q_m \nearrow q$  for some nonzero projection  $q$  in  $A^{**}$ . Furthermore,  $e'_m \rightarrow q$  strongly.

Since  $e'_m$  commutes with every element in  $B_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we conclude that  $q$  commutes with every element of  $B_m$ . It is then easy to see that  $qB_m$  is isomorphic to  $e'_m B_m$ . If  $B$  denotes the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{B_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , then  $q$  commutes each element of  $B$ . So there is a homomorphism from  $B$  onto  $qB$ . By the construction of  $\{B_m\}$ , it is easily checked that  $qB$  is the norm closure of the following inductive limit:

$$qB_1 \xrightarrow{f_{q(1)r(1)}} qB_2 \xrightarrow{g_{r(1)q(2)}} qB_3 \xrightarrow{f_{q(2)r(2)}} qB_4 \xrightarrow{g_{r(2)q(3)}} qB_5 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Therefore  $qB$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^n t(k) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $e_{\sum_{k=1}^n t(k)+1} \in B$ ,  $B$  is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . This completes the proof. ■

We also have the following:

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a unital and non-elementary simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for any unital matroid  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B$  has a quotient which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

2.8. Real rank of a  $C^*$ -algebra has been defined by L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen in [5]. A  $C^*$ -algebra is said to have real rank zero if the invertible selfadjoint elements are norm dense in  $A_{s.a.}$ . A  $C^*$ -algebra has real rank zero if and only if the elements in  $A_{s.a.}$  with finite spectra are dense in  $A_{s.a.}$ , and if and only if  $A$  has (HP), i.e. every hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  has an approximate identity consisting of projections (see [5, 2.6]). Trivial examples of  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero are von Neumann algebras and AF  $C^*$ -algebras.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero and stable rank one. If  $K_0(A)$  is unperforated, then there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B$  is an AF  $C^*$ -algebra with  $K_0(B) = K_0(A)$ . Moreover, for every projection  $p$  in  $A$ , there is a projection  $q$  in  $B$  such that  $p$  is equivalent (in the sense of Murray and von Neumann) to  $q$ .*

**PROOF.**  $K_0(A)$  is a countable, unperforated ordered group. It follows from [2, 6.5.1] and [24, 1.6] that  $K_0(A)$  has the Riesz interpolation property (see [15, A3.1]). Therefore,  $K_0(A)$  is a dimension group ([15, 3.1]). In other words,

$$K_0(A) = \varinjlim \{ \mathbb{Z}^{(r_n)}, \varphi_n \}.$$

Suppose that  $\{e_n\}$  is an approximate identity for  $A$  consisting of projections. Set

$$p_1 = e_1, \quad p_n = e_n - e_{n-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

If  $A$  is unital, we assume that  $p_1 = 1, p_n = 0$  if  $n > 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $[p_1] \in \mathbb{Z}^{(r_1)}$  and  $[p_1] = (k(1), k(2), \dots, k(r_1))$ , where  $k(i)$  is a nonzero integer. Suppose that  $[q_1] = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then  $[q_1] \leq [p_1]$ . Since  $A$  has cancellation (see [2, 6.5.1]),  $p_1 \succ \sim q_1$  (in the sense of Murray and von Neumann). Therefore there is a projection  $q_{1,1}^{(1)} \leq p_1$  such that

$$q_{1,1}^{(1)} \in [q_{1,1}^{(1)}] \text{ and } [p_1 - q_{1,1}^{(1)}] = (k(1) - 1, k(2), \dots, k(r_1)).$$

Recursively, we can construct projections

$$q_{ij}^{(1)} \leq p_1,$$

$1 \leq j \leq k(i), i = 1, 2, \dots, r(1)$ , such that

$$q_{i'j'}^{(1)} \perp q_{ij}^{(1)} \text{ if } i \neq i' \text{ or } j \neq j',$$

$$q_{ij}^{(1)} \sim q_{i'j'}^{(1)} \text{ and } [q_{ij}^{(1)}] = \overbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}^i.$$

Moreover,  $\sum_{ij} q_{ij}^{(1)} = p_1$ . Let  $v_{ij}^{(1)}$  be partial isometries in  $A$  such that  $(v_{ij}^{(1)})^*(v_{ij}^{(1)}) = q_{i,1}^{(1)}$  and  $(v_{ij}^{(1)})(v_{ij}^{(1)})^* = q_{ij}^{(1)}$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq k(i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r_1$ .

It is routine to check that the  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B_1$  generated by  $\{v_{ij}^{(1)}, 2 \leq j \leq k(i), i = 1, 2, \dots, r_1\}$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{M}_{k(1)} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k(r_1)},$$

and  $K_0(B_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(r_1)}$ . We may assume that  $[e_2] = [p_1 + p_2] = [p_1] + [p_2] \in \mathbb{Z}^{(r_2)}$  and

$$[p_1 + p_2] = (m(1), m(2), \dots, m(r_2)).$$

Suppose that, in  $\mathbb{Z}^{(r_2)}$ ,

$$[q_{1,1}^{(1)}] = (k_{11}, k_{21}, \dots, k_{s(1),1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Repeating the above argument, we can construct projections  $q_{ij}^{(2)} \leq q_{1,1}^{(1)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k(i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s(1)$ , such that

$$q_{i'j'}^{(2)} \perp q_{ij}^{(2)} \text{ if } i \neq i' \text{ or } j \neq j',$$

$$q_{ij}^{(2)} \sim q_{i'j'}^{(2)} \text{ and } [q_{ij}^{(2)}] = \overbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}^i.$$

Let us do the same for each  $q_{ij}^{(1)}$ . If  $[p_2 - p_1] = (s(1), s(2), \dots, s(r_2))$  (some of  $s(i)$  may be zero.) Let us add  $s(i)$  orthogonal but equivalent projections  $q_{ij}^{(2)}$  in  $(p_2 - p_1)A(p_2 - p_1)$  for each  $i$ . Suppose that  $v_{ij}^{(2)}$  are partial isometries in  $A$  such that  $(v_{ij}^{(2)})^*(v_{ij}^{(2)}) = q_{i,1}^{(2)}$  and  $(v_{ij}^{(2)})(v_{ij}^{(2)})^* = q_{ij}^{(2)}$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m(i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r_2$ .

The  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B_2$  generated by  $\{v_{ij}^{(2)}, 2 \leq j \leq m(i), i = 1, 2, \dots, r_2\}$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{M}_{m(1)} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{m(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{m(r_2)},$$

$$B_1 \subset B_2,$$

and  $K_0(B_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(r_2)}$ .

Continuing this way, we get a sequence of  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset B_n \subset B_{n+1} \subset \dots$  such that

$$B_n \cong \mathcal{M}_{m^{(n)}(1)} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{m^{(n)}(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{m^{(n)}(r_n)}$$

for some integers  $m^{(n)}(i), i = 1, 2, \dots, r_n, K_0(B_n) = \mathbb{Z}^{(r_n)}$ , and the embedding:  $B_n \rightarrow B_{n+1}$  gives a homomorphism:

$$\mathbb{Z}^{(r_n)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} \mathbb{Z}^{r_{n+1}}.$$

Let  $B$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{\cup_{n=1}^\infty B_n\}$ . Then  $B$  is an AF  $C^*$ -algebra and  $K_0(B) \cong \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}^{(r_n)}$ . Since  $e_n \in B$ ,  $B$  is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . If  $p$  is a projection in  $A$ , we may assume that  $[p] \in \mathbb{Z}^{(r_n)}$ . Therefore there is  $q \in B_n \subset B$  such that  $p$  is equivalent to  $q$  (in the sense of Murray and von Neumann). ■

REMARK 2.10. Separable AF  $C^*$ -algebras have real rank zero, stable rank one and unperforated  $K_0(A)$ . Theorem 2.9 shows that separable  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero, stable rank one and unperforated  $K_0(A)$  are somewhat similar to separable AF  $C^*$ -algebras. However, a recent result of M. D. Choi and G. A. Elliott ([7]) provides examples (namely, irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebras) of simple  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero, stable rank one and unperforated  $K_0(A)$  which are not approximate finite-dimensional. (Note these simple  $C^*$ -algebras have cancellation [23]. Hence, by [2, 6.5.7], they have stable rank one.) The author would like to raise the following question:

*Are separable nuclear (simple)  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero, stable rank one, unperforated  $K_0$ -groups and trivial  $K_1$ -flows (see [26]) approximate finite dimensional?*

### 3. Applications.

3.1. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and denote by  $A^{**}$  its enveloping von Neumann algebra. An element  $x$  in  $A^{**}$  is a multiplier if  $xa$  and  $ax$  are in  $A$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $x$  is a left multiplier if  $xa$  is in  $A$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $x$  is a right multiplier if  $ax$  is in  $A$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ , and  $x$  is a quasi-multiplier if  $axb$  is in  $A$  for all  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A$ . We denote the collections of multipliers, left multipliers, right multipliers and quasi-multipliers by  $M(A)$ ,  $LM(A)$ ,  $RM(A)$  and  $QM(A)$  respectively. If  $B$  is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , then  $M(B) \subset M(A)$ ,  $LM(B) \subset LM(A)$ ,  $RM(B) \subset RM(A)$  and  $QM(B) \subset QM(A)$  (see [19, 3.7]). (It should be noted that the above inclusions do not hold if  $B$  is merely a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ .) Therefore the results in §2 may help us to determine the structure of  $M(A)$ ,  $LM(A)$ ,  $RM(A)$  and  $QM(A)$ .

It is easy to see that  $LM(A) + RM(A) \subset QM(A)$ . The question whether  $LM(A) + RM(A) = QM(A)$  was raised in [1]. The problem has been studied in [4], [19], [20], [21], among other articles. In this section we will give applications of the results in §2 to this problem.

Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra is scattered if it is type I and has scattered spectrum  $\hat{A}$  (see [16]). Let  $X$  be a scattered topological space. Define  $X_{[0]} = X$ ,  $X_{[1]} = X \setminus \{\text{isolated point of } X\}$ . If  $X_{[\alpha]}$  is defined for some ordinal number  $\alpha$ , define  $X_{[\alpha+1]} = X \setminus \{\text{isolated points in } X\}$ ; if  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, define  $X_{[\beta]} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} X_{[\alpha]}$ . We set  $\lambda(X) = \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that  $X_{[\alpha]}$  is discrete.

The following is a generalization of [19, Theorem 6.3] (see [20, Theorem 3] also).

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with a scaling approximate identity and  $B$  a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $QM(B \otimes A) = LM(B \otimes A) + RM(B \otimes A)$  implies that  $B$  is scattered and  $\lambda(B) < \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** It follows from 2.4 that there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A_0$  of  $A$  such that there is a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $A_0$  onto  $\mathcal{K}$ . Thus  $B \otimes A_0$  is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B \otimes A$  and there is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(B \otimes A_0) = B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . By [19, 3.1], if  $QM(B \otimes A) = LM(B \otimes A) + RM(B \otimes A)$ , then  $QM(B \otimes A_0) = LM(B \otimes A_0) + RM(B \otimes A_0)$ . It follows from [19, 4.13] that if  $QM(B \otimes K) = LM(B \otimes K) + RM(B \otimes K)$ , then, by [19, 6.3] (note that the “only if” part of [19, 6.3] works for  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebras),  $B$  is scattered and  $\lambda(B) < \infty$ . ■



**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $QM(A) = LM(A) + RM(A)$  if and only if  $A$  is elementary or  $A$  is unital.*

**PROOF.** Only the “only if” part needs a proof. Assume that  $A$  is non-unital and non-elementary. Take a non-elementary stable matroid  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . By Theorem 2.6, there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B$  has a quotient which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $QM(A) = LM(A) + RM(A)$ , then, by [10, 3.1],  $QM(B) = LM(B) + RM(B)$ . Therefore, by [19, 4.3],  $QM(\mathcal{M}) = LM(\mathcal{M}) + RM(\mathcal{M})$ . This contradicts Theorem 6.3 in [19], since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a stable matroid  $C^*$ -algebra. ■

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  a  $\sigma$ -unital, non-unital and non-elementary simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then*

$$QM(A \otimes B) \neq LM(A \otimes B) + RM(A \otimes B).$$

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a non-elementary matroid  $C^*$ -algebra. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that there is a skeleton  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B_0$  of  $B$  such that  $B_0$  has a quotient which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . Therefore  $A \otimes B$  has a skeleton  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \otimes B_0$  with a quotient isomorphic to  $A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . The conclusion then follows from the proof of 3.3. ■

3.2. L. G. Brown in [4] showed the connection between the problem of whether  $QM(A) = LM(A) + RM(A)$  and the problem of perturbations of  $C^*$ -algebras. Perturbations of  $C^*$ -algebras have been studied in several different ways (see [6], [8], [9], [17] and [18]). One of them is to ask whether an almost isometric ( $\|\varphi\| - 1$  and  $\|\varphi^{-1}\| - 1$  are small) complete order automorphism  $\varphi$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra is close to an isometry.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *If  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital, non-elementary simple  $C^*$ -algebra without identity, then there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  of complete order automorphisms of  $A$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n\| &= 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n^{-1}\| &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\inf\{\|\theta - \varphi_n\| : n = 1, 2, \dots, \theta \text{ automorphisms of } A\} > 0.$$

**PROOF.** By Theorem 3.3,  $QM(A) \neq LM(A) + RM(A)$ , and so Theorem 7 in [20] applies. ■

**ADDED IN PROOF.** N. C. Phillips pointed out to us that there are examples of separable  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero, stable rank one, unperforated  $K_0$ -groups and trivial  $K_1$ -flows but not nuclear and so not  $AF$ . Thanks to his remark, we now add the condition of nuclearity to the original question in Remark 2.10.

## REFERENCES

1. C. A. Akemann and G. K. Pedersen, *Complications of semi-continuity in  $C^*$ -algebra theory*, Duke Math. J. **40**(1973), 785–795.
2. B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1986.
3. B. Blackadar and D. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Functional Anal. **45**(1982), 297–340.
4. L. G. Brown, *Close hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras and the structure of quasi-multipliers*, to appear.
5. L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero*, preprint.
6. M.-D. Choi and E. Christensen, *Completely order isomorphic and close  $C^*$ -algebras need not be  $*$ -isomorphic*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **57**(1983), 604–610.
7. M.-D. Choi and G. A. Elliott, *Density of the self-adjoint elements with finite spectrum in an irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra*, preprint.
8. E. Christensen, *Perturbations of operator algebras*, Invent. Math. J. **43**(1977), 1–13.
9. ———, *Near inclusions of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta Math. **144**(1980), 249–265.
10. J. Cuntz, *The structure of addition and multiplication in simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Scand. **40**(1977), 215–233.
11. ———, *Dimension functions on simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Ann. **233**(1978), 145–153.
12. ———, *The internal structure of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, in “Operator Algebras and Applications”, ed. R. V. Kadison, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 38, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1981, 85–115.
13. J. Cuntz and G. K. Pedersen, *Equivalence and traces on  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Functional Anal. **33**(1979), 135–164.
14. J. Dixmier, *On some  $C^*$ -algebras considered by Glimm*, J. Functional Anal. **1**(1967), 182–203.
15. E. Effros, *Dimensions and  $C^*$ -algebras*. CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., **46** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1981.
16. H. E. Jensen, *Scattered  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Scand. **41**(1977), 308–314.
17. B. E. Johnson, *Perturbations of Banach algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **34**(1977), 439–458.
18. ———, *A counterexample in the perturbation theory of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. **25**(1982), 311–316.
19. H. Lin, *The structure of quasi-multipliers of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315**(1989), 147–172.
20. ———, *Fundamental approximate identities and quasi-multipliers of simple AF  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Functional Anal. **79**(1988), 32–43.
21. ———, *The multipliers and quasi-multipliers of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, preprint.
22. G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and their automorphism groups*. Academic Press, New York, London, 1979.
23. M. Rieffel, *The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **47**(1983), 285–302.
24. S. Zhang, *Riesz decomposition property and ideal structure of multiplier algebras*, J. Operator Theory, to appear.
25. ———, *Certain  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero and their corona and multiplier algebras III*, Canad. J. Math., to appear.
26. ———, *Trivial  $K_1$ -flow of AF-algebras and finite von Neumann algebras*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics  
 East China Normal University  
 Shanghai 200062, China