# WEAKLY SEMI-SIMPLE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS

### W. EDWIN CLARK

Let A be a finite-dimensional (associative) algebra over an arbitrary field F. We shall say that a semi-group S is a *translate* of A if there exist an algebra B over F and an epimorphism  $\phi: B \to F$  such that  $A = 0\phi^{-1}$  and  $S = 1\phi^{-1}$ . It is shown in (2) that any such semi-group S has a kernel (defined below) that is completely simple in the sense of Rees. Following Stefan Schwarz (4). we define the radical R(S) of S to be the union of all ideals I of S such that some power  $I^n$  of I lies in the kernel K of S. First we prove that the radical of a translate of A is a translate of the radical of A. It follows that A is nilpotent if and only if it has a translate S such that R(S) = S. We then investigate the opposite extreme, i.e., the case in which R(S) = K. If R(S) = K, we shall say that S is K-semi-simple. We declare that A is weakly semi-simple if some translate S of A is K-semi-simple. It is shown that A is weakly semi-simple if and only if fAf is semi-simple for some (hence every) principal idempotent f in A; equivalently,  $A = fAf \oplus R(A)$  (as vector spaces) where R(A) is the radical of A. This result enables us to give a characterization without the use of idempotents of the algebras of class Q studied by R. M. Thrall in (5).

## 1. Preliminaries.

1.1. A non-empty subset I of a semi-group S is said to be an *ideal* of S if  $SI \cup IS \subset I$ . The intersection K of all ideals of S, if not empty, is a minimal ideal of S called the *kernel* of S. K is *completely simple* if it is a union of groups and has no proper ideals. For further information concerning completely simple semi-groups see (3).

1.2. Let S be a semi-group with kernel K. An ideal I of S is said to be Kpotent if some power  $I^n$  lies in K. The radical R(S) of S is the union of all K-potent ideals of S.

1.3. We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the basic theory of finite-dimensional algebras as expounded for example in (1). However, we wish to emphasize that by *ideal* of A where A is an algebra we shall mean as usual a subspace of the underlying vector space which is at the same time an "ideal" (in the sense of 1.1) of the multiplicative semi-group of A. On the other hand, when we speak of an *ideal* of a translate of A (see 1.5) we imply no more than the definition of 1.1.

Received February 22, 1965. This research was supported by the Ford Foundation.

It is easily shown that if S is the multiplicative semi-group of a finitedimensional algebra, then R(S) as defined in 1.2 coincides with the usual definition of the radical of an algebra. We shall accordingly denote the radical of an algebra A by R(A).

1.4. An idempotent e (possibly zero) in an algebra A is said to be a principal idempotent if  $u^2 = u$  and ue = eu = 0 together imply u = 0.

1.5. A semi-group S will be said to be a *translate* of an algebra A over F if there exists an algebra B over F and an epimorphism  $\phi: B \to F$  such that  $S = 1\phi^{-1}$  and  $A = 0\phi^{-1}$ . Alternatively, one may see that a semi-group S is a translate of A if there exists an algebra B containing A as an ideal such that S = A + x for some  $x \in B, x \notin A$ ; the multiplication in S is, of course, assumed to coincide with that in B Note that if S = A + x, then S = A + s for any  $s \in S$ .

1.6. We shall need the following facts from (2) concerning a translate S of a *finite-dimensional* algebra A over a field F:

(i) S has a completely simple kernel K.

(ii) Some power of every element of S lies in a subgroup of S.

(iii) If  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in F$  are such that  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , then  $\sum \alpha_i s_i \in S$  for any  $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ .

(iv) The kernel K of S is not in general a linear variety (i.e., a translate of a subspace of A). However, if we let M(K) be the smallest linear variety containing K, then M(K) is a K-potent ideal.

(v) Let  $\Gamma$  be a faithful representation of the algebra *B* as an algebra of matrices. Then all elements of  $\Gamma(K)$  have the same rank *k*. Moreover, an element *s* of *S* lies in *K* if and only if the rank of  $\Gamma(s)$  is *k*.

(vi) Let  $e^2 = e \in K$ . Then (2, 1.7, 1.8, and 2.4) imply that eM(K)e - e and (1 - e)M(K)(1 - e) are both nilpotent subalgebras of A = S - e, where by (1 - e)m(1 - e) we mean m - em - me + eme.

## 2. The radical of a translate of an algebra.

2.0. LEMMA. Let S be a translate of a finite-dimensional algebra A, and let K be the kernel of S. Then, if  $e^2 = e \in K$ ,

$$M(K) - M(K) = M(K) - e$$

is a nilpotent ideal of A.

*Proof.* Since M(K) is a linear variety (see 1.6 (iv)), it is clear that M(K) - x = M(K) - y for any  $x, y \in M(K)$ . Thus

$$M(K) - e = M(K) - M(K)$$

for  $e = e^2 \in K \subseteq M(K)$ .

To see that M(K) - e is a left ideal, note that

$$A(M(K) - e) = (S - e)(M(K) - e) = SM(K) - eM(K) - M(K)e + e \subseteq M(K) - M(K) - M(K) + e.$$

Now since M(K) is a linear variety, M(K) + M(K) - M(K) = M(K), whence

$$A(M(K) - e) \subseteq e - M(K) = M(K) - e.$$

Similarly, M(K) - e is a right ideal of A.

Now let M = M(K) - e. It is easily seen that

(1) 
$$M = eMe + eM(1-e) + (1-e)Me + (1-e)M(1-e)$$

is a direct sum decomposition of M as a vector space. Since M(K) is an ideal of S as well as a linear variety, each summand of (1) is indeed contained in M. By 1.6 (vi), eMe = eM(K)e - e and

$$(1 - e)M(1 - e) = (1 - e)M(K)(1 - e)$$

are both nilpotent. Since (1-e)M(1-e) is nilpotent, it follows that M(1-e) and (1-e)M are both nilpotent ideals of M and therefore contained in the radical R(M) of M. Now clearly the last three summands of (1) are contained in M(1-e) + (1-e)M and hence lie in the radical. This together with the fact that eMe is nilpotent implies that R(M) = M, i.e., M is nilpotent.

2.1. LEMMA. Let S, K, and A be as in 2.0. If I is a K-potent ideal of S, then  $I - x \subseteq R(A)$  for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* Since K is the minimal ideal of S, we have  $K \subseteq I$ . We may assume without loss of generality that I = M(I), the smallest linear variety containing I. For since

$$M(I) = \{ \sum \alpha_i s_i \colon \sum \alpha_i = 1 \text{ and } s_i \in I \},\$$

it is clear that  $M(I)^n \subseteq M(K)$  if  $I^n \subseteq K$ ; then since M(K) is K-potent (1.6 (iv)), it follows that M(I) is also K-potent.

Since  $K \subseteq I$ , we have  $M(K) \subseteq M(I) = I$ . Let  $e = e^2 \in K$ ; then

$$I - e = I - x$$

for any  $x \in I$  since I is a linear variety. Now  $A(I-e) = (S-e)(I-e) \subseteq SI - eI - Ie + e \subseteq (I-I-I) + e$   $= e - (I+I-I) \subseteq e - I = I - e.$ 

Hence  $A(I - e) \subseteq (I - e)$  and I - e is a left ideal of A. Similarly, one may show that I - e is a right ideal.

Now to complete the proof we need only show that I - e is nilpotent. We first claim that

$$(I - e)^n = I^n - M(K)$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, ...,$ 

This is obvious for n = 1 since  $e \in M(K)$ . Suppose our claim holds for n = k; then

$$(I - e)^{k+1} = (I - e)(I - e)^{k}$$

$$\subseteq (I - e)(I^{k} - M(K))$$

$$\subseteq I^{k+1} - eI^{k} + eM(K) - IM(K)$$

$$\subseteq I^{k+1} - (M(K) - M(K) + M(K))$$

$$\subseteq I^{k+1} - M(K).$$

Now if  $I^n \subseteq K$ , then

$$(I-e)^n \subseteq I^n - M(K) \subseteq K - M(K) \subseteq M(K) - M(K) = M(K) - e$$

which by 2.0 is nilpotent. Consequently, I - e must also be nilpotent.

2.2. COROLLARY. Let S, A, and K be as in 2.0. Then  $R(S) \subseteq R(A) + e$  for any  $e = e^2 \in K$ .

*Proof.* By definition, R(S) is the union of all K-potent ideals I of S. By the preceding lemma,  $I \subseteq R(A) + e$  for any e in I; and since  $K \subset I$ , we may choose e to be any idempotent in K. Hence  $R(S) \subseteq R(A) + e$ .

2.3. LEMMA. Let S, A, and K be as in 2.0. Then R(A) + e is a K-potent ideal of S for any idempotent e in K.

Proof. Since 
$$S = A + e$$
,  
 $(R(A) + e)S = (R(A) + e)(A + e)$   
 $\subseteq R(A)e + eA + R(A)A + e$   
 $\subseteq Ae + eA + R(A) + e$ .

Hence, to show that R(A) + e is an ideal of S, it suffices to show that  $Ae \cup eA \subseteq R(A)$ . This follows immediately from 2.0, since

$$Ae + e = (A + e)e = Se \subset K$$

implying that

$$Ae \subseteq K - e \subseteq M(K) - e \subseteq R(A)$$

Similarly,  $eA \subseteq K - e \subseteq R(A)$ .

It remains to show that R(A) + e is K-potent. To do this, first we establish that

(2) 
$$M(K)A \cup AM(K) \subseteq M(K) - e.$$

If  $x \in M(K)$ , then  $x - e \in M(K) - e$ , which by 2.0 is an ideal of 4. Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $xa - ea = (x - e)a \in M(K) - e$ , and since by the first paragraph of this proof  $eA \subseteq M(K) - e$ , we obtain that  $xa \in M(K) - e$ . Thus  $xA \subseteq M(K) - e$ . Similarly  $Ax \subseteq M(K) - e$ , and (2) holds.

436

We now use (2) to obtain

(3) 
$$(R(A) + e)^n \subseteq R(A)^n + M(K), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Suppose this holds for n, then

$$(R(A) + e)^{n+1} = (R(A) + e)(R(A)^n + M(K))$$
$$\subseteq R(A)^{n+1} + eR(A)^n + R(A)M(K) + eM(K)$$
$$\subseteq R(A)^{n+1} + M(K)A + AM(K) + M(K)$$
$$\subseteq R(A)^{n+1} + M(K) - e + M(K)$$
$$\subseteq R(A)^{n+1} + M(K).$$

Thus (3) holds, and if *n* exceeds the index of nilpotency of R(A), we obtain  $(R(A) + e)^n \subseteq M(K)$ . Now since M(K) is K-potent, R(A) + e must be also.

2.4. THEOREM. Let S be a translate of a finite-dimensional algebra A, and let e be an idempotent in the kernel of S. Then

$$R(S) = R(A) + e.$$

*Proof.* By 2.3, R(A) + e is a K-potent ideal of S and is therefore contained in R(S). On the other hand, we know from 2.2 that  $R(S) \subseteq R(A) + e$ .

2.5. COROLLARY. If S is as above, then R(S) is a K-potent ideal of S and hence the unique maximal K-potent ideal of S.

2.6. COROLLARY. If S and A are as above, and if R(S) = S, then A is nilpotent.

## 3. Weakly semi-simple algebras.

3.0. If a translate S of the algebra A has a multiplicative zero, i.e., if  $K = \{z\}$ , then  $s \to s - z$  is an isomorphism from S onto the multiplicative semi-group of A. Hence the only translates of S that are of interest are those for which K is non-trivial. Corollary 2.6 above deals with the case R(S) = S. In this section, we single out for consideration those algebras that have translates S whose radical and kernel coincide. To this end, we shall say that a semi-group S with kernel K is K-semi-simple if R(S) = K; and that an algebra A is weakly semi-simple if it possesses a K-semi-simple translate.

3.1. LEMMA. Let S be a K-semi-simple translate of a finite-dimensional algebra. A. Let K be the kernel of S and e an idempotent in K. Then

(i) M(K) = K

and

(ii) x = ex + xe - exe for all  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* Since by 1.6 (iv) M(K) is K-potent, we have  $M(K) \subset R(S) = K$ ; whence K = M(K).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a faithful matrix representation of a super-algebra of A which

#### W. EDWIN CLARK

contains S as a translate of A, and let e be an idempotent in K. Now by choosing a suitable basis for the representation space, we may assume that

$$\Gamma(e) = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $I_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix. Now let  $x \in K$ . Since K = M(K), the element y = x - ex - xe + exe + e lies in K. Thus

$$\Gamma(y) = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0\\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma(K)$$

where C is some  $(n - k) \times (n - k)$  matrix; here n denotes the degree of the representation. By 1.6 (v), since y and e both lie in K, the rank of  $\Gamma(e)$  must equal the rank of  $\Gamma(y)$ . This implies that C = 0. Hence  $\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(e)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is faithful, this shows that y = e. This clearly implies (ii).

3.2. LEMMA. Let S, A, K, and e be as in 3.1. Then

$$R(A) = eA + Ae + eAe = eA + Ae.$$

*Proof.* We know from the first paragraph of the proof of 2.3 that eA and Ae are always contained in R(A). It follows that

$$eAe \subseteq eR(A) \subseteq eA \subseteq R(A).$$

Hence  $eAe + eA + Ae \subseteq R(A)$ .

Now let  $r \in R(A)$ . Since S is K-semi-simple, K = R(S); hence 2.4 implies that R(A) = K - e for some idempotent e in K. Thus r = x - e for  $x \in K$ . By 3.1 (ii), x = ex + xe - exe, and so

r = ex + xe - exe - e = e(x - e) + (x - e)e - e(x - e)e,

which is an element of eA + Ae + eAe since  $x - e \in S - e = A$ . This shows that R(A) = eA + Ae + eAe. Since eA is contained in A,  $eAe \subset Ae$  and therefore Ae + eA = Ae + eA + eAe.

3.3. LEMMA. Let A be a subalgebra of a finite-dimensional algebra B, and let e be an idempotent of B such that R(A) = eA + Ae. Then there exists a principal idempotent f in A such that fAf is semi-simple.

*Proof.* First, since  $eA \cup Ae \subseteq R(A)$ , we have

$$eAe \subseteq eR(A) \subseteq eA \subset R(A).$$

Whence R(A) = eA + Ae + eAe. It follows that if we let

$$A_0 = (1 - e)A(1 - e) = \{a - ea - ae + eae: a \in A\},\$$

we obtain that  $A = R(A) + A_0$  is a direct sum as vector spaces, i.e.,  $A_0$  is complementary to the radical of A. It follows that  $A_0$  must be semi-simple. Since  $A_0$  is semi-simple, it contains an identity, say f. Now

$$fAf = f(eA + Ae + A_0)f = fA_0f = A_0,$$

438

since  $f \in (1 - e)A(1 - e)$  implies fe = ef = 0. To see that f is principal in A, let  $g^2 = g \in A$  such that fg = gf = 0. Then g = r + a where  $a \in A_0$  and  $r \in R(A)$ , whence 0 = fgf = faf = a. This implies that g = r, and therefore g = 0 since R(A) contains no non-zero idempotents.

3.4. LEMMA. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra such that A = fAf + R(A)is a direct sum as vector spaces for some idempotent f in A. Then every principal idempotent in A is of the form f + n where  $n \in R(A)$  and n = nf + fn + nfn.

*Proof.* Let h be a principal idempotent in A. Then h = g + n where  $g \in fAf$  and  $n \in R(A)$ . The idempotency of h easily implies that  $g^2 = g$  and

$$gn + ng + n^2 = n.$$

Let k = f - g. Since  $g \in fAf$ , we have  $k^2 = k$ . Our aim is to show that k = 0. Since h is a principal idempotent, it suffices to show that kh = hk = 0. From

and

$$kh = (f - g)(g + n) = (f - g)n = fn - gn$$
  
$$hk = (g + n)(f - g) = n(f - g) = nf - ng,$$

it is clear that we need only show that fn = gn and nf = ng. To do this, we first note that

$$fn = f(gn + ng + n^2) = gn + fng + fn^2 = gn + fn^2$$

since  $fng = fngf \in fAf \cap R(A) = (0)$ . Similarly,  $nf = ng + n^2f$ . We now show that  $fn^2 = n^2f = 0$ . Since fng and  $fn^2g$  lie in  $fAf \cap R(A) = (0)$ , we have  $fn^2 = fn(gn + ng + n^2) = fn^3$  implying that  $fn^2 = fn^3 = \ldots = fn^k = 0$  if k exceeds the index of nilpotency of n. A similar argument shows that  $n^2f = 0$ . We have therefore established that g = f.

From the above paragraph we know that  $n = nf + fn + n^2$  and that  $n^2f = fn^2 = 0$ . Now

$$n(nf + fn + n^2) = n^2f + nfn + n^3 = nfn + n^3$$

since  $n^2 f = 0$ . Thus

$$(4) n^2 = nfn + n^3.$$

This implies that

$$n^3 = n(n^2) = n(nfn + n^3) = n^2fn + n^4 = n^4$$

since  $n^2 f = 0$ . Now since *n* is nilpotent,  $n^3 = n^4$  implies that  $n^3 = 0$ . From (4) we now conclude that  $n^2 = nfn$ ; whence

$$n = nf + fn + n^2 = nf + fn + nfn.$$

3.5. LEMMA. If A and f are as in 3.4, and h is a principal idempotent of A, then hAh is semi-simple.

*Proof.* By 3.4, we know that h = f + n where  $n \in R(A)$ . It is well known (1, p. 25) that R(hAh) = hR(A)h. Therefore to show that hAh is semi-simple, it suffices to show that hR(A)h = 0. Let  $hah \in hR(A)h$ . Then

$$hah = (f + n)a(f + n) = fan + naf + nan,$$

since  $faf \in fAf \cap R(A) = (0)$ . It remains to show that fan = naf = nan = 0. From 3.4 we know that n = nf + fn + nfn, whence

$$fan = fa(nf + fn + nfn) = fanf + fafn + fanfn = 0$$

since fR(A)f = 0. Similarly, *naf* and *nan* = 0.

3.6. LEMMA. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over F which contains a principal idempotent f such that fAf is semi-simple. Then A is weakly semi-simple.

*Proof.* Let B be the algebra obtained by adjoining an identity to A in the usual way. Then A is an ideal of B and B/A is isomorphic to F; so, clearly, S = A + 1 is a translate of A. Let K denote the kernel of S. We must show that R(S) = K. By 2.4 we have R(S) = R(A) + e for any idempotent e in K. Thus it suffices to show that R(A) + e = K.

Now since f is a principal idempotent, it follows from (1, Lemma 9, p. 26) that

$$R(A) = (1 - f)Af + fA(1 - f) + (1 - f)A(1 - f),$$

and A = fAf + R(A) is a vector-space direct sum. Whence

$$R(A) \subseteq (1-f)A + A(1-f);$$

furthermore

$$(1 - f)A = (1 - f)(fAf + R(A)) = (1 - f)R(A).$$

Similarly, A(1 - f) = R(A)(1 - f); consequently,

$$R(A) = (1 - f)R(A) + R(A)(1 - f).$$

Now R(A) + (1 - f) = (R(A) - f) + 1 is a left ideal of S = A + 1, for  $(A + 1)(R(A) + (1 - f)) \subseteq AR(A) + R(A) + A(1 - f) + (1 - f)$  $\subseteq R(A) + (1 - f)$ 

since  $AR(A) \subseteq R(A)$  and  $A(1 - f) = R(A)(1 - f) \subseteq R(A)$  as shown above. Similarly, R(A) + (1 - f) is a right ideal of S and therefore an ideal of S.

Let g = 1 - f. We now show that R(A) + g has no proper ideals. First note that G = g(R(A) + g)g = gR(A)g + g is a group; for if  $y = gng + g \in G$ , then yz = zy = g where

$$z = g(-n + n^2 - \ldots - n^i)g + g,$$

for any *i* exceeding the index of nilpotency of *n*. Now if *I* is an ideal of R(A) + g,  $gIg \subseteq I \cap G$ . Since *G* is a group, *G* must be contained in *I*. In

particular,  $g \in I$ . Now let y be any element of R(A) + g. Let  $g\bar{y}g$  be the inverse of gyg in the group G, and set  $z = ygg\bar{y}gy$ . We now have gz = gy, zg = yg, and gzg = gyg. By the above, we know that R(A)g + gR(A) = R(A); it follows that w = gw + wg - gwg for any w in R(A) + g. This implies that y = z. Now from  $z = ygg\bar{y}gy$  and  $g \in I$ , we obtain  $y = z \in I$ . This shows that I = R(A) + g.

Since R(A) + g is an ideal, it follows immediately from the preceding paragraph that K = R(A) + g. Clearly, then, R(A) + g = R(A) + e for any idempotent e of K.

## 3.8. THEOREM. If A is a finite-dimensional algebra, the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is weakly semi-simple.
- (ii) There exists a principal idempotent f in A such that fAf is semi-simple.
- (iii) For all principal idempotents f in A, fAf is semi-simple.
- (iv) A = fAf + R(A) is a vector-space direct sum for some idempotent f in A.

Moreover, if A is weakly semi-simple, then every subalgebra of A that complements the radical is of the form fAf for some principal idempotent f in A.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) follow immediately from the foregoing lemmas together with the fact that (ii) is equivalent to (iv), which is a direct result of the Peirce decomposition of A with respect to the principal idempotent f; cf. (1, pp. 25 ff.).

To establish the last sentence of the theorem, assume that A is weakly semi-simple and that A = D + R(A) is a vector-space direct sum for some subalgebra D. D must be semi-simple and therefore has an identity, say f. Then  $D \subset fAf$  trivially. To show the converse we need only show f to be principal, for then by (1, §9, p. 25) we have dim $(fAf) = \dim D$ . Let  $g = g^2 \in A$ such that gf = fg = 0. Now g = d + r when  $d \in D$  and  $r \in R(A)$ . Hence

$$0 = fg = f(d + r) = d + fr,$$

implying d = 0. Therefore  $g = r \in R(A)$ ; since g is idempotent and r is nilpotent, g = 0.

3.9. COROLLARY. If a finite-dimensional algebra A is a direct sum of a semisimple algebra and a nilpotent algebra, then A is weakly semi-simple.

After R. M. Thrall (5), we shall say that an algebra A is of class Q if it possesses an idempotent e satisfying

- (i) eAe is semi-simple,
- (ii) AeA = A,

and (iii)  $A = eAe \oplus R(A)$  (as vector spaces).

We observe that (iii) always implies (i) and that they are equivalent if e is a principal idempotent in (i). In any case, it is clear from the above theorem that (i) and (iii) are equivalent to weak semi-simplicity. This fact enables us to characterize without idempotents the algebras of class Q:

#### W. EDWIN CLARK

3.9. THEOREM. A weakly semi-simple finite-dimensional algebra A is of class Q if and only if  $A^2 = A$  and  $R(A)^3 = 0$ .

*Proof.* The necessity of these conditions follows immediately from (5, Corollary 1) and Condition (ii). To show their sufficiency, let e be a principal idempotent such that A = eAe + R(A). Then

$$A = A^{2} = A^{3} = (eAe + R(A))^{3} \subseteq AeA + R(A)^{3} = AeA;$$

whence A = AeA.

### References

- 1. A. A. Albert, Structure of algebras (Providence, 1939).
- 2. W. E. Clark, Affine semigroups over an arbitrary field, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc., 7 (1965).
- 3. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. I, Math. Surveys, No. 7 (Amer. Math. Soc., 1961).
- S. Schwarz, Zur Theorie der Halbgruppen, Sbornik Prac Prirodovedeckej Fakulty Slovenskej Univerzity v Bratislave, no. 6 (1943).
- 5. R. M. Thrall, A class of algebras without unit element, Can. J. Math., 7 (1955), 382-390.

University of Florida