Suicide ideation severity is associated with severe suicide attempts in a military setting
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**Abstract**

**Background:** There is an ongoing debate on the effectiveness of suicidal behavior prevention measures in the military. The association of three widely used tools with severe suicide attempts was assessed in this setting. 

**Methods:** Thirty-nine Israeli soldiers (55% males), mean age 19 yrs., who attempted suicide during military service were divided into two groups: severe (n = 14; 35.9%) and moderate suicide attempts, and were assessed using the Scale for Suicide Ideation (SSI), Suicide Intent Scale (SIS) and the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). 

**Results:** Seven items from the SSI (p = 0.008), two items from SIS and one item from C-SSRS were associated with severe suicide attempts. Kendall’s tau-b correlation with bootstrap demonstrated stability of these correlations. 

**Conclusion:** Greater severity of suicidal ideation was associated with more severe suicide attempts. The combination of male gender, available firearms and current severe suicide ideation is high-risk danger sign in a military setting, even when reported intent to die is low.

© 2019 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The increase in suicide rates in U.S. military personnel since 2003 has been dramatic [1,2] even compared to the steady increase in suicide rates of the general U.S. population [3]. Much effort has been expended to identify risk factors for preventing suicide in the U.S. military [4], and many prevention strategies have been employed. Some of the programs were quite successful as for example, a program implemented in the airforce [5] and in other units [6]. However, the suicide rate in the US military as a whole is still fairly constant. In contrast, in the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) the suicide rate has declined for the last 6 years [7,8] by almost 50%. Understanding the reasons for these trends may help improve suicide prevention approaches in the military sector and may have implications for preventing civilian suicides.

In the IDF suicides and suicide attempts occur mostly 6 to 12 months after induction into military service [9–11]. One explanation for this timing of suicide attempts is that adjustment to military service, which is very different from civilian life, is a major stressor [12]. One expects this effect to be most obvious during the first six months of service, thus it is clear that additional factors are at work. Studies indicate that untreated psychiatric disorders play a critical role in suicidal behavior [13], among both, Israeli [8,11] and U.S. military personnel [14–17].

Suicide risk assessment in both civilians [18,19] and soldiers [14] is one of the most challenging tasks in clinical practice. Suicide risk is dynamic [20], fluctuating in response to both external events and internal subjective emotions and thoughts as well as over time. That makes predicting imminent risk of suicidal behavior difficult [21].
To date, few studies have focused on defining measures that can best differentiate those who make severe suicide attempts from those who make suicide attempts of mild–moderate severity [22]. A recent study found that the most powerful predictors of a first nonfatal suicide attempt among soldiers are: recent onset of ideation, presence and recent onset of a suicide plan, low control over suicidal thoughts and extreme risk-taking or “tempting fate.” The most innovative and interesting finding however, was “failure to answer questions about the characteristics of one’s suicidal thoughts [23].

The authors published recently a study reporting on three rating scales used in assessing soldiers who attempted suicide and soldiers with non-suicidal self-injury in the IDF [24]. The tools used included the scale for suicide Ideation (SSI) [25], the suicide intent scale (SIS) [26] and the Columbia suicide severity rating scale (C-SSRS) [22,27]. The scales were analyzed using only data from soldiers’ medical files. The current study examines the association of these three scales with moderate vs. severe suicide attempts using live interviews with people in the military setting, 1–2 months after the suicide attempt. Examining the moderate and severe nonfatal attempts is crucial for suicide prevention since nonfatal suicide attempt severity indicates the risk for future suicide [28,29].

2. Methods

2.1. Settings and procedure

At recruitment, each candidate is assigned a medical profile, indicating physical and mental suitability for military service [13,30]. Previous mental health history or mental disorders influence the determination of the soldier’s suitability for service. The mental profile codes range from mild problems in functioning through moderate ones to major and debilitating disease (severe). While soldiers with severe previous psychiatric diagnoses (i.e. major depression, bipolar disorder, psychosis or suicidality) are excluded from service, soldiers with psychiatric disorders of mild or moderate severity are monitored by mental health professionals during their military service via mandatory periodic follow-up examinations [10].

In addition the Military Adjustment Scale is used in order to assess the soldiers’ ability to adjust to the difficulties of military service. This assessment is based on past experiences with adjustment to new environments, as for example in the context of schools. This scale is completed by a mental health professional, and assists in assigning the soldier to a suitable military placement [12]. In this context “adjustment difficulties” consist of a combination of personality traits, limited functionality and low adaptability to military service [11].

Following a suicide attempt, a soldier goes through a full psychiatric evaluation [9] that includes: 1) categorizing the recent suicide attempt into mild, moderate or severe, based on the intent to die, medical lethality of the attempt, and assessed risk for another suicide attempt; 2) psychiatric diagnosis according to ICD-10 [30,31]; 3) assessment of level of functioning as a soldier (i.e. severe, moderate or mild impairment). It should be noted that following a suicide attempt, between 45–50% are discharged from military service [24]. The others continue their military service supervised by a mental health officer.

2.2. Data collection

Data collection for the current study took place between June 2012 and October 2013 (16 months). Included were soldiers who had attempted suicide during the three months prior to completing the rating scales, were hospitalized for at least 24 h in wake of this attempt [32] and had been evaluated as being at high risk by a mental health officer [9]. Each soldier was examined by a military psychiatrist immediately after returning to his or her regular military service, in order to reassess his or her mental state and functionality profile, whereby to determine a suitable military assignment [9].

Data on the suicide attempters were retrieved using the Human Resource IDF database. The IDF computerized database provided the data on date of recruitment, military occupation, intelligence rating score and mental health. The retrieved health records included medical history of the soldier, as well as a summary of the medical examination results and the suggested treatment [9].

2.3. Study population

Within the study period, 81 soldiers were hospitalized as a result of attempting suicide. Of those, 32 were immediately discharged as being unfit for further military service and therefore could not be included in the study. Of 49 soldiers who attempted suicide and stayed in the army for at least another month, in 39 the risk of another suicide attempt was defined as being moderate to severe. These 39 soldiers were included in the study and were divided into the two following groups based on the psychiatric evaluation:

a) Severe suicide attempters (n = 15, 38.5%): This categorization was based on a major psychiatric analysis and on inability to function as a soldier. When determining the lethality of a suicide attempt the psychiatric analysis included “potential lethality”, namely, in cases of threat of attempting suicide that was not realized, the potential for death if the suicidal attempt had been realized. For example, when a soldier points his weapon at himself but ultimately does not shoot [27].

b) Moderate suicide attempters (n = 24, 61.5%): This categorization was based on the lethality level of the suicide attempt and included potential lethality [27] as well as being unable to function as a soldier.

2.4. Measures

2.4.1. Demographic variables

Socioeconomic status was determined by location of residence (low, medium and high).

Intelligence rating score (IRS) [12]: also referred to as Scholastic Ability (SA) score, is an independent scale that includes a cognitive test composed of four sub-tests measuring intellectual ability. The total score is a highly valid measure of general intelligence and is equivalent to a normally distributed IQ score [30]. The soldiers were divided into three groups according to their SA score (low, average and high).

2.4.2. Psychological variables

Psychiatric diagnoses—a psychiatric diagnosis is given, when needed, by a military psychiatrist prior to enlistment or during the military service as described above. Mental health diagnosis is based on the ICD-10 [9,11]. This diagnosis is translated into a medical profile to which is added the ability to function as a soldier (classified into one of three levels of severity: mild, moderate or severe). Those diagnosed with high severity illness are not inducted into military service or are discharged from it. Those diagnosed with a mild or moderate psychiatric diagnosis continue the induction process. Despite IDF service being compulsory, people with severe mental disorders (major depression, psychosis or suicidality) are not enlisted. People with mild mental disorders (e.g. anxiety, depression, eating disorders), however, are recruited into military service but are monitored by military mental health professionals [9,10]. Additional data that was collected from
medical records included: past psychological therapy, previous suicide attempts, alcohol use, past unauthorized absence from service, number of primary care physician (PCP) visits and number of mental health officer visits.

2.4.3. Suicidal measures

2.4.3.1. Scale for suicide ideation (SSI) [25]. The SSI is a 19-item self-report measure designed to assess suicidal thoughts, plans, and intent to die by suicide. The first five items are screening items, and the last two are items assessing past attempts and intent. Each item’s scoring ranges from 0 to 2 on a three-point scale, resulting in an overall score in the range of 0 to 38. The SSI has shown strong psychometric properties in previous studies [25]. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient α = 0.90 was previously reported [33].

In interpreting the SSI’s results, the higher the total score the greater the risk of future suicide [25]. In adults, a score of 6 or more has been used as a cutoff point for clinically significant suicidal ideation [34,35].

2.4.3.2. The suicide intent scale (SIS) [26]. This scale has 15 items. A factor analysis identified two factors: objective intent and subjective intent. Items 1–9 are about the circumstances related to suicidal attempt, and deal mainly with observable aspects of the attempt and the events surrounding the individual. Items 10–15 are self-reported and are used to score thoughts and feelings at the time of the attempt [26]. The final score is the total of all individual items scores. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient is high: α = 0.95 [36].

2.4.3.3. The Columbia suicide severity rating scale (C-SSRS). This scale quantifies both, suicidal ideation and behaviors. A higher score indicates greater severity. The C-SSRS was administered as a semi-structured interview. Evidence of reliability and validity can be found in publications by Posner and colleagues [27]. The first 5 items of the scale refer to suicide ideation and have binary yes/no responses. The next 5 items deal with the intensity or level of suicidal ideation. Their responses range from 0 (suicidal ideation denied) to 5 (suicidal ideation with a plan, i.e. severe suicidal ideation). These items assess frequency, duration, controllability and deterrents of ideation as well as reasons for ideation. The total score reflects only the items whose scoring is bigger than 0 and it thus ranges from 1 to 25.

Two scores are created for each patient, one for ideation and one for intensity. Next, the two means for these characteristics are calculated within each of the attempt–severity groups (i.e. moderate attempt and severe attempt) and a comparison is done between the means of each characteristic (Table 2). Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was previously reported as being α = 0.80 for severity ideation and α = 0.67 for intensity [24].

2.4.4. Statistical analyses

Analyses were done using IBM SPSS, version 21. The analyses consisted of three statistical procedures: (1) A one dimensional analysis of all characteristics in the severe and the non-severe suicide attempt groups. Fisher’s Exact Test was used to assess differences in categorical variables between the severe attempters and the non-severe attempters. The ordinal Scale Score means (C-SSRS, SSI, SIS etc.) were tested with more than two groups of categorical variables. Each group was compared to the other groups by Scheffe’s Post-Hoc Multiple comparison. Where results were significant, post-hoc tests were used to examine the source of the differences; (2) Mantel-Haenszel Common Odds Ratio Estimate and Relative Risk were determined for each characteristic; (3) Kendall’s tau-b correlation with Bootstrap was used to compare the performance of the three scales. All significance levels were two-tailed. An exploratory analysis evaluated each of the items in the three scales searching for significant correlations with severity of suicide attempt, by using Kendall’s Tau-b test for dichotomous variables (0 = non-severe, 1 = severe).

Due to the sample size, (n = 39) the tests could not be done using a multivariate model and were thus carried out using a single-level variable approach. Kendall’s tau-b correlation with 500 Bootstrap was used in order to reduce the possibility of statistical error. For stability of Kendall’s tau b correlation, testing was done between each of the indices “risk of suicide” and “socio-demographic” and between the dependent variable “severity of suicidal attempt”.

2.4.5. Ethical approval

The IDF Medical Corps Institutional Review Board approved the study and all participants signed an informed consent form after the nature of the study was explained to them.

3. Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the two study groups (n = 39) are presented in Table 1. The groups did not differ in any of the characteristics assessed except for gender ratio, namely, as expected more males were in the serious suicide attempt group (p = 0.008).

Suicidal soldiers from both groups served an average of 12.5 months (SD = 8.3) prior to the suicide attempt. Most (n = 34, 87.1%) were born in Israel, 12.8% served in combat units, 74.3% had low to average intelligence scores and 54.1% came from low to average socio demographic background. Before the suicide attempt 18.1% had a psychiatric diagnosis and 33.3% had attempted suicide previously. Non-suicidal self-injury was reported by 43.6% of the soldiers.

3.1. Correlations with severe suicide attempt

Kendall’s tau-b correlations between the individual scale items and severe suicide attempt found the following:

In the SSI scale, 7 significant items correlated with severe suicide attempts: Item No. 2 – “Wish to die” (r = 0.305, p = 0.046); Item No. 3 – “Reasons for living/dying” (r = 0.31, p = 0.044); Item No. 5 – “Passive suicidal desire” (r = 0.30, p = 0.05); Item No. 6 – “Time dimension: Duration of suicide ideation/wish” (r = 0.345, p = 0.05); Item No. 10 – “Deterrents to active attempt (e.g., family, religion, irreversibility)” (r = 0.36, p = 0.05); Item No. 15 – “Expectancy/anticipation of actual attempt” (r = 0.432, p = 0.015); and Item No. 16 – “Actual preparation for contemplated attempt” (r = 0.489, p = 0.007) [24].

The SIS scale includes 2 items that correlated with severe suicide attempts: Items No. 6 – “Active Preparation for Attempt” (r = 0.348, p = 0.031) and Item No. 14 “Conception of medical Reachability” (r = 0.409, p = 0.011).

In the C-SSRS scale only the first item was found to be significantly correlated with severe suicide attempt – (1) want/ wish to be dead (r = 0.394, p = 0.017).

500 Bootstrap sampling was performed when calculating Kendall’s tau-b correlation due to the small sample size and in order to test the stability of dependent variable: “The severity of suicidal experience” (Table 3). Each sample included 7 random cases of the total sample (n = 39). Correlation Coefficients (r) and significance (p) were calculated. Bootstrap test found that in the SSI, 17 out of 19 items of SSI were significant, 7 of 15 items of the SIS were significant and 10 items from C-SSRS were significant.

SSI – 4 additional items were significantly correlated with severe suicide attempts: Item No. 4 – “Desire to make active suicide attempt” (r = 0.26, p = 0.084); Item No. 9 – “Control over suicidal action/acting-out wish” (r = 0.34, p = 0.06); item No. 12 – “Method:
### Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics of moderate vs. severe suicide attempters in a military setting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Moderate suicide attempt (n = 24)</th>
<th>Severe suicide attempt (n = 15)</th>
<th>Fisher's exact test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of birth</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligence</td>
<td>Average-Low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio demographic status</td>
<td>Average-Low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat duty (Before the attempt)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric diagnosis (before the attempt)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No diagnosis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric diagnosis (after the attempt)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personality</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous psychological therapy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicide attempt</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-harm (non suicidal self-injury)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized absence from service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings with a primary care physician (PCP)</td>
<td>1–7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7+</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings with a mental health officer</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4&lt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fisher's Exact Test: p < 0.05.

### Table 2
Scores of suicide ideation and intent in moderate vs severe suicide attempters in a military setting (mean and standard deviations).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Moderate suicide attempt (n = 24)</th>
<th>Severe suicide attempt (n = 15)</th>
<th>Total (n = 39)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SSI                | 0   | 29  | 12.9 | 10.2| 2   | 36  | 20.6 | 12.0| 0   | 36  | 15.8 | 11.4| 0.038*
| SIS                | 3   | 25  | 13.4 | 5.0 | 0   | 27  | 15.1 | 7.4 | 0   | 27  | 14.1 | 6.0 | 0.409*
| Ideation           | 0   | 5   | 2.5  | 1.9 | 0   | 5   | 3.6  | 1.6 | 0   | 5   | 2.9  | 1.9 | 0.057*
| Intensity          | 5   | 19  | 12.7 | 4.1 | 7   | 22  | 15.6 | 4.3 | 5   | 22  | 13.9 | 4.4 | 0.046*

SSI = Scale for Suicide Ideation; SIS = Suicide Intent Scale.

* C-SSRS = Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale.

p < 0.05.
critical, and constitutes a major risk factor for suicide amongst army soldiers [44,45]. In the U.S. this is a major risk factor for civilians too [46]. In the IDF, as in other military settings, guns are prevalent, especially for combat soldiers, and those are mostly males [47]. It is also a well-established fact that a third of youngsters with suicide ideation will go on to attempt suicide within 1 year [48].

These findings fit the model described in Mann et al.'s review [18]. Soldiers are often young people who find themselves in highly stressful situations, with high availability of weapons, as military settings tend to be, especially combat situations. Thus suicidal acts are more apt to happen and thresholds for depression and intent are potentially lower. This understanding becomes critical when assessing soldiers' potential die by suicide. Support for our conclusions can be found in a recent study among IDF soldiers which showed that despite all the soldiers in the study performing an act that was lethal enough to result in death it seems that the intent of the subjects without depression was not as high as the intent of those with depression [49]. The group without depression was more impulsive, and scored lower on the SIS questionnaire [50].

4.1. Limitations

The current study has several limitations. The sample size is relatively small however a Bootstrap method was used to test the stability of the Kendall's tau b correlation and lower the statistical error. Additionally, this was an opportunistic study and the group that was discharged immediately from military service after the suicide attempt was not examined. That group may constitute the most serious suicide attempter group but it lacked the measures of ideation, intent and lethality that were used in this study.

4.2. Conclusions

This study's findings have important clinical implications. “Current” or post-attempt suicidal ideation following a suicide attempt may be a more reliable indicator than previous suicide intent when assessing the risk for future suicides. Future studies need to test this finding prospectively to determine its predictive properties.
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