The Committee on Grammatical Terminology has a new lease of life, and is resuming its arduous labours by going over the ground systematically. A large number of suggestions and criticisms have been received, which will be considered in their place. There is a possibility that there may be an international conference on the subject; in any case, criticisms have been received from France and Germany.

The last number of *Atene e Roma* contains two papers on Dante's debt to the Latin classics, which should interest a wide circle. There is also a paper on *Un Processo Celebre al tempo di Cicerone*, in which the speech for Cluentius is made to show its human side. The American *Classical Journal* has a paper on Quintilian, called *An Ancient Schoolmaster's Message to Present-Day Teachers* (iv. 149), in which the author lays stress on the fact that defective training in early years damages a man for life. We may ponder this in the light of English indifference to the work of early years: a lady was heard to say the other day, 'It doesn't matter what my boy learns in the first few years.' This lady was once head-mistress of a large secondary school.

The President's address to the Scotch Classical Association bears on the question of compulsory Greek. We do not wish to revive this question, but it has unfortunately been revived in the *Times*; and those who so freely prophesied that neither Greek nor Latin would suffer might mark what has happened in Scotland. Professor Harrower says: 'Little more than fifteen years ago the Commissioners' Ordinances made one ancient language only compulsory for the degree instead of two. Now both have gone.' The President's defence of classics is thoroughgoing and courageous, and he says, quite rightly, that not the subjects are to blame for the enemies' attack, but bad teaching. The Proceedings for 1908-1909, from which the above is taken, contain also an account of excavations at Sparta, and discussions on the teaching on history and geography.

**CORRESPONDENCE**

ON ARISTOTLE *NIC. ETH.* IV. 3, 15: A REPLY.

To the Editor of *THE CLASSICAL REVIEW*.

In the August number of the *Classical Review* Prof. J. Cook Wilson criticises an interpretation of Aristotle, *Nic. Eth.* IV. 3. 15) proposed by me in the March number. To the points that he raises I should like with all deference to make a reply.

Prof. Wilson, I venture to think, misunderstands the drift of the argument that I drew from the impeachment of Miltiades and others for extortion. The context of the passage, expressed briefly, is this (§ 15 to § 17): 'Greatness in every excellence should be shown by the *phylax* of the citizen. For why should the man commit *alaxpe*? . . . He will not deserve honour if he is *dakos* . . . To him periphrasis* of *atimia *is *peyalivo*.* presumably, therefore, the things that the *megalophygos* will not commit are such as would damage his reputation. By the quotation from Lysias (Or. 12, § 4), where these same verbs *peyalivo* and *dakos* are used (in the same order), and where a citizen points as a proof of good citizenship to the fact that he never prosecuted or was prosecuted, I thought to show that a characteristic of the *megalophygos* should be that no imputation of wrong-doing to obtain money could be believed against him or made the subject of an accusation. For him even to be prosecuted on such a charge would hurt his reputation. Miltiades,Themistocles, and Pericles were cited because their character in general resembled that of the *megalophygos*, but they had this liability to attack against which the real *megalophygos* would be immune. It was not relevant for me to inquire whether Aristotle meant *to covertly controvert* the opinion that these men were *megalophygos.* Their reputation was blown upon because they had been prosecuted; even an acquittal could not restore them that reputation in its pristine completeness. The following passages may be quoted

1 Nicias (Plut. Comp. Nic. c. Crasso I.) and Crito (Xen. Mem. II. 9) might have been added.
to show that never to have been prosecuted was considered a proof of good citizenship: Hyperides pro Lycoph., c. 14; Isocr. Or. 15 § 144; Lys. Or. 16 § 12; Aesch. c. Ctes. § 105; Dem. de Cor. § 313; Plut. Comp. Nic. c. Crosso I. I may add that ἁδεία, 'to be prosecuted,' is contrasted (in this order) with ὀδεία, 'actually to do wrong,' in Dem. c. Mid. § 27; de Cor. § 313. ἁδεία is used specifically of blackmailing (cf. Hdt. 6. 136; Plat. Per. 33) in Xen. Mem. II. 9, 2 and 8; Isae. fr. VII. (Sauppe).

Prof. Wilson thinks that the parallel from Theoph. Char. 3 fin. 'will be held enough to confirm the usual rendering, even if it were doubted whether Theophrastus wrote the passage himself.' To me it seems that if the passage in question be, as Diels in the new Oxford text calls it, an 'epilogus order) with passim in Theophrastus and in the Ethic as if the literal signification of the two other Aristotelian passages were the only possible one is unnecessary, if other renderings seem to give better sense. Many other verbs of course could be quoted which, when compounded with παρά, have both a literal and a metaphorical meaning. Compare, for example, the series εἰςείν, διαείν, παραείν, in all its meanings with βάλλειν, διαβάλλειν, παραβάλλειν. Similarly with βάλειν, ἀγείν, and others.

Prof. Wilson says 'The point is not that the μεγαλόψυχος would not retreat, but that, if he had to do it, he would retreat as became a man of dignified courage (cf. τὸ ἐν ἐκάστῃ ὑπέρ ἑαυτοῦ μὲν which just precedes).’ Does this mean that the sense of ὑπέρ here is confined to 'physical courage’? Why should the very particular notion of ‘not running away shaking (one's) hands' by one's sides, be joined directly with the very general notion of ‘not committing injustice’?

Regarding the force of the aorist participle, I was aware that from this detail viewed by itself no inference could be drawn. But as far as my observation goes, in the majority of the not numerous instances where an aorist participle is joined with a present main tense, the aorist participle refer to actions that begin simultaneously with the action of the main verb, not to actions enduring contemporaneously.

In thanking Prof. Wilson for his criticism, I may remark that if his version of the ordinary interpretation be the correct one, Mr. Peters' translation of the passage ('run along shaking his arms') should be slightly altered.

JOHN MACINNES.

The University of Manchester.

OBITUARY

PROFESSOR KYNASTON.

By the death of Canon Kynaston (born Snow), Professor of Greek and Classical Literature at Durham, we lose one of the most accomplished scholars of the older generation. Senior Classic (bracketed) in 1857, Camden and Browne Medallist and Porson Scholar, and Fellow of St. John's, he became a master at Eton; then he was appointed Principal at Cheltenham, and finally Professor and Canon at Durham, succeeding T. S. Evans, whose type of scholarship, as will be seen, was like his own. He was best known by his edition of Theocritus ('Snow's Theocritus'), which has been through five editions. This was one of the earliest and best of modern school books; it is not overburdened with learning, nor too 'helpful,' and it is bright and interesting. He also edited Poetae Graeci for Eton and other Schools, and a selection from the Greek Elegiac Poets (Macmillan). He published a book of Exercises for Greek Iambics, which has the distinctive feature of showing the beginner how to make a small dictionary of phrases for his own use, and under the title of Exemplaria Cheltoniensia he issued a book of Latin verses, which contains many pretty versions
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