# (0, 2) - INTERPOLATION OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

### R. GERVAIS, Q. I. RAHMAN AND G. SCHMEISSER

1. Introduction. Given a triangular matrix A whose  $n^{\text{th}}$  row consists of the n points

(1.1) 
$$1 \ge x_{n,1} > x_{n,2} > \ldots > x_{n,n} \ge -1,$$

Turán et al. ([12], [1], [2], [3]) considered the problem of existence, uniqueness, representation, convergence, etc. of polynomials  $f_{2n-1}$  of degree  $\leq 2n - 1$  where the values of  $f_{2n-1}$  and those of its second derivative are prescribed at the points (1.1), i.e.,

(1.2) 
$$\begin{cases} f_{2n-1}(x_{n,\nu}) = y_{\nu} \\ f_{2n-1}''(x_{n,\nu}) = y_{\nu}^{*} \end{cases} (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The choice of the points (1.1) is important. They found the zeros

(1.3) 
$$1 = \xi_{n,1} > \xi_{n,2} > \ldots > \xi_{n,n-1} > \xi_{n,n} = -1$$

of the polynomial

$$\pi_n(x):=(1-x^2)P'_{n-1}(x),$$

where  $P_{n-1}$  is the  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  Legendre polynomial with the normalization  $P_{n-1}(1) = 1$  to be the most convenient. If

 $x_{n,\nu} = \xi_{n,\nu}, \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ 

then for even *n* there is a uniquely determined polynomial  $f_{2n-1}$  of degree  $\leq 2n - 1$  satisfying (1.2). This means, of course that in the case

$$y_{\nu} = y_{\nu}^* = 0$$
 ( $\nu = 1, 2, ..., n; n$  even)

the only solution of (1.2) is  $f(x) \equiv 0$ . Always for even *n* we may write

$$f_{2n-1}(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} y_{\nu} r_{\nu}(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} y_{\nu}^{*} \rho_{\nu}(x)$$

where the fundamental polynomials  $r_{\mu}(x)$  and  $\rho_{\mu}(x)$  are defined by

(1.4) 
$$r_{\nu}(\xi_{n,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } j = \nu \\ 0 \text{ for } j \neq \nu \end{cases} \text{ and } r_{\nu}''(\xi_{n,j}) = 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ 's} \end{cases}$$

and

Received May 16, 1985.

(1.5) 
$$\rho_{\nu}(\xi_{n,j}) = 0$$
 for all j's and  $\rho_{\nu}''(\xi_{n,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } j = \nu \\ 0 \text{ for } j \neq \nu, \end{cases}$ 

respectively. In particular, if  $\pi_{2n-1}$  is an arbitrary polynomial of degree  $\leq 2n - 1$ , then

(1.6) 
$$\pi_{2n-1}(x) \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \pi_{2n-1}(\xi_{n,\nu})r_{\nu}(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \pi_{2n-1}'(\xi_{n,\nu})\rho_{\nu}(x).$$

Based on this Balázs and Turán [3] proved the following

THEOREM A. Let  $\pi_{2n-1}$  be a polynomial of degree  $\leq 2n - 1$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad |\pi_{2n-1}(\xi_{n,\nu})| \leq M_1, \quad |\pi_{2n-1}''(\xi_{n,\nu})| \leq M_2,$$

for  $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Then for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$  we have

$$|\pi_{2n-1}(x)| \leq \pi^6 n M_1 + \frac{\pi^5}{n} M_2.$$

This kind of interpolation has been studied under the heading of (0, 2)-interpolation.

For (0, 2)-interpolation to periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials ([10], [11]) the equally spaced nodes are the most convenient to work with. It was shown by Kiš [10] that given a periodic function f with period  $2\pi$  there exists for odd n a unique trigonometric polynomial  $T_n(f; x)$  of the form

(1.8) 
$$a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_j \cos jx + b_j \sin jx) + a_n \cos nx,$$

which interpolates the function f in the points

$$\frac{2k\pi}{n}$$
,  $(k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ 

and whose second derivative assumes prescribed values  $\beta_{n,k}$  at these points. Explicit formulae for the fundamental trigonometric polynomials of (0, 2)-interpolation have been worked out in [11, Theorem 1] and a representation analogous to (1.6) holds for trigonometric polynomials of the form (1.8) where *n* is odd.

Neither polynomials nor trigonometric polynomials are suitable for interpolating a function

$$f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R},$$

in an infinite set of points  $x_n$ ,  $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \pm \infty} x_n = \pm \infty.$$

However, entire functions of exponential type can be and indeed have been used for this purpose ([5], [6], [7], [8], [9]). In the case of (0, 2)-interpolation only the equidistant nodes have been used as interpolation points. For sake of simplicity but without any loss of generality we will take the equidistant points to be

$$(1.9)$$
 0,  $\pm 1$ ,  $\pm 2$ , ...

The entire functions

$$(1.10) \quad A_{\nu}(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi z} + \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\pi \zeta)}{\pi \zeta}\right) d\zeta \\ & \text{if } \nu = 0 \\ (-1)^{\nu} \frac{z}{\pi \nu} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{z - \nu} \\ + (-1)^{\nu} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi} \int_{-\nu}^{-\nu + z} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\pi \zeta)}{\pi \zeta}\right) d\zeta \\ - \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{(\pi \nu)^{3}} (1 - \cos(\pi z)) \text{ if } \nu \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

(1.11) 
$$B_{\nu}(z): = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\sin(\pi \zeta)}{\pi \zeta} d\zeta & \text{if } \nu = 0\\ (-1)^{\nu} \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{-\nu}^{-\nu+z} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1}{\zeta}\right) \sin(\pi \zeta) d\zeta & \text{if } \nu \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

which have been determined so as to have the properties

(i)  $A_{\nu}$ ,  $B_{\nu}$  are of exponential type  $2\pi$  and are bounded on the real axis,

(ii)  $A_{\nu}$ ,  $B''_{\nu}$  assume the value 1 at the point  $\nu$  but vanish at the other points of (1.9),

(iii)  $A_{\nu}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $B_{\nu}$  vanish at all the points of (1.9),

(iv)  $A'_{\nu}(0) = A'''_{\nu}(0) = B'_{\nu}(0) = B'''_{\nu}(0) = 0$ ,

are called the fundamental functions of (0, 2)-interpolation by entire functions of exponential type. They are unique.

If  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is twice differentiable with

(1.12) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\nu)| < \infty$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} |f''(\nu)| < \infty,$$

then

(1.14) 
$$R(f; z) := \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f(\nu) A_{\nu}(z) + f''(\nu) B_{\nu}(z) \right)$$

is an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that

$$\begin{array}{l} R(f; \nu) = f(\nu) \\ R''(f; \nu) = f''(\nu) \end{array} \right\} \quad (\nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots).$$

But even if f happens to be an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  it is by no means clear that analogously to (1.6) a representation formula of the form

(1.15) 
$$f(z) = \sum_{\nu = -\infty}^{\infty} (f(\nu)A_{\nu}(z) + f''(\nu)B_{\nu}(z))$$

does indeed hold. In fact, it does not hold except under certain additional hypotheses. A result like Theorem A for entire functions of exponential type is therefore not a matter of imitating the argument in [3]. Much less, we cannot even claim that if f is an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that

$$(1.16) \quad \sup_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}} |f(\nu)| < \infty$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad \sup_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}} |f''(\nu)| < \infty$$

then

$$(1.18) \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

The purpose of the present investigation is to look for appropriate conditions under which (1.15) and (1.18) would hold.

The following uniqueness theorem constitutes a major step in this direction. In Section 2 we present some lemmas needed to establish this result which we shall refer to as "the uniqueness theorem" or Theorem 1. Subsequently we return to the original problem.

THEOREM 1. If f is an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that

(i) 
$$f(\nu) = f''(\nu) = 0, \quad \nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$$

and

(ii) 
$$f(x) = o(|x|)$$
 as  $x \to \pm \infty$ ,

then

$$f(z) = C_1 \sin(\pi z) + C_2 \sin(2\pi z),$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants.

2. Some lemmas. We shall need a number of auxiliary results but the most important of them all is

The PRINCIPAL LEMMA. Let F be holomorphic and of exponential type in the sector

$$S(\alpha): = \{z \in \mathbf{C}: |\arg z| \leq \alpha\} \cup \{0\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, \pi/2]$$

such that

$$h_F(\pm \alpha) < 2\pi \sin \alpha$$

where

$$h_F(\theta)$$
: =  $\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |F(re^{i\theta})|}{r}$ ,  $|\theta| \leq \alpha$ 

denotes the Phragmén-Lindelöf indicator function. If G is an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that

$$G(\nu) = F(\nu), G''(\nu) = F''(\nu) \quad (\nu = 0, 1, 2, ...),$$

then

(2.1) 
$$F(z) - G(z) = \left(a + \int_0^z \psi(t) \sin(\pi t) dt\right) \sin(\pi z),$$

where a is a constant and  $\psi$  is holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$ . Furthermore,

(i) if |G(x)| = O(1) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then

(2.2) 
$$|\psi(re^{i\theta})| = O(1)$$
 as  $r \to +\infty$ 

uniformly in  $\theta$  on every compact subset of  $(-\alpha, \alpha)$ ; (ii) if G(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then

(2.3) 
$$\psi(re^{i\theta}) = o(r) \quad as \ x \to +\infty$$

uniformly in  $\theta$  on every compact subset of  $(-\alpha, \alpha)$ .

The next five lemmas will help the proof of the Principal Lemma to flow smoothly. Except possibly for the fact that the exponential type of the function  $\phi$  in Lemma 1 is  $\tau$  they are "essentially" known.

LEMMA 1. Let f be holomorphic and of exponential type  $\tau$  in  $S(\alpha)$  such that f(n) = 0 for n = 0, 1, 2, ... Then

 $f(z) = \phi(z)\sin(\pi z),$ 

where  $\phi$  is holomorphic and of exponential type  $\tau$  in  $S(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* The function

 $\phi(z):=f(z)/\sin(\pi z)$ 

is clearly holomorphic in  $S(\alpha)$ . We only have to show that it is of exponential type  $\tau$ .

The function f being of exponential type  $\tau$ , for every given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a number A such that

$$|f(z)| \leq Ae^{(\tau+\epsilon)|z|}$$
 for all  $z \in S(\alpha)$ .

Now let us denote by  $y_0$  the only positive root of the equation

$$\sinh(\pi y) = 1.$$

Choose an integer  $n_0$  in  $\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{y_0}{\sin \alpha}, \infty\right)$  and consider the subsets  $D_1: = \{z \in S(\alpha): |\text{Im } z| > y_0\}$ 

and

$$D_2:=\left\{z \in S(\alpha): \operatorname{Re} z > n_0 - \frac{1}{2}, |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq y_0\right\}$$

of  $S(\alpha)$ . For  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ 

(2.4)  $|\sin(x + iy)| \ge \max\{|\sin x|, |\sinh y|\}$ 

and so

(2.5) 
$$|\phi(z)| \leq A e^{(\tau+\epsilon)|z|}$$
 for all  $z \in D_1$ .

In order to estimate  $|\phi(z)|$  at an arbitrary point  $z \in D_2$  we choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re} z\leq n+\frac{1}{2}.$$

Then z belongs to the closed rectangle  $R_n$  with corners at the points  $n \pm \frac{1}{2} \pm iy_0$ . Using the maximum modulus principle in conjunction with (2.4) we obtain

(2.6) 
$$|\phi(z)| \leq \max_{\zeta \in R_n} |\phi(\zeta)| \leq \max_{\zeta \in R_n} |f(\zeta)|$$
  
$$\leq \max_{\zeta \in R_n} A e^{(\tau+\epsilon) |\zeta|} \leq A e^{(\tau+\epsilon) |1+|z|+iy_0|}.$$

Since  $S(\alpha) \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$  is compact the desired result follows from (2.5) and (2.6).

LEMMA 2. Let F be holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$ . If  $h_F(\theta) \not\equiv -\infty$ , then

 $h_{F'}(\theta) \leq h_F(\theta)$  for all  $|\theta| < \alpha$ .

Using the continuity of the indicator function [4, Theorem 5.1.4.] the result is easily deduced from Cauchy's integral formula for  $F'(re^{i\theta})$ .

LEMMA 3. Let G be holomorphic and of exponential type  $\tau$  in the closed upper half-plane.

(i) If |G(x)| = O(1) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then

(2.7) 
$$|G(re^{i\theta})| = O(e^{\tau r|\sin \theta|}) \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

uniformly in  $\theta$  for  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ . (ii) If G(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then

(2.8) 
$$|G(re^{i\theta})| = o(re^{\tau r|\sin \theta|}) \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

uniformly in  $\theta$  for  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ .

1216

*Proof.* For (i) see [4, Theorem 6.2.4.]. For (ii) apply [4, Theorem 6.2.8] to the function

$$f:z \to \frac{G(z)}{z+i}.$$

This way we obtain the desired asymptotic growth on compact subsets of  $[0, \pi/2)$  and analogously of  $(\pi/2, \pi]$ . The proof may then be completed by considering the function

$$g:z \to \frac{G(z)}{z+i}e^{i\tau z}$$

and using [4, Theorems 1.4.2 and 1.4.4].

LEMMA 4. Let G be an entire function of exponential type. (i) If |G(x)| = O(1) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then |G'(x)| = O(1) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ . (ii) If G(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then G'(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

*Proof.* Statement (i) is a crude version of the Bernstein's inequality [4, Theorem 11.1.2] for entire functions of exponential type. As regards (ii) the conclusion for  $x \to +\infty$  may be obtained by applying [4, Theorem 11.3.4\*] to the function

$$f:z \to \frac{G(z)}{z+1}.$$

The case  $x \to -\infty$  may be handled by considering the function G(-z).

LEMMA 5. Let  $\psi$  be holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$  and let  $\gamma \in (0, \alpha).$ 

(i) If  $|\psi(re^{\pm i\gamma})| = O(1)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , then  $|\psi|$  is bounded in  $S(\gamma)$ . (ii) If  $\psi(re^{\pm i\gamma}) = o(r)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , then  $\psi(re^{i\theta}) = o(r)$  as  $r \to \infty$  uniformly for  $\theta \in [-\gamma, \gamma]$ .

Proof. Statement (i) is a consequence of the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle (see [4, Theorem 1.4.3]). For (ii) we may apply [4, Theorem 1.4.4] to the function

$$f:z \to \frac{G(z)}{z+1}.$$

Now we are in a position to present the

Proof of the Principal Lemma. Put

(2.9) $\phi(z):=F(z)-G(z).$ 

Then  $\phi$  is holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$  such that

 $\phi(\nu) = 0, \, \phi''(\nu) = 0 \quad (\nu = 0, \, 1, \, 2, \, \ldots).$ 

Applying Lemma 1 to  $\phi$  and then to  $\phi''$  we see that

$$(2.10) \quad \phi(z) = \varphi(z)\sin(\pi z)$$

and in turn

(2.11) 
$$\varphi'(z) = \psi(z)\sin(\pi z)$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$ . This readily gives us the representation

$$\phi(z) = \left(\varphi(0) + \int_0^z \psi(t) \sin(\pi t) dt\right) \sin(\pi z),$$

which proves (2.1).

Using (2.9)-(2.11) we may also write  $\psi$  in the form

(2.12) 
$$\psi(z) = \psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z),$$

where

(2.13) 
$$\psi_1(z) = \frac{F'(z) - \pi \cos(\pi z)F(z)/\sin(\pi z)}{\sin^2(\pi z)}$$

and

(2.14) 
$$\psi_2(z) = \frac{G'(z) - \pi \cos(\pi z)G(z)/\sin(\pi z)}{\sin^2(\pi z)}.$$

Now let us choose  $\beta$  arbitrarily in  $(0, \alpha)$ . We shall show that (2.2) and (2.3) hold uniformly for  $\theta \in [-\beta, \beta]$  according as "|G(x)| = O(1) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ " or "G(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ ", respectively. Using the well-known continuity properties of the indicator function and then Lemma 2 we can find a  $\gamma \in [\beta, \alpha)$  such that

$$h_F(\pm \gamma) < 2\pi \sin \gamma$$
 and  $h_{F'}(\pm \gamma) < 2\pi \sin \gamma$ .

Hence, in view of (2.13)

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\psi_1(re^{\pm i\gamma})=0,$$

from which it follows (see [4, Theorem 1.4.4]) that

(2.15) 
$$\psi_1(re^{i\theta}) = o(1)$$
 as  $r \to \infty$ 

uniformly for  $\theta \in [-\gamma, \gamma]$ .

(i) If |G(x)| = O(1) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , then from (2.14) in conjunction with the first parts of Lemmas 3-5 it follows that  $|\psi_2|$  is bounded in  $S(\gamma)$ . This together with (2.15) shows that (2.2) holds uniformly for  $\theta \in [-\gamma, \gamma]$  and so in particular for  $\theta \in [-\beta, \beta]$ .

(ii) If G(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$  then we may use the second parts of Lemmas 3-5 and obtain the desired conclusion in an analogous way.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we shall need two additional lemmas.

LEMMA 6 [9, p. 187]. For  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  let  $n_x$  be the larger of the possibly two integers closest to x and denote by N(x) the set of all integers between 0 and  $n_x$  (including both 0 and  $n_x$ ). Then there exist constants  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_6$  such that for every  $z = x + iy(x, y \in \mathbf{R})$ 

$$\begin{split} |A_n(z)| &\leq \begin{cases} c_1 \frac{|z|e^{\pi |y|}}{|n(n-n_x)|} + c_2 \Big( \frac{1}{|n-n_x|^2} + \frac{1}{|n|^3} \Big) e^{2\pi |y|} \\ &\quad \text{if } n \notin N(x), \\ c_3 \frac{(1+|z|)e^{\pi |y|}}{1+|n(n-n_x)|} + c_4 e^{2\pi |y|} \quad \text{if } n \in N(x), \end{cases} \\ |B_n(z)| &\leq \begin{cases} c_5 \frac{|z|e^{2\pi |y|}}{|n(n-n_x)|} & \text{if } n \notin N(x), \\ c_6 e^{2\pi |y|} & \text{if } n \in N(x). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

These estimates for  $|A_n(z)|$  and  $|B_n(z)|$  readily imply:

LEMMA 7. Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be sequences of complex numbers such that

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_{\nu}| < \infty$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_{\nu}| < \infty$$

then the series

(2.18) 
$$H(z): = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_{\nu}A_{\nu}(z) + b_{\nu}B_{\nu}(z))$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of **C** and represents an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that |H(x)| is bounded on **R**.

#### 3. Proof of the uniqueness theorem and some applications.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $\alpha$ : =  $\pi/2$ ,  $F \equiv 0$  and G(z): = f(z). By the Principal Lemma the representation (2.1) holds in the closed right half-plane where by statement (ii) of the same lemma  $\psi$  is holomorphic and of exponential type such that for every  $\beta \in (0, \pi/2)$ 

$$\psi(re^{i\theta}) = o(r) \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

uniformly on  $[-\beta, \beta]$ .

. 0

Clearly, the same observations hold also for the left half-plane, where the function  $\psi$  may a priori be different; call it  $\tilde{\psi}$ . Since the two representations must coincide on the imaginary axis so must  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$ . It follows that  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  are restrictions of the same entire function, which we will also denote by  $\psi$ , to the right and the left half-planes respectively. Applying Lemma 5 (ii) four times appropriately we deduce that

$$\psi(re^{i\theta}) = o(r) \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

uniformly for  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . According to a trivial generalization of Liouville's theorem the function  $\psi$  must be a constant. With this Theorem 1 is proved.

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we prove

THEOREM 2. Let f be an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  satisfying (1.12) and (1.13). If f(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$  then the series (1.14) converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of **C** and

(3.1) 
$$f(z) = R(f; z) + C_1 \sin(\pi z) + C_2 \sin(2\pi z),$$

where

(3.2) 
$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{4}{\pi} f'(0) + \frac{1}{\pi^{3}} f'''(0) \right),$$
$$C_{2} = -\frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} f'(0) + \frac{1}{\pi^{3}} f'''(0) \right).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 7, R(f; z) is an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that |R(f; x)| is bounded on the real axis. Thus the function f(z) - R(f; z) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and hence the representation (3.1) holds. Since for all  $\nu$ 

$$A'_{\nu}(0) = B'_{\nu}(0) = A'''_{\nu}(0) = B'''_{\nu}(0) = 0$$

we must have

$$\pi C_1 + 2\pi C_2 = f'(0),$$
  
$$-\pi^3 C_1 - (2\pi)^3 C_2 = f'''(0).$$

from which the desired values of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are deduced.

Theorem 2 readily implies:

COROLLARY 1. Let f be an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  satisfying (1.12) and (1.13). Then (1.18) holds provided f(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

**4.** Alternative sets of conditions. From the proof of Theorem 2 it is clear that the representation (3.1) would remain valid if the conditions (1.12) and (1.13) were replaced by alternative conditions which would ensure simply that

(4.1) 
$$R(f; x) = o(|x|)$$
 as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

We are thus led to

LEMMA 7'. Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be sequences of complex numbers such that

(4.2) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-n}^{n} |a_{\nu}| = o(n)$$

and

(4.3) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-n}^{n} |b_{\nu}| = o(n)$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , then the series (2.18) converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of **C** and represents an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad H(x) = o(|x|) \quad as \ x \to \pm \infty.$$

*Proof.* In what follows  $c_7, c_8, \ldots$  will denote appropriate constants. Let  $\mathscr{E}$  be any compact subset of C so that there exists an interger k with

$$\mathscr{E} \subset \{ z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \leq k \}.$$

In view of Lemma 6 we have for all  $z \in \mathscr{E}$ 

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_{\nu}(z) &| \leq c_{7}, \\ |B_{\nu}(z) &| \leq c_{7} \end{vmatrix} if |\nu| \leq 2k$$

and

$$|A_{\nu}(z)| \leq \frac{c_8}{|\nu|(|\nu| - k)}, \\ |B_{\nu}(z)| \leq \frac{c_8}{|\nu|(|\nu| - k)}, \end{cases} \text{ if } |\nu| \geq 2k.$$

In order to establish the absolute and uniform convergence of (2.18) under the conditions (4.2) and (4.3) it is therefore enough to show that the series

$$\sum_{|\nu| \ge 2k} \frac{|a_{\nu}| + |b_{\nu}|}{|n|(|\nu| - k)|}$$

converges. For this we may restrict ourselves to

$$S: = \sum_{\nu=2k}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{\nu}| + |b_{\nu}|}{\nu(\nu - k)}.$$

Clearly

$$S = \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{\nu+k}| + |b_{\nu+k}|}{(\nu+k)\nu} \leq \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{\nu+k}| + |b_{\nu+k}|}{\nu^2}$$

where Abel's summation readily shows that the latter series converges. Hence (2.18) represents an entire function which must be of exponential type  $2\pi$  as is clear from Lemma 6.

Now let us verify (4.4). Without loss of generality we may assume x to be positive. Then, using the fact that

(4.5) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} A_{\nu}(x) \\ B_{\nu}(x) \end{vmatrix} \leq c_{9}$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ 

we obtain

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n_x} |a_{\nu}A_{\nu}(x) + b_{\nu}B_{\nu}(x)| = o(n_x) \text{ as } x \to +\infty.$$

It remains to estimate

$$S_1: = \sum_{\nu \notin N(x)} \frac{|a_{\nu}|}{(\nu - n_x)^2}$$

and

$$S_2: = \sum_{\nu \notin N(x)} \frac{x}{|\nu(\nu - n_x)|} (|a_{\nu}| + |b_{\nu}|).$$

For this we split each of the sums  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  into four sums each, say  $S_{1,j}$ ,  $S_{2,j}$ , (j = 1, 2, 3, 4). The summation index  $\nu$  varies from

$12n_x + 1$ to $-1$	if $j = 1$ ,
2. $n_x + 1$ to $2n_x - 1$	if $j = 2$ ,
3. $-2n_x$ to $-\infty$	if $j = 3$ ,
4. $2n_{\rm y}$ to $\infty$	if $j = 4$ .

The sums  $S_{1,1}$  and  $S_{1,2}$  are obviously of order  $o(n_x)$ . As regards  $S_{1,3}$ ,  $S_{1,4}$  we may use the estimate

$$\frac{|a_{\nu}|}{(\nu - n_{\chi})^2} \leq c_{10} \frac{|a_{\nu}|}{\nu^2}.$$

Then Abel's summation shows that they exist and remain bounded as  $x \to +\infty$ . In the case of  $S_2$  it is sufficient to estimate  $S_{2,2}$  and  $S_{2,4}$ . But clearly

$$S_{2,2,} \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_x-1} \frac{1}{\nu} (|a_{\nu+n_x}| + |b_{\nu+n_x}|) = o(n_x) \text{ as } x \to +\infty$$

and

$$S_{2,4} \leq \sum_{\nu=n_x}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\nu^2} (|a_{\nu+n_x}| + |b_{\nu+n_x}|) = o(x) \text{ as } x \to +\infty,$$

where the last conclusion again follows by Abel's summation. With this the proof of (4.4) is complete.

Going through the proof of Theorem 2 we see that in view of Lemma 7' the following representation theorem also holds.

THEOREM 3. Let f be an entire function of exponential type  $2\pi$  such that

(4.6) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-n}^{n} |f(\nu)| = o(n)$$

and

(4.7) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-n}^{n} |f''(\nu)| = o(n)$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . If f(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$  then the conclusions of Theorem 2 remain valid.

In case f is of exponential type  $< 2\pi$  the hypothesis "f(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ " in Theorem 3 is superfluous as is shown by

LEMMA 8. Let f be holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$ . If

$$(4.8) \quad h_f(\pm \alpha) < 2\pi \sin \alpha,$$

(4.9) 
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |f(\nu)| = o(n) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and

(4.10) 
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |f''(\nu)| = o(n) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

then

(4.11) 
$$f(x) = o(x)$$
 as  $x \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let us take F: = f and

$$G(z): = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (f(\nu)A_{\nu}(z) + f''(\nu)B_{\nu}(z))$$

in the Principal Lemma. The statement (ii) of that lemma applies since in view of (4.8) and (4.9), Lemma 7' implies that G(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ . Hence (2.1) holds where the behaviour of the function  $\psi$  is given by (2.3). By Cauchy's integral formula

$$|\psi^{(k)}(x)| = \left|\frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-x| = x \sin \alpha/2} \frac{\psi(\zeta)}{(\zeta-x)^{k+1}} d\zeta\right| = o(|x|^{1-k})$$

because of (2.3). This fact easily leads us to the desired conclusion since using integration by parts we obtain

$$\int_0^x \psi(t) \sin(\pi t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} (\psi(0) - \psi(x) \cos(\pi x))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi^2}(\psi'(x)\sin(\pi x) - \int_0^x \psi''(t)\sin(\pi t)dt).$$

Theorem 3 combined with Lemma 8 gives:

THEOREM 4. The conclusions of Theorem 2 hold for all entire functions f of exponential type  $< 2\pi$  satisfying (4.6) and (4.7) (and so a fortiori for all entire functions of exponential type  $< 2\pi$  satisfying (1.12) and (1.13)).

In Corollary 1 as well, the condition "f(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ " is superfluous if f happens to be of exponential type  $< 2\pi$ . This is because of the following result which can be proved in much the same way as Lemma 8.

THEOREM 5. Let f be holomorphic and of exponential type in  $S(\alpha)$  satisfying (4.8). If

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |f(\nu)| < \infty$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |f''(\nu)| < \infty,$$

then

$$(4.14) \quad \sup_{x \ge 0} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

5. Conclusion and remarks. The results which correspond to Theorem A are Theorem 5 and Corollary 1. The analogy is not complete in as much as we do not give explicit upper bounds for  $\sup |f(x)|$ . Besides, a couple of remarks are in order.

*Remark* 1. One would like to know whether conditions (4.12) and (4.13) of Theorem 5 can be replaced by

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{\nu \ge 0} |f(\nu)| < \infty$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{\nu \ge 0} |f''(\nu)| < \infty$$

respectively. The answer is "no". Besides, the conclusion fails if the *n*-th partial sum of any of the two series in (4.12) and (4.13) is allowed to go to infinity even like  $l_k n$  the *k*-th iterate of log *n* for any arbitrary *k*.

In order to construct the necessary examples we define inductively

$$e_1$$
: = e,  $e_{k+1}$  = exp $(e_k)$   $(k = 1, 2, ...)$ 

and set

$$h(z): = l_{k+1}(e_k + z).$$

Then, the function

(5.3) 
$$f:z \to h(z)\sin(\pi z) + \frac{2}{\pi}h'(z)\cos(\pi z),$$

which is holomorphic and of exponential type in the right half-plane satisfies (4.8), (5.1),

(5.4) 
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |f(\nu)| = o(l_k n) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and (4.13). But obviously (4.14) does not hold. Similarly, the function

(5.5) 
$$f: z \to h(z) \sin(\pi z)$$

satisfies (4.8), (4.12), (5.2) and

(5.6) 
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |f''(\nu)| = o(l_k n) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

but again (4.14) does not hold.

Finally, we wish to mention that in Theorem 5, condition (4.8) cannot be replaced by

(4.8')  $h_f(\pm \alpha) \leq 2\pi \sin \alpha$ .

This is shown by the example

(5.7) 
$$f(z)$$
: =  $\pi z \sin(2\pi z) + \cos(2\pi z) - 1$ .

*Remark* 2. The conclusion of Corollary 1 does not hold if either the condition (1.12) is replaced by (1.16) or (1.17) is substituted for (1.13). Besides, any of the partial sums

$$\sum_{\nu = -n}^{n} |f(\nu)| \text{ and } \sum_{\nu = -n}^{n} |f''(\nu)|$$

cannot be allowed to go to infinity even like  $l_k n$ .

In order to construct the necessary examples we choose  $\delta$  in  $(0, \pi)$  and define

(5.8) 
$$h(z): = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin(\delta(z-t)/4)}{z-t}\right)^4 l_{k+1} \sqrt{e_k^2 + t^2} dt$$
$$\div \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin(\delta t/4)}{t}\right)^4 dt.$$

It is clear that h is an entire function of exponential type  $\delta$ . Besides, it is easily checked that

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}} |h'(\nu)| < \infty,$$

$$\sum_{\nu = -n}^{n} |h'(\nu)| = O(l_{k+1}n) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

$$\sum_{\nu = -\infty}^{\infty} |h'''(\nu)| < \infty,$$

whereas

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |h(x) - l_{k+1}\sqrt{e_k^2 + x^2}| < \infty.$$

Thus, substituting (5.8) in (5.3) we see that in Corollary 1, condition (1.12) can neither be replaced by (1.16) nor by

(5.9) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-n}^{n} |f(\nu)| = o(l_k n) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Similarly, substituting (5.8) in (5.5) we see that condition (1.13) of Corollary 1 can neither be replaced by (1.17) nor by

(5.10) 
$$\sum_{\nu=-n}^{n} |f''(\nu)| = o(l_k n) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

We also wish to point out that the conclusion of Corollary 1 does not hold if the condition "f(x) = o(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ " is replaced by "f(x) = O(|x|) as  $x \to \pm \infty$ ". Example (5.7) shows it.

Finally, we observe that Corollary 1 does not extend to functions of exponential type in a half-plane. Indeed, the function

$$f(z): = \left( (\log(z + 1))\cos(\pi z) - \int_0^z \frac{1}{t + 1}\cos(\pi t)dt \right) \sin(\pi z)$$

is holomorphic and of exponential type  $2\pi$  in the right half-plane such that  $f(x) = O(\log x) = o(x)$  as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$f(v) = f''(v) = 0 \quad (v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1986-061-2 Published online by Cambridge University Press

## while (4.14) does not hold.

#### References

- J. Balázs and P. Turán, Notes on interpolation. II. (Explicit formulae), Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 8 (1957), 201-215.
- 2. —— Notes on interpolation. III. (Convergence), Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 9 (1958), 195-214.
- 3. —— Notes on interpolation. IV. (Inequalities), Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 9 (1958), 243-258.
- 4. R. P. Boas, Jr., Entire functions (Academic Press, New York, 1954).
- 5. R. Gervais and Q. I. Rahman, An extension of Carlson's theorem for entire functions of exponential type, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 235 (1978), 387-394.
- 6. —— An extension of Carlson's theorem for entire functions of exponential type. II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 585-602.
- R. Gervais, Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Simultaneous interpolation and approximation by entire functions of exponential type, Numerische Methoden der Approximationstheorie, Band 4, ISNM 42 (Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, 1978), 145-153.
- Simultaneous interpolation and approximation, In, Polynomial and spline approximation (D. Reidel Publ. Comp., Dordrecht-Boston, 1979), 203-223.
- 9. ——— Approximation by (0, 2)-interpolating entire functions of exponential type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 82 (1981), 184-199.
- O. Kiš, On trigonometric interpolation (Russian), Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 11 (1960), 255-276.
- 11. A. Sharma and A. K. Varma, *Trigonometric interpolation*, Duke Math. J. 32 (1965), 341-358.
- 12. J. Surányi and P. Turán, Notes on interpolation. I. (On some interpolatorical properties of the ultraspherical polynomials), Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 6 (1955), 67-79.

Collège militaire royal de Saint-Jean, Saint-Jean, Québec; Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec; Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, West Germany