

## EXPANSIONS WITH POISSON KERNELS AND RELATED TOPICS

CRISTINA GIANNOTTI<sup>1</sup> AND PAOLO MANSELLI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, University of Camerino,  
Via Madonna delle Carceri, 62032 Camerino (Macerata), Italy  
(cristina.giannotti@unicam.it)*

<sup>2</sup>*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni per l'Architettura,  
Università di Firenze, Piazza Ghiberti 27, 50100 Firenze,  
Italy (manselli@unifi.it)*

(Received 4 October 2007)

*Abstract* Let  $P(r, \theta)$  be the two-dimensional Poisson kernel in the unit disc  $D$ . It is proved that there exists a special sequence  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}$  of points of  $D$  which is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$  and such that any function on  $\partial D$  can be expanded in series of  $P(|\mathbf{a}_k|, (\cdot) - \arg \mathbf{a}_k)$  with coefficients depending continuously on  $f$  in various classes of functions. The result is used to solve a Cauchy-type problem for  $\Delta u = \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is a measure supported on  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}$ .

*Keywords:* Poisson kernels; interpolation; Cauchy problem

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 42C30  
Secondary 42–99

### 1. Introduction

Let  $P(r, \theta)$  be the two-dimensional Poisson kernel in the disc  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ :

$$P(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

The function  $P(r, \cdot)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic oscillatory function and it is natural to ask if superpositions of functions of the form  $P(r_\mu, (\cdot) - \theta_\mu)$ , for suitable values of  $r_\mu$  and  $\theta_\mu$ , might approximate functions on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This problem and related ones have been studied by Bonsall [2–4], Bonsall and Walsh [5] and Hayman and Lyons [10]; it turns out that, if the sequence of points  $\mathbf{b}_\mu = r_\mu e^{\theta_\mu i}$  is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$  (see §4 for the definition), then every  $f \in L^1(\partial D)$  can be written as

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\mu P(r_\mu, (\cdot) - \theta_\mu) \quad \text{with } \{\lambda_\mu\} \in \ell^1.$$

The solution is non-unique and the series converges in  $L^1(\partial D)$ .

Our approach is somewhat different. We choose, once and for all, points  $\mathbf{a}_\mu$  in the following way.

Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , suitably chosen; for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us denote by  $\zeta_{2n,l}^{(1)}, \zeta_{2n,l}^{(2)}$ , with  $0 \leq l \leq 2n - 1$ , the  $2n$ th roots of  $\sigma^2$  and  $-\sigma^2$ , respectively, ordered as follows:

$$\zeta_{2n,l}^{(1)} = \sigma^{1/n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{n} li \right\}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\zeta_{2n,l}^{(2)} = \sigma^{1/n} \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{2n} - \frac{\pi}{n} l \right) i \right\}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Our choice for the points in  $D$  is  $\mathbf{a}_0 = 0, \mathbf{a}_\mu = \zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}$ , where  $\mu = 1 + 2(n-1)n + 2(j-1)n + l$ . It turns out that  $\mathcal{N} := \cup \{ \mathbf{a}_\mu : \mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \}$  has no limit points in  $D$  and it is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n^j(\theta) := \frac{1}{2n\sigma} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} [P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2h}^{(j)}) - P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2h+1}^{(j)})].$$

It will be proved that the functions  $\mathcal{P}_n^j$  are uniformly bounded and periodic of period  $2\pi/n$ . Our main goal is to represent functions  $f$  on  $\partial D$  as sums of the form

$$a_0 P(0, (\cdot)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1 + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2),$$

so that there is a one-to-one mapping between  $f$  and the expansion above in several classes of functions.

Our main result is the following. Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the space of the sums of absolutely convergent Fourier series in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then every  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  can be written as either

$$f(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta) \tag{1.3}$$

or

$$f(\theta) = a_0 P(0, \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta) + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta)), \tag{1.4}$$

$\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ . There is a one-to-one continuous mapping in  $\ell^1$  between  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{a_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$ ; both (1.3) and (1.4) satisfy the Weierstrass  $M$ -test and are absolutely and uniformly convergent.

In other words, every  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  can be approximated by suitable linear combination of Poisson kernels, with continuous dependence upon the coefficients.

Sharper results are proved if derivatives of  $f$  are in  $\mathbb{A}$ .

If  $1 < p < \infty$ , it is proved that there is a one-to-one continuous mapping  $I + X$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  with the following property. Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$g(\theta) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos n\theta + \beta_n \sin n\theta) = (I + X)f;$$

one can formally write the expansion (1.4) using the Fourier coefficients  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  of  $g$ ; then, the partial sums of the series in the right-hand side of (1.4) tend to  $f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ .

The approximation theorems are used to solve the following problems.

Let  $f^{(0)}, df^{(0)}/d\theta \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $f^{(1)} \in \mathbb{A}$ . Then, there exists a Radon complex measure  $\mu$ , supported on  $\mathcal{N}$ , with the following property. The Cauchy-type problem:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \mu \quad \text{in } D, \\ u|_{\partial D} &= f^{(0)}, \\ \partial_n u|_{\partial D} &= f^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.5}$$

has a (distribution) solution  $u \in W^{1,p}(D)$ ,  $1 \leq p < 2$ ; the outer normal derivative  $\partial_n u$  is defined in a generalized sense. Our solution is different from the classical harmonic solutions, which assume that the boundary data have radial limits in a set of first category (see, for example, [12, p. 76] or [6, Theorem 8.11]). Problem (1.5) can be solved using the approach in [5]; however, the solution is not unique and does not depend continuously upon the data. Our solution, instead, continuously depends upon the data. In [9] we use this solution for solving a Cauchy-type problem for homogeneous two-dimensional elliptic equations.

Our final application is an interpolation-type theorem for harmonic functions in  $D$ . Notice that the points in  $\mathcal{N}$  are not uniformly separated (in a Carleson sense; see, for example, [11]). It turns out that (in some sense) the points in  $\mathcal{N}$  are too numerous: a uniqueness result can be proved, but complicated compatibility conditions on the function's values need to be assumed, for the existence result.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2 some contractions in spaces of sequences and in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , are studied. These results are needed to prove the expansion theorems. In § 3 preliminary results on Poisson kernels are considered and the expansion theorems are proved. In § 4 a more detailed comparison with previous results is made. In § 5 the Cauchy problem is studied. In § 6 the interpolation result is proved.

## 2. On some contractions in $\ell^p$ and $L^p(\mathbb{T})$

Let  $\ell^1$  be the Banach space of the complex sequences  $x = \{x_j\}$  such that  $\|x\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_j |x_j|$  is finite. Recall that the dual space  $(\ell^1)'$  of  $\ell^1$  may be identified with the space  $\ell^\infty$  of the bounded sequences  $x = \{x_j\}$  with norm  $\|x\|_{\ell^\infty} = \sup_j |x_j|$ .

Let us now introduce four operators that will be used in the paper: for any given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , let  $\psi_k$ ,  $C_\sigma^\gamma$ ,  $S_\sigma^\gamma$  and  $m_\gamma$  be the operators which act on  $x = \{x_j\}$  as follows:

$$\psi_k(x) = \{y_j\}, \quad \text{where } y_j = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } j = kn, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

$$C_\sigma^\gamma(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p} \psi_{2p+1}(x), \tag{2.2}$$

$$S_\sigma^\gamma(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p} \psi_{2p+1}(x), \quad (2.3)$$

$$m_\gamma(x) = \left\{ \frac{x_j}{j^\gamma} \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Basic properties of these operators are the following.

**Lemma 2.1.**

- (a) For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$  the operators  $\psi_k$ ,  $C_\sigma^\gamma$ ,  $S_\sigma^\gamma$  and  $m_\gamma$  are bounded, linear operators from  $\ell^1$  to  $\ell^1$ .
- (b) For any  $\gamma \geq 0$  there exists a constant  $\sigma_\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $0 < \sigma < \sigma_\gamma$ , the operators  $C_\sigma^\gamma$  and  $S_\sigma^\gamma$  are contractions on  $\ell^1$ . In particular, when  $\gamma = 0$ , the constant  $\sigma_0$  is  $1/\sqrt{2}$ . It follows that, for any  $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_\gamma)$ , the operators  $(I + C_\sigma^\gamma)$  and  $(I + S_\sigma^\gamma)$  are invertible on  $\ell^1$ .
- (c) For any  $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_\gamma)$  and for any  $x \in \ell^1$ ,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_\gamma((I + C_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}(x)) &= (I + C_\sigma^0)^{-1}(m_\gamma(x)), \\ m_\gamma((I + S_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}(x)) &= (I + S_\sigma^0)^{-1}(m_\gamma(x)). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

**Proof.** For any  $x \in \ell^1$ , we have that  $\|\psi_k(x)\|_{\ell^1} = \|x\|_{\ell^1}$  and

$$\|C_\sigma^\gamma(x)\|_{\ell^1}, \|S_\sigma^\gamma(x)\|_{\ell^1} \leq \|x\|_{\ell^1} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p}.$$

Claim (a) follows.

To prove (b), observe that, by the uniform convergence of the previous series with respect to  $\sigma$  in any compact subset of  $[0, 1)$ , it follows that there exists a constant  $\sigma_\gamma$  such that the sum is less than 1 for  $\sigma < \sigma_\gamma$ . If  $\gamma = 0$ , the sum of the series is  $\sigma^2/(1 - \sigma^2)$  and hence  $\sigma_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ .

Now, notice that

$$(m_\gamma(\psi_k(x)))_j = \begin{cases} \frac{x_n}{(kn)^\gamma} & \text{if } j = kn, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$m_\gamma(\psi_k(x)) = \frac{1}{k^\gamma} \psi_k(m_\gamma(x)).$$

Therefore, for any  $x \in \ell^1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_\gamma(C_\sigma^\gamma(x)) &= m_\gamma\left(\sum_{p=1}^\infty (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p} \psi_{2p+1}(x)\right) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^\infty \sigma^{2p} \psi_{2p+1}(m_\gamma(x)) \\ &= C_\sigma^0(m_\gamma(x)). \end{aligned}$$

From this the first equality in (c) follows. The other equality is proved similarly.  $\square$

We consider now the adjoint operators  $m_\gamma^*, \psi_k^*, (C_\sigma^\gamma)^*, (S_\sigma^\gamma)^* : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ . For such operators, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.2.**

(a) For any  $y = \{y_j\} \in \ell^\infty$ ,

$$m_\gamma^*(y) = m_\gamma(y), \quad \psi_k^*(y) = \{y_{kj}\}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(C_\sigma^\gamma)^*(y) = \sum_{p=1}^\infty (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p} \psi_{2p+1}^*(y), \tag{2.7}$$

$$(S_\sigma^\gamma)^*(y) = \sum_{p=1}^\infty (-1)^p (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p} \psi_{2p+1}^*(y) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$m_\gamma((C_\sigma^0)^*(y)) = (C_\sigma^\gamma)^*(m_\gamma(y)), \quad m_\gamma((S_\sigma^0)^*(y)) = (S_\sigma^\gamma)^*(m_\gamma(y)). \tag{2.9}$$

(b) For any  $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_\gamma)$ , the operators  $(C_\sigma^\gamma)^*$  and  $(S_\sigma^\gamma)^*$  are contractions on  $\ell^\infty$  and

$$(I + (C_\sigma^\gamma)^*)^{-1} = [(I + C_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}]^*, \quad (I + (S_\sigma^\gamma)^*)^{-1} = [(I + S_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}]^*. \tag{2.10}$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove (a). Formula (2.6) follows from

$$\langle y, \psi_k(x) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^\infty y_j (\psi_k(x))_j = \sum_{n=1}^\infty y_{kn} x_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\psi_k^*(y))_n x_n,$$

and (2.7) and (2.8) follow from definitions. The first equality in (2.9) is obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} m_\gamma((C_\sigma^0)^*(x)) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{j^\gamma} \sum_{p=1}^\infty \sigma^{2p} x_{(2p+1)j} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^\infty (2p+1)^\gamma \sigma^{2p} \frac{x_{(2p+1)j}}{[(2p+1)j]^\gamma} \right\} \\ &= (C_\sigma^\gamma)^*(m_\gamma(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and the second is obtained similarly.  $\square$

Now, let us consider the space  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and its closed subspace

$$\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ g \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) : \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \, d\theta = 0 \right\}.$$

For  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , let us define

$$X(g(\theta)) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2\nu} g((-1)^\nu (2\nu + 1)\theta). \quad (2.11)$$

Then, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *The operator  $X$  maps  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$  in  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$  and, for any  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ ,  $X$  is a contraction on  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$ .*

**Proof.** The first part is obvious. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2\nu} g((-1)^\nu (2\nu + 1)(\cdot)) \right\|_p &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2\nu} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |g((-1)^\nu (2\nu + 1)\theta)|^p \, d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2\nu} \left( \frac{(-1)^\nu}{2\nu + 1} \int_0^{2\pi(2\nu+1)(-1)^\nu} |g(\phi)|^p \, d\phi \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \|g\|_p \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \sigma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $X$  is bounded and that, for  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ ,  $X$  is a contraction on  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

### 3. Expansions in terms of Poisson kernels

Let us recall the following simple fact [13, p. 127].

**Fact 3.1.** Let  $F(z)$  be a continuous function of the form  $F(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p$  on the closed disc  $|z| \leq R$ . Then for any integer  $n$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} F(R e^{2h\pi i/n}) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{np} R^{np}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now, let

$$S(r, \theta) := \frac{1 + r e^{i\theta}}{2(1 - r e^{i\theta})} = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} r^p e^{ip\theta}, \quad r \in [0, 1), \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.2)$$

be the so-called *Schwarz kernel*. Its real part is the Poisson kernel  $P(r, \theta)$ .

The following facts hold.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\theta) := \frac{S(\sigma, n\theta) - S(\sigma, n\theta + \pi)}{2\sigma} = e^{in\theta} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2p} e^{i(2p+1)n\theta} \tag{3.3}$$

is periodic with period  $2\pi/n$  and

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{2n\sigma} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left[ S\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) - S\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) \right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover,  $|\mathcal{S}_n(\theta)| \leq 1/(1 - \sigma^2)$ .

**Proof.** From the definition (3.2) of the Schwarz kernel, one can directly obtain the following identity:

$$S(r, \theta) - S(r, \theta + \pi) = 2 \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} r^{2p+1} e^{i(2p+1)\theta}, \tag{3.5}$$

and (3.3) follows from (3.5).

Now, consider formula (3.1) for  $R = 1$  and  $F(z) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} r^p e^{ip\theta} z^p$ :

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} r^p \exp \left\{ ip \left( \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n} \right) \right\} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} r^{np} e^{inp\theta}.$$

So, by (3.2),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} S\left(r, \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) = S(r^n, n\theta).$$

Hence, setting  $\sigma = r^n$ , (3.4) follows. The last bound is a consequence of the identity

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\theta) = \frac{e^{in\theta}}{1 - \sigma^2 e^{2in\theta}}.$$

□

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the functions  $\mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta) := \text{Re } \mathcal{S}_n(\theta)$  satisfy the following identities:*

$$\mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta) = \frac{P(\sigma, n\theta) - P(\sigma, n\theta + \pi)}{2\sigma} = \cos(n\theta) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2p} \cos[(2p + 1)n\theta], \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta) = \frac{1}{2n\sigma} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left[ P\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) - P\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) \right]. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}_n^1$  is periodic of period  $2\pi/n$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta)| \leq 1/(1 - \sigma^2)$ .

The functions  $\mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta) := \mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta - (\pi/2n))$  satisfy the identities

$$\mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta) = \frac{P(\sigma, n\theta - \frac{1}{2}\pi) - P(\sigma, n\theta + \frac{1}{2}\pi)}{2\sigma} = \sin(n\theta) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p \sigma^{2p} \sin[(2p + 1)n\theta], \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2n\sigma} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left[ P\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n} - \frac{\pi}{2n}\right) - P\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) \right]. \tag{3.9}$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}_n^2$  is periodic of period  $2\pi/n$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta)| \leq 1/(1 - \sigma^2)$ .

**Proof.** Formulae (3.6) and (3.7) are the real parts of (3.3) and (3.4), respectively. Formulae (3.8) and (3.9) follow from (3.6) and (3.7) by replacing  $\theta$  with  $\theta - (\pi/2n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Notice that (3.7) and (3.9) can also be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_n^j(\theta) := \frac{1}{2n\sigma} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} [P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2h}^{(j)}) - P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2h+1}^{(j)})], \tag{3.10}$$

where  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}$  are the points in (1.1), (1.2),  $j = 1, 2$ .

For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us denote by  $c(\theta)$ ,  $s(\theta)$  and  $\mathcal{P}^j(\theta)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , the following elements in  $\ell^\infty$ :

$$c(\theta) = \{\cos n\theta\}, \quad s(\theta) = \{\sin n\theta\}, \quad \mathcal{P}^j(\theta) = \{\mathcal{P}_n^j(\theta)\}.$$

Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ , we have

(i) for any  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^1(\theta) &= (I + (C_\sigma^0)^*)(c(\theta)), & \mathcal{P}^2(\theta) &= (I + (S_\sigma^0)^*)(s(\theta)), \\ m_\gamma(\mathcal{P}^1(\theta)) &= (I + (C_\sigma^\gamma)^*)m_\gamma(c(\theta)), & m_\gamma(\mathcal{P}^2(\theta)) &= (I + (S_\sigma^\gamma)^*)m_\gamma(s(\theta)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\tag{3.12}$$

(ii) for any  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ ,

$$c(\theta) = [(I + C_\sigma^0)^{-1}]^*(\mathcal{P}^1(\theta)), \quad s(\theta) = [(I + S_\sigma^0)^{-1}]^*(\mathcal{P}^2(\theta)), \tag{3.13}$$

(iii) for any  $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_\gamma)$ ,

$$m_\gamma(c(\theta)) = [(I + C_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}]^*(m_\gamma(\mathcal{P}^1(\theta))), \quad m_\gamma(s(\theta)) = [(I + S_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}]^*(m_\gamma(\mathcal{P}^2(\theta))). \tag{3.14}$$

**Proof.** The identities (3.11) are simply the identities (3.6) and (3.8) written in terms of the operators  $(C_\sigma^0)^*$ ,  $(S_\sigma^0)^*$ . Formulae (3.12) follow from (3.11) and (2.9). Equalities (3.13) and (3.14) follow from (3.11), (3.12) and Lemma 2.2 (b).  $\square$

Let us denote by  $\mathbb{A}$  the space of the (complex-valued) functions which are sums of absolutely convergent Fourier series, i.e. of the functions of the form

$$f(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta) \tag{3.15}$$

with  $a = \{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $b = \{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\ell^1$  (for more about this space, see, for example, [1, 15]). For any  $\gamma > 0$ , also let  $\mathbb{A}^{(\gamma)}$  be the space of the functions  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  of the form (3.15) with  $a = m_\gamma(a^1)$ ,  $b = m_\gamma(b^1)$  and  $a^1 = \{a_n^1\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $b^1 = \{b_n^1\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\ell^1$ . Notice that if  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ , the condition  $f \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma$  is equivalent to saying that

$$\frac{d^m}{d\theta^m} f \in \mathbb{A}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq \gamma.$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$  and let  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  be of the form (3.15). Then  $f$  can be written as*

$$f(\theta) = a_0 P(0, \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta) + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta)), \tag{3.16}$$

with  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}, \beta = \{\beta_n\} \in \ell^1$  given by

$$\alpha = (I + C_\sigma^0)^{-1} a, \quad \beta = (I + S_\sigma^0)^{-1} b. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, if  $f$  can be written in the form (3.16) with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\ell^1$ , then  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  and it can be written as in (3.15), setting  $a = (I + C_\sigma^0)\alpha$  and  $b = (I + S_\sigma^0)\beta$ . Both the series (3.15) and (3.16) satisfy the Weierstrass  $M$ -test and are absolutely and uniformly convergent.

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$  and  $f \in \mathbb{A}$ . Then (3.15) can be written as

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \langle c(\theta), a \rangle + \langle s(\theta), b \rangle, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us write  $a = (I + C_\sigma^0)\alpha, b = (I + S_\sigma^0)\beta$ . Then

$$f(\theta) = a_0 P(0, \theta) + \langle (I + C_\sigma^0)^* c(\theta), \alpha \rangle + \langle (I + S_\sigma^0)^* s(\theta), \beta \rangle;$$

by (3.11) one gets (3.16).

In a similar way, if  $f$  is of the form

$$f(\theta) = a_0 P(0, \theta) + \langle \mathcal{P}^1(\theta), \alpha \rangle + \langle \mathcal{P}^2(\theta), \beta \rangle,$$

using (3.17) and (3.11), we obtain (3.15). □

**Remark 3.7.** Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$  and  $f \in \mathbb{A}$ . Using (3.7), (3.9) and Remark 3.4, (3.16) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\theta) = & \alpha_0 P(0, \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2p}^{(1)}) - P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(1)})] \\
 & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2p}^{(2)}) - P(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta - \arg \zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(2)})].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.18}$$

In other words,  $f$  is approximated by linear combinations of delayed Poisson kernels.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\gamma > 0$  and let  $\sigma$  be fixed in the interval  $(0, \sigma_\gamma)$ , where  $\sigma_\gamma$  is the constant in Lemma 2.1. Assume that  $f \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma$  is of the form (3.15) with  $a = m_\gamma(a^1)$  and  $b = m_\gamma(b^1)$ ,  $a^1 \in \ell^1$ ,  $b^1 \in \ell^1$ . Let  $\alpha^1 = (I + C_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}a^1$ ,  $\beta^1 = (I + S_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}b^1$ . Then,  $f$  can be written in the form (3.16) with

$$\alpha = m_\gamma(\alpha^1) = (I + C_\sigma^0)^{-1}a \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\beta = m_\gamma(\beta^1) = (I + S_\sigma^0)^{-1}b. \tag{3.20}$$

As in the previous theorem, the converse also holds.

**Proof.** Using (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \langle c(\theta), m_\gamma a^1 \rangle + \langle s(\theta), m_\gamma b^1 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \langle m_\gamma c(\theta), a^1 \rangle + \langle m_\gamma s(\theta), b^1 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \langle [(I + C_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}]^* m_\gamma \mathcal{P}^1(\theta), a^1 \rangle + \langle [(I + S_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}]^* m_\gamma \mathcal{P}^2(\theta), b^1 \rangle \\
 &= a_0 P(0, \theta) + \langle \mathcal{P}^1(\theta), m_\gamma (I + C_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1} a^1 \rangle + \langle \mathcal{P}^2(\theta), m_\gamma (I + S_\sigma^\gamma)^{-1} b^1 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) and defining  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as in (3.19), (3.20), we obtain the thesis. □

Our last expansion theorem is for functions in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . Recall that, if  $X$  is the operator in (2.11) and  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ , by Lemma 2.3,  $X$  is a contraction in  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$ , so that for any  $h \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$  the equation  $g + X(g) = h$  has a unique solution  $g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$f(\theta) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta)$$

and let  $g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$  be the solution to

$$g + X(g) = f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \, d\theta \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T}).$$

Let

$$g(\theta) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos n\theta + \beta_n \sin n\theta). \tag{3.21}$$

be the Fourier expansion of  $g$ . Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| f - \frac{a_0}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1 + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2) \right\|_p = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand, if  $g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{T})$  is of the form (3.21) and  $f$  is defined as

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \, d\theta + g + X(g),$$

then (3.22) holds.

**Proof.** By (2.11), (3.6) and (3.8), we have that  $(I + X)(\cos n\theta) = \mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta)$  and  $(I + X)(\sin n\theta) = \mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta)$ . From this and by Lemma 2.3 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f - \frac{a_0}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1 + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2) \right\|_p \\ &= \left\| g + X(g) - \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha_n (I + X)(\cos n(\cdot)) + \beta_n (I + X)(\sin n(\cdot))) \right\|_p \\ &= \left\| (I + X) \left( g - \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha_n \cos n(\cdot) + \beta_n \sin n(\cdot)) \right) \right\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sigma^2} \left\| g - \sum_{n=1}^N (\alpha_n \cos n(\cdot) + \beta_n \sin n(\cdot)) \right\|_p \end{aligned}$$

tends to zero when  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Remark 3.10.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$f(\theta) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta);$$

then  $g$ , defined by

$$g + X(g) = f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \, d\theta,$$

satisfies  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and can be written as in (3.21). In this case, however, one cannot have a convergence as in (3.22). Using the notation of the previous theorem, a weaker convergence such as

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \left\| f - \frac{a_0}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1 + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2) \right\|_1 = 0$$

holds.

As a first application of Theorem 3.6 we give an expansion as a sum of Poisson kernels for harmonic functions  $u$  in  $D$  such that  $u|_{\partial D} \in \mathbb{A}$ . We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $0 \leq r_1, r_2 < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r_1, \theta - t)P(r_2^n, nt) dt = P((r_1r_2)^n, n\theta). \tag{3.23}$$

**Proof.** Notice that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function

$$v(re^{i\theta}) = P((r_1r_2)^n, n\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (r_1r_2)^{n\nu} \cos n\nu\theta$$

is harmonic in  $D$  and  $v(e^{i\theta}) = P(r_2^n, n\theta)$ . Then, representing  $v$  by Poisson’s formula, we obtain (3.23). □

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$  and  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  be of the form in (3.15). Then, the solution  $u$  to the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= 0 && \text{in } D, \\ u &= f && \text{on } \partial D \end{aligned}$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u(re^{i\theta}) &= a_0P(0, \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma} [P(r^n\sigma, n\theta) - P(r^n\sigma, n\theta + \pi)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma} [P(r^n\sigma, n\theta - \frac{1}{2}\pi) - P(r^n\sigma, n\theta + \frac{1}{2}\pi)], \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ , given by (3.17), are the coefficients in the expansion (3.16) of  $f$ . Moreover, if  $\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}, n \in \mathbb{N}, l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1, j = 1, 2$ , are the points in (1.1), (1.2), let

$$A^{(j)} := \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} [u(\zeta_{2n,2h}^{(j)}) - u(\zeta_{2n,2h+1}^{(j)})] \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then  $A^{(j)} \in \ell^1, j = 1, 2$ , and

$$A^{(1)} = 2\sigma(I + (C_\sigma^0)^*)(a), \tag{3.25}$$

$$A^{(2)} = 2\sigma(I + (S_\sigma^0)^*)(b), \tag{3.26}$$

where  $a, b$  are the sequences of the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ .

**Proof.** Let us write  $f$  in the expansion (3.16). By Poisson’s formula, as the series in (3.16) is uniformly convergent, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(re^{i\theta}) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, \theta - t) f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{a_0}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, \theta - t) P(0, t) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (P(\sigma, nt) - P(\sigma, nt + \pi)) P(r, \theta - t) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (P(\sigma, nt - \frac{1}{2}\pi) - P(\sigma, nt + \frac{1}{2}\pi)) P(r, \theta - t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

From this, applying Lemma 3.11, we obtain (3.24).

Moreover, again by Poisson’s formula, we have

$$A^{(1)} = \left\{ \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \left[ P\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n}\right) - P\left(\sigma^{1/n}, \theta + \frac{2\pi h}{n} + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \right] d\theta \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Therefore, by (3.7) and (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(1)} &= \left\{ \frac{2\sigma}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \left( \cos n\theta + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2p} \cos[(2p + 1)n\theta] \right) d\theta \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= 2\sigma \left\{ a_n + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{2p} a_{(2p+1)n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= 2\sigma(I + (C_\sigma^0)^*)(a), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. (3.25) holds. Formula (3.26) has a similar proof. □

#### 4. Comparison with previous results

Let us recall (see, for example, [5]) that a subset  $E$  of  $D$  is called non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$  if almost every point of  $\partial D$  is the non-tangential limit of some sequence in  $E$ . More precisely, for  $w \in \partial D$ ,  $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , let us denote by  $\Delta_{w,\psi,\epsilon}$  the symmetric Stolz angle with vertex  $w$  and of opening  $2\psi$ , i.e.

$$\Delta_{w,\psi,\epsilon} = \{z \in D : |\arg(1 - \bar{w}z)| < \psi, |z - w| < \epsilon\}.$$

Then,  $E$  is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$  if, for almost all  $w \in \partial D$ , there exists  $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$  such that  $E \cap \Delta_{w,\psi,\epsilon} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Let us recall the following results.

**Fact 4.1 (Bonsall [2]).** Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathfrak{b}_j\}$  be a subset of  $D$  which is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$ . Then,  $L^1(\partial D)$  is the set of all functions  $f$  of the form

$$f = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\mu P(|\mathfrak{b}_k|, (\cdot) - \arg \mathfrak{b}_k) \tag{4.1}$$

with  $\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{\mu}| < \infty$ . Also

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\partial D)} = \inf \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{\mu}|,$$

with the infimum taken over all decompositions (4.1).

**Fact 4.2 (Bonsall [3]).** Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{b_j\}$  be a subset of  $D$  which is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$  and let  $BH(D)$  be the family of bounded complex valued harmonic functions in  $D$ . Then, for all  $u \in BH(D)$ ,

$$\sup_{z \in D} |u(z)| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |u(b_n)|.$$

**Fact 4.3 (Bonsall and Walsh [5]).** The map  $T$  of  $\ell^1$  into  $L^1(\partial D)$  given by (4.1) is onto (Fact 4.1) and  $\ker T \neq \{0\}$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of points defined in §1. Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$ . To prove this, let  $\psi$  be such that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} (\tan \psi) \log \frac{1}{\sigma} > 1.$$

We will prove that, for every  $w \in \partial D$ ,  $n$  sufficiently large and  $j = 1, 2$ , one has  $\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)} \in \Delta_{w,\psi,\epsilon}$  for some  $l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ . This is certainly true if, for  $n$  sufficiently large, the arc  $\Delta_{w,\psi,\epsilon} \cap \{|z| = \sigma^{1/n}\}$  bounds a sector, centred at 0, with opening  $2\varphi_n > \pi/n$ . As

$$\frac{\sigma^{1/n}}{\sin \psi} = \frac{1}{\sin(\varphi_n + \psi)},$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\varphi_n}{\pi/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2(\arcsin((\sin \psi)/\sigma^{1/n}) - \psi)}{\pi/n} = \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{\sigma} \tan \psi.$$

From this fact, the thesis follows.

**Remark 4.5.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  and let  $\mathcal{M} = \{b_{\nu}\} \subset D$  be a set which is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$ . As  $\mathbb{A} \subset L^1(\partial D)$ , there are infinitely many  $\lambda \in \ell^1$  such that  $f$  can be written in the form (4.1). The series in (4.1) converges in  $L^1(\partial D)$ , but nothing can be said about the continuous dependence of the  $\ell^1$  norm of  $\lambda$  upon the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ .

If we choose  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ , our results imply that in (4.1) (or, more explicitly, in (3.18)) one can make a choice for  $\lambda$  in order to obtain more precise results:

- (i) there is a one-to-one mapping between the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  and the coefficients of the expansion in Poisson kernels (now written as (3.16));
- (ii) if we start with  $f \in L^1(\partial D)$ , and  $f$  is the sum of a series of the form (3.16) with coefficients in  $\ell^1$ , then  $f \in \mathbb{A}$ .

**5. A Cauchy-type problem**

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathfrak{b}_\nu\} \subset D$  be a set which is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$ , without limit points in  $D$ . Let us consider a class of functions of the form

$$u(z) = h(z) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu G(z, \mathfrak{b}_\nu), \tag{5.1}$$

where  $h$  is harmonic in  $D$ , of the form

$$h(\rho e^{i\theta}) = h_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h'_n \cos n\theta + h''_n \sin n\theta}{n} \rho^n$$

with

$$h_n \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h'_n \cos n\theta + h''_n \sin n\theta) \in L^1(\partial D);$$

$G(z, \zeta)$  is the Green function in  $D$  for the Laplacian

$$G(z, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left| \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right|, \quad z \neq \zeta,$$

and  $\lambda = \{\lambda_\nu\}$  is a sequence in  $\ell^1$ . The function  $u - h$  belongs to  $W^{1,p}(D)$ ,  $1 \leq p < 2$ ,  $u$  is smooth in  $D \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and has a distributional Laplacian which is a complex measure  $\mu$  supported on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mu := \Delta u = - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \delta_{\mathfrak{b}_\nu} \tag{5.2}$$

( $\delta_\zeta$  denotes the Dirac function with singularity  $\zeta$ ). A generalized (exterior) normal derivative  $\partial_n u$  on  $\partial D$  can be defined as

$$\partial_n u = h_n - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu P(|\mathfrak{b}_\nu|, \theta - \arg \mathfrak{b}_\nu). \tag{5.3}$$

By Fact 4.1 and the properties of  $h$ , we have that  $u|_{\partial D}$  is in  $L^p(\partial D)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\partial_n u$  is in  $L^1(\partial D)$ . The next lemma shows that it satisfies natural boundary integral formulae.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $u$  be of the form (5.1). Then, for any  $v \in C^1(\bar{D})$ ,  $v$  harmonic in  $D$ ,*

$$\int_D v \, d\mu = \int_{\partial D} \left( v \partial_n u - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right) ds. \tag{5.4}$$

**Proof.** Since

$$\int_{\partial D} \left( v h_n - h \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right) ds = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D} \left( v \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right) ds &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\nu} \int_0^{2\pi} v(\theta) P(|\mathbf{b}_{\nu}|, \theta - \arg \mathbf{b}_{\nu}) d\theta \\ &= -\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\nu} v(\mathbf{b}_{\nu}) \\ &= \int_D v d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

By using Fact 4.1, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let*

(i)  $f^{(0)}$  be defined on  $\partial D$  of the form

$$f^{(0)}(\theta) \sim f_0^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n^{(0)'} \cos n\theta + f_n^{(0)''} \sin n\theta}{n}$$

with

$$L^1(\partial D) \ni g^{(0)} \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n^{(0)'} \cos n\theta + f_n^{(0)''} \sin n\theta),$$

(ii)  $f^{(1)} \in L^1(\partial D)$ .

Then, there exist  $\mu$  of the form (5.2) and  $u$  of the form (5.1) satisfying

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \mu \quad \text{in } D, \\ u|_{\partial D} &= f^{(0)}, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u|_{\partial D} &= f^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{5.5}$$

The boundary data are assumed according to (5.4).

**Proof.** Let

$$v(\rho e^{i\theta}) := f_0^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n^{(0)'} \cos n\theta + f_n^{(0)''} \sin n\theta}{n} \rho^n.$$

By Fact 4.1, there exists  $\tilde{\lambda} = \{\tilde{\lambda}_{\nu}\} \in \ell^1$  such that

$$f^{(1)} - g^{(0)} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\lambda}_{\nu} P(|\mathbf{b}_{\nu}|, (\cdot) - \arg \mathbf{b}_{\nu}).$$

Then

$$\mu = \pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\lambda}_{\nu} \delta_{\mathbf{b}_{\nu}} \quad \text{and} \quad u = v - \pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\lambda}_{\nu} G(\cdot, \mathbf{b}_{\nu})$$

satisfy (5.5).

□

The Bonsall–Walsh result gives us that any  $f \in L^1(\partial D)$  can be written in the form (4.1), but it does not say anything about the dependence of  $\lambda$  upon  $f$ . Our set of points  $\mathcal{N}$  and a suitable choice of  $\lambda$  give a more precise result.

Let  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $0 < \sigma < \sigma_\gamma$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of the points defined in §1 (which is non-tangentially dense for  $\partial D$  by Remark 4.4). Let also

$$u = h - \pi a_0 G((\cdot), 0) - \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p}^{(1)}) - G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(1)})] - \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p}^{(2)}) - G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(2)})], \tag{5.6}$$

where  $h \in C^1(\bar{D})$  is a harmonic function such that  $\partial h / \partial n \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are sequences in  $\ell^1$  such that  $\alpha = m_\gamma(\alpha^1)$ ,  $\beta = m_\gamma(\beta^1)$ , with  $\alpha^1, \beta^1 \in \ell^1$ .

We have that  $u \in W^{1,p}(D)$ ,  $1 \leq p < 2$ ,  $u|_{\partial D} = h|_{\partial D}$  and

$$\mu = \Delta u = \pi a_0 \delta_0 + \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [\delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(1)}} - \delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(1)}}] + \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [\delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(2)}} - \delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(2)}}]. \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_n u = \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} + a_0 P(0, (\cdot)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1 + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2).$$

Therefore, using Theorem 3.8 instead of Fact 4.1, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\gamma > 0$  and let  $f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}$  be such that  $df^{(0)}/d\theta, f^{(1)} \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma$ . Denote also by  $h$  the solution to the Dirichlet problem  $\Delta h = 0$  in  $D$ ,  $h = f^{(0)}$  on  $\partial D$ . Then we have the following.*

(i)  $f := f^{(1)} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma$ .

(ii) Let

$$f(\theta) = a_0 P(0, \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \mathcal{P}_n^1(\theta) + \beta_n \mathcal{P}_n^2(\theta)).$$

Then the function  $u$  given by (5.6) solves the Cauchy problem (5.5) with  $\mu$  given by (5.7). The sequences  $\alpha, \beta$  are of the form  $\alpha = m_\gamma(\alpha_1)$ ,  $\beta = m_\gamma(\beta_1)$  with  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \ell^1$  and they are in a one-to-one correspondence with the Fourier coefficients  $a, b$  of  $f$ . Moreover,  $u$  is the unique solution to (5.5) of the form (5.6).

**Remark 5.4.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3, the function (5.6) can also be considered as a solution to the Cauchy problem (5.5) in the following sense. For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

let

$$u^N = h - \pi a_0 G((\cdot), 0) - \pi \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p}^{(1)}) - G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(1)})] - \pi \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p}^{(2)}) - G((\cdot), \zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(2)})].$$

Then the following hold.

- (i)  $u^N$  converges to  $u$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $D \setminus \mathcal{N}$ .
- (ii)  $u^N$  is harmonic in  $\{\sigma^{1/N} < |z| < 1\}$ ; indeed,

$$\Delta u^N = \pi a_0 \delta_0 + \pi \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [\delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(1)}} - \delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(1)}}] + \pi \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [\delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(2)}} - \delta_{\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(2)}}].$$

- (iii)  $u^N$  is of class  $C^2$  in a neighbourhood of  $\partial D$ ,  $u^N|_{\partial D} = f^{(0)}$  and  $\partial u^N / \partial n|_{\partial D} \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma$ .
- (iv) We have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial D} - f^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{A}^\gamma} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}^\gamma}$  denotes the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{A}^\gamma} = \|m_\gamma^{-1}(a)\|_{\ell^1} + \|m_\gamma^{-1}(b)\|_{\ell^1}$$

for any

$$f = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta) \in \mathbb{A}^\gamma.$$

**6. An interpolation-type result**

Let  $\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}$  be the points in (1.1), (1.2) and let  $A_0, A_{2n,l}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ . We now investigate whether there exists a function  $u$ , harmonic in  $D$ , satisfying

$$u(0) = A_0, \quad u(\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}) = A_{2n,l}^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1, \tag{6.1}$$

and, moreover, if it exists, whether it is unique.

This is a special case (with fixed points in  $D$ ) of a more general problem in harmonic analysis called the ‘interpolation problem’ (see, for example, [7, 8, 11, 14]).

Let us recall that a sequence of points  $z_n \in D$  is called an interpolating sequence for the Hardy space  $H^\infty$  if, for each bounded complex sequence  $A_n$ , there exists  $f \in H^\infty$  satisfying  $f(z_n) = A_n$  (for interpolating sequences in other spaces of functions see [14] and the bibliography therein).

Concerning our set  $\mathcal{N}$ , we point out that, as it is non-tangentially dense, *its elements cannot be an interpolating sequence* [5].

One can nevertheless ask if there are conditions that characterize the sequence of values that are assumed on  $\mathcal{N}$  by the harmonic functions. In what follows we give the only positive results that we have been able to determine in this regard.

We first prove a uniqueness theorem in a suitable class of complex harmonic functions.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{A}'$  be the dual space of  $\mathbb{A}$  and let  $T \in \mathbb{A}'$ . Let us assume that the harmonic function*

$$u(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \langle T, P(|z|, (\cdot) - \arg z) \rangle, \quad z \in D, \tag{6.2}$$

satisfies the conditions

$$u(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [u(\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(j)}) - u(\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(j)})] = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

$j = 1, 2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $u \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T \in \mathbb{A}'$  and let

$$\frac{t_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (t_n \cos n\theta + \tau_n \sin n\theta), \quad \{t_n\}, \{\tau_n\} \in \ell^\infty,$$

be the Fourier expansion of  $T$ . Then, for

$$f(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{A}$$

(hence, with  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in \ell^1$ ), we have

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \pi \left\{ \frac{a_0 t_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n t_n + b_n \tau_n) \right\}.$$

Notice that, as  $P(\rho, (\cdot) - \phi) \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $\phi \in \mathbb{T}$ , (6.2) makes sense and  $u$  can also be written as

$$u(\rho e^{i\phi}) = \frac{t_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n (t_n \cos n\phi + \tau_n \sin n\phi).$$

Let us write  $f \in \mathbb{A}$ , by using the representation formula (3.18) and applying  $T$  to both members of (3.18). Using (6.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, f \rangle &= \alpha_0 u(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [u(\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(1)}) - u(\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(1)})] \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{2\sigma n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [u(\zeta_{2n,2p}^{(2)}) - u(\zeta_{2n,2p+1}^{(2)})]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (6.3) implies that, for every  $f \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\langle T, f \rangle = 0$ . Thus,  $T = 0$  and  $u \equiv 0$  in  $D$ .  $\square$

Let us prove now an existence theorem. For this, we need compatibility conditions for the  $A_s$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $A_0, A_{2n,l}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ , which satisfy the following conditions:*

(i)

$$A^{(j)} := \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} [A_{2n,2p}^{(j)} - A_{2n,2p+1}^{(j)}] \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1, \quad j = 1, 2;$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n,l}^{(j)} &= A_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(I + (C_{\sigma}^0)^*)^{-1} A^{(1)}]_{\nu}}{2\sigma} \sigma^{\nu/n} \cos(\nu \arg \zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(I + (S_{\sigma}^0)^*)^{-1} A^{(2)}]_{\nu}}{2\sigma} \sigma^{\nu/n} \sin(\nu \arg \zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)}), \\ &\quad j = 1, 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Then, there exists  $u$  harmonic in  $D$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , with  $u|_{\partial D} \in \mathbb{A}$ , satisfying (6.1).*

*On the other hand, if  $u$  is harmonic in  $D$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , with  $u|_{\partial D} \in \mathbb{A}$ , then  $A_0 = u(0)$ ,  $A_{2n,l}^{(j)} = u(\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)})$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ , satisfy (i) and (ii).*

**Proof.** Let us define

$$a = (I + (C_{\sigma}^0)^*)^{-1} \frac{A^{(1)}}{2\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad b = (I + (S_{\sigma}^0)^*)^{-1} \frac{A^{(2)}}{2\sigma}.$$

Then,  $f(\theta) = A_0 + \langle c(\theta), a \rangle + \langle s(\theta), b \rangle \in \mathbb{A}$  and the solution  $u$  to the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } D, \quad u = f \text{ on } \partial D,$$

i.e. the function  $u(re^{i\theta}) = A_0 + \langle c(\theta), r^n a \rangle + \langle s(\theta), r^n b \rangle$  satisfies (i) and (ii).

On the other hand, let  $u$  be harmonic in  $D$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , with  $u|_{\partial D}(\theta) = A_0 + \langle c(\theta), a \rangle + \langle s(\theta), b \rangle \in \mathbb{A}$ . If  $A_{2n,l}^{(j)} = u(\zeta_{2n,l}^{(j)})$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ , then (i) (by Theorem 3.12) and (ii) hold.  $\square$

## References

1. N. K. BARY, *A treatise on trigonometric series* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1964).
2. F. F. BONSALL, Decompositions of functions as sums of elementary functions, *Q. J. Math. (2)* **37**(146) (1986), 129–136.
3. F. F. BONSALL, Domination of the supremum of a bounded harmonic function by its supremum over a countable subset, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 2* **30** (1987), 471–477.
4. F. F. BONSALL, Some dual aspects of the Poisson kernel, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 2* **33**(2) (1990), 207–232.
5. F. F. BONSALL AND D. WALSH, Vanishing  $l^1$ -sums of the Poisson kernel, and sums with positive coefficients, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 2* **32**(3) (1989), 431–447.
6. E. F. COLLINGWOOD AND A. J. LOHWATER, *The theory of cluster sets* (Cambridge University Press, 1966).
7. P. L. DUREN, *Theory of  $H_p$  spaces* (Academic Press, 1970).
8. J. B. GARNETT, *Bounded analytic functions* (Academic Press, 1981).
9. C. GIANOTTI AND S. RANSELLI, On elliptic extensions in the disk, preprint (2008).
10. W. K. HAYMAN AND T. J. LYONS, Bases for positive continuous functions, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **42**(2) (1990), 292–308.
11. P. KOOSIS, *Introduction to  $H_p$  spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Volume 115 (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
12. K. NOSHIRO, *Cluster sets* (Springer, 1960).
13. S. SAKS AND A. ZYGMUND, *Analytic functions*, Monografie Matematyczne, Volume 28 (Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1965).
14. K. SEIP, *Interpolation and sampling in spaces of analytic functions*, University Lecture Series, Volume 33 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004).
15. A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series* (Cambridge University Press, 1959).