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Abstract. The classical result of Nevanlinna states that two nonconstant meromorphic functions on the complex plane having the same images for five distinct values must be identically equal to each other. In this paper, we give a similar uniqueness theorem for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in Euclidean four-space.

## 1 Introduction

The Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in Euclidean space have some properties similar to the results in value distribution theory of a meromorphic function on the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$. One of the most notable results in this area is the Fujimoto theorem [3, Theorem I], which states that the Gauss map of a nonflat complete minimal surface in Euclidean 3-space $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ can omit at most four values. He also obtained the sharp estimate [3, Theorem II] for the number of exceptional values of the Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in Euclidean 4-space $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. Recently, the second author [11] (for $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ ) and Aiyama, Akutagawa, Imagawa, and the second author [1] (for $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ ) gave geometric interpretations of these results. Moreover, Dethloff and the first author [7] proved ramification theorems for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ on annular ends. Their results extended a result of Kao [10].

Another famous result is on uniqueness and value sharing, and is called the unicity theorem. For meromorphic functions on C, Nevanlinna [14] proved that two meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}$ sharing five distinct values must be identically equal to each other. Here we say that two meromorphic functions (or maps) $f$ and $\widehat{f}$ share the value $\alpha$ (ignoring multiplicity) when $f^{-1}(\alpha)=\widehat{f}^{-1}(\alpha)$. Fujimoto [5] obtained the following analogue of this theorem for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([5, Theorem I]) Let $X: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ and $\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ be two nonflat minimal surfaces and let $g: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}:=\mathbf{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $\widehat{g}: \widehat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ be the Gauss maps of $X(\Sigma)$ and

[^0]$\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$, respectively. Assume that there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}$ and either $X(\Sigma)$ or $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ is complete. If $g$ and $\widehat{g} \circ \Psi$ share 7 distinct values, then $g \equiv \widehat{g} \circ \Psi$.

We remark that the second author [12] gave a unified explanation for the unicity theorems of the Gauss maps of several classes of surfaces in 3-dimensional space forms including minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a similar uniqueness theorem for the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. The main theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2 Let $X: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{4}$ and $\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{4}$ be two nonflat minimal surfaces, and let $G=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right): \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\widehat{G}=\left(\widehat{g}_{1}, \widehat{g}_{2}\right): \widehat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ be the Gauss maps of $X(\Sigma)$ and $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$, respectively. We assume that there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}$ and either $X(\Sigma)$ or $\widehat{X}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ is complete.
(i) Assume that $g_{1}, g_{2}, \widehat{g}_{1}, \widehat{g}_{2}$ are nonconstant, and for each $i(i=1,2), g_{i}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i} \circ \Psi$ share $p_{i}>4$ distinct values. If $g_{1} \neq \widehat{g}_{1} \circ \Psi$ and $g_{2} \not \equiv \widehat{g}_{2} \circ \Psi$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p_{1}-4}+\frac{1}{p_{2}-4} \geq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $p_{1} \geq 7$ and $p_{2} \geq 7$, then either $g_{1} \equiv \widehat{g}_{1} \circ \Psi$, or $g_{2} \equiv \widehat{g}_{2} \circ \Psi$, or both hold.
(ii) Assume that $g_{1}, \widehat{g}_{1}$ are nonconstant, and $g_{1}$ and $\widehat{g}_{1} \circ \Psi$ share $p$ distinct values. If $g_{1} \not \equiv \widehat{g}_{1} \circ \Psi$ and $g_{2} \equiv \widehat{g}_{2} \circ \Psi$ is constant, then we have $p \leq 5$. In particular, if $p \geq 6$, then $G \equiv \widehat{G} \circ \Psi$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, to reveal the geometric interpretation of Theorem 1.2, we give a unicity theorem for the holomorphic map $G=$ $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ into

$$
(\overline{\mathbf{C}})^{n}:=\underbrace{\overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \cdots \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}}_{n}
$$

on open Riemann surfaces with the conformal metric $d s^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i}}|\omega|^{2}$, where $\omega$ is a holomorphic 1-form on $\Sigma$ and each $m_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ is a positive integer (Theorem 2.1). By virtue of the result, Theorem 1.2 deeply depends on the induced metric from $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. Moreover, we give examples (Example 2.2) that ensure that Theorem 1.2 is optimal. The proof and some remarks of Theorem 1.2 are given at the end of Section 2. Section 3 provides the proof of Theorem 2.1. The main idea of the proof is to construct some flat pseudo-metric on $\Sigma$ and compare it with the Poincaré metric.

## 2 Main Results

To elucidate the geometric interpretation of Theorem 1.2, we give the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\Sigma$ be an open Riemann surface with the conformal metric

$$
d s^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i}}|\omega|^{2}
$$

and let $\widehat{\Sigma}$ be another open Riemann surface with the conformal metric

$$
d \widehat{s}^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\left|\widehat{g}_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i}}|\widehat{\omega}|^{2},
$$

where $\omega$ and $\widehat{\omega}$ are holomorphic 1-forms, $G$ and $\widehat{G}$ are holomorphic maps into

$$
(\overline{\mathbf{C}})^{n}:=\underbrace{\overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \cdots \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}}_{n}
$$

on $\Sigma$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}$ respectively, and each $m_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ is a positive integer. We assume that there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}$, and $g_{i_{1}}, \ldots, g_{i_{k}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \widehat{g}_{i_{k}}(1 \leq$ $\left.i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right)$ are nonconstant and the others are constant. For each $i_{l}(l=1, \ldots, k)$, we suppose that $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Psi$ share $q_{i_{l}}>4$ distinct values and $g_{i_{l}} \neq \widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Psi$. If either $d s^{2}$ or $d \hat{s}^{2}$ is complete, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}-4} \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that Theorem 2.1 also holds for the case where at least one of $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ is positive and the others are zeros. For example, we assume that $g:=g_{i_{1}}$ and $\widehat{g}:=\widehat{g}_{i_{1}}$ are nonconstant and the others are constant. If $m:=m_{i_{1}}$ is a positive integer and the others are zeros, then the inequality (2.1) coincides with

$$
\frac{m}{q-4} \geq 1 \Longleftrightarrow q \leq m+4
$$

where $q:=q_{i_{1}}$. The result corresponds with [12, Theorem 2.9].
Theorem 2.1 is optimal because of the following examples.
Example 2.2 For positive integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ whose the sum $M:=\sum_{l=1}^{k} m_{i_{l}}$ of the subset $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is even, we take $M / 2$ distinct points $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{M / 2}$ in $\mathbf{C} \backslash\{0, \pm 1\}$. Let $\Sigma$ be either the complex plane punctured at $M+1$ distinct points $0, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{M / 2}, 1 / \alpha_{1}, \ldots, 1 / \alpha_{M / 2}$ or the universal covering of the punctured plane. We set

$$
\omega=\frac{d z}{z \prod_{i=1}^{M / 2}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right)\left(\alpha_{i} z-1\right)}
$$

and the map $G=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
g_{i_{1}}=\cdots=g_{i_{k}}=z \quad\left(1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right)
$$

and the others are constant. In a similar manner, we set

$$
\widehat{\omega}(=\omega)=\frac{d z}{z \prod_{i=1}^{M / 2}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right)\left(\alpha_{i} z-1\right)}
$$

and the map $\widehat{G}=\left(\widehat{g}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{g}_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\widehat{g}_{i_{1}}=\cdots=\widehat{g}_{i_{k}}=1 / z \quad\left(1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right),
$$

and the others are constant. We can easily show that the identity map $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a conformal diffeomorphism, and the metric $d s^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i}}|\omega|^{2}$ is complete. Then for each $i_{l}$, the maps $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}(l=1, \ldots, k)$ share the $M+4$ distinct values
$0, \infty, 1,-1, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{M / 2}, 1 / \alpha_{1}, \ldots, 1 / \alpha_{M / 2}$ and $g_{i_{l}} \neq \widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Psi$. These show that Theorem 2.1 is optimal.

We will apply Theorem 2.1 to the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. We first recall some basic facts of minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. For more details, we refer the reader to $[2,8,9,15]$. Let $X=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right): \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{4}$ be an oriented minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. By associating a local complex coordinate $z=u+\sqrt{-1} v$ with each positive isothermal coordinate system $(u, v), \Sigma$ is considered as a Riemann surface whose conformal metric is the induced metric $d s^{2}$ from $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{d s^{2}} X=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds; that is, each coordinate function $x^{i}$ is harmonic. With respect to the local coordinate $z$ of the surface, (2.2) is given by $\bar{\partial} \partial X=0$, where $\partial=(\partial / \partial u-\sqrt{-1} \partial / \partial v) / 2$, $\bar{\partial}=(\partial / \partial u+\sqrt{-1} \partial / \partial v) / 2$. Hence, each $\phi_{i}:=\partial x^{i} d z(i=1,2,3,4)$ is a holomorphic 1 -form on $\Sigma$. If we set

$$
\omega=\phi_{1}-\sqrt{-1} \phi_{2}, \quad g_{1}=\frac{\phi_{3}+\sqrt{-1} \phi_{4}}{\phi_{1}-\sqrt{-1} \phi_{2}}, \quad g_{2}=\frac{-\phi_{3}+\sqrt{-1} \phi_{4}}{\phi_{1}-\sqrt{-1} \phi_{2}},
$$

then $\omega$ is a holomorphic 1-form, and $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are meromorphic functions on $\Sigma$. Moreover, the holomorphic map $G:=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right): \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ coincides with the Gauss map of $X(\Sigma)$. We remark that the Gauss map of $X(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ is the map from each point of $\Sigma$ to its oriented tangent plane, the set of all oriented (tangent) planes in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ is naturally identified with the quadric

$$
\mathbf{Q}^{2}(\mathbf{C})=\left\{\left[w^{1}: w^{2}: w^{3}: w^{4}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C}) ;\left(w^{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(w^{4}\right)^{2}=0\right\}
$$

in $\mathbf{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C})$, and $\mathbf{Q}^{2}(\mathbf{C})$ is biholomorphic to the product of the Riemann spheres $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}$. Furthermore the induced metric from $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(1+\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1+\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}\right)|\omega|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the induced metric, we obtain Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2 We first show case (i). Since $m_{1}=m_{2}=1$ from (2.3), we can prove the inequality (1.1) by Theorem 2.1. Next we show case (ii). By Theorem 2.1, we obtain $1 /(p-4) \geq 1$. Thus, we have $p \leq 4+1=5$.

Remark 2.3 Fujimoto [6] obtained the unicity theorem for the Gauss maps $G: \Sigma \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ of complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{m}(m \geq 3)$. Recently, Park and Ru [16] showed the result that is an improvement of this theorem. However, these results do not contain Theorem 1.2, because corresponding hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^{3}(\mathbf{C})$ are not necessary located in general position (for more details, see [13, p. 353]).

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We first recall the notion of chordal distance between two distinct points in $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$. For two distinct points $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}$, we set

$$
|\alpha, \beta|:=\frac{|\alpha-\beta|}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha|^{2}} \sqrt{1+|\beta|^{2}}}
$$

if $\alpha \neq \infty$ and $\beta \neq \infty$, and $|\alpha, \infty|=|\infty, \alpha|:=1 / \sqrt{1+|\alpha|^{2}}$. We note that if we take $v_{1}$, $v_{2} \in \mathbf{S}^{2}$ with $\alpha=\omega\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $\beta=\omega\left(v_{2}\right)$, we have $|\alpha, \beta|$ is half of the chordal distance between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, where $\omega$ denotes the stereographic projection of the 2-sphere $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ onto $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$.

We next review the following three lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 3.1 ([5, Proposition 2.1]) Let $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}$ be mutually distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface $\Sigma$. Let $q_{i_{l}}$ be a positive integer and $\alpha_{1}^{l}, \ldots, \alpha_{q_{i_{l}}}^{l} \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ be distinct. Suppose that $q_{i_{l}}>4$ and $g_{i_{l}}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{j}^{l}\right)=\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{j}^{l}\right)\left(1 \leq j \leq q_{i_{l}}\right)$. For $a_{0}^{l}>0$ and $\varepsilon$ with $q_{i_{l}}-4>q_{i_{l}} \varepsilon>0$, we set

$$
\xi_{i_{l}}:=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}}\left|g_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right| \log \left(\frac{a_{0}^{l}}{\left|g_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right|^{2}}\right)\right)^{-1+\varepsilon}, \quad \widehat{\xi}_{i_{l}}:=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}}\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right| \log \left(\frac{a_{0}^{l}}{\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right|^{2}}\right)\right)^{-1+\varepsilon}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2}:=\left(\left|g_{i_{l}}, \widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2} \xi_{i_{l}} \widehat{\xi}_{i_{l}} \frac{\left|g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|}{1+\left|g_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}} \frac{\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|}{1+\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}}\right)|d z|^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside the set $E:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} g_{i_{l}}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{j}^{l}\right)$ and $d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2}=0$ on $E$. Then for a suitably chosen $a_{0}, d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2}$ is continuous on $\Sigma$ and has strictly negative curvature on the set $\left\{d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2} \neq 0\right\}$.

Lemma 3.2 ([5, Corollary 2.4]) Let $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}$ be meromorphic functions on $\triangle_{R}$ satisfying the same assumption as in Lemma 3.1. Then for the metric $d \tau^{2}$ defined by (3.1), there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2} \leq C \frac{R^{2}}{\left(R^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}|d z|^{2}
$$

Lemma 3.3 ([4, Lemma 1.6.7]) Let d $\sigma^{2}$ be a conformal flat-metric on an open Riemann surface $\Sigma$. Then, for each point $p \in \Sigma$, there exists a local diffeomorphism $\Phi$ of a disk $\Delta_{R}=\{z \in \mathbf{C} ;|z|<R\}(0<R \leq+\infty)$ onto an open neighborhood of $p$ with $\Phi(0)=p$ such that $\Phi$ is an isometry; that is, the pull-back $\Phi^{*}\left(d \sigma^{2}\right)$ is equal to the standard Euclidean metric ds $s_{E}^{2}$ on $\Delta_{R}$ and that, for a specific point $a_{0}$ with $\left|a_{0}\right|=1$, the $\Phi$-image $\Gamma_{a_{0}}$ of the curve $L_{a_{0}}=\left\{w:=a_{0} s ; 0<s<R\right\}$ is divergent in $\Sigma$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Since the given map $\Psi$ provides a biholomorphic isomorphism between $\Sigma$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}$, we denote the function $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Psi$ by $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}(l=1, \ldots, k)$ for brevity. For each $i_{l}$, we assume that $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}$ share the $q_{i_{l}}$ distinct values $\alpha_{1}^{l}, \ldots, \alpha_{q_{i_{l}}}^{l}$. After suitable Möbius transformations for $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}$, we can assume that

$$
\alpha_{q_{i_{1}}}^{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{q_{i_{k}}}^{k}=\infty .
$$

Moreover, we assume that either $d s^{2}$ or $d \hat{s}^{2}$, say $d s^{2}$, is complete and $g_{i_{l}} \not \equiv \widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Psi$ for each $l(1 \leq l \leq k)$. Thus, for each local complex coordinate $z$ defined on a simply connected open domain $U$, we can find a nonzero holomorphic function $h_{z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left|h_{z}\right|^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i} / 2}\left(1+\left|\widehat{g}_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i} / 2}|d z|^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that each $q_{i_{l}}>4$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}-4}<1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.3), we can suppose that $q_{i_{l}}>m_{i_{l}}+4$ for each $i_{l}(l=1, \ldots, k)$. Taking some positive number $\eta_{0}$ with

$$
0<\eta_{0}<\frac{q_{i_{l}}-4-m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}}
$$

for each $i_{l}(l=1, \ldots, k)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{0}:=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}-4-q_{i_{l}} \eta_{0}}=1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a positive number $\eta$ with $\eta<\eta_{0}$, we set

$$
\lambda_{i_{l}}:=\frac{m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}-4-q_{i_{l}} \eta} \quad(l=1, \ldots, k)
$$

By (3.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda:=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda_{i_{l}}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}-4-q_{i_{l}} \eta}<\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{m_{i_{l}}}{q_{i_{l}}-4-q_{i_{l}} \eta_{0}}=\Lambda_{0}=1 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can choose a positive number $\eta\left(<\eta_{0}\right)$ sufficiently near $\eta_{0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{0}-\Lambda<\min _{1 \leq t \leq k}\left\{\frac{m_{i_{t}}}{q_{i_{t}}-4-q_{i_{t}} \eta}, \frac{m_{i_{t}} \eta}{q_{i_{t}}-4-q_{i_{t}} \eta}\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$
\frac{\lambda_{i_{l}}}{1-\Lambda}>1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\eta \lambda_{i_{l}}}{1-\Lambda}>1 \quad(l=1, \ldots, k)
$$

Now we define a new metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma^{2}=\left|h_{z}\right|^{\frac{4}{1-\Lambda}} \prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}-1}\left(\left|g_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\right)^{1-\eta}}{\left|g_{i_{l}}-\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\left|g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}-1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\eta}}\right)^{\frac{2 \lambda_{i_{l}}}{1-\Lambda}}|d z|^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the set $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma \backslash E$, where

$$
E=\left\{p \in \Sigma ; g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}(p)=0, \widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}(p)=0 \text { or } g_{i_{l}}(p)\left(=\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}(p)\right)=\alpha_{j}^{l} \text { for some } l\right\} .
$$

On the other hand, setting $\varepsilon:=\eta / 2$, we can define another pseudo-metric $d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2}$ on $\Sigma$ given by (3.1) for each $l$, which has strictly negative curvature on $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Take a point $p \in \Sigma^{\prime}$. Since the metric $d \sigma^{2}$ is flat on $\Sigma^{\prime}$, by Lemma 3.3, there exists an isometry $\Phi$ satisfying $\Phi(0)=p$ from a disk $\triangle_{R}=\{z \in \mathbf{C} ;|z|<R\}(0<R \leq+\infty)$ with the standard metric $d s_{E}^{2}$ on an open neighborhood of $p$ in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ with the metric $d \sigma^{2}$. We denote the functions $g_{i_{l}} \circ \Phi$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Phi\left(=\widehat{g}_{i_{l}} \circ \Psi \circ \Phi\right)$ by $g_{i_{l}}$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}$ respectively $(l=1, \ldots, k)$ in the following. Moreover, for each $i_{l}$, the pseudo-metric $d \sigma_{i_{l}}^{2}$ on $\triangle_{R}$ has strictly negative curvature. Since there exists no metric with strictly negative curvature on C (see [4, Corollary 4.2.4]), we obtain that the radius $R$ is finite. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3, we can choose a point $a_{0}$ with $\left|a_{0}\right|=1$ such that, for the line segment
$L_{a_{0}}:=\left\{w:=a_{0} s ; 0<s<R\right\}$, the $\Phi$-image $\Gamma_{a_{0}}$ tends to the boundary of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ as $s$ tends to $R$. Then $\Gamma_{a_{0}}$ is divergent in $\Sigma$. Indeed, if not, then $\Gamma_{a_{0}}$ must tend to a point $p_{0} \in E$. Then we consider the following two possible cases:
Case 1: $g_{i_{l}}\left(p_{0}\right)\left(=\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\left(p_{0}\right)\right)=\alpha_{j}^{l}$ for some $l$.
Since $g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=\left(g_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right)^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=\left(\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right)^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)$, the function

$$
\left|h_{z}\right|^{\frac{2}{1-\Lambda}} \prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}-1}\left(\left|g_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\right)^{1-\eta}}{\left|g_{i_{l}}-\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\left|g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}-1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\eta}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{i_{l}}}{1-\Lambda}}
$$

has a pole of order at least $2 \eta \lambda_{i_{l}} /(1-\Lambda)$ at $p_{0}$. Taking a local complex coordinate $\zeta$ in a neighborhood of $p_{0}$ with $\zeta\left(p_{0}\right)=0$, we can write the metric $d \sigma^{2}$ as

$$
d \sigma^{2}=|\zeta|^{-4 \eta \lambda_{i_{l}} /(1-\Lambda)} w|d \zeta|^{2}
$$

with some positive function $w$. Since $\eta \lambda_{i_{l}} /(1-\Lambda)>1$, we have

$$
R=\int_{\Gamma_{a_{0}}} d \sigma>C_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{a_{0}}}|d \zeta| /|\zeta|^{2 \eta \lambda_{i_{l}} /(1-\Lambda)}=+\infty .
$$

This contradicts that $R$ is finite.
Case 2: $g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right) \widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=0$ for some $i_{l}$.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=0$ for some $i_{l}$. Taking a local complex coordinate $\zeta:=g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $p_{0}$ with $\zeta\left(p_{0}\right)=0$, we can write the metric $d \sigma^{2}$ as

$$
d \sigma^{2}=|\zeta|^{-2 \lambda_{i_{l}} /(1-\Lambda)} w|d \zeta|^{2}
$$

with some positive function $w$. Since $\lambda_{i_{l}} /(1-\Lambda)>1$, we have

$$
R=\int_{\Gamma_{a_{0}}} d \sigma>C_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{a_{0}}}|d \zeta| /|\zeta|^{\lambda_{i} /(1-\Lambda)}=+\infty
$$

This also contradicts that $R$ is finite.
Since $\Phi^{*} d \sigma^{2}=|d z|^{2}$, we get by (3.7)

$$
\left|h_{z}\right|^{2}=\prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\left|g_{i_{l}}-\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\left|g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}-1}\left(1+\left|\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\eta}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}-1}}\left(\left|g_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\right)^{1-\eta}}\right)^{\lambda_{i_{l}}} .
$$

By (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{*} d s=\left|h_{z}\right|^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i} / 2}\left(1+\left|\widehat{g}_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i} / 2}|d z|^{2} \\
& \leq C_{3} \prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\left|g_{i_{l}}-\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\left|g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|\left(1+\left|g_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i_{l}} / 2 \lambda_{i_{l}}}\left(1+\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\right)^{m_{i_{l}} / 2 \lambda_{i_{l}}}}{\times \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}-1}}\left(1+\left|\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\eta}}\right)^{\prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}-1}\left(\left|g_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}-\alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\right)^{1-\eta}}|d z|^{2} \\
& =C_{3} \prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\mu_{i_{l}}^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{q_{i_{l}}}\left(\left|g_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right|\right)^{\varepsilon}\left(\log \left(\frac{a_{0}^{l}}{\left|g_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right|}\right) \log \left(\frac{a_{0}^{l}}{\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}, \alpha_{j}^{l}\right|}\right)\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{\lambda_{i_{l}}}|d z|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{i_{l}}$ is the function with $d \tau_{i_{l}}^{2}=\mu_{i_{l}}^{2}|d z|^{2}$. Since the function $x^{\varepsilon} \log ^{1-\varepsilon}\left(a_{0}^{l} / x\right)$ ( $0<x \leq 1$ ) is bounded, we obtain that

$$
d s^{2} \leq C_{4} \prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\left|g_{i_{l}}, \widehat{g}_{i_{i}}\right|^{2}\left|g_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right|\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}^{\prime}\right| \xi_{i_{l}} \widehat{\xi}_{i_{l}}}{\left(1+\left|g_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1+\left|\widehat{g}_{i_{l}}\right|^{2}\right)}\right)^{\lambda_{i_{l}}}|d z|^{2}
$$

for some $C_{4}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
d s^{2} \leq C_{5} \prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(\frac{R}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\lambda_{i_{l}}}|d z|^{2}=C_{5}\left(\frac{R^{2}}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\Lambda}|d z|^{2}
$$

for some constant $C_{5}$. Thus, we obtain that

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{a_{0}}} d s \leq\left(C_{5}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{L_{a_{0}}}\left(\frac{R^{2}}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\Lambda / 2}|d z|<C_{6} \frac{R^{(2-\Lambda) / 2}}{1-(\Lambda / 2)}<+\infty
$$

because $0<\Lambda<1$. However, it contradicts the assumption that the metric $d s^{2}$ is complete.
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