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ABSTRACT: Background: In vitro models have suggested that stents affect atherosclerotic plaques symmetrically because of their outward radial forces. We evaluated the effects of stents on carotid plaque and the arterial wall using carotid ultrasound in carotid stenting patients to see whether these effects were borne out in vivo. Methods: From a carotid stent database, 30 consecutive patients were selected. All had carotid Doppler ultrasound performed pre- and poststenting. The diameters of the lumen at the level of stenotic plaque pre- and poststenting, the dorsal and ventral plaque thickness, and of the outer arterial wall diameter were measured. Plaque thickness was measured at the level of maximal stenosis. Nonparametric tests were used to determine whether the stent effect and luminal enlargement were based on wall remodeling or on total arterial expansion. Results: The patients were followed for an average of 22 months. Eighteen patients were male, with an average age of 70 years. A total of 87% of patients were symptomatic ipsilateral to the side of stenosis. Nine patients had angioplasty intraprocedurally. The luminal diameter increased poststenting in the region of severe stenosis. Plaque thickness, both ventrally and dorsally, decreased poststenting, with no significant difference between the ventral and dorsal plaque effects. The outer arterial wall diameters did not change. The measured lumen in the stent increased over time poststenting. Conclusions: Self-expanding nitinol stents alter the baseline ventral and dorsal plaque to a significant degree and do not significantly affect the native arterial wall and the overall arterial diameter.
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Carotid stenosis is responsible for up to 20% of strokes in the adult population.1 Carotid artery stenting has been shown to be an effective and safe alternative to carotid endarterectomy, especially in patients at high risk for surgery.2,3

There is uncertainty about how stents actually remodel plaque and how they affect arterial diameters.4 Although numerous studies have reported an improvement in flow and an increase in luminal diameter poststenting,5–8 there is a lack of information about the relative effects of stent self-expansion on the plaque itself and the native arterial wall.

The purpose of this prospective study is to evaluate the relative effects of self-expanding nitinol stents on plaque remodeling and...
lumen and vessel diameter by analyzing pre- and postoperative carotid Doppler sonography (CDS) in stented patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population
Between January 2006 and January 2008, 32 patients were selected from a prospectively maintained database of 136 patients for analysis based on the following criteria.

- Carotid artery stenting performed for either symptomatic carotid stenosis or high grade of asymptomatic (>70% based on North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial [NASCET] criteria) stenosis in the setting of a clinical trial.9
- Pre- and postprocedural CDS available for the study.
- Patients with poor quality CDS images (vessel too deep for adequate insonation or extensive calcified plaque obscuring the lumen) were not included in the analysis.

Carotid Stenting Procedure
Patients were taking dual antiplatelet medication for at least 3 days before the intervention (either acetylsalicylic acid or clopidogrel); this was continued up to the time of the intervention. Clopidogrel was discontinued at 6 weeks of follow-up, whereas acetylsalicylic acid was continued indefinitely. The procedure was performed according to our previously published protocol.5 The stenosis was crossed with an 8-mm-diameter x 40-mm-length self-expanding stent, either with Acculink (Abbott) in 20% of cases, or Precise stent (Cordis) in 80% of cases. According to our previous experience,5 balloon assistance was used only when necessary to initially cross a severe stenosis with the stent, or if a significant stenosis remained after deployment of the stent, usually resulting from a circumferential plaque calcification. Distal protection devices can add risk to the procedure and are not included in our routine treatment protocol. Following stent deployment, angiographic views of the neck and head were obtained. The heparinization was allowed to gradually wear off.

Radiological Assessment
The degree of stenosis before stent deployment was quantified using the NASCET criteria.9 Follow-up assessment was done with periodic CDS. These studies were carried out within 1 week of the procedure, then once or twice in the next 6 months, once again during the next 12 months, and every 6 months or annually thereafter. The effect on carotid remodeling was assessed by comparing the preprocedural results and the last follow-up results available.

CDS Measurements
All measurements were assessed by a neuroradiologist not involved in the stent deployment (DHL). For better evaluation of the remodeling effects of internal carotid artery (ICA) stenting, the CDS examinations were performed using the same machine. A two-dimensional ultrasound system, equipped with a 10-MHz scanning frequency in real-time B-mode and 6 MHz scanning frequency in pulsed Doppler mode, was used. The examination included the longitudinal view of the affected extra cranial carotid artery. These were chosen rather than transverse planes to better assess the region of plaque. Total vessel diameter and ventral plaque and dorsal plaque thickness were assessed using the real-time B-mode at the most stenotic site (Figure 1). The middle, proximal, and distal diameters were assessed using the color-coded flow imaging in the Doppler mode (Figure 2).

Statistical Methods
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. Testing was done using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student t test distribution for paired samples. A two-tailed p value <.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
Thirty-two patients were initially included in the study. After reviewing the ultrasound images, two patients were excluded for having heavy calcified plaques. Of the 30 individuals studied, 18 men and 12 women with an average age of 70 years (range, 54-91) were included. Thirteen percent of the patients were asymptomatic and 87% had symptoms related to the site of the stenosis. Symptoms included 17 patients with transient ischemic attacks, eight with stroke, and one with amaurosis fugax. Five patients had moderate stenosis; the remainder had severe stenosis. Mean of degree of stenosis, according to NASCET criteria, was 77.2% preprocedure and 39.7% after the main procedure.

Nine patients had intraprocedural angioplasty; two had preangioplasty and seven had angioplasty poststent deployment. No protective devices were used in any patient. Pairwise comparison testing did not show significant differences in terms of lumen diameter, vessel diameter, or plaque thickness between those patients additionally treated with angioplasty and those who received the self-expandable stent without pre- or postangioplasty (p > 0.05).

None of the patients who received the self-expandable stent without additional angioplasty experienced any direct complication derived from the procedure or new neurological deficits.
One of the patients treated with postprocedural angioplasty had an auto-limited episode of 20 minutes of amaurosis fugax. It was managed conservatively and the patient recovered to his baseline state.

Paired results of pre- and postprocedure angiography were available in all 30 patients. Average follow-up was 22 months (range, 1-50 months). Eight patients had less than 1-year of follow-up. Changes in vessel diameter, lumen diameter, and plaque thickness during the follow up are represented in Figure 3.

The changes in CDS parameters between the prestent studies and last follow-up are listed in Table 1. Significant differences poststenting occurred for the plaque morphology (either ventral or dorsal) and luminal measurements, but not for the proximal, mid, or distal outer arterial vessel diameter.

Pairwise comparison testing indicates no difference in stent expansion in terms of its effect on the ventral and dorsal plaque (i.e. they each remodel to an equal degree; \( p > 0.05 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

Carotid stents can expand for up to 6 months after the procedure.\(^5\),\(^6\),\(^11\). This finding is confirmed in the present study, showing an average diameter increase of more than 2 mm over an average follow-up of 22 months. However, little is known about the relative effect of the stent on plaque thickness compared with overall expansion of the external arterial wall. In vitro experimental studies based on the use of rigid polytetrafluoroethylene stents have suggested that stents affect plaques symmetrically because of radial effects,\(^4\),\(^12\) but this finding has not yet been shown in humans.

Our major findings were as follows: (1) self-expanding nitinol stents alter the baseline ventral and dorsal plaque thickness to a significant degree, while not significantly affecting the native arterial wall; and (2) stenting appears to impact the ventral and dorsal components of the plaque equally, which would be expected given their radial expansion.\(^13\),\(^14\)

Our results suggest that an increase in luminal diameter is achieved by a process of plaque remodeling and is not accompanied by native arterial expansion. The radial outward force produced by a self-expanding stent compresses the plaque component in all directions in a similar manner, but this force is not enough to produce a dilatation of the arterial wall, avoiding the risk of vessel rupture. No previous investigators have looked at changes in outer vessel wall diameter, although there have been reports of vessel wall rupture with subsequent hematoma and pseudo aneurysm formation.\(^15\),\(^16\) It is unclear whether these complications were due to balloon expansion, by the stent, or by a combination of both. It is well-known that artery wall disruption and other complications are much more common in angioplasty.

**Table 1: Mean difference±SD for each of the 6 measured values between the baseline (i.e. pretreatment) and final poststent ultrasound**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Changes in diameter (mm)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid-vessel diameter</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>( p = 0.448 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal vessel diameter</td>
<td>−0.037</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>( p = 0.898 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal vessel diameter</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>1.076</td>
<td>( p = 0.0454 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral plaque thickness</td>
<td>−1.55</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>( p &lt; 0.01 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal plaque thickness</td>
<td>−1.34</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>( p = 0.01 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal diameter</td>
<td>+2.13</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>( p &lt; 0.01 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( p \) values demonstrate the results of paired \( t \) test and repeated measures ANOVA analysis of the baseline and final poststent measurements.
with angioplasty balloons and balloon expandable stents than with self-expanding stents alone.17,18 This is a potential benefit of self-expanding stents over balloon-expandable stents. Self-expanding stents gradually reduce the stenosis over time, without inducing changes in the external arterial wall, reducing rupture risk. There is good evidence that arterial injury with both balloons and stents leads to an inflammatory response and activates a proliferative repair process, the end result of which can lead to luminal narrowing and in-stent restenosis.6,11,19,20 These results could not be confirmed in our study, but this could be influenced by small size of the sample. Avoidance or limitation of arterial injury associated with balloon inflation may also be beneficial in minimizing such restenosis.17,21 It is believed that balloon distention of the carotid sinus is responsible for hemodynamic depression intra- or postoperatively.22,23 The use of self-expanding stents alone can minimize this risk.24

The acceptable reproducibility and interobserver reliability of the measurements of the plaque thickness and lumen diameter has been previously reported.6

Limitations of this study include metallic artifact produced by the stent, which can underestimate the real value of the lumen diameter on CDS.

Several papers6,11 have remarked that neointimal proliferation prevails at an early stage, up to 12 months, which corresponds to the period of maximal stent expansion. Rarely, this can result in early restenosis. Later on, the neointimal proliferation remains stable and positive remodeling gains prominence. In our series, 26% of patients examined were followed for less than 1 year, and the degree of intimal remodeling may be underestimated.

Use of CDS has some limitations. Plaque with dense calcification, a carotid bifurcation with marked tortuosity, and a high cervical stenosis may not be adequately imaged.9 Two of our patients had to be excluded from the study for having heavy calcified plaques.

Our two-dimensional carotid Doppler date demonstrates changes in ventral and dorsal plaque thickness, without significant differences in thickness between dorsal and ventral. A three-dimensional radiological diagnostic method, such a high-resolution computed tomography scan would confirm whether changes truly occur equally in all the plaque in vivo, particularly in areas of heavy calcification.

CONCLUSIONS

The plaque is remodeled by the outward radial force produced by the self-expandable stents, without producing changes in diameter by expanding the external carotid wall, Stenting appears to impact the ventral and dorsal components of the plaque equally, which would be expected given their proposed method of radial expansion.
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