

CONDENSOR PRINCIPLE AND THE UNIT CONTRACTION

MASAYUKI ITÔ

To Professor Kiyoshi Noshiro on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Introduction

Deny introduced in [4] the notion of functional spaces by generalizing Dirichlet spaces. In this paper, we shall give the following necessary and sufficient conditions for a functional space to be a real Dirichlet space.

Let \mathcal{X} be a regular functional space with respect to a locally compact Hausdorff space X and a positive measure ξ in X . The following four conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The unit contraction operates on \mathcal{X} .
- (2) \mathcal{X} satisfies the condensor principle.
- (3) \mathcal{X} satisfies the strong complete maximum principles.
- (4) \mathcal{X} is a real Dirichlet space.

Furthermore for an invariant functional space \mathcal{X} on a locally compact abelian group X , we shall show the following equivalence without assuming the regularity.

\mathcal{X} is special Dirichlet space if and only if \mathcal{X} satisfies the condensor principle.

1. Preliminaries on regular functional spaces

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and ξ be a positive measure in X which is everywhere dense in X (i.e., $\xi(\omega) > 0$ for any non-empty open set ω in X). According to Deny [4], we give the definition of a functional space.

DEFINITION 1. A functional space $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(X, \xi)$ with respect to X and ξ is a Hilbert space of real valued functions $u(x)$ which is locally summable for ξ , the following condition being satisfied: (i) For any compact subset K in X , there exists a positive number $A(K)$ such that

Received May 6, 1966.

$$\int_K |u(x)| d\xi(x) \leq A(K) \|u\|$$

for any u in \mathcal{L} .

Two functions which are equal locally almost everywhere for ξ represent the same element in \mathcal{L} . The norm in \mathcal{L} is denoted by $\|u\|$, the associated scalar product by (u, v) . Let C_K be the space of finite continuous functions with compact support provided with the topology of uniform convergence.

DEFINITION 2. A functional space $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(X, \xi)$ is said to be regular if $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$ is dense both in \mathcal{L} and in C_K .

By the condition (i), for any bounded measurable function f with compact support, there exists an element u_f in a functional space \mathcal{L} such that

$$(u_f, u) = \int f u d\xi$$

for any u in \mathcal{L} . Such an element u_f is said to be the potential generated by f . More generally we define potentials as follows.

DEFINITION 3.¹⁾ Let \mathcal{L} be a regular functional space. The element u is called a potential if there exists a real Radon measure μ such that

$$(u, f) = \int f d\mu$$

for any f in $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$. Such an element u is denoted by u_μ . Especially if μ is positive, u_μ is said to be a pure potential.

According to Beurling and Deny [2], we define the capacity of an open set is defined as follows:

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) = \inf \{ \|u\|^2; u \in \mathcal{L}, u(x) \geq 1 \text{ p.p. in } \omega \}.$$

If there are no such functions, $\text{Cap}(\omega) = +\infty$.

LEMMA 1. Let \mathcal{L} be a regular functional space and f be a function in $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$. Then for each positive number ε ,

$$\text{Cap}(\{x \in X; f(x) > \varepsilon\}) \leq \frac{\|f\|^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

By the definition of the capacity, this is evident.

LEMMA 2. For a relatively compact open set ω in X , put

$$E_\omega = \overline{\{u_\mu \in \mathcal{L}; S_\mu \subset \omega, \mu \geq 0\}}.$$

¹⁾ Cf. [2], p. 209.

²⁾ S_μ is the support of μ .

Then there exists a unique element u_γ which minimizes

$$I(u_\mu) = \|u_\mu\|^2 - 2 \int d\mu$$

in E_ω and for which

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) = \|u_\gamma\|^2 = \int d\gamma.$$

Proof. Obviously E_ω is a closed convex cone in \mathcal{L} . Since ω is a relatively compact set, there exists a function f in $\mathcal{L} \cap C_K$ such that $f(x) \geq 1$ in ω . Then

$$I(u_\mu) \geq \|u_\mu\|^2 - 2 \int f d\mu = \|u_\mu - f\|^2 - \|f\|^2.$$

Hence $I(u_\mu)$ is bounded from below in E_ω . Therefore there exists a unique pure potential u_γ such that

$$I(u_\gamma) \leq I(u_\mu)$$

for any u_μ in E_ω . Then

$$\int d\mu \leq (u_\gamma, u_\mu) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\int d\gamma = \|u_\gamma\|^2. \tag{2}$$

By (1), $u_\gamma(x) \geq 1$ *p.p.* in ω . Hence

$$\|u_\gamma\|^2 \geq \text{Cap}(\omega).$$

On the other hand it is known that there exists a sequence (u_{f_n}) of pure potentials such that $u_{f_n} \rightarrow u_\gamma$ strongly in \mathcal{L} , where f_n is a positive bounded measurable function with support in ω .³⁾ For any u in \mathcal{L} such that $u(x) \geq 1$ *p.p.* in ω ,

$$(u_{f_n}, u) = \int f_n u d\xi \leq \int f_n d\xi.$$

Since the measure f_n converges vaguely to γ and ω is relatively compact,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\xi = \int d\gamma.$$

³⁾ Cf. [4], p. 3 and [6].

Hence

$$(u_\gamma, u) \geq \int d\gamma = \|u_\gamma\|^2,$$

i.e., $\|u\| \geq \|u_\gamma\|$. Consequently

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) = \|u_\gamma\|^2 = \int d\gamma.$$

LEMMA 3. Let \mathcal{X} be a regular functional space on X and ω be an open set in X . For any increasing net $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of relatively compact open sets exhausting ω ,

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = \text{Cap}(\omega).$$

Proof. Obviously $\text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha)$ increases with α . First we suppose that $\text{Cap}(\omega) < +\infty$. Then $\text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha)$ is bounded. Let u_{τ_α} be the pure potential such that $\text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = \|u_{\tau_\alpha}\|^2$. Suppose that $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\tau_\alpha} - u_{\tau_\beta}\|^2 &= \|u_{\tau_\alpha}\|^2 - 2(u_{\tau_\alpha}, u_{\tau_\beta}) + \|u_{\tau_\beta}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_{\tau_\beta}\|^2 - \|u_{\tau_\alpha}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (u_{τ_β}) is a fundamental net in \mathcal{X} . There exists an element u in \mathcal{X} such that $u_{\tau_\alpha} \rightarrow u$ strongly in \mathcal{X} . For any positive bounded measurable function f with compact support such that $S_f \subset \omega$, there exists α_0 in I such that

$$(u_f, u_{\tau_\alpha}) = \int u_{\tau_\alpha} f d\xi \geq \int f d\xi$$

for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Therefore

$$(u_f, u) \geq \int f d\xi,$$

i.e., $u(x) \geq 1$ *p.p.* in ω . Hence

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) \leq \|u\|^2.$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = \text{Cap}(\omega).$$

In the case that $\text{Cap}(\omega) = +\infty$, it is evident that

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \text{Cap}(\omega_\alpha) = +\infty$$

by the above proof.

LEMMA 4. Let ω_n be an open set in X ($n=1, 2, \dots$).
Put

$$\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n$$

Then

$$\text{Cap}(\omega) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Cap}(\omega_n).$$

By Lemmas 2 and 3, we can prove in the same manner as Deny [5].⁴⁾

RPROPOSITION 1.⁵⁾ Let \mathcal{L} be a regular functional space on X . For any u in \mathcal{L} , there exists a function u^* with the following properties.

(1.1) $u(x) = u^*(x)$ p.p. in X and $u^*(x) = 0$ outside some σ -compact set.

(1.2) There exists a decreasing sequence (ω_n) of open sets such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}(\omega_n) = 0$$

and $u^*(x)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{E}\omega_n$ for each n .

(1.3) For any pure potential u in \mathcal{L} , u^* is μ -measurable and

$$(u, u_\mu) = \int u^* d\mu.$$

By Lemmas 1, 2, 3, and 4, we can prove in the same manner as Deny [5].

We say that u^* is the refinement of u . Furthermore we have

LEMMA 5. For any u in \mathcal{L} , u^* is μ -measurable for any u_μ in \mathcal{L} such that S_μ^+ is compact and

$$S_\mu^+ \cap S_\mu^- = \phi.$$

Proof. S_μ^+ being compact, we can take an open set ω in X such that $\omega \supset S_\mu^+$ and

$$S_\mu^- \cap \bar{\omega} = \phi.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega = \{\overline{u \in C_K \cap \mathcal{L}; S_u \subset \omega}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{L}_ω is a regular functional space on ω . We take another open set $\omega^{(1)}$

⁴⁾ Cf. [5], p. 136.

⁵⁾ Cf. [2], p. 209.

such that

$$S_{\mu}^+ \subset \omega^{(1)} \subset \bar{\omega}^{(1)} \subset \omega.$$

Let (ω_n) be the sequence in Proposition 1. Put

$$\omega_n' = \omega^{(1)} \cap \omega_n.$$

Let $Cap'(\omega_n')$ be the capacity of ω_n' relative to the functional space \mathcal{L}_{ω} . Obviously

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cap'(\omega_n') = 0.$$

Let u_{r_n}' be the pure potential in \mathcal{L}_{ω} such that

$$Cap'(\omega_n') = \|u_{r_n}'\|^2.$$

Then

$$\int_{\omega_n} d\mu^+ \leq (u_{\mu}, u_{r_n}') \leq \|u_{\mu}\| \|u_{r_n}'\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore u^* is μ^+ -measurable. Similarly u^* is μ^- -measurable.

2. The unit contraction and Condensor principle

First we define the unit contraction on 1-dimensional Euclidean space R .

DEFINITION 5. We call the projection T of R to the closed interval $[0, 1]$ the unit contraction on R .

Let \mathcal{L} be a regular functional space with respect to X and ξ .

DEFINITION 6. We say that the unit contraction T operates on \mathcal{L} if for any u in \mathcal{L} , Tu is in \mathcal{L} and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$.

DEFINITION 7. We say that \mathcal{L} satisfies the condensor principle if for any couple of open sets ω_1 and ω_0 with disjoint closures, ω_1 being relatively compact, there exists a potential u_{μ} such that

$$(C. 1) \quad 0 \leq u_{\mu}(x) \leq 1 \text{ p.p. in } X,$$

$$(C. 2) \quad u_{\mu}(x) = 1 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_1 \text{ and } u_{\mu}(x) = 0 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_0,$$

$$(C. 3) \quad u_{\mu} \in \overline{E_{\omega_1}} - \overline{E_{\omega_0}}, \text{ where } E_{\omega_1} \text{ and } E_{\omega_0} \text{ are the sets which we defined in Lemma 2.}$$

We shall call the above potential u_{μ} the condensor potential with respect to ω_1 and ω_0 .

LEMMA 6. Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies the condensor principle. For any couple of open sets ω_1 and ω_0 with disjoint closures, ω_1 being relatively compact, put

$$A_{1,0} = \{u \in \mathcal{L}; u(x) \geq 1 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_1 \text{ and } u(x) \leq 0 \text{ p.p. in } \omega_0\}.$$

Then there exists a unique element in \mathcal{L} whose norm is minimum in $A_{1,0}$ and it is equal to the condensor potential with respect to ω_1 and ω_0 .

Proof. Obviously $A_{1,0}$ is non-empty closed convex set in \mathcal{L} . Hence there exists a unique element $u_{1,0}$ in $A_{1,0}$ such that $\|u_{1,0}\| \leq \|u\|$ for any u in $A_{1,0}$. Let u_μ be the condensor potential with respect to ω_1 and ω_0 . Since u_μ is in $A_{1,0}$, $\|u_\mu\| \geq \|u_{1,0}\|$. On the other hand there exists a sequence $(u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}})$ such that $u_{\mu_{1,n}}$ and $u_{\mu_{0,n}}$ are pure potentials,

$$S_{\mu_{1,n}} \subset \omega_1, S_{\mu_{0,n}} \subset \omega_0$$

and $u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}}$ converges strongly to u_μ in \mathcal{L} as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. For any u in $A_{1,0}$,

$$(u, u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}}) = \int u^* d\mu_{1,n} - \int u^* d\mu_{0,n} \geq (u_\mu, u_{\mu_{1,n}} - u_{\mu_{0,n}}),$$

because $u^*(x) \geq 1$ p.p.p. in ω_1 and $u^*(x) \leq 0$ p.p.p. in ω_0 .⁶⁾ Hence

$$\|u\| \cdot \|u_\mu\| \geq (u, u_\mu) \geq \|u_\mu\|^2,$$

i.e., $\|u\| \geq \|u_\mu\|$. Consequently $u_{1,0} = u_\mu$.

LEMMA 7. Let \mathcal{L} be a regular functional space. Each element in $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ is a potential in \mathcal{L} .

Proof. For any u in $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$, there exists a sequence $(u_{\mu_n} - u_{\nu_n})$ of $E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}$ tending strongly to u in \mathcal{L} . Since

$$\overline{\omega_0} \cap \overline{\omega_1} = \emptyset$$

and $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$ is dense in C_K , (μ_n) and (ν_n) are vaguely bounded. Hence we may assume that there exist positive measures μ and ν such that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ vaguely as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore

$$(u, f) = \int f d(\mu - \nu)$$

for any f in $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$. Consequently

$$u = u_{\mu - \nu}.$$

⁶⁾ Cf. [6], Lemma 2. A property is said to hold p.p.p. on a subset E in X if the property holds μ -p.p. for any pure potential u_μ in E such that $S_\mu \subset E$.

By Lemma 7, we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 8. *Let \mathcal{X} be a regular functional space. Let $A_{1,0}$ be the same as in Lemma*

6. *The element u' whose norm is minimum $A_{1,0}$ is contained in $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7, we can consider the following valuation:

$$I'(u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}) = \|u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}\|^2 - 2 \int d\mu_1$$

for any $u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}$ in $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$. Similarly as in Lemma 2, $I'(u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0})$ is bounded from below on $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$. Since $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ is a non-empty closed convex set in \mathcal{X} , there exists a unique element $u_{\tau_1} - u_{\tau_0}$ in $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ such that

$$I'(u_{\tau_1} - u_{\tau_0}) \leq I'(u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0})$$

for any $u_{\mu_1} - u_{\mu_0}$ in $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$. Similarly is as the proof of Lemma 2,

$$u' = u_{\tau_1} - u_{\tau_0}.$$

Now we remark that the regular functional space \mathcal{X} satisfies the equilibrium principle if \mathcal{X} satisfies the condensor principle. That is, for any relatively compact open set ω , there exists a pure potential u_μ such that

$$(E. 1) \quad 0 \leq u_\mu(x) \leq 1 \quad p.p. \text{ in } X,$$

$$(E. 2) \quad u_\mu(x) = 1 \quad p.p. \text{ in } \omega,$$

$$(E. 3) \quad u_\mu \text{ is contained in } E_\omega.$$

Such element u_μ is called an equilibrium potential of ω .

LEMMA 9. *Let \mathcal{X} be the regular functional space which satisfies the condensor principle. For any couple of open sets ω_1 and ω_0 with disjoint closures, ω_1 being relatively compact, let u_μ be the condensor potential with respect to ω_1 and ω_0 . Then*

$$\int d\mu \geq 0.$$

Proof. We take a relatively compact open set ω such that $\omega \supset \overline{\omega_1}$. Let u_ν be the equilibrium potential of ω . Since by Lemma 5,

$$u_\nu^*(x) = 1 \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } \omega,$$

$$0 \leq u_\nu^*(x) \leq 1 \quad p.p.p. \text{ in } X,$$

we have

$$(u_\mu, u_\nu) = \int u_\nu^* d\mu^+ - \int u_\nu^* d\mu^- \leq \int d\mu^+ - \int_\omega d\mu^-.$$

On the other hand since we have

$$u_\mu^*(x) \geq 0 \quad p.p.p. \quad \text{in } X,$$

$$(u_\mu, u_\nu) = \int u_\mu^* d\nu \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\int d\mu^+ \geq \int_\omega d\mu^-.$$

ω being arbitrary, we obtain that the total mass of μ is non-negative.

LEMMA 10. Let \mathcal{L} be the same as above. Let F_1 be a compact and F_0 be a closed set such that

$$F_1 \cap F_0 = \emptyset.$$

Then there exists a potential u_μ in \mathcal{L} such that

$$(C' 1) \quad 0 \leq u_\mu^*(x) \leq 1 \quad p.p. \quad X,$$

$$(C' 2) \quad u_\mu^*(x) = 1 \quad p.p.p. \quad \text{in } F_1, \quad u_\mu^*(x) = 0 \quad p.p.p. \quad \text{in } F_0,$$

$$(C' 3) \quad S_\mu^+ \subset F_1, \quad S_\mu^- \subset F_0,$$

$$(C' 4) \quad \int d\mu \geq 0.$$

Proof. We take two decreasing nets $(\omega_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(\omega_{0,\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ of open sets converging to F_1, F_0 such that $\omega_{1,\alpha}$ is relatively compact for any $\alpha \in I$,

$$\omega_{1,\alpha} \supset F_1, \quad \omega_{0,\alpha} \supset F_0$$

and for any, $\alpha < \beta$,

$$\overline{\omega_{1,\alpha}} \subset \omega_{1,\beta}, \quad \overline{\omega_{0,\alpha}} \subset \omega_{0,\beta}.$$

Let u_{μ_α} be the condensor potential with respect to $\omega_{1,\alpha}$ and $\omega_{0,\alpha}$. Since $u_{\mu_\alpha}^*(x)$ is bounded in X , by Lemma 5,

$$(u_{\mu_\alpha}, u_{\mu_\beta}) = \int u_{\mu_\alpha}^* d\mu_\beta^+ - \int u_{\mu_\beta}^* d\mu_\alpha^- = \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2$$

for any $\alpha \leq \beta$. Hence $\|u_{\mu_\alpha}\| \geq \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|$ for any $\alpha \leq \beta$, i.e., $\{\|u_{\mu_\alpha}\|\}$ is convergent.

Furthermore we have

$$\|u_{\mu_\alpha} - u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2 = \|u_{\mu_\alpha}\|^2 - 2(u_{\mu_\alpha}, u_{\mu_\beta}) + \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2 = \|u_{\mu_\alpha}\|^2 - \|u_{\mu_\beta}\|^2.$$

Therefore there exists an element u in \mathcal{L} such that $u_{\mu_\alpha} \rightarrow u$ strongly in \mathcal{L} . Obviously the sets $(\mu_\alpha^+)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(\mu_\alpha^-)_{\alpha \in I}$ are vaguely bounded, and hence we may assume that there exist two positive measures μ_1 and μ_0 such that $(\mu_\alpha^+)_{\alpha \in I}$ and (μ_α^-) converge vaguely to μ_1 and, μ_0 , respectively. By the definition of a potential in \mathcal{L} ,

$$u = u_{\mu_1 - \mu_0}.$$

We shall show that this element u is the required element. Evidently

$$S_{\mu_1} \subset F_1, S_{\mu_0} \subset F_0.$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mu_\alpha}^* &= 1 \text{ p.p.p. in } \omega_{1,\alpha} \text{ and } u_{\mu_\alpha}^* = 0 \text{ p.p.p. in } \omega_{0,\alpha}, \\ u^* &= 1 \text{ p.p.p. in } F_1 \text{ and } u^* = 0 \text{ p.p.p. in } F_0. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that u satisfies the condition (C'. 1). Finally we prove that u satisfies the condition (C'. 4). $S_{\mu_\alpha^+}$ being in a fixed compact set,

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int d\mu_\alpha^+ = \int d\mu_1.$$

On the other hand

$$\lim_{\alpha \in I} \int d\mu_\alpha^- \geq \int d\mu_0.$$

By Lemma 9, we obtain the inequality

$$\int d\mu_1 \geq \int d\mu_0.$$

We call such a potential u_μ the condensor potential with respect to F_1 and F_0 . Now we consider the strong complete maximum principle.

DEFINITION 7.⁶⁾ We say that a regular functional space \mathcal{L} satisfies the strong complete maximum principle if the following condition is fulfilled. For a potential u_f , f being locally summable for ξ , and a pure potential u_v in \mathcal{L} and a non-negative constant c , suppose that

$$u_f^*(x) \leq u_v^*(x) + c$$

p.p.p. on K_{f^+} . Then

$$u_f(x) \leq u_\nu(x) + c$$

p.p. in X .

In this definition, K_{f^+} is a set whose complement is of f^+ -measure zero.

By the above lemmas, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If a regular funtiocnal space \mathcal{E} satisfies the condensor principles, then \mathcal{E} satisfies the strong complete maximum principle.*

Proof. Let u_f , u_ν and c be the same as in Definition 7. Suppose that there exists a compact set K_1 in $\mathcal{E}K_{f^+}$ such that $\xi(K_1) > 0$ and

$$u_f(x) > u_\nu(x) + c$$

on K_1 . Since

$$u_f^*(x) = u_f(x) \text{ p.p. in } X \text{ and } u_\nu^*(x) = u_\nu(x) \text{ p.p. in } X, \quad u_f^*(x) > u_\nu^*(x) + c$$

p.p. on K_1 . Therefore there exists a compact set K_2 in K_1 such that $\xi(K_2) > 0$ and

$$u_f^*(x) > u_\nu^*(x) + c$$

on K_2 . By Proposition 1, there exists a decreasing sequence (ω_n) of open sets such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}(\omega_n) = 0,$$

$u_f^*(x)$ and $u_\nu^*(x)$ are continuous on $\mathcal{E}\omega_n$. Since $\xi(\omega_n) \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists a number n such that

$$\xi(K_2 \cap \mathcal{E}\omega_n) > 0.$$

We take a compact set K such that

$$K \subset K_2 \cap \mathcal{E}\omega_n \text{ and } \xi(K) > 0.$$

Then $u_f^*(x)$ and $u_\nu^*(x)$ are continuous and $u_f^*(x) > u_\nu^*(x) + c$ on K , and hence there exists a positive number a such that

$$u_f^*(x) - u_\nu^*(x) - c > a$$

on K . Since f is locally summable for ξ , there exists an open set G such that $G \supset K$ and

$$\int_G f^+(x) d\xi(x) < \frac{1}{2} a \cdot \text{Cap}(K),$$

where

$$\text{Cap}(K) = \inf_{k \subset \omega} \text{Cap}(\omega),$$

because we have

$$\int_K f^+(x) d\xi(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Cap}(K) > 0.$$

Put

$$K'_{f^+} = K_{f^+} \cap \mathcal{E}G.$$

By the measurability of f , there exists an increasing sequence (F_n) of compact sets such that $F_n \subset K'_{f^+}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(F_n \cap F) = \xi(K'_{f^+} \cap F)$$

for any compact set F . Let u_{μ_n} be the condenser potential with respect to K and F_n . Similarly as the proof of Lemma 10, there exists a potential u_μ such that $u_{\mu_n} \rightarrow u_\mu$ strongly in \mathcal{E} and $S_{\mu_n} \subset K$. By Lemmas 9 and 10,

$$(u_\mu, u_\nu) = \int (u_f^*(x) - u_\nu^*(x)) d\mu \geq (a+c) \int d\mu^+ - c \int d\mu^- \geq a \int d\mu^+ = a \|u_\mu\|^2 \geq a \cdot \text{Cap}(K).$$

Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be an increasing net of relatively compact open sets such that $G_\alpha \supset G$ and $G_\alpha \nearrow X$. Similarly as the above, we can take the condenser potential u_{μ_α} with respect to K and $K'_{f^+} \cup \mathcal{E}G_\alpha$. Since u_{μ_α} is a bounded measurable function with compact support, u_{μ_α} is f -integrable and

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{\mu_\alpha}, u_f - u_\nu) &= \int u_{\mu_\alpha}(x) f^+(x) d\xi(x) \\ &\quad - \left(\int u_{\mu_\alpha}(x) f^-(x) d\xi(x) + \int u_{\mu_\alpha}^*(x) d\nu(x) \right) \\ &\leq \int_G u_{\mu_\alpha}(x) f^+(x) d\xi(x) \leq \int_G f^+(x) d\xi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} a \cdot \text{Cap}(K). \end{aligned}$$

Now since $(u_{\mu_\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ converges strongly to u_μ in \mathcal{E} ,

$$(u_\mu, u_f - u_\nu) \leq \frac{1}{2} a \cdot \text{Cap}(K).$$

This is a contradiction and the proof is completed.

3. Main theorems

First we consider the resolvent operator on a regular functional space \mathcal{E}

or $L^2 = L^2(\xi)$.

LEMMA 11.⁷⁾ *Let f be in L^2 or in \mathcal{L} . For each positive number λ , there exists a unique element $R_\lambda f$ in \mathcal{L} which minimizes the following quadratic form:*

$$F(u) = \|u\|^2 + \int |u(x) - f(x)|^2 d\xi(x)$$

in the set

$$A_f = \{u \in \mathcal{L}; u - f \in L^2\}.$$

$R_\lambda f$ is also the only element u in \mathcal{L} such that $u - f$ is in L^2 and

$$\lambda(u, v) + \int (u - f)v d\xi = 0$$

for any v in $L^2 \cap \mathcal{L}$.

This is obtained by Beurling and Deny [2] for the case when \mathcal{L} is a Dirichlet space. For the case when \mathcal{L} is a regular functional space, this is proved in the same way. We call such an operator R_λ the resolvent operator. Before we prove the main theorem, we prepare the following lemma.

LEMMA 12. *Let \mathcal{L} be a regular functional space on X . Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies the strong complete maximum principle. Then for any positive bounded function f with compact support,*

$$0 \leq R_\lambda f(x) \leq M$$

p.p. in X , where

$$M = \text{ess. sup}_{x \in X} f(x).$$

Proof. First we shall prove that

$$R_\lambda f(x) \geq 0$$

p.p. in X . By the second part of Lemma 11, $R_\lambda f$ is the potential generated by $f - R_\lambda f$ in \mathcal{L} . Since the potential u_f generated by f is in \mathcal{L} , there exists a potential $u_{R_\lambda f}$ generated by $R_\lambda f$ in \mathcal{L} . Then

$$u_f - \lambda R_\lambda f = u_{R_\lambda f}.$$

Hence

$$u_f^*(x) - \lambda (R_\lambda f)^*(x) = u_{R_\lambda f}^*(x)$$

⁷⁾ Cf. [2], p. 211.

$p.p.p.$ in X . Since

$$R_\lambda f(x) = (R_\lambda f)^*(x)$$

$p.p.$ in X , we have

$$u_{R_\lambda f} = u_{(R_\lambda f)^*}$$

Since

$$u_f^*(x) \geq u_{(R_\lambda f)^+}^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$ on $K_{(R_\lambda f)^*+}$, by Theorem 1,

$$u_f(x) \geq u_{(R_\lambda f)^*}(x)$$

$p.p.$ in X . Therefore $R_\lambda f \geq 0$ $p.p.$ in X .

Next we shall show that

$$R_\lambda f(x) \leq M$$

$p.p.$ in X . There exists a function g in C_K such that $g(x) \geq f(x)$ $p.p.$ in X and $g(x) \leq M$. Since by the above argument, R_λ is a positive operator,

$$R_\lambda f(x) \leq R_\lambda g(x)$$

$p.p.$ in X . Similarly as above,

$$(R_\lambda g)^*(x) = u_{g - (R_\lambda g)^+}^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$ in X . Similarly as in the first part of this lemma,

$$M \geq g(x) \geq (R_\lambda g)^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$ in $K_{(g - (R_\lambda g)^*)^+}$. Hence

$$M \geq u_{(g - (R_\lambda g)^*)^*}^*(x)$$

$p.p.p.$ in $K_{(g - (R_\lambda g)^*)^+}$. By the strong complete maximum principle,

$$M \geq u_{g - (R_\lambda g)^*}(x)$$

$p.p.$ in X . Consequently

$$R_\lambda f \leq R_\lambda g \leq M$$

$p.p.$ in X . This completes the proof.

Now we shall show the following main theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{E} be a regular functional space with respect to X and ξ .*

Then the following four conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The unit contraction operates on \mathcal{X} .
- (2) \mathcal{X} satisfies the condensor principle.
- (3) \mathcal{X} satisfies the strong complete maximum principle.
- (4) \mathcal{X} is a real Dirichlet space with respect to X and ξ .⁸⁾

Proof. First we shall prove the implication (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). For any couple of open sets ω_1 and ω_0 with disjoint closures, ω_1 being relatively compact, let $A_{1,0}$, E_{ω_1} and E_{ω_0} be the same as defined before. Let $u_{1,0}$ be a unique element in \mathcal{X} whose norm is minimum in $A_{1,0}$. Since the unit contraction T operates on \mathcal{X} , $Tu_{1,0}$ is in $A_{1,0}$ and

$$\|Tu_{1,0}\| \leq \|u_{1,0}\|.$$

Therefore $Tu_{1,0} = u_{1,0}$. By Lemma 8, $u_{1,0}$ belongs to $\overline{E_{\omega_1} - E_{\omega_0}}$ and hence it is the condensor potential with respect to ω_1 and ω_0 .

The implication (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) was proved in Theorem 1.

Next we shall show the implication (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). For a positive number λ , let R_λ be a resolvent operator. For any f, g in $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$,

$$(R_\lambda f, R_\lambda g) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (f - R_\lambda f) R_\lambda g d\xi = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (g - R_\lambda g) R_\lambda f d\xi,$$

Hence

$$(R_\lambda f, g) = (R_\lambda g, f)$$

and

$$\int R_\lambda f g d\xi = \int R_\lambda g f d\xi.$$

Hence by Lemma 12, there exists a positive symmetric measure σ_λ on $X \times X$ such that

$$\int R_\lambda f(x) g(x) d\xi(x) = \iint f(x) g(y) d\sigma_\lambda(x, y)$$

for any f, g in C_K and σ_λ is sub-markovian, *i. e.*, the projection of σ_λ on X is less than or equal to ξ . Let m_λ be the density of the projection of σ_λ on X . By the second part of Lemma 11, for any f, g in $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$,

⁸⁾ A real Dirichlet space with respect to X and ξ is a Dirichlet space with respect to X and ξ which consists of real functions. For Dirichlet spaces, see [2], p. 209.

$$\begin{aligned}(R_\lambda f, g) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (f - R_\lambda f) g \, d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \int (1 - m_\lambda) f g \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \iint (f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \, d\sigma_\lambda(x, y) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Now by the first part of Lemma 11, for any positive number λ ,

$$\|R_\lambda f\| \leq \|f\|.$$

And by the second part of Lemma 11,

$$(R_\lambda f, R_\lambda f - f) = - \int |R_\lambda f - f|^2 \, d\xi.$$

Therefore $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f$ strongly in L^2 , and hence $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f$ weakly in \mathcal{L} as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|R_\lambda f\| \geq \|f\| \geq \|R_\lambda f\|$$

for any $\lambda > 0$, $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f$ strongly in \mathcal{L} as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Next we shall prove the following assertion: for a function f in C_K , suppose that

$$H_\lambda(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \int (1 - m_\lambda) |f|^2 \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \iint |f(x) - f(y)|^2 \, d\sigma_\lambda(x, y) \right\}$$

is bounded with respect to λ . Then f is in \mathcal{L} and $H_\lambda(f) \rightarrow \|f\|^2$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In fact,

$$H_\lambda(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (1 - R_\lambda f) f \, d\xi \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int (f - R_\lambda f) R_\lambda f \, d\xi = \|R_\lambda f\|^2.$$

Hence $(R_\lambda f)$ is bounded with respect to λ , and we may assume that there exists an element u in \mathcal{L} such that $R_\lambda f \rightarrow u$ weakly in \mathcal{L} as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand by the second part of Lemma 11, $R_\lambda f \rightarrow f(x)$ *p.p.* in X . Consequently $u(x) = f(x)$ *p.p.* in X , i. e., f is in \mathcal{L} and $H_\lambda(f) \rightarrow \|f\|^2$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Thus we obtain:

For any f in $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$ and any normal contraction T on R , Tf is in \mathcal{L} and $\|Tf\| \leq \|f\|$. Because Tf is in C_K and

$$H_\lambda(Tf) \leq H_\lambda(f)$$

for any λ .

Furthermore for any u in \mathcal{L} , there exists a sequence (f_n) in $C_K \cap \mathcal{L}$ converging to u . By the results that Tf_n is in \mathcal{L} , $\|Tf_n\| \leq \|f_n\|$ and $Tf_n(x)$ converges to $Tu(x)$ *p.p.* in X , Tu is in \mathcal{L} and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$. Consequently \mathcal{L}

is a real Dirichlet space.

The implication (4) \Leftrightarrow (1) is evident. This completes the proof.

By the above main theorem, we obtain the following another characterization of a real Dirichlet space.

THEOREM 3. *A regular functional space \mathcal{X} is a real Dirichlet space if and only if there exists number $M \neq 0$ such that u_M is in \mathcal{X} and $\|u_M\| \leq \|u\|$ for any u in \mathcal{X} , where*

$$u_M(x) = \inf(u(x), M)$$

if $M > 0$,

$$u_M(x) = \sup(u(x), M)$$

if $M < 0$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a number $M \neq 0$ such that u_M is in \mathcal{X} and $\|u_M\| \leq \|u\|$. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for the case $M > 0$. Put

$$u_1(x) = \inf(u(x), 1)$$

for any u in \mathcal{X} . Then

$$u_1(x) = M^{-1} \inf(Mu(x), M),$$

and hence u_1 is in \mathcal{X} and $\|u_1\| \leq \|u\|$. On the other hand for a sequence (a_n) of negative numbers tending to 0,

$$u_{a_n}(x) = \sup(u(x), a_n) = -\frac{a_n}{M} \inf\left(\frac{M}{a_n}u(x), M\right).$$

Hence u_{a_n} is in \mathcal{X} and $\|u_{a_n}\| \leq \|u\|$. We may assume that there exists an element u' such that $u_{a_n} \rightarrow u'$ weakly in \mathcal{X} . Since $u_{a_n}(x)$ converges to $u'(x)$ *p.p.* in X , u' is in \mathcal{X} and

$$\|u\| \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{a_n}\| \geq \|u'\|$$

Let T be the unit contraction on R . Then $Tu = u_1^+$. Consequently T operates on \mathcal{X} . By Theorem 2, \mathcal{X} is a real Dirichlet space.

The converse is evident. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION 8. We say that the positive contraction on R operates on a regular functional space \mathcal{X} if for any u in \mathcal{X} , u^+ is in \mathcal{X} and $\|u^+\| \leq \|u\|$.

⁹⁾ A normal contraction T is a transformation of R into itself such that $|Ta_1 - Ta_2| \leq |a_1 - a_2|$ for any couple a_1 and a_2 in R and $T(0) = 0$. Cf. [2], p. 209.

Remark. There exists a regular functional space on which the positive contraction operates and which is not a real Dirichlet space. We can construct such an example when X is a finite space. (Cf. [1].)

Similarly as Theorem 2, we obtain the following theorem. First we give a definition.

DEFINITION 9.¹⁰⁾ We say that a regular functional space satisfies the balayage principle if the following condition is satisfied: for any pure potential u_μ and any open set ω in X , there exists a pure potential $u_{\mu'}$ such that

$$(B. 1) \quad u_\mu(x) \geq u_{\mu'}(x) \text{ p.p. in } X,$$

$$(B. 2) \quad u_\mu(x) = u_{\mu'}(x) \text{ p.p. in } \omega,$$

$$(B. 3) \quad u_{\mu'} \in E_\omega.$$

THEOREM 4. A regular functional space \mathcal{L} satisfies the balayage principle if and only if the positive contraction operates on \mathcal{L} .

We can prove in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Special Dirichlet spaces

Let X be a locally compact abelian group and ξ be the Haar measure on X which we denote by dx .

DEFINITION 10.¹¹⁾ A functional space \mathcal{L} with respect to X and ξ is called an invariant functional space if for any x in X and any u in \mathcal{L} ,

$$U_x u \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \|U_x u\| = \|u\|,$$

where $U_x u$ is a function obtained from u by the translation x (i.e., $U_x u(y) = u(y-x)$).

DEFINITION 11.¹²⁾ An invariant functional space \mathcal{L} is called a special Dirichlet space if \mathcal{L} is a real Dirichlet space.

LEMMA 13. For any u in an invariant functional space \mathcal{L} and any bounded measurable function f with compact support, $u*f$ is in \mathcal{L} and

$$(u*f, v) = \int (U_{-x} u, v) f dx$$

for any v in \mathcal{L} .

¹⁰⁾ Cf. [2], p. 210.

¹¹⁾ After Deny's terminology, this is the functional space which is invariant by the translation.

¹²⁾ Cf. [2], p. 215.

For the proof, see [3] and [4].

Using Theorem 2, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. *An invariant functional space \mathcal{X} is a special Dirichlet space if and only if \mathcal{X} satisfies the condensor principle.¹³⁾*

Proof. It is well-known that a special Dirichlet space satisfies the condensor principle. It is sufficient to prove the “if” part. By Lemma 13 and the condensor principle, $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$ is total in C_K .¹⁴⁾ We shall show that $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$ is dense in \mathcal{X} . Put

$$\mathcal{X}' = \overline{C_K \cap \mathcal{X}},$$

Then by Theorem 2, \mathcal{X}' is a special Dirichlet space on X . First we shall prove that for each u in \mathcal{X} with compact support, u is in \mathcal{X}' . We take a net $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of C_K such that

$$f_\alpha(x) \geq 0, \int f_\alpha(x) dx = 1$$

and $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ converges vaguely to the unit measure ε at 0 and (S_{f_α}) converges to $\{0\}$. Since the mapping: $x \rightarrow U_x u$ is strongly continuous for any u in \mathcal{X} , there exists α_0 in I such that

$$\|U_x u - u\| < \delta$$

for any $x \in -S_{f_\alpha}$, $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, for a given positive number δ . Therefore

$$\|u * f_\alpha - u\|^2 = \|u * f_\alpha\|^2 - 2(u * f_\alpha, u) + \|u\|^2 < 4\|u\|\delta + \delta^2.$$

$u * f_\alpha$ is in $C_K \cap \mathcal{X}$, and hence u is in \mathcal{X}' . Let $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$ be a net of compact sets such that $F_\alpha \rightarrow X$. Put

$$E_{\mathcal{E}F_\alpha} = \overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_f \in \mathcal{X}; f \text{ is a bounded measurable function with compact support} \\ S_f \subset \mathcal{E}F_\alpha \end{array} \right\}}.$$

Then $E_{\mathcal{E}F_\alpha}$ is a closed subspace of \mathcal{X} . For any u in \mathcal{X} , let u_α be the projection of u to $E_{\mathcal{E}F_\alpha}$. Then $u(x) = u_\alpha(x)$ *p.p.* in $\mathcal{E}F_\alpha$. Hence by the above result, $u - u_\alpha$ is in \mathcal{X}' . On the other hand obviously (u_α) converges strongly

¹³⁾ Let ω be an open set in X and the notation E_ω be the same as in Lemma 2. Without the condition of regularity, we can only consider potentials generated by bounded measurable functions with compact support. Then $E_\omega = \overline{\{u_f \in \mathcal{X}; S_f \subset \omega\}}$.

¹⁴⁾ Cf. [6].

to 0 in \mathcal{L} , hence $(u - u_n)$ converges strongly to u . That is, u is in \mathcal{L}' . Consequently \mathcal{L} is a special Dirichlet space.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling & J. Deny: Espaces de Dirichlet, Le case élémentaire, *Acta Math.*, **99** (1958), 103–124.
- [2] —————: Dirichlet spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A.*, **45** (1959), 208–215.
- [3] J. Deny: Sur les espaces de Dirichlet, *Sémin. théorie du potentiel*, Paris, 1957.
- [4] —————: Principe complet de maximum et Contractions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **15** (1965), 259–272.
- [5] —————: Les potentiel d'énergie finie. *Acta Math.*, **82** (1950) 107–182.
- [6] M. Itô: Characterizations of supports of balayaged measures, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **28** (1966), 203–230.
- [7] G. Lion: Principe complet du maximum et semi-groupes sous-markoviens, *Sémin. théorie du potentiel*, Paris, 1964.

Mathematical Institute Nagoya University