Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 63 (2001) [167-175]

ON DIAGONAL ACTS OF MONOIDS

E.F. ROBERTSON, N. RUŠKUC AND M.R. THOMSON

It is proved that the monoid R_N of all partial recursive functions of one variable is finitely generated, and that $R_N \times R_N$ is a cyclic (left and right) R_N -act (under the natural diagonal actions s(a, b) = (sa, sb), (a, b)s = (as, bs)). We also construct a finitely presented monoid S such that $S \times S$ is a cyclic left and right S-act, and study further interesting properties of diagonal acts and their relationship with power monoids.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a monoid and let X be a set. We say that X is a right M-act if there is an action $(x, s) \mapsto xs$ from $X \times M$ into X with the property that x(st) = (xs)t and x1 = x where $x \in X$, $s, t \in M$ are arbitrary and 1 is the identity of M. We define the notion of a left M-act analogously. We say X is a bi M-act if it is both a right and a left M-act and these actions are linked by

$$s(xt) = (sx)t \quad (s,t \in M, x \in X).$$

A right *M*-act X is generated by a subset $U \subseteq X$ if UM = X. Similarly a left *M*-act X is generated by a subset $U \subseteq X$ if MU = X. A bi *M*-act X is generated by a subset $U \subseteq X$ if MUM = X. A (right, left or bi) *M*-act is cyclic if it is generated by a single element.

For any monoid M, the set $M \times M$ can be made into a right, left or bi M-act by defining

$$(x,y)s = (xs,ys), \quad s(x,y) = (sx,sy)$$

for all $x, y, s \in M$; we refer to these acts as the diagonal right, left, and bi *M*-acts respectively. In this paper we consider the question of finite generation of diagonal acts. If *M* is infinite, can $M \times M$ ever be finitely generated as a right, left or bi *M*-act? In the case of an infinite group *G*, we have that $G \times G$ is never a finitely generated right or left *G*-act; furthermore, $G \times G$ is a finitely generated bi *G*-act if and only if *G* has only

Received 26th June, 2000

The second author acknowledges partial support from the Nuffield Foundation, NUF-NAL SCI/180/97/54/G.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

finitely many conjugacy classes. However, the monoid case is different, and we start with a simple example, due to Bulman-Fleming and McDowell [1].

Let T_N be the monoid consisting of all mappings from N into N under composition. Now $T_N \times T_N$ is both a cyclic left and a cyclic right T_N -act. For, let α and β be mappings from N into N defined by $x\alpha = 2x$, and $x\beta = 2x + 1$. Then for any $(f,g) \in T_N \times T_N$ we have $(f,g) = (\alpha,\beta)h$ where $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined by (2m)h = mf, (2m+1)h = mg. To show that $T_N \times T_N$ is a cyclic left T_N -act, we first choose a bijection $\psi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, and let (λ,μ) be its inverse, where λ and μ are mappings from N to N. Then for any $(f,g) \in T_N \times T_N$ we have $(f,g) = k(\lambda,\mu)$ where $k = (f,g)\psi \in T_N$.

However, we note that T_N is not finitely generated, since it is uncountable. In Section 2 we consider a smaller monoid R_N consisting of all partial recursive functions from N into N. We show that R_N retains the cyclic diagonal act properties of T_N , and also that it is finitely generated (but not finitely presented). Based on this example, in Section 3 we construct further diagonal acts with interesting properties.

In Section 4 we explore connections between diagonal acts and finitary power monoids. Given two subsets A and B of a monoid M, we define their product ABto be the subset $\{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$ of M. With this multiplication, the set of all finite subsets of M becomes a monoid, which we denote by $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ and call the power monoid of M. In particular, we show that $\mathcal{P}_f(R_N)$ is finitely generated.

2. PARTIAL RECURSIVE FUNCTIONS OF ONE VARIABLE

Let R_N be the monoid of all partial recursive functions of one variable under composition. For various facts about the set of all partial recursive functions, see for example [2]. In the proof given in Section 1 that $T_N \times T_N$ is both a cyclic right and a cyclic left T_N -act, we see that α and β are recursive, while ψ, λ and μ may be chosen to be partial recursive. Furthermore, if f and g are themselves partial recursive functions, then both h and k are, and so the proof for T_N will also work for R_N . So we have the following:

PROPOSITION 2.1. $R_N \times R_N$ is both a cyclic right and a cyclic left R_N -act.

This time however we have:

THEOREM 2.2. The monoid R_N is finitely generated.

PROOF: We use the fact that there exists a universal partial recursive function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for every partial recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ there is some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$xf = (i, x)\phi \ (x \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be any partial recursive bijection, the standard enumeration of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ will do. Let $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the partial recursive functions such that (λ, μ) is the inverse of ψ . Thus $(x\lambda, x\mu)\psi = x$ and $(x, y)\psi\lambda = x$, $(x, y)\psi\mu = y$. We

define $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$x\sigma = (x\lambda, (x\lambda, x\mu)\phi)\psi.$$

Then σ is also partial recursive. We define π and ρ as partial recursive functions from N to N by

$$egin{aligned} x\pi &= (0,x)\psi \ x
ho &= (x\lambda+1,x\mu)\psi. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $R_N = \langle \pi, \rho, \sigma, \mu \rangle$. Given a function $f \in R_N$ we find *i* such that $xf = (i, x)\phi$ for each *x*. We first note that

(1)
$$(i,x)\psi\rho = ((i,x)\psi\lambda + 1, (i,x)\psi\mu)\psi = (i+1,x)\psi,$$

and that

(2)
$$(i,x)\psi\sigma = \left((i,x)\psi\lambda, ((i,x)\psi\lambda, (i,x)\psi\mu)\phi\right)\psi = (i,(i,x)\phi)\psi.$$

Then we have

$$\sigma \mu = (0, x)\psi \rho^{i} \sigma \mu$$

= $(i, x)\psi \sigma \mu$ (by (1))
= $(i, (i, x)\phi)\psi \mu$ (by (2))
= $(i, x)\phi$
= xf , (by choice of i)

and so R_N is finitely generated as required.

 $x\pi \rho^i$

THEOREM 2.3. The monoid R_N is not finitely presented.

PROOF: Suppose that R_N is finitely presented. Then it can be finitely presented in terms of the generators π, ρ, σ, μ , and so we may assume that $R_{\rm N} = \langle \pi, \rho, \sigma, \mu | Q \rangle$ for some finite set of relations Q. Let f be a partial recursive function that is not total, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $xf = (m, x)\phi$ for all x. For simplicity we define ϕ_n to be the function mapping x to $(n, x)\phi$. We let A be the singleton set containing f. By a corollary of the Rice-Shapiro Theorem (see [2, Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 1]) the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi_n \in A\}$ is not recursively enumerable: indeed, if it was, then any extension of f would also be in A. Now $\phi_n = \pi \rho^n \sigma \mu$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. If R_N were finitely presented then there would exist an algorithmic procedure ${\cal P}$ that always answers yes if the two words $\pi \rho^m \sigma \mu$ and $\pi \rho^n \sigma \mu$ are equal in R_N , but does not necessarily terminate if they are not equal. Indeed, one may start from $\pi \rho^m \sigma \mu$ and systematically apply defining relations until $\pi \rho^n \sigma \mu$ is obtained, which will happen if and only if the two words are equal. It now follows that the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi_n = \phi_m\}$ is recursively enumerable: an algorithm for enumerating it consists of running \mathcal{P} for all input pairs (ϕ_m, ϕ_n) $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ in parallel, and listing those n for which \mathcal{P} terminates. We now conclude that the set 0 $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi_n \in A\}$ is recursively enumerable, which is a contradiction.

0

[3]

[4]

3. FURTHER EXAMPLES OF FINITELY GENERATED DIAGONAL ACTS

THEOREM 3.1. There exists an infinite finitely presented monoid P such that $P \times P$ is a cyclic right P-act and a cyclic left P-act.

PROOF: We construct such a finitely presented monoid P which has R_N as a homomorphic image. Let $A = \{p, r, s, m\}$ be an alphabet, the letters p, r, s, m representing the generators π, ρ, σ, μ of R_N respectively, and let $f : A^* \to R_N$ be the corresponding epimorphism. (As usual, A^* denotes the free monoid on A consisting of all words over A including the empty word 1.) Let $a, b \in A^*$ be such that $af = \alpha$, $bf = \beta$, where, as before, α and β are the mappings given by $x\alpha = 2x$ and $x\beta = 2x + 1$. For each $x \in A$ let $u_x, v_x \in A^*$ be such that $(\alpha, \beta)[u_x f] = ((xf)\alpha, \beta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta)[v_x f] = (\alpha, (xf)\beta)$. Also, let $w \in A^*$ be such that $(\alpha, \beta)[wf] = (1_N, 1_N)$, where 1_N denotes the identity mapping on N. We now define P_1 to be the monoid defined by the presentation

(3)
$$\langle p, r, s, m \mid au_x = xa, bu_x = b, av_x = a, bv_x = xb \ (x \in \{p, r, s, m\}), aw = bw = 1 \rangle$$

Clearly, P_1 is finitely presented and has R_N as a homomorphic image, so that it is infinite. We now prove that $P_1 \times P_1$ is a cyclic right P_1 -act. Indeed, for any $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$, with $w_1 = x_1 x_2 \dots x_k, w_2 = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$ $(x_i, y_j \in A)$ we have

$$au_{x_1}u_{x_2}\ldots u_{x_k}v_{y_1}\ldots v_{y_n}w = x_1au_{x_2}\ldots u_{x_k}v_{y_1}\ldots v_{y_n}w = \ldots$$
$$= x_1\ldots x_kav_{y_1}\ldots v_{y_n}w = x_1\ldots x_kav_{y_2}\ldots v_{y_n}w = \ldots = x_1\ldots x_kaw$$
$$= x_1\ldots x_k = w_1$$

as a consequence of defining relations, and similarly

$$bu_{x_1}\ldots u_{x_k}v_{y_1}\ldots v_{y_n}w=w_2.$$

Therefore $P_1 \times P_1$ is generated (as a right P_1 -act) by (a, b).

One can now use the same technique and the fact that $R_N \times R_N$ is a cyclic left R_N -act to add a further 18 relations to (3), obtaining a monoid P such that R_N is a homomorphic image of P and $P \times P$ is both a cyclic right P-act and a cyclic left P-act.

Our next construction is aimed at demonstrating the independence of properties of $M \times M$ as a right M-act from those of $M \times M$ as a left M-act.

Given a monoid M we construct a new monoid C(M) as follows. Let $M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ be disjoint sets in 1-1 correspondence with M, where $s \leftrightarrow s^{(1)} \leftrightarrow s^{(2)}$ ($s \in M$) are bijections, and let $C(M) = M^{(1)} \cup M^{(2)}$. We define multiplication on C(M) as follows:

$$s^{(1)}t^{(1)} = (st)^{(1)}, \qquad s^{(1)}t^{(2)} = t^{(2)}, s^{(2)}t^{(1)} = (st)^{(2)}, \qquad s^{(2)}t^{(2)} = t^{(2)}.$$

This turns C(M) into a monoid with identity $1^{(1)}$; in [3], C(M) is called the constant extension of M. Now we prove the following facts about C(M).

THEOREM 3.2. Let M be any monoid, and let C = C(M). Then

- (i) C is finitely generated if and only if M is finitely generated;
- (ii) $C \times C$ is a finitely generated right C-act if and only if $M \times M$ is a finitely generated right M-act;
- (iii) if M is infinite, then $C \times C$ is not a finitely generated left C-act.

Before proving this theorem, we make the following simple observation that we shall use frequently in what follows.

LEMMA 3.3. $M \times M$ is a finitely generated (right, left or bi) *M*-act if and only if it can be generated by $U \times U$ for some finite subset $U \subseteq M$.

PROOF: If $M \times M$ is generated by a finite set $Y \subseteq M \times M$ then take

$$U = \{s \in M : (s,t) \in Y \text{ or } (t,s) \in Y \text{ for some } t \in M\}.$$

The converse is obvious.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2: (i) Suppose that $M = \langle X \rangle$, and let $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ be the copies of X in $M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ respectively. We show that $C = \langle X^{(1)} \cup X^{(2)} \rangle$. Indeed, if $t \in C$ with $t = s^{(i)}$, and if $s = x_1 \dots x_n$ $(x_j \in X)$ then

$$t = x_1^{(i)} x_2^{(1)} \dots x_n^{(1)}$$

The converse follows from the fact that $M^{(1)} \cong M$ and that $C \setminus M^{(1)} = M^{(2)}$ is an ideal of C.

(ii) It is easy to check that if $M \times M = (U \times U)M$ then $C \times C = (V \times V)C$ where $V = U^{(1)} \cup U^{(2)}$. Thus if $M \times M$ is finitely generated as a right *M*-act then $C \times C$ is finitely generated as a right *C*-act. For the converse, we note that if the *C*-act $C \times C$ is generated by a set $V \times V$, then the *M*-act $M \times M$ is generated by $U \times U$ where $U = \{u \in M : u^{(1)} \in V\}$.

(iii) Suppose $C \times C = C((U^{(1)} \cup U^{(2)}) \times (U^{(1)} \cup U^{(2)}))$ for some finite $U \subseteq M$. Let $q \in M$ be arbitrary. By hypothesis we can write $(q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}) = t^{(i)}(u^{(j)}, v^{(k)})$ for some $t \in M$, $u, v \in U$, $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$. From the way the multiplication between the elements of $M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ in C is defined, we see that i = j = 1, and k = 2. But then $q^{(2)} = t^{(1)}v^{(2)} = v^{(2)}$, and so $q = v \in U$. Thus U = M is infinite and therefore $C \times C$ is not a finitely generated left C-act.

COROLLARY 3.4. The monoid $C = C(R_N)$ is finitely generated. Furthermore, $C \times C$ is finitely generated as a right C-act, but is not finitely generated as a left C-act.

We now describe another monoid construction. Given a monoid M we construct D(M) to be the direct product of M with its opposite, M'. The elements of M' are in 1-1 correspondence $s \leftrightarrow s'$ ($s \in M$) with M, and multiplication is given by s't' = (ts)'. Then M' (and hence D(M)) is finitely generated (respectively finitely presented) if and

Π

only if M is finitely generated (finitely presented). We now prove the following facts about D(M).

THEOREM 3.5. Let M be any monoid, and let D = D(M).

- (i) If $D \times D$ is a finitely generated right (or left) D-act then $M \times M$ is both a finitely generated right M-act and a finitely generated left M-act.
- (ii) If $M \times M$ is a finitely generated right M-act then $D \times D$ is a finitely generated bi D-act.

PROOF: (i) Suppose $D \times D = (U \times U)D$, where U is finite. We may assume that $U = V \times V'$ where V is some finite subset of M, and V' is the corresponding finite subset in M'. We claim that $M \times M$ is finitely generated by the set $V \times V$ both as a right and a left M-act. Given $p, q \in M$, the hypothesis allows us to write

$$((p, p'), (q, q')) = ((v_1, v'_2), (v_3, v'_4))(r, s')$$

for some $r, s \in M, v_i \in V$. Thus

(4)
$$(p,p') = (v_1, v_2')(r, s')$$

(5)
$$(q,q') = (v_3,v_4')(r,s').$$

Equating first components in (4) and (5) we see that $p = v_1 r$, $q = v_3 r$, and so $(p, q) = (v_1, v_3)r \in (V \times V)M$. Equating second components in (4) we see that $p' = v'_2 s'$ in M', and so $p = sv_2$. Similarly from (5) we obtain $q = sv_4$, and so $(p, q) = s(v_2, v_4) \in M(V \times V)$.

(ii) Suppose that $M \times M = (V \times V)M$, for some finite subset V of M. Then we claim that $D \times D = D(U \times U)D$, where $U = V \times V'$ is finite, and so $D \times D$ is a finitely generated bi D-act. To see this, we take two arbitrary elements (a, b') and (c, d') of D, where $a, b, c, d \in M$. Since $M \times M$ is finitely generated as a right M-act, we can find $s, t \in M$ and $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in V$ such that $(a, c) = (v_1, v_3)s$ and $(b, d) = (v_2, v_4)t$. Then $b' = t'v'_2$ and $d' = t'v'_4$ and so we have

$$(1,t')\big((v_1,v_2'),(v_3,v_4')\big)(s,1')=\big((a,b'),(c,d')\big)$$

as required.

COROLLARY 3.6. The monoid $D = D(C(R_N))$ is finitely generated. Furthermore, $D \times D$ is a finitely generated bi D-act, but is not finitely generated as either a left or a right D-act.

4. POWER MONOIDS

In this section we investigate links between diagonal acts and power monoids. First however we prove:

. . .

THEOREM 4.1. If $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated, then M must be finitely generated.

PROOF: Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated with generators the finite sets A_1, \ldots, A_n . Then M is finitely generated by the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Indeed, given $s \in M$, by hypothesis we have

$$\{s\}=A_{j_1}A_{j_2}\ldots A_{j_r}$$

and so $s = a_1 \dots a_r$ for any $a_i \in A_{j_i}$.

THEOREM 4.2. Let M be any monoid. If $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated then $M \times M$ is a finitely generated bi M-act.

PROOF: Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated by the finite sets A_1, \ldots, A_n . We shall show that the bi *M*-act $M \times M$ is generated by the (finite) set $U \times U$ where $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Let $p, q \in M$ be arbitrary, and write

$$\{p,q\}=A_{j_1}A_{j_2}\ldots A_{j_r}.$$

In particular, we have $p = x_1 x_2 \dots x_r$, $q = y_1 y_2 \dots y_r$ for some $x_i, y_i \in A_{j_i}$. Thus we have

$$\{p,q\} = B_1 B_2 \dots B_r$$

where $B_i = \{x_i, y_i\} \subseteq A_{j_i} \subseteq U$ has at most two elements. Clearly, there must exist at least one set, B_m say, with precisely two elements. Consider the sets

$$X = B_1 \dots B_{m-1} \{x_m\} B_{m+1} \dots B_r$$
$$Y = B_1 \dots B_{m-1} \{y_m\} B_{m+1} \dots B_r$$

If |X| = 2 then B_m can be replaced by just $\{x_m\}$ with (6) remaining valid. Similarly if |Y| = 2 then B_m can be replaced by $\{y_m\}$. If |X| = |Y| = 1, then all B_i with $i \neq m$ can be replaced by one element sets $\{x_i\}$. Repeating this, if necessary, we obtain

$$\{p,q\} = \{z_1\} \dots \{z_{k-1}\} \{x_k, y_k\} \{z_{k+1}\} \dots \{z_r\}$$

for some k $(1 \leq k \leq r)$ and some $z_i \in B_i \subseteq U$. Thus we have either

$$(p,q) = z_1 \dots z_{k-1}(x_k, y_k) z_{k+1} \dots z_r \in M(U \times U)M$$

or

$$(p,q) = z_1 \ldots z_{k-1}(y_k, x_k) z_{k+1} \ldots z_{\tau} \in M(U \times U)M,$$

completing the proof.

THEOREM 4.3. Let M be any finitely generated monoid such that $M \times M$ is a cyclic right (or left) M-act. Then $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated.

0

173

PROOF: Suppose $M = \langle X \rangle$, and that $M \times M = (a, b)M$. We shall prove that $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is generated by the set $Y = \{\{a, b\}\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$. Suppose $P \in \mathcal{P}_f(M)$. By induction on |P| we prove that P can be written as a product of sets from Y. If |P| = 1 then P is easily seen to be a product of singleton sets. Suppose $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}\}$, and that all sets with at most n elements can be generated by Y. Since $M \times M = (a, b)M$ we may choose elements q_1, \ldots, q_n such that $(a, b)q_i = (p_i, p_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $(a, b)q_n = (p_n, p_{n+1})$. Then we have

$$\{p_1,\ldots,p_{n+1}\} = \{a,b\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_n\}$$

and our proof by induction is completed.

174

COROLLARY 4.4. $\mathcal{P}_f(R_N)$ is finitely generated.

We might hope that the converse to Theorem 4.3 held, that is, that if $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated then $M \times M$ is a cyclic right or left *M*-act. In fact this is not the case, as our next example shows.

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let $C = C(R_N)$ as in Corollary 3.4. Then $\mathcal{P}_f(C)$ is finitely generated, but $C \times C$ is not a cyclic right C-act, and is not even finitely generated as a left C-act.

PROOF: Corollary 3.4 gives that $C \times C$ is not finitely generated as a left C-act, but is finitely generated as a right C-act. Suppose $C \times C$ were cyclic as a right C-act, that is, that $C \times C = (a^{(i)}, b^{(j)})C$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, a, b \in R_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then for generating $(p^{(1)}, q^{(1)})$ to be possible we would need i = j = 1, which would make generating $(p^{(1)}, q^{(2)})$ impossible. Thus $C \times C$ is not a cyclic right C-act.

We saw in Proposition 2.1 that $R_N \times R_N$ is a cyclic right R_N -act, with generator (α, β) , and also a cyclic left R_N -act, with generator (λ, μ) . By Theorem 2.2 R_N is finitely generated, by the set X say. We shall show that the finite set

$$\left\{\{\xi^{(i)}\}: \xi \in X, i \in \{1,2\}\right\} \cup \left\{\{\lambda^{(1)}, \mu^{(1)}\}, \{\alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(1)}\}\right\}$$

generates $\mathcal{P}_f(C)$. Clearly we can generate all singleton sets - if $f = \xi_1 \dots \xi_r \in R_N$ then $\{f^{(1)}\} = \{\xi_1^{(1)}\} \dots \{\xi_r^{(1)}\}$ and $\{f^{(2)}\} = \{\xi_1^{(2)}\}\{\xi_2^{(1)}\} \dots \{\xi_r^{(1)}\}$. Since $R_N \times R_N$ is a cyclic left R_N -act we may prove, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, that any subset P of R_N of n elements may be written as $P = \{f\}\{\lambda,\mu\}^{n-1}$ where $f \in R_N$. Also, if $P = \{p_1,\dots,p_n\}$ then we may let $Q = \{q_1,\dots,q_n\}$ where q_i is chosen so that $q_i(\lambda,\mu) = (p_i,p_i)$, and we see that $P = Q\{\lambda,\mu\}$. So we may actually write an n element subset as $\{f'\}\{\lambda,\mu\}^m$ for any $m \ge n-1$.

Now given a finite subset Z of C we may write $Z = U \cup V$ where $U \subseteq R_N^{(1)}$ and $V \subseteq R_N^{(2)}$ are both finite. By the above, we may write

$$U = \{f^{(1)}\}\{\lambda^{(1)}, \mu^{(1)}\}^{m}$$
$$V = \{g^{(2)}\}\{\lambda^{(1)}, \mu^{(1)}\}^{m}$$

0

for some $f, g \in R_N$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$Z = \{f^{(1)}\}\{g^{(2)}, 1^{(1)}_{\mathbb{N}}\}\{\lambda^{(1)}, \mu^{(1)}\}^{m}.$$

Thus all that remains to check is that we may generate the sets $\{g^{(2)}, 1_N^{(1)}\}$ for $g \in R_N$. Choosing $h \in R_N$ such that $(\alpha, \beta)h = (g, 1_N)$ we see that $\{g^{(2)}, 1_N^{(1)}\} = \{\alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(1)}\}\{h^{(1)}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_f(C)$ is finitely generated as required.

Various questions regarding the relationship between diagonal acts and power monoids remain unanswered. For example, does the following generalisation of Theorem 4.3 hold: if $M \times M$ is a finitely generated left or right *M*-act (or perhaps even a cyclic bi *M*-act) then $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ is finitely generated? Theorem 4.2 tells us that if $P_f(M)$ is finitely generated then $M \times M$ must be finitely generated as a bi *M*-act, but does there exist a monoid *M* such that $P_f(M)$ is finitely generated, but $M \times M$ is not finitely generated as a left or right *M*-act? One thing we have not investigated here at all is the question of finite presentability of power monoids. If *M* is infinite, can $\mathcal{P}_f(M)$ ever be finitely presented?

References

- S. Bulman-Fleming and K. McDowell, Problem E3311, Amer. Math. Monthly 96 (1989), p. 155; Solution, Amer. Math. Monthly 97 (1990), p. 617.
- [2] D.E. Cohen, Computability and logic (Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester, 1987).
- [3] P.A. Grillet, Semigroups (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995).

Mathematical Institute University of St Andrews St Andrews KY16 9SS Scotland United Kingdom e-mail: edmund@mcs.st-and.ac.uk nik@mcs.st-and.ac.uk robertt@mcs.st-and.ac.uk