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#### Abstract

We prove that, under suitable conditions, a Jacobi Poincaré series of exponential type of integer weight and matrix index does not vanish identically. For the classical Jacobi forms, we construct a basis consisting of the 'first' few Poincaré series, and also give conditions, both dependent on and independent of the weight, that ensure the nonvanishing of a classical Jacobi Poincaré series. We also obtain a result on the nonvanishing of a Jacobi Poincaré series when an odd prime divides the index.
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## 1. Introduction

Rankin [9] proved that the $m$ th Poincare series $P_{m}^{k}$ of weight $k$ for the full modular group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is not identically zero for sufficiently large positive integers $k$ and finitely many positive integers $m$ depending on $k$. Mozzochi extended Rankin's result to integral weight modular forms for congruence subgroups in [7].

In this paper we prove similar results for higher-degree Jacobi Poincaré series defined on the full Jacobi group $\Gamma_{g}^{J}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes\left(\mathbb{Z}^{g} \times \mathbb{Z}^{g}\right)$, where $g$ is a positive integer called the degree of the Jacobi group. The Jacobi group operates on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g}$ and also on functions $\phi: \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the upper half plane. We write $\left.\right|_{k, m}$ for the action on functions. See Section 2 for the definitions.

Let $\operatorname{PSym}\left(g, \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be the set of symmetric, positive definite, half integral $(g \times g)$ matrices. We define $A[B]:=B^{t} A B$ for matrices $A$ and $B$ of appropriate sizes, where $B^{t}$ is the transpose of the matrix $B$, and $e(z):=e^{2 \pi i z}$. More generally, we will use the standard notation $e_{a}(z):=e^{2 \pi i z / a}$.

Let $k, g \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $m \in \operatorname{PSym}\left(g, \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The vector space of Jacobi cusp forms of weight $k$, index $m$ and degree $g$, denoted by $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$, is defined to be the space of all

[^0]holomorphic functions $\phi: \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy $\left.\phi\right|_{k, m} \gamma=\phi$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{g}^{J}$, and have a Fourier expansion of the form
$$
\phi(\tau, z)=\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} \\ 4 n>m^{-1}\left[r^{t}\right]}} c_{\phi}(n, r) e(n \tau+r z) .
$$

We denote $J_{k, m, 1}^{\text {cusp }}$ by $J_{k, m}^{\text {cusp }}$.
For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ and $m \in \operatorname{PSym}\left(g, \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $4 n>m^{-1}\left[r^{t}\right]$, let $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ be the $(n, r)$ th Poincaré series of weight $k$ and index $m$ (of exponential type) defined when $k>g+2$, as in [1] (see Section 2 for the definition). It is well known that the Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$, span $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$. It is then natural to ask whether such Poincaré series vanish identically or not. We prove the following theorem, which gives a partial answer to this question.

Let $k^{\prime}:=k-g / 2-1$ and define

$$
D:=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 n & r \\
r^{t} & 2 m
\end{array}\right)
$$

THEOREM 1.1. Suppose that $2 r \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$. Then there exist an integer $k_{0}$ that depends only on $g$, and a constant $B>3 \log 2$ such that for all even $k \geq k_{0}$, the Jacobi Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ does not vanish identically when

$$
k^{\prime} \leq \frac{\pi D}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)} \leq k^{\prime 1+\alpha(g)} \exp \left(-\frac{B \log k^{\prime}}{\log \log k^{\prime}}\right),
$$

where

$$
\alpha(g)= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{3(g+2)} & \text { if } 1 \leq g \leq 4 \\ \frac{2}{3 g} & \text { if } g \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

We construct a basis of $J_{k, m}^{\text {cusp }}$ consisting of the 'first' $\operatorname{dim}\left(J_{k, m}^{\text {cusp }}\right)$ Poincaré series (see Theorem 6.1 in Section 6). We also give conditions for the nonvanishing of Poincaré series independent of the weight for the classical Jacobi forms, where $g=1$.

As in [9], define

$$
M(x):=\exp \left(\frac{B_{1} \log x}{\log \log 2 x}\right) \quad \forall x \geq 2
$$

where $B_{1}$ is a constant as in [9] $\left(B_{1}>\log 2\right)$.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $g=1$ and $\pi D>2 m$. Then $P_{D, r}^{k, m} \not \equiv 0$ provided that

$$
M\left(\frac{\pi D}{m}\right) \sigma_{0}(D) D<\frac{m^{8 / 7}}{2^{9 / 4} \pi}\left(\frac{2}{6^{2 / 3}}+\frac{54}{2^{5 / 6}}+\frac{16}{2^{3 / 4}}\right)^{-3 / 2}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}(D):=\sum_{d \mid D} 1$.

Finally, following [9], we give conditional statements on the nonvanishing of Jacobi Poincaré series, based on the relation between $g$-dimensional Kloosterman sums and the corresponding one-dimensional sums and identities involving these.
THEOREM 1.3. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and that $p$ is an odd prime such that $p \mid(m, r)$ but $p \nmid n$. If $P_{p^{\mu_{n}}, p^{\mu_{r}}}^{k, p^{\mu_{m}}} \not \equiv 0$, then either $P_{n p^{\mu-1}, r p^{\mu-1}}^{k, m p^{\mu-1}} \not \equiv 0$ or both $P_{n p^{2 \mu}, r p^{2 \mu}}^{k, p^{2 \mu}} \not \equiv 0$ and $P_{n, r p^{\mu}}^{k, p^{2 \mu}} \neq 0$.
(Here $p \mid m$ means that $p$ divides every entry of $m$; this makes sense since $2 m$ is a ( $g \times g$ ) matrix with integer entries and $p$ is odd.)
REMARK 1.4. In Section 3, we first prove that the Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ does not vanish when

$$
\frac{\pi D}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)}=2 \pi\left(n-\frac{1}{4} m^{-1}\left[r^{t}\right]\right) \leq C k^{\prime}
$$

giving the constant $C$ explicitly, and pointing out for which $k$ this is valid. This follows from Proposition 3.1 for arbitrary $g$ and also from Theorem 6.1 in the case where $g=1$ (recall that $\operatorname{dim} J_{k, m, 1}^{\text {cusp }} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{12} k(m+1)\right.$ ), where the constant $C$ may be taken to be 1 when $k$ is large enough).

REMARK 1.5. Theorem 1.1 improves the trivial case mentioned in the previous remark. However, achieving the 'order of $k^{2-\epsilon}$ when $\epsilon>0$ ' as in [9] in the case of Jacobi Poincaré series using Rankin's methods seems difficult, mainly because of the presence of the factor $\operatorname{gcd}(c, D)$ instead of $\operatorname{gcd}(c, D)^{1 / 2}$ in the estimate of Kloosterman sums of degree $g$ (even for small $g$ ); see Section 3.
REMARK 1.6. The condition that $k$ be even when $2 r \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$ in Theorem 1.1 is necessary, as the $(n, r)$ th Poincaré series vanish when $k$ is odd and $2 r \equiv 0$ $\bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$. The restriction $k^{\prime} \leq \pi D / \operatorname{det}(2 m)$ in Theorem 1.1 is natural, since we know the result in the complement (see Proposition 3.1). The same is true for the condition $\pi D>2 m$ in Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

The Jacobi group $\Gamma_{g}^{J}$ operates on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g}$ in the usual way by

$$
\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right),(\lambda, \mu)\right) \circ(\tau, z):=\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d},(c \tau+d)^{-1}(z+\lambda \tau+\mu)\right) .
$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \operatorname{PSym}\left(g, \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then the action of $\Gamma_{g}^{J}$ on functions $\phi: \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\phi\right|_{k, m} \gamma(\tau, z):=(c \tau+d)^{-k} e\left(-c(c \tau+d)^{-1} m[z+\lambda \tau+\mu]\right. \\
\left.+m[\lambda] \tau+2 \lambda^{t} m z\right) \phi(\gamma \circ(\tau, z)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The vector space of Jacobi cusp forms of weight $k$, index $m$ and degree $g$, denoted by $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$, is defined to be the space of all holomorphic functions $\phi: \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy $\left.\phi\right|_{k, m} \gamma=\phi$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{g}^{J}$, and have a Fourier expansion of the form

$$
\phi(\tau, z)=\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} \\ 4 n>m^{-1}\left[r^{t}\right]}} c_{\phi}(n, r) e(n \tau+r z) .
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ such that $4 n>m^{-1}\left[r^{t}\right]$, let $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ be the $(n, r)$ th Poincaré series of weight $k$ and index $m$ (of exponential type) defined when $k>g+2$ by

$$
P_{n, r}^{k, m}(\tau, z):=\left.\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{g, \infty}^{J} \backslash \Gamma_{g}^{J}} e(n \tau+r z)\right|_{k, m} \gamma(\tau, z) \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathbb{C}^{g},
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{g, \infty}^{J}:=\left\{\left.\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),(0, \mu)\right) \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}\right\}
$$

It is well known that $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$ is finite-dimensional and the family of Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$, generate the space $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$. In [1, Lemma 1], Böcherer and Kohnen found the following Fourier expansion of $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$.
Proposition 2.1. The function $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ is in $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$. The Fourier expansion of the Poincaré series is given by

$$
P_{n, r}^{k, m}(\tau, z)=\sum_{\substack{n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} \\ 4 n^{\prime}>m^{-1}\left[r^{\prime t}\right]}} c_{n, r}^{k, m}\left(n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) e\left(n^{\prime} \tau+r^{\prime} z\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{n, r}^{k, m}\left(n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)=\delta_{m}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)+(-1)^{k} \delta_{m}\left(n, r, n^{\prime},-r^{\prime}\right) \\
&+2 \pi i^{k} \operatorname{det}(2 m)^{-1 / 2}\left(D^{\prime} / D\right)^{k^{\prime} / 2} \sum_{c \geq 1}\left(H_{m, c}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+(-1)^{k} H_{m, c}\left(n, r, n^{\prime},-r^{\prime}\right)\right) J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{D D^{\prime}}}{c \operatorname{det}(2 m)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
D^{\prime} & :=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 n^{\prime} & r^{\prime} \\
r^{\prime t} & 2 m
\end{array}\right), \\
\delta_{m}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) & := \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } D=D^{\prime} \text { and } r \equiv r^{\prime} \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m, \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
H_{m, c}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) & :=c^{-g / 2-1} \sum_{x, y} e_{c}\left((m[x]+r x+n) \bar{y}+n^{\prime} y+r^{\prime} x\right) e_{2 c}\left(r^{\prime} m^{-1} r^{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last sum, $x$ and $y$ run over a complete set of representatives for $\mathbb{Z}^{(g, 1)} / c \mathbb{Z}^{(g, 1)}$ and $(\mathbb{Z} / c \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ respectively and $\bar{y}$ denotes an inverse of $y$ modulo $c$, while $e_{c}(z):=$ $e^{2 \pi i z / c}$ and $J_{v}$ denotes the Bessel function of order $v$.

Further, $\left\langle\phi, P_{n, r}^{k, m}\right\rangle=\lambda_{k, m, D} c_{\phi}(n, r)$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the Petersson inner product on $J_{k, m, g}^{\text {cusp }}$, while $c_{\phi}(n, r)$ denotes the $(n, r)$ th Fourier coefficient of $\phi$ and

$$
\lambda_{k, m, D}:=\frac{2^{g\left(k^{\prime}-1\right)} \Gamma\left(k^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{det}(m)^{k^{\prime}-1 / 2}}{(2 \pi D)^{k^{\prime}}} .
$$

From Proposition 2.1, we conclude that the Poincare series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ is nonzero if and only if its $(n, r)$ th Fourier coefficient $c_{n, r}^{k, m}$ is positive. So it is enough to prove that $c_{n, r}^{k, m}$ is nonzero.
Lemma 2.2. The Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ vanishes if $k$ is odd and $2 r \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$. Proof. Suppose that $2 r \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$. Then $m^{-1} r^{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$, and we may consider the group element

$$
\gamma_{m, r}:=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right),\left(m^{-1} r^{t}, 0\right)\right) \in \Gamma_{g}^{J}
$$

We note that

$$
\left.e(n \tau+r z)\right|_{k, m} \gamma_{m, r}=(-1)^{k} e(n \tau+r z)
$$

It follows that $P_{n, r}^{k, m}=(-1)^{k} P_{n, r}^{k, m}$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.
We also note that the $(n, r)$ th coefficient $c(n, r)$ of a general Jacobi form of degree $g$ is zero if $k$ is odd when $2 r \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$. This is an easy consequence of the transformation property of Jacobi forms. See, for instance, [4] for the case where $g=1$. For the rest of this paper, we suppose that $k$ is even when $2 r \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g} \cdot 2 m$.

Now $2 m$ is a positive definite matrix with integer entries, and $\operatorname{so} \operatorname{det}(2 m) \geq 1$, so we deduce from the Fourier expansion of $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ that, in order to prove that $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ is nonzero, it is enough to prove that $|S(n, r)|<(2 \pi)^{-1}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
S(n, r):= & \operatorname{det}(2 m)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{c \geq 1}\left(H_{m, c}(n, r, n, r)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{k} H_{m, c}(n, r, n,-r)\right) J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2 \pi D}{c \operatorname{det}(2 m)}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We will need the following estimates:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|J_{v}(x)\right| \leq \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{\Gamma(v+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{v}\right\} \quad \forall x>0, v \geq 2  \tag{2.2}\\
\left|H_{m, c}(n, r, n, \pm r)\right| \leq 2^{\omega(c)} c^{g / 2-1} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega(c)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of $c$. See [1, 12, 13] respectively for the details.

## 3. Some simple bounds

In this section, we will first establish the following proposition and lemma, using trivial estimates of Bessel functions.

Proposition 3.1. There exists an integer $k_{0}$ such that the $(n, r)$ th Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ does not vanish identically whenever $k \geq k_{0},(n, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ and e $\pi D \leq$ $k^{\prime} \operatorname{det}(2 m)$. If $k>g+3$, then one may take $k_{0}=\max \left(g+4,\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} g\right\rfloor+69\right)$.

The Poincaré series $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ does not vanish identically whenever $\pi D<\operatorname{det}(2 m)$ and either $k>g+3$ if $g \geq 2$ or $k>5$ if $g=1$.

Lemma 3.2. When $g=1$, for all positive integers $n$ no greater than $(m+3) / 36$, there exists $r$ such that $r^{2}<4 m n$ and $\pi D<\operatorname{det}(2 m)$. So the condition $\pi D<\operatorname{det}(2 m)$ in the second part of Proposition 3.1 is nontrivial.
Proof. Suppose that $D<2 m / \pi<2 m / 3$. Then $2 m(2 n-1 / 3)<r^{2}<4 m n$. Note that there is an integral square in the interval $[x, y]$ when $2 \sqrt{x}+1<y-x$, so we need

$$
2\left(2 m\left(2 n-\frac{1}{3}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}+1<\frac{2}{3} m
$$

that is,

$$
n<\frac{(2 m+3)^{2}}{144 m}=\frac{m+3}{36}+\frac{1}{16 m}
$$

which, since $n$ is an integer, is equivalent to requiring that

$$
n \leq \frac{m+3}{36}
$$

Thus, in the case where $g=1$, the Poincaré series $P_{k, m}^{n, r}$ does not vanish identically when $k>4$ and $n \leq(m+3) / 36$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $S:=\pi D / \operatorname{det}(2 m)$. In a straightforward manner, using estimates (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(n, r)| \leq \frac{2 S^{k^{\prime}}}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{2^{\omega(c)}}{c^{k-g-1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall Stirling's formula,

$$
n!=\sqrt{2 \pi n}(n / e)^{n} e^{\lambda_{n}}
$$

where $(12 n+1)^{-1}<\lambda_{n}<(12 n)^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. We let $\xi:=\sum_{c \geq 1} 2^{\omega(c)} / c^{k-g-1}$. Since $\Gamma(x)$ and $(x / e)^{x}$ are increasing functions of $x$ in the intervals $\left[\frac{3}{2}, \infty\right)$ and $(1, \infty)$ respectively and $k^{\prime} \geq \frac{5}{2}$, the hypothesis of the theorem gives

$$
|S(n, r)| \leq \frac{2 \xi}{\Gamma\left(\left\lfloor k^{\prime}\right\rfloor+1\right)}\left(\frac{\left\lfloor k^{\prime}\right\rfloor+1}{e}\right)^{\left\lfloor k^{\prime}\right\rfloor+1}<\frac{2 \xi}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(\left\lfloor k^{\prime}\right\rfloor+1\right)}}
$$

Therefore by choosing $k$ large enough, we get the first assertion of Proposition 3.1. For the second assertion, when $k \geq g+4$, note that $\xi<1 / \pi^{2}$, which follows from the trivial estimate $2^{\omega(c)} \leq c$.

For the second part of Proposition 3.1, we refer to equation (3.1). In this case, $S<1$. We need to have $\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)>\frac{2}{3} \pi^{2}$, since $\xi<\zeta(2)$ from the conditions on $k$. The result follows by noting that $k^{\prime} \geq 5$.

## 4. Poincaré series for small weights

When $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2}\left(1-k^{\prime}\right)$, the Jacobi Poincaré series is defined using the 'Hecke trick' as in [2] by

$$
P_{n, r ; s}^{k, m}(\tau, z):=\left.\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{g, \infty}^{J} \backslash \Gamma_{g}^{J}}\left(\frac{v}{|c \tau+d|^{2}}\right)^{s} e(n \tau+r z)\right|_{k, m} \gamma(\tau, z)
$$

for all $\tau=u+i v \in \mathcal{H}$, all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. If $k>\frac{1}{2} g+2$, then $P_{n, r ; 0}^{k, m} \in J_{k, m}^{\text {cusp }}$ and has the same Fourier properties as $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$. We also consider conditions on its nonvanishing in the following proposition.
Proposition 4.1. There exists an integer $c(m)$ such that the Poincaré series $P_{n, r ; 0}^{k, m}$ does not vanish identically when $k \geq \max \left\{c(m), \frac{1}{2}(g+7)\right\}$ while $(n, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ and $e \pi D \leq k^{\prime} \operatorname{det}(2 m)$.
Proof. This proposition follows from the arguments of the proof of Proposition 3.1. Here we use the following estimate for Kloosterman sums of degree $g$ (see [1, pp. 508 and 512]):

$$
H_{m, c}(n, r, n, \pm r) \leq 2^{\omega(c)} c^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c) \quad \forall c \geq C(m)
$$

where $C(m)$ is a constant. As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, put $S:=\pi D / \operatorname{det} 2 m$, and recall the definition (2.1) of $S(n, r)$. Then for some positive constant $C_{1}(m)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S(n, r)| \leq & \sum_{1 \leq c \leq C(m)} \frac{2^{\omega(c)+1} c^{g / 2-1} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)}\left(\frac{S}{c}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \\
& +\sum_{c>C(m)} \frac{2^{\omega(c)+1} c^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)}\left(\frac{S}{c}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \\
\leq & C(m)^{(g-1) / 2} \sum_{1 \leq c \leq C(m)} \frac{2^{\omega(c)+1} c^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)}\left(\frac{S}{c}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \\
& +\sum_{c>C(m)} \frac{2^{\omega(c)+1} c^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)}\left(\frac{S}{c}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \\
\leq & \frac{2 C_{1}(m) S^{k^{\prime}}}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \sum_{c} \frac{2^{\omega(c)}}{c^{k^{\prime}-1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition $k>(g+7) / 2$ precisely guarantees convergence of the series above. The rest of the proof is identical to that of Proposition 3.1.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now come to the main result of this paper. For convenience of notation we will drop ( $k, m$ ) in the calculations.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We use Rankin's method, as in [9]. With $S(n, r)$ as in (2.1), we need to prove that $|S(n, r)|<1 / 2 \pi$. Define

$$
\sigma:=k^{\prime-1 / 6}, \quad Q^{*}:=\frac{2 \pi D}{k^{\prime} \operatorname{det}(2 m)}, \quad M(D):=\exp \left(\frac{B_{1} \log D}{\log \log 2 D}\right)
$$

and

$$
H_{m, c}^{ \pm}(n, r, n, r):=H_{m, c}(n, r, n, r)+(-1)^{k} H_{m, c}(n, r, n,-r)
$$

Then

$$
|S(n, r)| \leq \operatorname{det}(2 m)^{-1 / 2}\left|S_{1}(n, r)\right|+\operatorname{det}(2 m)^{-1 / 2}\left|S_{2}(n, r)\right|,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|S_{1}(n, r)\right|:=\sum_{1 \leq c \leq Q^{*}}\left|H_{m, c}^{ \pm}(n, r, n, r)\right|\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} Q^{*}}{c}\right)\right|, \\
& \left|S_{2}(n, r)\right|:=\sum_{c>Q^{*}}\left|H_{m, c}^{ \pm}(n, r, n, r)\right|\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} Q^{*}}{c}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

After calculations similar to [9] (see also [7]), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|S_{1}(n, r)\right| & \leq A_{1} M(D) Q^{* g / 2-1} \sum_{d \mid D, d \leq Q^{*}} 2^{\omega(d)}\left(Q^{*} \sigma^{3}+3 d \sigma^{2}\right) \\
& \leq A_{2} M(D)^{3} \frac{Q^{* g / 2}}{k^{\prime 1 / 2}}+A_{3} M(D)^{3} \frac{Q^{* g / 2}}{k^{1 / 3}}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \leq A_{4} M(D)^{3} \frac{(\pi D)^{g / 2}}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)^{g / 2} k^{\prime g / 2+1 / 2}}+A_{5} M(D)^{3} \frac{(\pi D)^{g / 2}}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)^{g / 2} k^{\prime g / 2+1 / 3}} .
\end{align*}
$$

However, the sum $S_{2}(n, r)$ needs to be handled differently. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{2}(n, r)\right| \leq & \sum_{Q^{*}<c \leq k^{\prime} Q^{*}} 2^{\omega(c)+1} c^{g / 2-1} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2 \pi D}{c \operatorname{det}(2 m)}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{c>k^{\prime} Q^{*}} 2^{\omega(c)+1} c^{g / 2-1} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2 \pi D}{c \operatorname{det}(2 m)}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq 2 M(D) \frac{(\pi D)^{k^{\prime}}}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)^{k^{\prime}} \Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \sum_{Q^{*}<c \leq k^{\prime} Q^{*}} \frac{1}{c^{k^{\prime}-g / 2}} \\
& \quad+2 \sum_{c>k^{\prime} Q^{*}} c^{g / 2}\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2 \pi D}{c \operatorname{det}(2 m)}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2 M(D)}{Q^{* k^{\prime}-g / 2-1-\epsilon}} \frac{(\pi D)^{k^{\prime}}}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)^{k^{\prime}} \Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \sum_{Q^{*}<c \leq k^{\prime} Q^{*}} \frac{1}{c^{1+\epsilon}}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \quad+\frac{2(\pi D)^{g / 2+1+\delta}}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)^{k^{\prime}} \Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \sum_{c>k^{\prime} Q^{*}} \frac{1}{c^{1+\delta}} \\
& \leq a_{0} \frac{M(D)}{k^{\prime g / 2+3 / 2+\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\pi D}{\operatorname{det}(2 m)}\right)^{g / 2+1+\epsilon}+a_{1} \frac{(\pi D / \operatorname{det}(2 m))^{g / 2+1+\delta}}{k^{k^{\prime}+1 / 2}},
\end{align*}
$$

where the $a_{i}$ and $A_{j}$ are constants, and $0<\epsilon, \delta<1$. Now let $\alpha(g)=2(3 g+2)^{-1}$. For all $g \geq 1$, choose $0<\epsilon, \delta<1 / 2$. We find that $S_{1}(n, r)$ and $S_{2}(n, r)$ are small if $k$ is chosen large enough. If $g \geq 5$, then we find that a better bound, $\alpha(g)=2(3 g)^{-1}$, also works. This completes the proof.

## 6. An explicit basis for $J_{\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{m}}^{\text {cusp }}$ and the proof of Theorem 1.2

It is well known that the space of cusp forms $S_{k}$ for $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ has an explicit basis of the form $\left\{\Delta E_{4}^{a} E_{6}^{b} \mid 4 a+6 b=k-12\right\}$. Here $\Delta$ is the discriminant cusp form of weight 12, while $E_{4}$ and $E_{6}$ are the Eisenstein series of weight 4 and 6 . Such an explicit result is not available for $J_{k, m}^{\text {cusp }}$. Petersson proved that the set of Poincaré series $\left\{P_{1}^{k}, \ldots, P_{d_{k}}^{k}\right\}$ is a basis for $S_{k}$, where $d_{k}=\operatorname{dim} S_{k}$. We prove the corresponding result for Jacobi forms. The proof is based on the dimension formula in [4, p. 121].

THEOREM 6.1. Let $k \geq m+12$, and define

$$
D_{\mu}:=4 m\left(\left\lfloor\frac{\mu^{2}}{4 m}\right\rfloor+1\right)-\mu^{2}
$$

Then $\left\{P_{D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \mu}^{k, m}\right\}$ is the classical basis for $J_{k, m, 1}^{\text {cusp }}$, where the range of the indices is given as follows:
(1) if $k$ is even, $\mu=0,1, \ldots, m ; \lambda_{\mu}=0,1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} S_{k+2 \mu}-\left\lfloor\mu^{2}(4 m)^{-1}\right\rfloor-1$;
(2) ifk is odd, $\mu=1, \ldots, m-1 ; \lambda_{\mu}=0,1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} S_{k+2 \mu-1}-\left\lfloor\mu^{2}(4 m)^{-1}\right\rfloor^{‘}-1$.

Proof. We prove the theorem for even $k$, the odd case being analogous. The condition that $k \geq m+12$ ensures that $\operatorname{dim} S_{k+2 \mu} \geq\left\lfloor\mu^{2}(4 m)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1$ (see [4, p. 103]). The proof follows Petersson's argument in the elliptic case (see [8, 10]). Let $d_{k, m}=$
$\operatorname{dim} J_{k, m}^{\text {cusp }}$ and $\left\{\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d_{k, m}}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis. We write

$$
\phi_{j}(\tau, z)=\sum_{\substack{D^{\prime}>0, r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ D^{\prime} \equiv-r^{2} \bmod 4 m}} c_{j}\left(D^{\prime}, r\right) e\left(\frac{D^{\prime}+r^{2}}{4 m} \tau+r z\right)
$$

and

$$
P_{D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \mu}^{k, m}=\lambda_{k, m, D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{k, m}} c_{j}\left(D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \mu\right) \phi_{j}
$$

where $\mu$ and $\lambda_{\mu}$ vary as in the statement of the theorem. We get a $d_{k, m} \times d_{k, m}$ matrix indexed by pairs ( $D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu}$ ) and $j$. It suffices to prove that the matrix is invertible. If not, then there would be a linear relation

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d_{k, m}} \xi_{j} c_{j}\left(D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \mu\right)=0
$$

for all $\left(D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \mu\right)$, where $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d_{k, m}}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$.
Considering the nonzero Jacobi cusp form $\Phi:=\sum_{j=1}^{d_{k, m}} \xi_{j} \phi_{j}$, we see that the Fourier coefficients $c_{\Phi}\left(D_{\mu}+4 m \lambda_{\mu}, \mu\right)$ of $\Phi$ are zero, where $\mu$ and $\lambda_{\mu}$ vary as in the theorem. This implies that $D_{2 \mu} \Phi=0$ when $\mu=0, \ldots, m$ (see [4, p. 32] for the definition of the operators $D_{2 \mu}$ ), which shows that $\Phi=0$, a contradiction.

To see that $D_{2 \mu} \Phi=0$ when $\mu=0, \ldots, m$, we recall the following Fourier expansion of the modular form $D_{2 v} \Phi$ of weight $k+2 v$, where $k$ is even and $v=$ $0, \ldots, m$ (see [4, p. 32]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2 v} \Phi=A_{k, v} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{r: r^{2}<4 m n \\ \mu: 0 \leq \mu \leq v}} \frac{(k+2 v-\mu-2)!(-m n)^{\mu} r^{2 v-2 \mu}}{(k+2 v-2)!\mu!(2 v-2 \mu)!} c_{\Phi}\left(n_{v}, r_{v}\right) q^{n} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q:=e(\tau)$ and

$$
A_{k, v}:=(2 \pi i)^{-v} \frac{(k+2 v-2)!(2 v)!}{(k+v-2)!}
$$

Let $\ell$ be an even positive integer, and put $d_{\ell}:=\operatorname{dim} S_{\ell}$. It is well known that an elliptic cusp form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, f) q^{n}$ of weight $\ell$ is determined by the first $d_{\ell}$ of its Fourier coefficients $a(1, f), \ldots, a\left(d_{\ell}, f\right)$. Therefore, looking at equation (6.1), we need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\Phi}\left(n_{v}, r_{\nu}\right)=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\nu=0, \ldots, m$, all $r_{v}$ such that $r_{v}^{2}<4 m n_{v}$ and $0 \leq r_{v} \leq m$, and all $n_{v}$ such that $\left\lfloor r_{v}^{2}(4 m)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1 \leq n_{v} \leq d_{k+2 v}$. Let $\ell$ denote $k+2 v$, where $v=0, \ldots, m$, and for convenience, we drop the suffix $v$ in the terms $n_{\nu}$ and $r_{\nu}$. To see (6.2), if $|r|>m$ in
equation (6.1), we can find suitable integers $x$ and $n^{\prime} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-m \leq r^{\prime}=r-2 m x \leq m \quad \text { and } \quad 4 m n^{\prime}-r^{\prime 2}=4 m n-r^{2} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then use the facts that $c_{\Phi}\left(n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)=c_{\Phi}(n, r)$ and $n \geq n^{\prime} \geq 1$, implying that $n^{\prime}$ satisfies the same upper bound as $n$, namely, $\left\lfloor r^{\prime 2}(4 m)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1 \leq n^{\prime} \leq d_{\ell}$. We may finally reduce to the case where $0 \leq r \leq m$ since $c_{\Phi}(n, r)=c_{\Phi}(n,-r)$ as $\ell$ is even.

But any such $n_{\nu}$ may be written

$$
n_{v}=\left\lfloor v^{2}(4 m)^{-1}\right\rfloor+1+\lambda_{v}=\frac{D_{v}+v^{2}+4 m \lambda_{v}}{4 m}
$$

where $0 \leq \nu \leq m$ and $D_{\nu}$ and $\lambda_{\nu}$ are as in the statement of the theorem. This completes the proof.

The Eichler-Zagier map $Z_{1}: J_{k, 1} \rightarrow M_{k-1 / 2}^{+}$for Jacobi forms of integral weight and index $1\left(M_{k-1 / 2}^{+}\right.$denotes the Kohnen + space for $\Gamma_{0}(4)$, as in [6]) is defined by

$$
Z_{1}: \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ D \equiv-r^{2} \bmod 4}} c(D) e\left(\frac{D+r^{2}}{4} \tau+r z\right) \mapsto \sum_{D \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}} c(D) e(D \tau),
$$

where the Fourier coefficient $c(D)$ does not depend on $r$.
Suppose that $k$ is even and $(-1)^{k-1} D \equiv 0,1 \bmod 4$. Following the notation in [6], let $P_{k-1,4, D}$ be the $D$ th Poincaré series in $M_{k-1 / 2}^{+}$. By comparing the Fourier developments of $P_{D, r}$ from [1] and of $P_{k-1,4, D}$ from [6], we get the following result.

Proposition 6.2. The Eichler-Zagier map $Z_{1}$ takes $P_{D, r} \in J_{k, 1}^{\text {cusp }}$ to $3 P_{k-1,4, D} \in$ $M_{k-1 / 2}^{+}$.
Proof. The proof involves an easy calculation of Gauss sums, which may be found in [3].

Proposition 6.3. There exist positive constants $k_{0}$ and $B$, where $B>4 \log 2$, such that, for all even $k \geq k_{0}$ and all positive integers $D \leq k^{2} \exp (-B \log k / \log \log k)$, the Poincaré series $P_{k-1,4, D}$ and hence also the Poincaré series $P_{D, r}^{k, 1}$ do not vanish identically.

Proof. From the Fourier expansion of $P_{k-1,4, D}$ given in [6], we see that the proof is the same as in the case of integral weight Poincaré series for congruence subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ given in [7]; we omit it.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We write $S(n, r)=S_{1}(n, r)+S_{2}(n, r)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}(n, r):=i^{k} \pi \sqrt{2} m^{-1 / 2} \sum_{1 \leq c \leq \pi D / m} H_{m, c}^{ \pm}(n, r, n, r) J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\pi D}{m c}\right) \\
& S_{2}(n, r):=i^{k} \pi \sqrt{2} m^{-1 / 2} \sum_{c>\pi D / m} H_{m, c}^{ \pm}(n, r, n, r) J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\pi D}{m c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $S_{1}(n, r)$, we use the following estimate of Bessel functions:

$$
\left|J_{v}(r)\right| \leq C r^{-1 / 3}
$$

when $v \geq 0$ and $r \geq 1$; see [5, Lemma 3.4]. The constant $C$ in this lemma may be computed to be the constant $A$ in Theorem 1.2 of this paper using [11, p. 333]. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|S_{1}(n, r)\right| & \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} \pi}{m^{1 / 2}} \sum_{1 \leq c \leq \pi D / m} \frac{2^{\omega(c)} \operatorname{gcd}(D, c)}{c^{1 / 2}}\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\pi D}{m c}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} m^{1 / 3} \pi^{2 / 3}}{D^{1 / 3} m^{1 / 2}} M(\pi D / m) \sum_{1 \leq c \leq \pi D / m} \frac{\operatorname{gcd}(D, c)}{c^{1 / 6}}  \tag{6.4}\\
& \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} \pi^{2 / 3}}{D^{1 / 3} m^{1 / 6}} M(\pi D / m) \sum_{d \mid D, d<\pi D / m} d \\
& \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} D^{2 / 3} \pi^{5 / 3}}{m^{7 / 6}} M(\pi D / m) \sigma_{0}(D),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|S_{2}(n, r)\right| & \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} \pi}{m^{1 / 2}} \sum_{c>\pi D / m} c^{3 / 2}\left|J_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\pi D}{m c}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} \pi}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right) m^{1 / 2}} \sum_{c>\pi D / m} c^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\pi D}{m c}\right)^{3 / 2+2}  \tag{6.5}\\
& \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} \pi^{9 / 2} D^{7 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right) m^{4}} \sum_{c>\pi D / m} \frac{1}{c^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{2^{3 / 2} \pi^{13 / 2} D^{7 / 2}}{6 \Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right) m^{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

From the bound given in Theorem 1.2, it follows from estimates (6.4) and (6.5) that $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are both less than $\frac{1}{2}$ in absolute value. Finally, from the expression for the ( $n, r$ ) th Fourier coefficient of $P_{n, r}^{k, m}$ given in Proposition 2.1, we get the theorem.

## 7. Further results

Recall the one-dimensional Kloosterman sum for a positive integer $c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r, m ; c)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq c \\(h, c)=1}} e_{c}\left(r h+m h^{\prime}\right), \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h h^{\prime} \equiv 1 \bmod c$. It is well known (see, for example, [9, Section 3]) that the following relation holds for a prime $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(r p^{\rho}, m p^{\mu} ; c p\right)=S\left(r, m p^{\rho+\mu} ; c p\right)+p S\left(r p^{\rho-1}, m p^{\mu-1} ; c\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \nmid r m$ and $\rho, \mu \geq 1$.
Definition 7.1. Suppose that $n, n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$. We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{m, c}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{x, y} e_{c}\left((m[x]+r x+n) \bar{y}+n^{\prime} y+r^{\prime} x\right) \\
& =c^{g / 2+1} e_{2 c}\left(-r^{\prime} m^{-1} r^{t}\right) H_{m, c}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the sum, $x$ and $y$ run over a complete set of representatives for $\mathbb{Z}^{(g, 1)} / c \mathbb{Z}^{(g, 1)}$ and $(\mathbb{Z} / c \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ respectively and $\bar{y}$ denotes an inverse of $y$ modulo $c$.
Lemma 7.2. Let $p$ be a odd prime such that $p \mid(m, r)$ and $p \nmid n n^{\prime}$, and let $\mu^{\prime}=$ $\mu-1$ and $\rho^{\prime}=\rho-1$. Then the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{m p^{\mu}, c p}\left(p^{\mu} n, p^{\mu} r, p^{\rho} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right)= & K_{m p^{\rho+\mu}, c p}\left(p^{\rho+\mu_{n}}, p^{\rho+\mu_{r}} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right) \\
& +p^{2} K_{m p^{\mu^{\prime}, c}}\left(p^{\mu^{\prime}} n, p^{\mu^{\prime}} r, p^{\left.\rho^{\prime} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) .}\right. \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m, c p}\left(n, r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right)=\sum_{x \bmod c p} e_{c}\left(r^{\prime} x\right) S\left(n^{\prime}, m[x]+r x+n ; c p\right), \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the left-hand side and the first term on the right-hand side in (7.3) are taken care of by summing both sides of equation (7.2) with appropriate arguments over $x$ modulo $c p$. For the last term, we split the summation in equation (7.4) as $x=c x_{1}+x_{2}$, where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ range over $\mathbb{Z}^{g} / p \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{g} / c \mathbb{Z}^{g}$, respectively. After replacing ( $m, n, r, n^{\prime} ; c p$ ) by ( $p^{\mu^{\prime}} m, p^{\mu^{\prime}} n, p^{\mu^{\prime}} r, p^{\rho^{\prime}} n^{\prime} ; c$ ) and summing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x \bmod c} e_{c p}\left(r^{\prime} x\right) S\left(p^{\rho^{\prime}} n^{\prime}, p^{\mu^{\prime}}(m[x]+r x+n) ; c\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}} e_{c}\left(r^{\prime}\left(c x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\right) S\left(p^{\rho^{\prime}} n^{\prime}, p^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(m\left[c x_{1}+x_{2}\right]+p^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(r\left(c x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+n\right)\right) ; c\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{x_{1}} e\left(r^{\prime} c x_{1}\right) \sum_{x_{2}} e_{c}\left(r^{\prime}, x_{2}\right) S\left(p^{\rho^{\prime}} n^{\prime}, p^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(m\left[x_{2}\right]+r x_{2}+n\right) ; c\right) \\
& \quad=p K_{m p^{\mu^{\prime}}, c}\left(p^{\mu^{\prime}} n, p^{\mu^{\prime}} r, p^{\rho^{\prime}} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the lemma follows from (7.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Lemma 7.2, we easily deduce that under the conditions of the lemma,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{m p^{\mu}, c p}\left(p^{\mu} n, p^{\mu} r, p^{\rho} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right) \\
& =\quad H_{m p p^{\rho+\mu}, c p}\left(p^{\rho+\mu_{n}}, p^{\left.\rho+\mu_{r,} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right)}\right.  \tag{7.5}\\
& \quad+p^{-g / 2+1} H_{m p^{\mu-1}, c}\left(p^{\mu-1} n, p^{\mu-1} r, p^{\rho-1} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The following equality also follows from the definition, when $p \nmid c$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m p^{\mu}, c}\left(p^{\mu} n, p^{\mu} r, p^{\rho} n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right)=H_{m p^{\rho+\mu}, c}\left(p^{\rho+\mu} n, p^{\rho+\mu} r, n^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We sum equation (7.5) over all $c \geq 1$, and equation (7.6) over all $c \geq 1$ coprime to $p$, after multiplying them by the appropriate Bessel functions, and add them; see Proposition 2.1. Gathering all of the terms, putting $\rho=\mu$ and $n^{\prime}=n$ and $r^{\prime} p=p^{\mu} r$, in all three sums, we find positive constants $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ such that
$c^{k, p^{\mu} m}\left(p^{\mu} n, p^{\mu} r\right)=\alpha_{1} c^{k, p^{2 \mu} m}\left(p^{2 \mu} n, p^{2 \mu} r ; n, p^{\mu} r\right)+\alpha_{2} c^{k, p^{\mu-1} m}\left(p^{\mu-1} n, p^{\mu-1} r\right)$
(we have used the notation $c_{P_{n, r}^{k, m}}(n, r):=c^{k, m}(n, r ; n, r)=c^{k, m}(n, r)$ ). This immediately implies the conclusion of Theorem 1.3.
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