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1. Introduction. Let $A$ be an $m \times n(0,1)$-matrix. Let
$C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n}$ denote its columns. A sequence of distinct columns $C_{i_{1}}, C_{i_{2}}, \ldots, C_{i_{k}}$ is said to form a chain if the inner product of $C_{i}$ and $C_{i+1}$ (for $1 \leq t \leq k-1$ ) is at least one. $k-1$ is called the length of the chain and this chain is said to connect $C_{i_{1}}$ and $C_{i_{k}}$, and $C_{i_{1}}$ and $C_{i_{k}}$ are said to be connected. As can be easily seen, connectedness is an equivalence relation on the set of columns. A matrix is called connected if all its columns belong to the same equivalence class. If $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ belong to the same equivalence class, then $s\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right)$ will denote the length of the shortest chain between $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$. We define the distance between any two columns $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$, to be denoted by $d\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right)$, in the following manner.

$$
d\left(C_{i}, C_{i}\right)=0
$$

and if i $\neq j$

$$
d\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}s\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right) & \text { if } C_{i} \text { and } C_{j} \text { belong to the } \\ & \text { same connected component } \\ \infty, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The diameter of the matrix, to be denoted by $d(A)$, is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(A)= & \max \quad d\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right) . \\
& 1 \leq i \leq m \\
& 1 \leq j \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

The diameter of a disconnected matrix is infinite. 2 ( $R, S$ ) denotes the class of matrices with $R$ and $S$ as row and column sum vectors respectively (as in [1]), and $\widetilde{d}$ denotes min $d(A)$.

$$
A \in)_{\sim}(R, S)
$$

[^0]The aim of this note is to obtain some bounds of $\tilde{d}$ of $2 \gamma(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K})$ where $K$ is the $n$ coordinate vector ( $k, k, \ldots, k$ ), $k$ being a positive integer $\geq 2$. We shall also consider a related extremal problem involving generalized inner products. We shall observe that incidence matrices of ( $v, k, \lambda$ )-designs are in some sense extremal matrices of diameter 1 .
2. Bounds for $\tilde{d}$ and a related problem. In this section we shall consider the class $2 \delta(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K})$ of $(0,1)$-matrices. The matrix exhibited below belongs to $2 \varsigma(\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}, \tilde{\mathrm{~K}})$.

where $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$ are blocks of $1^{\prime} s$, and $0^{\prime} s$ are blocks of zeros. The diameter of this matrix is $\left[\frac{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}{k-1}\right]^{*}$. ([x] denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$ and $[x] *$ denotes the least integer $\geq x$.) Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{d}} \leq\left[\frac{\left[\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}\right]}{\mathrm{k}-1}\right]{ }^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound in (1) is attained in the case of $k=2$ but if $k \geq 3$ this upper bound may be higher than the actual value. For example if $n{\underset{\sim}{~}}^{7}$ and $k=3$ the number on the right side of (1) is equal to 2 whereas $\tilde{d}$ is equal to 1 , as the incidence matrix of projective plane of order 2 is of diameter 1. It may easily be noted that if $n \leq 2 k-1$ then $\tilde{d}=1$.
The above example shows that even if $n>2 k-1, \tilde{d}$ can be equal to 1 . We may now ask ourselves the question: What is the maximum value of n such that there exists a matrix of diameter 1 in the class $25(\tilde{\mathrm{~K}}, \tilde{\mathrm{~K}})$. We shall see that this problem is a particular case of the following more general problem.

Let $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. The generararizedinner product of $r$ columns, say $C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, \ldots, C_{j_{r}}$ is defined as $\sum_{i=1} \prod_{k=1} a_{i j_{k}}$. An integer $n$ is said to have the property $P(k, r, t)$ if there exists a matrix $A$ in the class $(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K})$ such that the generalized inner product of any $r$ columns of $A$ is at least $t$. Obviously if $n$ is too large compared to $k, r$ and $t$ it will not have the property $P(k, r, t)$. What then is the maximum value of the integer $n$ which has the property $P(k, r, t)$ ? We shall denote this maximum by $M(k, r, t)$.

$$
\text { THEOREM 1. } \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}) \leq \frac{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}+1)}{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{r}-1
$$

Proof. The above problem is equivalent to asking for the maximum value of $n$ such that there exists a matrix in the class $2 \delta(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K})$ in which the partial row sum vector of every set of $r$ columns has at least $t$ r's. Let $A$ be a matrix in $\int_{w}(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K})$ which has this property. Consider the first r-1 columns of $A$. Suppose that the row sum vector of the submatrix constituted by these $r-1$ columns has $p$ r-1's. Each of these p rows will have $k-r+1$ 1's in the $r$ th, $(r+1)$ th, ..., nth columns. And each of the $r t h,(r+1) t h, \ldots, n t h$ columns will have at least $t 1^{\prime} s$ in these $p$ rows. We therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}+1) \geq(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}+1) \mathrm{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \geq p$, it follows from (2) that

$$
k(k-r+1) \geq(n-r+1) t
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{n} \leq \frac{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}+1)}{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{r}-1
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
We have in particular proved that $M(k, 2, \lambda) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda}+1$. But the incidence matrix of a $(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{k}, \lambda)$-design, if it exists, will have the property $P(k, 2, \lambda)$ and will have $\frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda}+1$ columns and will imply $M(k, 2, \lambda)=\frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda}+1$. On the other hand if there is a matrix with property $P(k, 2, \lambda)$ and having as many as $\frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda}+1$ columns then it is easy to observe that it will have to be incidence matrix of a BIB design. This establishes that

$$
M(k, 2, \lambda)=\frac{k(k-1)}{\dot{\lambda}}+1
$$

if and only if there exists a ( $v, k, \lambda$ )-design ( $k$ and $\lambda$ being the fixed quantities).

THEOREM 2.


Proof. With each matrix in the class $2(\tilde{K}, \tilde{K})$ we can associate a graph in the following way. We have a vertex corresponding to each column and two vertices are joined if and only if the corresponding columns have a 1 in the same row. The diameter of a matrix is the same as the diameter of the graph thus associated with it. In the class of graphs corresponding to different matrices in $2 \sqrt{(\widetilde{K}, \tilde{K})}$, the degree of any vertex is at most $k^{2}-1$. It can be verified that no such graph can have diameter less than the left hand side of (3). This proves the result.
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