ON REGULAR SEQUENCES

J. DIEUDONNÉ

In memory of Tadasi Nakayama

1. The concept of *regular sequence* of elements of a ring A (first introduced by Serre under the name of A-sequence [2]), has far-reaching uses in the theory of local rings and in algebraic geometry. It seems, however, that it loses much of its importance when A is not a noetherian ring, and in that case, it probably should be superseded by the concept of *quasi-regular sequence* [1].

One of the convenient properties of a regular sequence t_1, \ldots, t_n in a noetherian local ring A, where the t_i 's belong to the maximal ideal of A, is that the sequence remains regular after an arbitrary *permutation* of its terms. This is due to the fact that in such a case, the notions of regular sequence and of quasi-regular sequence coincide [1, 15.1.10], and the notion of quasi-regular sequence is independent of the order of the elements of the sequence.

I will give below an example of a non noetherian local ring A and of two elements t_1 , t_2 of the maximal ideal of A, such that the sequence (t_1, t_2) is regular, whilst the sequence (t_2, t_1) is not. Such unpleasant phenomena greatly reduce the usefulness of the notion of regular sequence.

2. To construct our example, we start with the ring B of all germs of indefinitely differentiable functions of a real variable x in the neighborhood of 0. It is well known that B is a local ring, whose maximal ideal n is generated by the germ i of the identity mapping $x \to x$; the intersection of all powers n^k (k = 1, 2, ...) is the ideal $r \neq 0$ consisting of all germs of functions whose derivatives all vanish at x = 0. Observe that the complement of r in B consists of regular elements of B (i.e. elements which are not zero-divisors).

Now consider the ring of polynomials B[T] in one indeterminate, and let C be the quotient of B[T] by the ideal rTB[T], consisting of all polynomials $r_1T + \cdots + r_mT^m$ having their coefficients in r. We prove that in C, the

Received June 26, 1965.

sequence consisting of the classes s_1 , s_2 of i and T respectively, is regular. Indeeed we have $rTB[T] \subset iB[T] = nB[T]$; furthermore the relation $if \in rTB[T]$ for a polynomial $f \in B[T]$ means that if $f[T] = c_0 + c_1T + \cdots + c_mT^m$, then $ic_0 = 0$ and $ic_k \in r$ for $k \ge 1$; but this implies that $c_0 = 0$, and $c_k \in r$ for $k \ge 1$, by definition of B. Thus we see that s_1 is a regular element of C; furthermore we have $C/s_1C = B[T]/iB[T] = R[T]$, since B/iB = B/n = R (real field); as R[T] is an integral domain, the image of s_2 in C/s_1C , which is identified with T in R[T], is a regular element, and this shows that the sequence (s_1, s_2) is regular.

On the other hand, by definition s_2 is a zero-divisor in C, for the images of the elements of r (other than 0) in C are $\neq 0$, but the images of their products by T are all 0. A *fortiori* the sequence (s_2, s_1) is not regular.

To form our example, note that the ideal $s_1C + s_2C$ is a maximal ideal m; one has only to take for A the local ring $C_{\rm III}$, for t_1 and t_2 the images in A of s_1 and s_2 ; it is readily verified that the elements of the complement of m in C are not zero-divisors, hence t_2 is a zero-divisor in A; on the other hand, the sequence (t_1, t_2) is regular by flatness.

3. The same construction gives an example of a quasi-regular element of C which is not regular, namely the element s_2 (a regular sequence is quasi-regular, and a subsequence of a quasi-regular sequence is quasi-regular [1, 15. 1. 10 and 15. 1. 9]).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

 [1] A. Grothendieck, Eléments de Géométrie algébrique, chap. IV, 1^{re} partie, Publ. math. I.H.E.S. No. 20, 1964.

[2] J. P. Serre, Sur la dimension cohomologique des anneaux et des modules noethériens, Proc. Intern. Symp. on Alg. Number theory, p. 176-189, Tokyo-Nikko, 1955.

University of Nice Nice, France