## A NOTE ON LOCALLY EXPANSIVE AND LOCALLY ACCRETIVE OPERATORS

## BY

## RICARDO TORREJON

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X and Y a complete metric space. Assume that Y is metrically convex. For  $T: \overline{D} \to Y$  closed, locally *m*-expansive and mapping open subsets of D onto open subsets of Y, is is shown that  $y \in T(D)$ if and only if there exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $d(Tx_0, y) \leq d(Tx, y)$  for all  $x \in \partial D$ .

Let X be a Banach space, J the interval  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $M^+(J)$  the class of all continuous functions  $m: J \to J$  such that

(1) m(r) > 0 for each  $r \in J$ , and

(2)  $\int^{+\infty} m(r) dr = +\infty.$ 

It is a well-known fact ([1, P. 62]) that if a local homeomorphism T of X into a Banach space Y is a local expansion, in the sense that for a fixed constant c > 0 each point x of X has a neighborhood  $U_x$  such that

$$(*) c \|u-v\| \le \|Tu-Tv\|$$

for each u and v in  $U_x$ , then T(X) = Y.

In [2], Kirk and Schöneberg have proved that a similar result can be obtained within the class of mappings whose graphs are closed subsets of  $X \times Y$ . Their approach allowed them to carry out an exhaustive study of some discontinuous mappings defined only on the closure of an open subset of X.

This note is a continuation of the Browder-Kirk-Schöneberg program; unlike the methods used in [1] or [2], ours relies heavily on the theory of differential inequalities.

If *D* is a subset of *X*, then  $\overline{D}$  and  $\partial D$  denote, respectively, the closure and boundary of *D* in *X*. Recall that a mapping  $T: D \to Y$  is said to be *closed* if for any sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq D$  with  $x_n \to x \in D$  and  $Tx_n \to y$  as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that Tx = y.

DEFINITION. A nonlinear operator T mapping a subset D of a Banach space X into a metric space Y is said to be *locally m-expansive*,  $m \in M^+(J)$ , if each point  $x \in D$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  such that

(+) 
$$m(Max\{||u||, ||v||\}) ||u - v|| \le d(Tu, Tv)$$

for each u and v in  $U_x$ .

Received by the editors December 14, 1981 and in revised form, April 19, 1982. AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970): Primary 47H06; 47H15

<sup>© 1983</sup> Canadian Mathematical Society.

Following Menger [3], a metric space Y is said to be *metrically convex* if for all x and y in Y with  $x \neq y$  there exists z in Y, distinct from x and y, such that d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y).

Our main purpose in this note is to prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X and Y a complete metric space. Assume that Y is metrically convex. Let  $T: \overline{D} \to Y$  be a closed locally m-expansive mapping on D. If T maps open subsets of D into open subsets of Y, then for  $y \in Y$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $y \in T(D)$
- (2) There exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $d(Tx_0, y) \leq d(Tx, y)$  for all  $x \in \partial D$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following:

COROLLARY 1. Let X be a Banach space and Y a complete metric space. Assume that Y is metrically convex. Let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed locally mexpansive mapping. If T maps open subsets of X into open subsets of Y, then T(X) = Y.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We only need to prove that  $(2) \rightarrow (1)$ . Following Kirk and Schönberg [2] we let  $\lambda : [0, d(Tx_0, y)] \rightarrow Y$  be an isometry such that  $\lambda(0) = Tx_0$  and  $\lambda(d(Tx_0, y)) = y$ . The existence of  $\lambda$  is assured by Menger's result [3]. Since T is assumed to be an open locally *m*-expansive mapping, we can conclude the existence of a positive number  $\tau, 0 < \tau \le d(Tx_0, y)$ , and a unique continuous map  $\sigma : [0, \tau) \rightarrow D$  such that  $\sigma(0) = x_0$  and  $T\sigma(t) = \lambda(t)$  for each  $t, 0 \le t < \tau$ .

LEMMA 1. If  $L(\sigma; \tau) \equiv \inf\{m(||\sigma(r)||): 0 \le r < \tau\}$  then

 $L(\sigma; \tau) > 0.$ 

**Proof of Lemma 1.** For fixed  $t \in [0, \tau)$  let s > 0 be such that condition (+) is satisfied for each  $\sigma(t+r)$ ,  $0 \le r < s$ . Then

$$m(\operatorname{Max}\{\|\sigma(t)\|, \|\sigma(t+r)\|\}) \|\sigma(t) - \sigma(t+r)\| \le d(\lambda(t), \lambda(t+r)) = r.$$

Consequently,

$$m(\|\sigma(t)\|)D^+\|\sigma(t)\| \le 1 \qquad 0 \le t < \tau$$

where  $D^+v$  is the right-upper Dini derivative of the function v. Let

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = \int_{\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(0)\|}^{t} m(x) \, dx.$$

We can easily see that S is an increasing mapping whose range R(S) contains the interval J. If for each  $t \in [0, \tau)$  we let

$$\Sigma(t) \equiv S(||\sigma(t)||)$$
 and  $\Phi(t) \equiv t$ ,

R. TORREJON

then

230

 $\Sigma(0) = \Phi(0)$ 

and

$$D^+\Phi(t) \leq 1 \leq D^+\Phi(t)$$

for each t in  $[0, \tau)$ . Therefore

$$S(\|\sigma(t)\|) \le \Phi(t) \le \tau \qquad 0 \le t < \tau$$

and then

$$\|\sigma(t)\| \leq S^{-1}(\tau) \qquad 0 \leq t < \tau$$

Thus

$$\{ \| \sigma(t) \| : 0 \le t < \tau \} \subseteq [0, S^{-1}(\tau)].$$

The conclusion of the lemma is now an immediate consequence of the continuity and positivity of m on J.

LEMMA 2. If  $0 \le t$ ,  $s < \tau$ , then

$$\|\sigma(t) - \sigma(s)\| \leq L(\sigma; \tau)^{-1} |t - s|.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.** Assume t < s. By compactness of  $\{\sigma(r) : t \le r \le s\}$ , we can choose  $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$  such that

$$t = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = s$$

and

$$m(\max\{\|\sigma(t_i)\|, \|\sigma(t_{i+1})\|\}) \|\sigma(t_i) - \sigma(t_{i+1})\| \le t_{i+1} - t_i$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1. By Lemma 1.

$$L(\sigma,\tau) \left\| \sigma(t_i) - \sigma(t_{i+1}) \right\| \leq t_{i+1} - t_i$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(t) - \sigma(s)\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|\sigma(t_i) - \sigma(t_{i+1})\| \\ &\leq L(\sigma; \tau)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \\ &= L(\sigma; \tau)^{-1} |t - s|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 completed: By Lemma 2 and the assumption that T is closed on  $\overline{D}$  we can conclude that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} \sigma(t) = x \in \bar{D}$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1983-036-5 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[June

exists, and

$$Tx = \lambda(\tau).$$

If  $x \in \partial D$ , by assumption (2),

$$d(Tx, y) \ge d(Tx_0, y) = |d(Tx_0, y) - \tau| + \tau$$
$$= d(\lambda(d(Tx_0, y)), \lambda(\tau)) + \tau$$
$$= d(y, Tx) + \tau$$
$$> d(y, Tx).$$

This contradiction shows that x is in the interior of D. Thus, by letting  $\sigma(\tau) = x$ , we have that  $\sigma:[0,\tau] \rightarrow D$  is continuous and

$$T\sigma(s) = \lambda(s)$$
  $0 \le s \le \tau$ 

Let M denote the set of all t in  $[0, d(Tx_0, y)]$  for which there exists a unique continuous map  $\sigma: [0, t] \rightarrow D$  such that

$$T\sigma(s) = \lambda(s)$$
  $0 \le s \le t$ .

Then *M* is nonempty  $([0, \tau] \subseteq M)$  and since *T* is an open locally *m*-expansive mapping we also have that *M* is open in  $[0, d(Tx_0, y)]$ . A conjunction of Lemmas 1 and 2 and the argument above will also prove that *M* is closed. Therefore there exists  $\sigma : [0, d(Tx_0, y)] \rightarrow D$  such that  $T\sigma(t) = \lambda(t), 0 \le t \le d(Tx_0, y)$ ; hence  $y = \lambda(d(Tx_0, y)) = T\sigma(d(Tx_0, y))$  and  $\sigma(d(Tx_0, y)) \in D$ , completing the proof of Theorem 1.

We conclude this note with a domain invariance result for locally *m*-expansive mappings of accretive type. It should be pointed out that this result is a corollary of Schöneberg's results [4].

Let D be a subset of a Banach space X and F the normalized duality mapping of X to  $2^{X^*}$ . An operator  $T: D \to X$  is locally *m*-strongly accretive if

(1)  $m \in C^+(J)$ , the class of all positive continuous functions on J.

(2) Each point  $x \in D$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  such that

(\*\*) 
$$m(Max\{||u||, ||v||\}) ||u-v||^2 \le (Tu - Tv, w)$$

for each u and v in  $U_x$  and each w in F(u-v).

THEOREM 2. Let  $D \subseteq X$  be open and  $T: D \rightarrow X$  be a continuous locally *m*-strongly accretive operator. Then T(D) is open.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in D$  and  $y_0 = Tx_0$ . Let r > 0 be such that(\*\*) is satisfied on  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ . Since  $\inf\{m(\max[||x||, ||x_0||]) : ||x - x_0|| = r\} > 0$ 

and

$$||Tx - y_0|| \ge m(Max[||x||, ||x_0||]) ||x - x_0||$$

1983]

if  $||x - x_0|| = r$ , we conclude that the number

$$T = \inf\{\|TX - y_0\| : \|x - x_0\| = r\}$$

is strictly positive. As in Schöneberg [4], we can prove that if  $\Sigma > 0$ ,  $\Sigma(1+r) < \delta$  and  $0 < c < \Sigma$ , then the equation

$$(***) Tx + cx = y + cx_0$$

σ

has a solution  $x_c \in B(x_0, r)$  for each  $y \in B(y_0, \Sigma)$ .

Fix now  $y \in B(y_0, \Sigma)$  and for each  $0 < c < \Sigma$  let  $x_c \in B(x_0, r)$  be the solution of (\*\*\*) corresponding to y and c. Then

$$L \|x_{c} - x_{\bar{c}}\| < |c - \bar{c}| \|x_{0}\| + \|cx_{c} - \bar{c}x_{\bar{c}}\|$$

for 0 < c,  $\bar{c} < \Sigma$  and  $L = \inf\{m(s) : 0 \le s \le ||x_0|| + r\}$ . Since  $||x_c|| \le ||x_0|| + r$ , we conclude

- (i)  $x_c \to \bar{x}$  as  $c \to 0^+$ , and
- (ii)  $Tx_c \rightarrow y$  as  $c \rightarrow 0^+$ .

By continuity of T,  $T\bar{x} = y$ . The theorem will be proved if we show that  $\bar{x} \in B(\bar{x}_0, r)$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} |T\bar{x} - y_0|| &= ||y - y_0|| \\ &\leq \Sigma(1 + r) \\ &< \delta \\ &= \inf\{||Tz - y_0|| : ||z - x_0|| = r\}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality shows that  $\|\bar{x} - x_0\| < r$ , and the proof is completed.

## References

1. F. E. Browder, Nonlinear Operators and Nonlinear Equations of evolution in Banach Spaces, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 18, Pt. 2, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1976.

2. W. A. Kirk, and R. Schöneberg, Mappings Theorems for Local Expansions in Metric and Banach Spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 72 (1979), 114-121.

3. K. Menger, Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik, Math. Ann. 100 (1928), 75-163.

4. R. Schöneberg, On the Domain Invariance Theorem for Accretive Mappings, J. London Math. Soc. 24 (1981), 548–554.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS, TEXAS 78666

232