Excess body fat in obese and normal-weight subjects
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Abstract
Excess body adiposity, especially abdominal obesity and ectopic fat accumulation, are key risk factors in the development of a number of chronic diseases. The advent of in vivo imaging methodologies that allow direct assessment of total body fat and its distribution have been pivotal in this process. They have helped to identify a number of sub-phenotypes in the general population whose metabolic risk factors are not commensurate with their BMI. At least two such sub-phenotypes have been identified: subjects with normal BMI, but excess intra-abdominal (visceral) fat (with or without increased ectopic fat) and subjects with elevated BMI (> 25 kg/m²) but low visceral and ectopic fat. The former sub-phenotype is associated with adverse metabolic profiles, while the latter is associated with a metabolically normal phenotype, despite a high BMI. Here, examples of these phenotypes are presented and the value of carrying out enhanced phenotypical characterisation of subjects in interventional studies discussed.
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Introduction
Obesity has become one of the major health issues of the 21st century, affecting both developed and developing nations. It is estimated that 1·5 billion of the global population will be overweight or obese by the year 2030. The incidence of obesity in the UK alone continues to increase at an alarming rate(1,2). In the UK, 42·3 % of men and 32·3 % of women are classified as overweight, with a further 23·3 % of men and 24·4 % of women classified as obese(2). Incidence of obesity in some ethnic groups in the UK is even higher, particularly among females of black Caribbean and black African ethnicities, compared with the general population(2). Levels of obesity in UK children remain high, with 23·1 % of 4- to 5-year-old children being classified as overweight or obese and 33·3 % of 10- to 11-year-olds classified as overweight or obese(2).

It is now generally accepted that elevated levels of body adiposity, especially ‘visceral’ (intra-abdominal adipose tissue) and hepatic fat, amplify the risks of developing chronic, life-threatening diseases. However, in recent years there have been a number of studies reporting subjects with metabolic profiles that do not always conform to that expected from their BMI. The underlying mechanism that allows this apparent disassociation is not fully understood; however, advances in in vivo imaging techniques, in combination with genetic models, are making significant inroads into this phenomenon. In this review, the evidence relating to the existence of individuals with normal metabolic profiles but elevated BMI (> 30 kg/m²) and subjects with an abnormal metabolic profile and normal BMI (< 25 kg/m²) are presented and discussed. The existence of these sub-phenotypes is making the scientific community reassess its understanding of the effects of body adiposity on health and disease.

Current status of knowledge
BMI and adiposity

There are many methods for estimating relative body adiposity, of which BMI (weight divided by height squared) is the most widely used. Most individuals in the UK attending a doctor’s surgery or gym will undergo assessment of body composition by BMI for convenience and ease of interpretation. This is despite the fact that a substantial number of scientific publications have shown the limitations of this technique, particularly in different ethnic
groups and athletes\(^{(3,4)}\). Indeed, in athletes BMI may simply reflect increased muscle mass, which does not infer less favourable health\(^{(5)}\). Similarly, a BMI of 20–25 kg/m\(^2\), which would be considered lean and by inference ‘healthy’ within a Caucasian population, corresponds to an elevated body fat content in other ethnic groups\(^{(6)}\), which may therefore underestimate an individual’s risk of metabolic disease.

On a ‘population level’, a strong positive correlation between BMI and overall body fat content has been extensively reported\(^{(6)}\). However, this can mask significant variations in the relationship between BMI and body fat distribution on an individual level. For instance, there is a strong correlation between adiposity and BMI for both sexes (males: \(r = 0.64, P < 0.0001\); females: \(r = 0.82, P < 0.0001\)), with the latter showing a significantly higher percentage body fat at almost every BMI point\(^{(7)}\). However, significant variations between individuals within a given BMI can be observed. For instance, at a given BMI (for example, 24 kg/m\(^2\)), the body fat content as measured by MRI in male and female subjects varies from 7.8 to 38.3\% and from 29.9 to 44.2\%, respectively\(^{(7)}\). This is further illustrated in Fig. 1, which shows the variation in adipose tissue distribution in transverse abdominal images of nine male subjects all having a BMI of 24 kg/m\(^2\). These images show that there is considerable inter-individual variation in the relative amount of abdominal subcutaneous and visceral fat present in subjects with an identical body fat content; indeed, the levels of visceral fat can vary by up to 400\%\(^{(7)}\). Despite evidence that BMI is of limited value in estimating the true body adiposity of an individual, it remains the method of choice in large population studies, particularly in genome-wide-association research programmes seeking to identify the genetic determinants of obesity\(^{(8,9)}\).

### Waist circumference

Whilst BMI is often used in research studies as an index of overall obesity, waist circumference is commonly used as a proxy of abdominal obesity\(^{(10–12)}\). Several studies have shown waist circumference to be associated with cardiometabolic disease and that it can be used to predict risk of type 2 diabetes\(^{(13,14)}\). However, the levels of adipose...
tissue, both subcutaneous and visceral, vary significantly for a single given waist circumference\(^7\). Fig. 2 shows how individuals with an identical waist circumference exhibit a considerable intra-individual variation in fat content and distribution. Direct measurement of individual fat depots appears to be imperative to unravel the relationship between body fat and metabolic dysregulation.

**Enhanced adiposity phenotyping**

Indirect methods of body composition analysis, such as BMI, anthropometry, bioimpedance and plethysmography are not always suitable for detailed studies of body composition, since they cannot determine visceral adipose tissue distribution\(^5,15–21\). Similarly, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, which is now commonly used to measure total and abdominal fat content, cannot be used to assess ectopic fat. Ectopic fat refers to fat deposited outside the classical adipose tissue depots into organs such as the liver, muscle and pancreas. Its presence in these organs conveys specific metabolic risk. As such, the ability to measure ectopic fat is a key factor in choosing suitable methodology for enhanced phenotyping. The inability of standard techniques to measure both adipose tissue and ectopic fat depots in detail has greatly hampered our ability to fully discern the cause–effect relationship between body adiposity and an individual’s metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors. Indeed, using conventional methods of measuring body composition, individuals with a wide range of visceral and ectopic fat content can be categorised as having identical body adiposity.

The desire to accurately phenotype individuals has been one of the driving forces behind the development of direct, non-invasive methods, such as MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), to measure total and regional adipose content\(^13,22–39\). The most commonly used MRI method in body composition tends to be T1-weighted imaging (conventional MRI images in which the fat appears bright and other tissues grey; examples of these images are shown in Fig. 1), especially for whole-body fat measurements. These are routine techniques that can be applied on both clinical and research MRI scanners, enabling widespread application. More recently multi-echo imaging and chemical shift-based techniques have also been developed to quantify fat deposition in the abdomen, liver and pancreas. These methods manipulate the frequency difference between water and fat signals to generate multiple images from which tissue fat content...
can be measured; these methods tend to be limited to specialist research centres\(^{31–39}\). For an in-depth review of MRI techniques to measure abdominal adipose tissue and organ fat content, see Hu et al.\(^{39}\).

Proton MRS \(^{1}H\text{-MRS}\) to measure ectopic fat content in the muscle\(^{40–44}\) and liver\(^{45–49}\) has been extensively validated against other techniques, including biopsy\(^{50}\). More recently MRS has been used to assess fat content in organs such as the pancreas\(^{35,51}\) and heart\(^{52}\). Measurement of fat in the pancreas and heart is more technically demanding than in the liver and muscle, partly related to the size, position and motion associated with these organs; as yet these measurements have not been fully validated. While \(^{1}H\text{-MRS}\) is considered the ‘gold standard’ to measure fat in the liver and muscle, further work is required before it can be regarded as such in the pancreas or heart.

Magnetic resonance-based techniques have become the methods of choice for enhanced adiposity phenotyping; in a single MRI examination total and regional adipose tissue content, in addition to muscle, liver and pancreatic fat content can be obtained (Fig. 3).

Visceral fat and ectopic fat within the liver have been shown to be stronger and independent predictors of markers of the metabolic syndrome\(^{53–59}\) than subcutaneous fat depots. Indeed, subcutaneous adiposity appears to be relatively protective rather than harmful\(^{19,56}\) and is the strongest predictor of plasma levels of leptin, the fat-derived hormone that reduces appetite\(^{57–59}\). Conversely, ectopic muscle fat correlates with markers of insulin resistance and visceral adiposity\(^{60–62}\), while liver fat content correlates with total and visceral adiposity, and liver enzyme abnormalities\(^{45}\).

The identification of visceral fat and liver fat as fundamental contributors to the development of co-morbidities of obesity has led to a dynamic search for effective lifestyle and pharmacological/clinical interventions to contain or reduce their impact on health. Cross-sectional and interventional studies using imaging techniques have revealed a number of factors that make an impact on the amount, and crucially the distribution and composition, of fat deposited.

**Body composition by whole-body MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy**

Visceral fat and ectopic fat within the liver have been shown to be stronger and independent predictors of markers of the metabolic syndrome\(^{53–59}\) than subcutaneous fat depots. Indeed, subcutaneous adiposity appears to be relatively protective rather than harmful\(^{19,56}\) and is the strongest predictor of plasma levels of leptin, the fat-derived hormone that reduces appetite\(^{57–59}\). Conversely, ectopic muscle fat correlates with markers of insulin resistance and visceral adiposity\(^{60–62}\), while liver fat content correlates with total and visceral adiposity, and liver enzyme abnormalities\(^{45}\).

The identification of visceral fat and liver fat as fundamental contributors to the development of co-morbidities of obesity has led to a dynamic search for effective lifestyle and pharmacological/clinical interventions to contain or reduce their impact on health. Cross-sectional and interventional studies using imaging techniques have revealed a number of factors that make an impact on the amount, and crucially the distribution and composition, of fat deposited.

---

**Fig. 3.** Measurement of fat content by \(^{1}H\text{-magnetic resonance spectroscopy}\). Transverse magnetic resonance images were taken through the abdomen to identify the liver and pancreas, and the lower leg to identify soleus and tibialis muscles. Voxels were placed in the organ of interest avoiding bone, blood vessels and obvious fatty streaks. \(^{1}H\text{-magnetic resonance spectra were obtained in approximately 2 min from (a) the liver, (b) pancreas and (c) soleus muscle. The ectopic fat content of the liver and pancreas was determined by measuring the ratio of the fat peak (specifically the CH\(_2\) resonance) to the water peak. The ectopic fat content in the muscle (or intramyocellular lipid; IMCL) was measured from the ratio of the fat (again the CH\(_2\) resonance), which arises from lipids within the myocyte, this time measured relative to total muscle creatine (Cr) content. These ratios were all corrected for T\(_1\) and T\(_2\) relaxation effects (these are NMR effects which are related to how long it takes for the NMR signal to relax back to equilibrium; correcting for them allows spectra to be obtained faster). ppm, Parts per million; EMCL, extramyocellular lipid; Cho, choline.**
within the body. Whilst an in-depth review of this area of research is beyond the scope of the present paper, these phenotyping methods have enabled the study of the influences of: (i) diet on adipose tissue fatty acid composition\(^{(63,64)}\); (ii) ethnicity on ectopic fat and abdominal obesity\(^{(60,65–67)}\); (iii) the environment in the womb, with preserved visceral fat in infants with growth retardation and fat changes during catch-up growth after birth\(^{(68,69)}\); and (iv) sex differences on abdominal fat reduction with weight loss\(^{(70)}\). Furthermore, an increasing number of studies have shown associations of variants in various genes (for example, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), adiponectin (ADIPOQ), β-2 adrenergic receptor (ADRB2), apoA2 (APOA2), fatty acid-binding protein-2 (FABP2), lymphotixin-α (LTA), microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTTP), perilipin (PLIN), PPARγ (PPARG) and uncoupling protein-1 (UCP1)) with measures of body fat distribution, including visceral and abdominal subcutaneous fat, waist:hip ratio, waist circumference and sagittal diameter\(^{(71)}\).

More recently, genome-wide-association study analysis has identified an insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS1)-associated allele with reduced subcutaneous adipose tissue but paradoxically increased metabolic risk\(^{(72)}\), confirming the importance of accurate in-depth phenotypic characterisation in scientific research. Indeed, most genome-wide-association studies and SNP–environment interactions for obesity and diabetes studies have utilised the much-questioned BMI or waist:hip ratio as a measure of obesity and/or body adiposity\(^{(73–75)}\). Similarly, studies on hepatic steatosis heritability have been carried out using computerised tomography (CT) in relatively small populations\(^{(76)}\). This has greatly limited the relative health impact of such studies.

**Metabolically normal obesity**

Excess body fat does not always appear to be associated with metabolically adverse profiles such as increased risk of insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, type 2 diabetes and CVD\(^{(77–79)}\). There is a growing body of evidence that supports the existence of ‘metabolically normal obesity’. These subjects have been referred to as metabolically healthy obese (MHO)\(^{(80–84)}\) and can carry considerable levels of body fat, but maintain normal insulin sensitivity and blood pressure, high HDL and low plasma TAG levels\(^{(81,84–86)}\). The incidence of MHO varies between studies, partly due to the manner in which MHO is defined. In Germany, Stefan et al.\(^{(80)}\) reported 24.4% of their obese population as MHO, on the basis of their insulin sensitivity, whilst in an Italian study by Bonora et al.\(^{(86)}\) approximately 20% of their obese individuals fit the MHO profile. Similarly, Wildman et al.\(^{(81)}\) in the USA reported an incidence of MHO in 35.4 and 29.2% of obese women and men, respectively. MHO was defined as having only one of six common cardiometabolic risk factors (elevated blood pressure, elevated TAG, C-reactive protein, insulin resistance, elevated glucose levels/diabetes, or decreased HDL)\(^{(81,84,87)}\).

A related phenotype includes obese subjects who are defined as ‘fat-fit’\(^{(82,88–90)}\). At present it is unclear how much overlap there is between the MHO and fat-fit phenotypes. Interestingly, there is a growing body of evidence that fat but fit individuals have substantially lower mortality risk than normal-weight unfit individuals\(^{(82,89,90)}\). This apparent dissociation between body fat content and adverse metabolic profile may be in part related to body fat distribution, since different adipose tissue depots are known to carry proportionally different risk in terms of CVD\(^{(91)}\).

A key contributory factor to this dissociation must therefore be a severance between visceral/liver fat and total body adiposity. This sub-phenotype has been clearly identified by our group and others, where subjects with elevated BMI show normal levels of visceral and ectopic fat deposits (i.e. reduced compared with the general obese population) and healthy metabolic profiles\(^{(80,90,92)}\). In many ways this is similar to the phenotype observed in Japanese Sumo wrestlers, who have reduced visceral adiposity accompanied by high insulin sensitivity despite their elevated total fat content\(^{(93,94)}\). The underlying mechanisms leading to the development of this sub-phenotype are not known, but factors such as increased physical activity may be important. Lee et al.\(^{(95)}\) have shown that physical fitness reduces or eliminates the risks associated with obesity, while O’Donovan et al.\(^{(90)}\) have reported that subjects with improved VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) show a significant reduction in abdominal obesity despite their elevated BMI.

**Excess body fat in normal-weight subjects**

In addition to ‘metabolically normal obesity’, the opposite phenotype, comprising of lean subjects (BMI < 25 kg/m\(^2\)) with the metabolic phenotype of an obese individual, has been reported and poses a potentially serious hidden risk for a significant proportion of the population. These individuals have been referred to as ‘metabolically obese but normal-weight’ (MONW)\(^{(96)}\). These subjects have been shown to have reduced insulin sensitivity, increased abdominal adiposity, a more atherogenic lipid profile, and raised blood pressure, accompanied by physical inactivity and a low VO\(_{2}\text{max}\). The combination of these factors is thought to predispose ‘metabolically obese but normal-weight’ subjects to an increased risk of type 2 diabetes and CVD\(^{(97–103)}\).

This phenotype has been further refined using MRI to show disproportionate deposition of visceral adipose tissue, with many lean subjects having more visceral adipose tissue than overweight or obese subjects (Fig. 4). This TOFI (thin-on-the-outside fat-on-the-inside) phenotype has been observed in both male and female subjects, and increases an individual’s risk of metabolic
An example of this can be seen in Fig. 5. The elevated visceral fat found in individuals classified as TOFI (higher ratio of visceral:subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue) was accompanied by increased levels of both liver and muscle fat. Identifying subjects classified as TOFI may be important, since they may be at increased risk of metabolic disease compared with more obese subjects with less visceral fat. Incidence of ‘metabolically-obese but normal-weight’ has been reported to be between 13 and 18% (84,102), which is similar to the incidence of TOFI (12% women, 14% men)(7). Interestingly, a similar finding has been reported in obese individuals, where obese subjects with a disproportionate accumulation of visceral fat had increased incidence of disorders of glucose and lipid metabolism(104).

Again, as with the MHO and ‘fat-fit’ individuals, the underlying mechanisms behind the accumulation of disproportionate levels of visceral and liver fat in subjects with a normal body weight are not fully understood. Potential causes include physical inactivity, ageing, sex hormones, dietary composition and genetic factors(103); further research is needed to explain the exact mechanisms responsible for this phenotype. Despres & Lemieux(105) proposed that excess visceral fat deposition could be a marker of ‘dysfunctional’ adipose tissue, which in turn is associated with increased ectopic fat deposition in the liver, heart, skeletal muscle and pancreas.

**Obesity in lean tissue – the problem of ectopic fat**

It has been recently suggested that in the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes, accumulation of lipids outside the classical adipose tissue depots may be the critical factor(106). Lipid accumulation in non-adipose cells (ectopic fat) may impair the normal housekeeping function of some tissues through a process known as ‘lipo-toxicity’(107). Ectopic storage of excess lipids in ‘lean body mass’, via organs such as the liver, skeletal muscle and β-cells of the pancreas has therefore been proposed as the causative link between fat distribution and the metabolic syndrome(108). Ectopic fat deposition has also been shown to affect both renal and cardiovascular function and may contribute to the development of CVD(109). For example, subjects with lipodystrophy have disproportionately
raised levels of ectopic fat, despite very low levels of adipose tissue. Early studies showed that TAG are elevated within muscle cells of rodent models of diabetes and in human diabetic patients, and this elevation was inversely related to insulin action. Accumulation of liver fat (steatosis) in relation to diabetes was reported incidentally as early as 1884, although, until recently, fat accumulation in the liver was assumed to be a relatively benign condition. However, reports showing increased incidence of cirrhosis in obese and type 2 diabetic subjects have challenged this view. Recent studies have shown that 25–50% of patients with steatosis subsequently became diabetic, suggesting that hepatic steatosis may have a direct causative effect in the development of diabetes. Similarly, this has also been linked to the development of CVD, suggesting that non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is an independent risk factor for metabolic disease. Furthermore, an abundance of fat within the liver can also progress to steatohepatitis, cirrhosis and potentially hepatocellular carcinoma. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is increasing in incidence and is a common finding in the general population; it is becoming the most prevalent cause of chronic liver disease.

![Fig. 6.](image1) Intermuscular adipose tissue increases with increasing age and decreasing insulin sensitivity. Transverse magnetic resonance images from the calf muscle from four Caucasian male volunteers: (a) an athlete aged 23 years; (b) a healthy volunteer aged 35 years; (c) a healthy volunteer aged 60 years; (d) a 68-year-old volunteer with type 2 diabetes. Fat (intermuscular adipose tissue, also referred to as extramyocellular lipid) accumulates between muscle fibres as muscles age and become more insulin resistant.

![Fig. 7.](image2) Effect of 6 months’ life-style intervention on adipose tissue and ectopic fat content. Life-style intervention over a 6-month period involving reducing portion size and increasing physical activity can have a dramatic effect on body fat content. This individual lost 33 kg of body fat. Three sample images from a total dataset of 113 slices are shown. These images show visual reductions in subcutaneous fat; they also show clear reductions in internal fat deposits from around organs including the heart, kidneys and from intra-abdominal adipose tissue depots. These changes were accompanied by significant reductions in ectopic fat in both liver and muscle as can be seen in the spectra from the liver and muscle. Liver fat was reduced from 38 to 0.6% (calculated as the ratio of CH2 of fat peak to water peak, corrected for T1 and T2 relaxation effects) and muscle fat was reduced from 18 to 6% (calculated as the ratio of CH2 of fat peak to creatine (Cr) peak, corrected for T1 and T2 relaxation effects). Overall, this figure illustrates the benefit of enhanced phenotyping, from one examination combining MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy; reductions in adipose tissue and ectopic fat in response to life-style modification can be observed and quantified from several metabolically important sites. IMCL, intramyocellular lipid.
worldwide. The prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Western countries is estimated to range from 6 to 30%, and has been reported to be present in as many as 63–98% of the obese and morbidly obese populations (Vernon et al. and references within).

There are a number of hypotheses as to the potential mechanism behind the increased accretion of ectopic fat. These include increased uptake, decreased oxidation/disposal and increased de novo synthesis of TAG in the liver, as well as the so-called ‘overflow hypothesis’ – where adipose tissue is unable to expand to uptake/retain excess fat from the circulation, necessitating accumulation of fat in non-adipocyte cells. Much of the current research appears to support the notion that each of these mechanisms, to varying extent, is implicated in accretion of ectopic fat in disease.

Until recently ectopic fat was assessed by the use of needle biopsy in combination with electron microscopy/oil staining. This had limited application in tissues such as the heart and pancreas, besides the issues for longitudinal studies in heterogeneous tissues, such as the liver. Non-invasive methods available include ultrasound, which tends to be regarded as an initial screening tool for hepatic steatosis due to being non-invasive, inexpensive, and widely available. However, poor sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound, particularly for mild to moderate (<20%) levels of fat infiltration, have led many to question whether it is an appropriate screening tool for fatty liver disease. Today, the advent of computerised tomography (CT), MRI and MRS has revolutionised the study of ectopic fat, allowing fast and reproducible non-invasive quantitative measurement of lipids in the muscle, liver and pancreas (Fig. 3). Increased availability and access to magnetic resonance scanners have made it a more viable alternative as a clinical screening tool, rather than a pure research measurement.

The measurement of ectopic fat, particularly in the liver, has become an important issue in light of recent publications which have suggested that excess hepatic fat is more physiologically relevant than visceral fat in the development of the metabolic syndrome. Indeed, the phenotype of metabolically healthy obese individuals, as mentioned previously, has reduced fat in the liver. Fabbrini et al. have suggested that increased liver fat is an independent indicator of multi-organ insulin resistance and postulate that the observed relationship between increased visceral fat and metabolic disease is essentially a confounding factor due to the correlation between visceral and liver fat. More recently, Magkos et al. have shown that increased adiposity, without an increase in liver fat, does not cause abnormalities in adipose tissue, skeletal muscle and hepatic insulin sensitivity, and VLDL metabolism. In addition to the accumulation of fat within muscle cells (intramyocellular lipid), several studies recently measured intermuscular adipose tissue using MRI, which is adipose tissue located between muscle bundles, akin to the marbling found in steak (Fig. 6). Expansion of this depot has been shown to be related to insulin resistance.

Life-style changes and body adiposity

A full understanding of the long-term health implications of abdominal obesity and associated metabolic, inflammatory and endocrine markers should drive innovative translational approaches directed towards better maintenance of health and quality of life. In small-scale interventional studies, health benefits have been observed with: (i) moderate exercise and dietary changes to alter muscle fat and liver fat, and reduce visceral fat as shown in Fig. 7; (ii) moderate energy restriction to reduce liver and visceral fat and improve insulin sensitivity; (iii) influence of sex and ethnicity on lifestyle intervention; (iv) exercise training to alter muscle and fat volume that differs with a genetic variant indicating gene–environment interactions; and (v) twin discordance on physical activity and body composition. Many of these findings are beginning to find their way into the life-style choices of individuals, thus improving the health of the population as a whole. In conclusion, despite the well-known association between increased body fat content and increased adverse metabolic risk factors, the advent of non-invasive imaging techniques has allowed identification of a number of sub-phenotypes that point to the importance of fat distribution, as well as total fat content, in the development of chronic metabolic disorders.
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