Think Philosophy for everyone

A Journal of the Royal Institute of Philosophy

Edited by Stephen Law



Think

1. Guidelines for Contributors

The editor welcomes accessible contributions from philosophers and other thinkers on any topic broadly related either to philosophy or to the development of thinking skills. It is anticipated that most contributors will be academics.

Contributions should be below 4,000 words (unless otherwise agreed with the editor). Very short pieces are welcome.

Think welcomes submissions which are clear and to the point and in the straightforward prose characteristic of the best philosophy. At the same time, the editor would also like to encourage the use of imaginative and unusual ways of making ideas engaging and accessible, e.g. through the use of dialogue, humour, illustrations (black and white: line and photos), examples taken from the media, etc. Papers engaging with some topical debate are especially welcome.

Contributors should presuppose no philosophical background knowledge on the part of the reader. The use of jargon and logical notation, especially where unexplained, should be avoided. Please avoid including notes and references if at all possible.

While the presentation of original thought is very much encouraged, a submission need not go beyond providing an engaging an accessible introduction to a particular philosophical issue or line of argument. Authors are asked to include within their submissions clear and fairly thorough introductions to any debates to which they wish to make a contribution.

Contributors of accepted articles will be asked to assign their copyright (on certain conditions) to the Royal Institute of Philosophy so that their interests may be safeguarded.

Authors are responsible for obtaining permission to reproduce any material for which they do not own copyright, to be used in both print and electronic media, and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in their manuscript.

The editor has the assistance of a panel of referees drawn from the Institute's Council. Please include with your contribution a brief statement of your position and institution (where relevant).

2. Submissions

Contributions (as Word documents) and communications should be sent to:

```
Stephen Law (editor)
THINK
Room ST283, Senate House,
Malet Street,
London,
WC1E 7HU
```

Email: think@royalinstitutephilosophy.org

3. Format and Style

The editor would be grateful if final submissions were in the Think house style. Please use single quotation marks (double when embedded). Please italicize rather than underline.

Where it is absolutely unavoidable that references be included, they should be incorporated into the text (preferably) or else appear as endnotes in the Thínk style. Examples:

Fred Author, Title of Book (Place: Publisher, 2002), 23.

D. Academic, 'Title of Paper', A Journal, 1.1 (1990): 34-56.

© The Royal Institute of Philosophy 2022

Think

Philosophy for everyone

Number 62 • Autumn 2022

A Journal of The Royal Institute of Philosophy



https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477175622000173 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Contents

ls Same-Sex Marriage Unjust? Alexander P. Bozzo	5
Letting Rip: Rebutting Capra on the Metaphysics of Farts Brian Garrett and Jeremiah Joven Joaquin	19
Freedom of Expression and the Argument from Self-Defence Jimmy Alfonso Licon	23
Against Spanking James Simpson	33
The Puzzle of Transformation Marcela Herdova	39
The Joseph Saga: Turnabouts, Trade-Offs, and Transience Steven M. Cahn	51
On the Common Claim that One Must Fulfil One's Potential and Do One's Best to Have a Meaningful Life Iddo Landau	55
Playing by the Rules: Using Games to Study Social Norms Martina Valković	63
Meaning to the Rescue? Lorraine K. C. Yeung	73
The Trolley Meta-Problem Daniel John Sportiello	87
A Properly Scientific Naturalism Can be Compatible with Classical Theism Steven Nemes	91

Making Sense of the Right Side of History Nicholas Smith	103
Mask-Less Shopping is Like Drunk Driving Jonathan Spelman	117