

SOME GLOBAL THEOREMS ON HYPERSURFACES

CHUAN-CHIH HSIUNG

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to establish the following theorems, which were obtained by Hopf and Voss in their joint paper (2) for the case where $n = 2$.

THEOREM 1. *Let V^n, V^{*n} be two closed orientable hypersurfaces twice differentially imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 3$. Suppose that there is a differentiable homeomorphism between the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} such that the orientations of the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} are preserved and the line joining every pair of corresponding points P, P^* of the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} is parallel to a fixed direction R , and such that the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} have equal first mean curvatures at every pair of the points P, P^* but no cylindrical elements whose generators are parallel to the fixed direction R . Then the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} can be transformed into each other by a translation.*

A closed hypersurface V^n imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 2$ is said to be *convex in a given direction*, if no line in this direction intersects the hypersurface V^n at more than two points. It is obvious that a closed hypersurface V^n is convex in the usual sense if it is convex in every direction in the space E^{n+1} .

THEOREM 2. *Let a closed orientable hypersurface V^n twice differentially imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 3$ be convex in a given direction R . If the two first mean curvatures of the hypersurface V^n at every pair of its points of intersection with the lines in the direction R are equal, then the hypersurface V^n has a hyperplane of symmetry perpendicular to the direction R .*

Theorem 2 can easily be deduced from Theorem 1. In fact, let u be a mapping of a hypersurface V^n satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2 onto itself such that the two points of intersection of the hypersurface V^n with any line in the direction R are mapped into each other. In particular, if a line in the direction R is tangent to the hypersurface V^n at a point P , then $uP = P$. Let r be the reflection with respect to an arbitrary hyperplane perpendicular to the direction R , and P any point of the hypersurface V^n . Then the mapping $ruP = P^*$ maps the hypersurface V^n onto the hypersurface $V^{*n} = r(V^n)$ generated by the point P^* , and the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 so that $ru = t$ is a translation. Therefore $u = rt$ is a reflection with respect to a hyperplane perpendicular to the direction R , and hence Theorem 2 follows.

Received April 7, 1956.

By noting that a closed hypersurface V^n imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 2$ must be a hypersphere if it has a hyperplane of symmetry perpendicular to every direction in the space E^{n+1} , we arrive readily at the following known result from Theorem 2.

COROLLARY. *A closed convex hypersurface V^n of constant first mean curvature twice differentially imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 3$ is a hypersphere.*

THEOREM 3. *Let $V^n(V^{*n})$ be an orientable hypersurface with a closed boundary $V^{n-1}(V^{*n-1})$ of dimension $n - 1 \geq 1$ twice differentially imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1$. Suppose that there is a differentiable homeomorphism between the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} with the same properties as those of the homeomorphism in Theorem 1.*

(i) *If the two boundaries V^{n-1}, V^{*n-1} are coincident, then the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} are coincident.*

(ii) *If the two normals of the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} at every pair of corresponding points, under the given homeomorphism, of the two boundaries V^{n-1}, V^{*n-1} are parallel, then the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} are transformed into each other by a translation.*

2. Preliminaries¹. In a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 3$, let us consider a fixed orthogonal frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$ with a point O as the origin. With respect to this orthogonal frame we define the vector product of n vectors A_1, \dots, A_n in the space E^{n+1} to be the vector A_{n+1} , denoted by $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a) the vector A_{n+1} is normal to the n -dimensional subspace of E^{n+1} determined by the vectors A_1, \dots, A_n ,

(b) the magnitude of the vector A_{n+1} is equal to the volume of the parallelepiped whose edges are the vectors A_1, \dots, A_n ,

(c) the two frames $OA_1 \dots A_n A_{n+1}$ and $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$ have the same orientation.

Let σ be a permutation on the n numbers $1, \dots, n$, then

$$(2.1) \quad A_{\sigma(1)} \times \dots \times A_{\sigma(n)} = (\text{sgn } \sigma) A_1 \times \dots \times A_n,$$

where $\text{sgn } \sigma$ is $+1$ or -1 according as the permutation σ is even or odd. Let i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} be the unit vectors from the origin O in the directions of the vectors I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} and let A_α^j ($j = 1, \dots, n + 1$) be the components² of the vector A_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, n$) with respect to the frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$, then the scalar product of any two vectors A_α and A_β and the vector product of n vectors A_1, \dots, A_n are, respectively,

¹For this section see, for instance, (3, pp. 287–289).

²Throughout this paper all Latin indices take the values 1 to $n + 1$ and Greek indices the values 1 to n unless stated otherwise. We shall also follow the convention that repeated indices imply summation.

$$(2.2) \quad A_\alpha \cdot A_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} A_{\alpha^i \beta^i}$$

$$(2.3) \quad A_1 \times \dots \times A_n = (-1)^n \begin{vmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_{n+1} \\ A_1^1 & A_1^2 & \dots & A_1^{n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_n^1 & A_n^2 & \dots & A_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

If A_α^j are differentiable functions of n variables x^1, \dots, x^n , then by equation (2.3) and the differentiation of determinants

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \\ &= \sum_{\beta=1}^n \left(A_1 \times \dots \times A_{\beta-1} \times \frac{\partial A_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} \times A_{\beta+1} \times \dots \times A_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider a hypersurface V^n twice differentially imbedded in the space E^{n+1} with a closed boundary V^{n-1} of dimension $n - 1$. Let (y^1, \dots, y^{n+1}) be the coordinates of a point P in the space E^{n+1} with respect to the orthogonal frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$. Then the hypersurface V^n can be given by the parametric equations

$$(2.5) \quad y^i = f^i(x^1, \dots, x^n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n + 1),$$

or the vector equation

$$(2.6) \quad Y = F(x^1, \dots, x^n),$$

where y^i and f^i are respectively the components of the two vectors Y and F , the parameters x^1, \dots, x^n take values in a simply connected domain D of the n -dimensional real number space, $f^i(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ are twice differentiable and the Jacobian matrix $||\partial y^i / \partial x^\alpha||$ is of rank n at all points of the domain D . If we denote the vector $\partial Y / \partial x^\alpha$ by Y_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, n$), then the first fundamental form of the hypersurface V^n at the point P is

$$(2.7) \quad ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta,$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = Y_\alpha \cdot Y_\beta,$$

and the matrix $||g_{\alpha\beta}||$ is positive definite so that the determinant $g = |g_{\alpha\beta}| > 0$.

Let N be the unit normal vector of the hypersurface V^n at the point P , and N_α the vector $\partial N / \partial x^\alpha$, then

$$(2.9) \quad N_\alpha = - b_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\gamma} Y_\gamma,$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad b_{\alpha\beta} = b_{\beta\alpha} = - N_\alpha \cdot Y_\beta$$

are the coefficients of the second fundamental form of the hypersurface V^n at the point P , and $g^{\beta\gamma}$ denotes the cofactor of $g_{\beta\gamma}$ in g divided by g so that

$$(2.11) \quad g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha$$

(the Kronecker deltas). The n principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ of the hypersurface V^n at the point P are the roots of the determinant equation

$$(2.12) \quad |b_{\alpha\beta} - \kappa g_{\alpha\beta}| = 0,$$

from which follows immediately the first mean curvature of the hypersurface V^n at the point P :

$$(2.13) \quad M_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{n} b_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta}.$$

The area element of the hypersurface V^n at the point P is

$$(2.14) \quad dA = g^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,$$

where the operator d is the exterior differentiation, and the wedge denotes the exterior multiplication. Now we choose the direction of the unit normal vector N in such a way that the two frames $PY_1 \dots Y_n N$ and $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$ have the same orientation. Then from equations (2.3) and (2.14) it follows that

$$(2.15) \quad g^{\frac{1}{2}} N = Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n,$$

$$(2.16) \quad |Y_1, \dots, Y_n, N| = g^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the left side of equation (2.16) is a determinant indicated by writing only a typical row.

3. An integral formula. Let V^n be an orientable hypersurface with a closed boundary V^{n-1} of dimension $n - 1 \geq 1$ twice differentially imbedded in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1$, and suppose that the hypersurface V^n is given by the vector equation (2.6). Let I be the unit vector in a fixed direction R in the space E^{n+1} , and w a twice differentiable function over the hypersurface V^n . Then §2 can be applied to the hypersurface V^n , and we shall use the same symbols with a star for the corresponding quantities for the hypersurface V^{*n} defined by the vector equation

$$(3.1) \quad Y^* = Y + W,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad W = wI.$$

Let Ω^α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, n$) be n vectors in the space E^{n+1} , and suppose that the components of each vector Ω^α with respect to the orthogonal frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$ are differentiable functions of the n variables x^1, \dots, x^n . In order to derive an integral formula for the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} we use the vector product of vectors and the exterior multiplication of differentials to define the vector

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Omega^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega^{\alpha-1} \otimes d\Omega^\alpha \otimes \dots \otimes d\Omega^n \\ &= (\Omega^1 \times \dots \times \Omega^{\alpha-1} \times \Omega_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha \times \dots \times \Omega_{\beta n}^n) dx^{\beta\alpha} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\beta n} \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, where

$$\Omega_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha = \partial\Omega^\alpha / \partial x^{\beta\alpha}.$$

It is obvious that the vector (3.3) is independent of the order of the vectors $d\Omega^\alpha, \dots, d\Omega^n$. Thus from equations (2.9), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY = n! (Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = n! N dA,$$

$$(3.5) \quad dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY \otimes dN$$

$$= (n-1)! \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_{\alpha-1} \times N_\alpha \times Y_{\alpha+1} \times \dots \times Y_n \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

$$= -n! M_1 N dA.$$

Making use of equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and its analogue for the hypersurface V^{*n} , and noting that

$$dW \otimes \dots \otimes dW \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY = 0,$$

(α factors) (n-α factors)

$$dW \otimes \dots \otimes dW \otimes dY^* \otimes \dots \otimes dY^* = 0$$

(α factors) (n-α factors)

for $\alpha \geq 2$ and

$$|W, Y_1, \dots, Y_n| = |W, Y_1^*, \dots, Y_n^*|,$$

we are easily led to

$$(3.6) \quad (n-1)! (N^* dA^* - N dA) = dW \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY$$

$$= dW \otimes dY^* \otimes \dots \otimes dY^*,$$

$$(3.7) \quad W \cdot N dA = W \cdot N^* dA^*,$$

$$(3.8) \quad |W, N^*, Y_1^*, \dots, Y_{\alpha-1}^*, Y_{\alpha+1}^*, \dots, Y_n^*|$$

$$= |W, N^*, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\alpha-1}, Y_{\alpha+1}, \dots, Y_n| \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n).$$

From equations (2.3), (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) it follows immediately that

$$(3.9) \quad W \cdot (N \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY)$$

$$= (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |W, N, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\alpha-1}, Y_{\alpha+1}, \dots, Y_n|$$

$$dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha-1} \wedge dx^{\alpha+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,$$

$$(3.10) \quad d[W \cdot (N \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY)]$$

$$= -N \cdot (dW \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY) + W \cdot (dN \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY)$$

$$= -n! M_1 W \cdot N dA - (n-1)! (N \cdot N^* dA^* - dA).$$

Similarly, in consequence of equations (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and those analogous to equations (3.5), (3.9) by changing the vectors Y, N to the vectors Y^*, N^* respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & d[W \cdot (N^* \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY)] = d[W \cdot (N^* \otimes dY^* \otimes \dots \otimes dY^*)] \\
 & = -N^* \cdot (dW \otimes dY^* \otimes \dots \otimes dY^*) + W \cdot (dN^* \otimes dY^* \otimes \dots \otimes dY^*) \\
 & = -n! M_1^* W \cdot N \, dA - (n-1)! (dA^* - N^* \cdot N \, dA).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from equations (3.9), (3.10), (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & d \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |W, N - N^*, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\alpha-1}, Y_{\alpha+1}, \dots, Y_n| \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha-1} \wedge dx^{\alpha+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
 & = \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} d[W \cdot (N \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY) - W \cdot (N^* \otimes dY \otimes \dots \otimes dY)] \\
 & = (-1)^n [n(M_1^* - M_1) W \cdot N \, dA + (1 - N \cdot N^*) (dA + dA^*)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating equation (3.12) over the hypersurface V^n and applying the Stokes' theorem to the left side of the equation, we then arrive at the integral formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \int_{V^{n-1}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |W, N - N^*, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\alpha-1}, Y_{\alpha+1}, \dots, Y_n| \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha-1} \wedge dx^{\alpha+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
 & = (-1)^n \int_{V^n} [n(M_1^* - M_1) W \cdot N \, dA + (1 - N \cdot N^*) (dA + dA^*)].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when the hypersurface V^n is closed and orientable, the integral on the left side of equation (3.13) vanishes and hence

$$(3.14) \quad n \int_{V^n} (M_1^* - M_1) W \cdot N \, dA + \int_{V^n} (1 - N \cdot N^*) (dA + dA^*) = 0.$$

4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 3. It is easily seen that we can apply the results in §3 to two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1. Since $M_1^* = M_1$ at every pair of corresponding points of the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} , the formula (3.14) becomes

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{V^n} (1 - N \cdot N^*) (dA + dA^*) = 0.$$

But $dA > 0, dA^* > 0$ and $1 - N \cdot N^* \geq 0$ due to the fact that N and N^* are unit vectors. Thus the integrand of equation (4.1) is non-negative, and therefore equation (4.1) holds when and only when $1 - N \cdot N^* = 0$, which implies that

$$(4.2) \quad N^* = N.$$

Now in the space E^{n+1} we choose the orthogonal frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$, with respect to which a point in the space E^{n+1} has coordinates y^1, \dots, y^{n+1} , in such a way that the unit vector I_{n+1} is the fixed unit vector I . Since the hypersurface V^n has no cylindrical elements whose generators are parallel to the fixed vector I , the closed set M of all points of the hypersurface V^n , at each

of which the y^{n+1} -component of the unit normal vector N of the hypersurface V^n is zero, has no inner points and therefore the open set $V^n - M$ is everywhere dense over V^n . Thus, in neighborhoods of any point of the set $V^n - M$ and its corresponding point on the hypersurface V^{*n} , y^1, \dots, y^n are regular parameters of the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} so that the hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} can be represented respectively by the equations

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} y^{n+1} &= y^{n+1}(y^1, \dots, y^n), \\ y^{n+1} &= y^{*n+1}(y^1, \dots, y^n) = y^{n+1}(y^1, \dots, y^n) + w(y^1, \dots, y^n). \end{aligned}$$

By means of equations (2.15), (4.3) we obtain the unit normal vectors N, N^* of the hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} :

$$(4.4) \quad N = -g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial y^{n+1}}{\partial y^\alpha} i_\alpha - i_{n+1} \right), N^* = -g^{*-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial y^{*n+1}}{\partial y^\alpha} i_\alpha - i_{n+1} \right),$$

from which and equations (4.2), (4.3) it follows immediately that in a neighborhood of any point of the set $V^n - M$,

$$\partial y^{*n+1} / \partial y^\alpha = \partial y^{n+1} / \partial y^\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n)$$

and the function w is constant. Thus $\partial w / \partial y^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, n$) are zero in the everywhere dense set $V^n - M$ and therefore on the whole hypersurface V^n by continuity. Hence the function w is constant on the whole hypersurface V^n , and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

In both parts of Theorem 3 the integral over the boundary V^{n-1} on the left side of the formula (3.13) also vanishes, since over the boundary V^{n-1} $W = 0$ and $N^* = N$ in the two parts respectively. By the same argument as that in the above proof of Theorem 1, we therefore obtain between the two hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} a translation, which in part (i) reduces to an identity. Hence Theorem 3 is proved.

Now suppose that in Theorem 3 the fixed direction R is along the vector I_{n+1} and the hypersurfaces V^n, V^{*n} can be represented by equations of the form $y^{n+1} = y^{n+1}(y^1, \dots, y^n)$. Then part (i) of Theorem 3 can be stated as follows: The problem of finding a function $y^{n+1}(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ over a bounded region in the space (y^1, \dots, y^n) with given boundary values such that the first mean curvature M_1 of the hypersurface V^n defined by the equation $y^{n+1} = y^{n+1}(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ is a given function $M_1(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ admits at most one solution. Making use of equations (2.10), (2.13), (4.4) and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} = gg^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}}{\partial x^\alpha},$$

we can easily obtain the first mean curvature of the hypersurface V^n , namely,

$$(4.5) \quad M_1 = n^{-1} g^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 y^{n+1}}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta}.$$

Thus the above special case of part (i) of Theorem 3 is a consequence of the well-known uniqueness theorem for the solutions of elliptic differential equations of the second order, since the determinant $|g^{\alpha\beta}| = 1/g > 0$.

5. Connection with symmetrizations. Let y^1, \dots, y^{n+1} be the coordinates of a point with respect to a fixed orthogonal frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$ in a Euclidean space E^{n+1} of dimension $n + 1 \geq 3$, and let a closed orientable hypersurface V^n twice differentially imbedded in the space E^{n+1} be convex in the direction of the vector I_{n+1} . Let P be any point of the hypersurface V^n , and P^* the other point of intersection of the hypersurface V^n by the line l through the point P and in the direction of the vector I_{n+1} . If the line l is tangent to the hypersurface V^n , then the point P^* coincides with the point P . Let y^{n+1}, y^{*n+1} be respectively the $(n + 1)$ th coordinates of the points P, P^* with respect to the frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$, and M_1^*, N^* the first mean curvature and the unit normal vector of the hypersurface V^n at the point P^* .

The Steiner's symmetrization of the hypersurface V^n with respect to the hyperplane $y^{n+1} = 0$ is a geometric operation by which any point P of the hypersurface V^n goes into a point P' on the line l with

$$y'^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(y^{n+1} - y^{*n+1}) = y^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(y^{n+1} + y^{*n+1})$$

as its $(n + 1)$ th coordinate with respect to the frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$. In the time interval $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we shift the segment PP^* along its line l into the position $P'P'^*$ such that the $(n + 1)$ th coordinates of the points P', P'^* with respect to the frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$ are respectively given by

$$(5.1) \quad T_t: y'^{n+1} = y^{n+1} - \frac{t}{2}(y^{n+1} + y^{*n+1}), y'^{*n+1} = y^{*n+1} - \frac{t}{2}(y^{n+1} + y^{*n+1}).$$

That is, the segment PP^* is shifted with uniform velocity into the position where it is bisected by the hyperplane $y^{n+1} = 0$. This transformation T_t is called the continuous symmetrization of Steiner.³ T_0 is the identity and T_1 results in a complete symmetrization. It is obvious that the transformation T_t leaves the volume of the hypersurface V^n unchanged.

Now let us consider a neighboring hypersurface $V^n_{(\epsilon)}$ of the hypersurface V^n defined by the vector equation

$$(5.2) \quad Y^{(\epsilon)} = Y + \epsilon(W \cdot N)N,$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal, Y is the position vector of the point P of the hypersurface V^n with respect to the frame $OI_1 \dots I_{n+1}$, and

$$(5.3) \quad W = wI_{n+1}, \quad w = -y^{n+1} - y^{*n+1}.$$

An elementary calculation and the use of equations (5.2), (2.8), (2.9) yield the coefficients of the first fundamental form of the hypersurface $V^n_{(\epsilon)}$:

³For the continuous symmetrization of Steiner in a Euclidean space E^n of dimension $n = 2, 3$ see (1, pp. 249–251; 4, pp. 200–202).

$$(5.4) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)} = g_{\alpha\beta} - 2\epsilon(W \cdot N) b_{\alpha\beta} + (O)(\epsilon^2),$$

and therefore

$$(5.5) \quad g^{(\epsilon)} = |g_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)}| = g - 2n \epsilon(W \cdot N) M_1 g + \dots,$$

where the omitted terms are of degrees ≥ 2 in ϵ . From equations (5.5), (2.14) follows immediately the area of the hypersurface $V^n_{(\epsilon)}$:

$$(5.6) \quad A^{(\epsilon)} = \int_{V^n} \sqrt{g^{(\epsilon)}} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = A - n\epsilon \int_{V^n} M_1(W \cdot N) dA + \dots$$

Thus we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \left(\frac{\partial A^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0} = -n \int_{V^n} M_1(W \cdot N) dA.$$

Similarly, replacing equation (5.2) by $Y^{(\epsilon)} = Y^* + \epsilon(W^* \cdot N^*) N^*$ gives

$$(5.8) \quad \left(\frac{\partial A^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0} = -n \int_{V^n} M_1^*(W^* \cdot N^*) dA^*.$$

Noting that $y^{*n+1} = -y^{n+1} - w$, $W^* = W$ and making use of equation (3.7), we obtain immediately

$$(5.9) \quad W^* \cdot N^* dA^* = -W \cdot N dA,$$

and therefore equation (5.8) becomes

$$(5.10) \quad \left(\frac{\partial A^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0} = n \int_{V^n} M_1^*(W \cdot N) dA.$$

Thus the addition of equations (5.7), (5.10) gives

$$(5.11) \quad \left(\frac{\partial A^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{n}{2} \int_{V^n} (M_1^* - M_1) W \cdot N dA.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2 in §1, we consider the reflection r with respect to the hyperplane $y^{n+1} = 0$. By this reflection r the point P^* of the hypersurface V^n goes into the point \bar{P}^* defined by

$$(5.12) \quad \bar{Y}^* = Y + W,$$

which generates a hypersurface \bar{V}^{*n} . If equation (5.12) is used instead of equation (3.1), then the formula (3.14) becomes

$$(5.13) \quad n \int_{V^n} (M_1^* - M_1) W \cdot N dA + \int_{V^n} (1 - N \cdot \bar{N}^*) (dA + d\bar{A}^*) = 0,$$

where \bar{N}^* and $d\bar{A}^*$ are respectively the unit normal vector and the area element of the hypersurface \bar{V}^{*n} at the point \bar{P}^* . By interchanging the corresponding quantities of the two hypersurfaces V^n, \bar{V}^{*n} at the two points P^*, \bar{P}^* respectively it is easily seen that

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{V^n} (1 - N \cdot \bar{N}^*) d\bar{A}^* = \int_{V^n} (1 - \bar{N}^* \cdot N) dA.$$

By means of equation (5.14), equation (5.13) reduces to

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{n}{2} \int_{V^n} (M_1^* - M_1) W \cdot N \, dA = - \int_{V^n} (1 - N \cdot \bar{N}^*) dA,$$

from which and equation (5.11) we therefore obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \left(\frac{\partial A^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0} = - \int_{V^n} (1 - N \cdot \bar{N}^*) dA.$$

Making use of equations (5.11), (5.15), (5.16) we can easily reach the following conclusion:

If $M_1^ = M_1$ at every point P of the hypersurface V^n , then $(\partial A^{(\epsilon)} / \partial \epsilon)_{\epsilon=0} = 0$ and the hypersurface V^n is symmetric with respect to a hyperplane. If the hypersurface V^n is not symmetric with respect to a hyperplane and $\bar{N}^* \not\equiv N$ at every point P of the hypersurface V^n , then $(\partial A^{(\epsilon)} / \partial \epsilon)_{\epsilon=0} < 0$.*

REFERENCES

1. W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie* (Vol. 1, 3rd ed., Berlin, 1930).
2. H. Hopf and K. Voss, *Ein Satz aus der Flächentheorie im Grossen*, *Archiv der Mathematik*, 3 (1952), 187-192.
3. C. C. Hsiung, *Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces*, *Math. Scandinavica*, 2 (1954), 286-294.
4. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics* (Ann. Math. Studies, No. 42, Princeton, 1951).

Lehigh University