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The metabolism of N in the colon is dominated in quantitative terms by the metabolic activity of the microflora. The microflora are not found exclusively in the colon, as there are increasing numbers in the terminal ileum towards the ileocaecal valve; however, the working approximation is that functionally significant activity is colonic. There is a complex, and as yet inadequately defined, interaction of the luminal, mucosal and intramucosal populations of bacteria which is almost certainly of functional relevance. As for all organisms, the metabolic profile of the microflora is determined by the availability of substrate, which gives rise to an interdependence of protein, amino acid and N metabolism with the availability of energy; but for the practical purposes of the present analysis the reasonable assumption has been made that for all the work quoted, energy and other nutrients are not limiting.

In general bacteria utilize NH₃ as their preferred source of N, and other forms of protein or amino acids are reduced to NH₃ before being used metabolically. The microflora represent a complex ecosystem, with aspects of a continuous-flow culture. Our knowledge of the details of this system in vivo are limited and, in particular, we are not clear of the extent to which the dwell time in the caecum and ascending colon justifies consideration of this section of the bowel as a fermentative vat, compared with the role for water and electrolyte extraction in the more distal regions (Stevens, 1988). The objective here is to identify the extent to which the hydrolysis of urea by the microflora provides N to the host in a form which enables the host’s requirements for amino acids to be satisfied under any circumstance. Of necessity this leads to consideration of the concept of requirements itself.

NITROGEN FOR THE MICROFLORA

The potential sources of N available to the microflora comprise material which passes through the ileocaecal valve (both dietary residue and endogenous secretions), endogenous secretions which derive from the colon itself, and the endproducts which emanate from the metabolic activity of colonic microflora (Wrong, 1988). The flow of N through the ileocaecal valve is estimated to be about 2–4 g N/d with faecal N being about 1–2 g N/d. Therefore, the balance across the colon indicates a small net uptake. N derived from systemic urea contributes to faecal N; however, only about 10% of the total faecal N could be ascribed to urea derived from plasma (Wrong et al. 1985; 200 mg N/d). These values contrast with the data derived from studies in which urea kinetics have been measured. The evidence shows that on a regular basis a proportion of the urea produced is hydrolysed by the microflora of the lower gut (Hibbert & Jackson, 1992). In a normal adult, consuming a habitual Western diet containing 75 g protein/d (12 g N/d), about 25% of urea production is salvaged (Jackson et al. 1993). This amounts to the movement of 3600 mg N/d through the colon, of which about 10% is lost in the stool, 26% (936 mg
Fig. 1. The major fate of label from $^{15}$N$^{15}$N urea (urea-30) during kinetic studies. Other losses of label are relatively small, and label not recovered in urinary urea is presumed to have been retained in amino acids formed either in the liver or by the colonic microflora. Urea-29, $^{15}$N$^{14}$N urea.

N/d) returns to urea formation and the remainder (2.3 g N/g, 14.4 g protein) is retained within the body. If urea-N is only 10–15% of faecal N, then by implication the flow of N through the colon is about five to seven times the flow of urea-N, i.e. about 16 g N/d, or 100 g protein, as has been found by direct measurement (Jackson et al. 1984). Therefore, that flux of N through the colon which is not accounted for by the flow through the ileocaecal valve, is of the same order as the dietary intake of protein. The nature and form of this overall movement has not been elucidated. Isotopically-labelled urea has been used to trace the metabolic fate of urea-N and Fig. 1 shows the potential fate of the label. Label is lost from the body in quantitatively significant amounts either directly as urea in the urine or following metabolic interconversions in the tissues as urea (Jackson et al. 1984). N might be retained either by the fixation in the liver of bacterially-derived NH$_3$, or as bacterially-derived amino acids absorbed across the gut. Thus, urea-N retained in the body might in principle enter metabolism, fixed as amino acids, in one of four ways (Jackson, 1982):

1. absorbed as NH$_3$ and fixed in the liver through amination of the non-essential amino acids, i.e. as glutamate or glycine-serine,
2. through wider transamination with the C skeleton of transaminating non-essential amino acids, i.e. alanine and aspartate,
3. through wider transamination with the C skeleton of transaminating essential amino acids,
4. by bacterial fixation as essential and non-essential amino acids which are then available to the host through absorption.

In adults, on an intake of about 70 g protein/d, when NH$_3$ is given orally, label is predominantly incorporated into urea, but there is also ready exchange with glutamate and through serine–glycine interaction (Jackson & Golden, 1981; Nissim et al. 1981). On lower protein intakes, or when the label is given intravenously, the rate of incorporation into proteins is greatly increased (Furst et al. 1969, 1970). The movement of label around other amino acids is directly related to their ability to engage in N-exchange reactions,
N TRANSACTIONS IN THE GUT

which is variable, and strictly limited for the essential (indispensable) amino acids (Aqvist, 1951). Amino acids fulfil metabolic roles other than as constituents of proteins, and some of these metabolic pathways may represent a significant drain on the available substrate, especially for non-essential (dispensable) amino acids and N. For example, glycine acts as the precursor for a range of metabolic intermediates, each of which represents an end product with no return of glycine to the metabolic pool (Jackson, 1991). Thus, the daily formation of creatine which is eventually lost as creatinine in the urine, consumes 196 mg N as glycine/d and 392 mg N as arginine/d. The formation of haem requires a further 38 mg N as glycine/d (Reeds, 1988). The total, about 626 mg N/d, is nearly half the salvaged urea-N which is retained in the body pool each day. Thus, the need for the formation of substantial amounts of non-essential amino acids is clear and there is little difficulty in seeing that urea-N might contribute to the satisfaction of these needs. The greater problem is whether bacterially-derived essential amino acids are available to the host, and if so, in what amounts. This will now be considered in greater detail.

One point which is clear is that the metabolic activity of urea-N can only usefully be considered in the context of the overall metabolic state of the body as a whole. The factors which determine the rate of salvage have been outlined elsewhere, but in general can be characterized as being determined by the balance between the metabolic demand for amino acids and the dietary intake of protein (Jackson, 1993). For a fixed demand salvage increases as intake falls; for a fixed intake salvage increases as demand increases (Jackson & Wootton, 1990). In other words salvage is a function of the metabolic requirement for amino acids, which is often loosely articulated as the requirement for protein; a point which has caused considerable confusion in nutrition and its literature. There is a terminology for which the use tends to be non-systematic and which, therefore, has generated confusion. Of particular relevance is the use of the term ‘requirement’ to mean variously, and inconsistently, the dietary requirement, the metabolic requirement, the dietary and/or metabolic requirement for protein, amino acids or N.

OLDER CONCEPTS OF REQUIREMENTS

The term ‘requirements’ has been used most extensively in human metabolism for the determination of recommended dietary allowance for energy and nutrients for the population (Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University (FAO/WHO/UNU), 1985). For many years it was characterized as that amount required in the diet to maintain N equilibrium in normal adults. In this sense, there is a conceptual link to the ideas of: obligatory N loss, the first limiting amino acid and protein quality, amino acids being classified as either essential (indispensable) or non-essential (dispensable).

The dietary requirement for protein was that amount which needed to be taken in the diet in order to maintain N equilibrium. It was clearly recognized that the requirement was influenced by the intake of energy and other nutrients. However, on the assumption that the intake of energy and other nutrients was adequate, it was possible to define with some reliability the requirements for protein, amino acids and N from one situation to another by the use of the N-balance technique. A considerable body of data was collected using this approach during the 1960s and into the early 1970s. In particular, the
Fig. 2. The dietary requirement for amino acids is determined by the pattern of amino acids required for protein synthesis and other pathways. The relative efficiency with which this demand can be satisfied is determined by the pattern of amino acids and N in the diet. The more metabolic exchange of N to achieve a pattern of amino acids which matches the demand, the higher the total N intake required to achieve N balance. EAA, essential amino acids; NEAA, non-essential amino acids; DAC, diammonium citrate; NEN, non-essential N.

Classic series of studies carried out by Kies and her coworkers (Kies, 1972) provide a very clear picture of the inter-relationships amongst the amount of protein in the diet, the pattern of amino acids in the diet and the amount of N required to achieve N balance in normal men and women. From the data generated by this work, recognizing the circumstances under which the studies were carried out, it is possible to draw conclusions of a general nature. For any given pattern of intake, the greater the amount of N exchange involved, the higher the level of total N required to achieve balance. In other words, on the assumption that there is a metabolic demand for a defined pattern of amino acids, the closer the pattern of amino acids in the diet to the pattern of demand the less the total amount of amino acids needed for balance. In this context it may be that the essential amino acids simply represent a special case of a more general rule. Using the data derived from this series of balance studies it is possible to characterize the relative efficiency of utilization of different sources of amino acids and N in diets, as shown in Fig. 2. N equilibrium can be achieved at the lowest level of total N intake, about 3–4 g/d, when the diet comprises amino acids at two to three times the minimal requirements as determined by Rose (1957) and a mixture of non-essential amino acids is provided at relatively low levels. It is clear that a number of non-essential amino acids can substitute for essential amino acids and balance can be achieved with Rose’s (1957) minimal requirements and a total N intake of about 6–8 g/d. Further, non-essential N can substitute for non-essential amino acids and, although balance can be achieved readily with Rose’s (1957) minimal requirements for essential amino acids, the total N intake might need to be increased to 12 g/d. The relative effectiveness of substitutions with different forms of non-essential N vary in the order shown in Fig. 2. The data inevitably tend to suggest that it is possible for essential amino acids to be formed endogenously, albeit at low levels and relatively inefficiently. Although at that time there was little direct evidence to justify this conclusion, the first human data were becoming available (Giordano et al. 1968), and were used by Kies as a possible explanation of the phenomenon in her later papers (Korslund et al. 1977; Kies & Fox, 1978).
MORE RECENT IDENTIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS

For a number of reasons the N-balance technique has limitations and it fell into disrepute as an investigative tool (Hegsted, 1976). There appeared to be some inconsistency in the results obtained and the underlying reasons for the inconsistencies could not be determined without a finer appreciation of the metabolic processes through which balance was achieved in response to dietary change (Waterlow, 1968). The availability of dynamic measures of amino acid and N metabolism opened the possibility of exploring other approaches to determining the requirements for nutrients which are essential dietary constituents (Waterlow, 1968; Waterlow & Stephen, 1981). Around the same time the FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) Joint Expert Consultation on Protein and Energy Requirements was reaching the conclusion that in policy terms the food problems of the world related more obviously to issues surrounding the satisfaction of energy requirements. The implication of this shift in emphasis was that there was no obvious problem for protein which would not be addressed once the problem of total food intake had been resolved (Waterlow & Payne, 1975). Further, given the difficulty of measuring habitual food intake with sufficient precision, it was concluded that it would be desirable to use measurements of expenditure as the basis for determining the requirements for energy (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985).

These two ideas have come together in much of the approach which has been developed since that time for the exploration of protein and amino acid metabolism. The general approach also owes something to the classical perception that the determination of obligatory N losses can inform us of the general nature of protein requirements. From this point it is a short step to presuming that if the rate of oxidation of an essential amino acid is measured in the fed and fasting state, then this will provide information on the dietary requirement for that amino acid (Young et al. 1989). The logic for this approach is impeccable and internally consistent. Therefore, the newer approaches to characterizing the dynamic state of the body constituents, have derived great momentum from the approaches which have been used to measure whole-body protein turnover, which in turn has led to more refined methods for measuring aspects of amino acid kinetics.

The general model which is used for the determination of amino acid kinetics and whole-body protein turnover is shown in Fig. 3. For any amino acid the flux through the amino acid pool comprises three inflows (dietary intake, protein degradation and de novo formation) and three outflows (oxidation, protein synthesis and other metabolic pathways). In practice, two measurements are made: the rate of appearance of the amino acid in the pool, based on the dilution of an infused label of the amino acid, and the rate of oxidation of the amino acid, based on the rate of appearance of labelled CO$_2$ from the amino acid in breath. [$^{13}$C]Leucine has been used most extensively for this work. For most studies with essential amino acids, one inflow (de novo formation) is presumed to be zero and one outflow (other metabolic pathways) is presumed to be small enough to be ignored. By making a number of assumptions it is possible to derive other relationships from these data, including rates of whole-body protein synthesis and degradation.

Elegant studies of this kind have led Young and his colleagues (Young et al. 1989; Marchini et al. 1993) to the conclusion that the requirements for essential amino acids are greater than has been determined from balance studies, and they have developed a pattern of amino acid requirements, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) pattern, based on this approach. As can be seen from Fig. 4, which shows the MIT amino
Fig. 3. The general model for the kinetics of any amino acid presumes a single pool through which the amino acid flows, with three inputs and three outputs. For essential amino acids one inflow, *de novo* synthesis, is presumed to be zero and for most amino acids one outflow, other pathways, is considered to be relatively small and, therefore, ignored in many analyses.

Fig. 4. A comparison of the patterns of minimal requirements of essential amino acids as recommended by Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University (1985; FAO), based on the data of Rose (1957), and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) pattern which has been proposed by Young *et al.* (1989). Values represent MIT requirements as a percentage of FAO values.

acid requirements (Young *et al.* 1989) as a percentage of the FAO/WHO/UNU (1985; FAO) requirements there is an increase of 181% in the requirement for the essential amino acids, varying on an individual average from 100% for methionine to 324% for phenylalanine. Therefore, since the data from classical balance studies do not conform to the data from the newer isotope studies, it is important to question the extent to which the assumptions on which the different approaches are based are sustainable. For the FAO pattern of essential amino acids, the intake for a 75 kg man would be equivalent to
Table 1. Leucine kinetics were measured with $[^{13}C]$leucine in men taking crystalline amino acids, equivalent to 78 g protein/d, based on either the Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University (1985; FAO) or the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Young et al. 1989; MIT) pattern of essential amino acids and urea kinetics in adult men measured over 24 h on a diet which provides 188 kJ (45 kcal)/kg per d and 1 g protein/kg per d, with leucine comprising 80 mg/kg per d in the diet and 9.4 mg/kg per d in the infusion (El-Khouri et al. 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leucine intake (mg/kg per d)</th>
<th>Leucine oxidation (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Leucine balance (mg/kg per d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed, 12 h</td>
<td>Fasted, 12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent appearance of leucine-N 0.66–1.43 mg N/kg per d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urea (mg N/kg per d)</th>
<th>Salvage relative to production (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>Excretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated for low-protein diet based on data of Langran et al. (1992)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.984 g N/d, whereas for the MIT pattern it would be 1.78 g N/d, a difference of 0.796 g N/d (equivalent to 5 g protein; Marchini et al. 1993). Table 1 shows that if leucine kinetics are compared at 14 mg/kg per d (FAO requirement) and 38.3 mg/kg per d (MIT requirement) in adult men taking the equivalent of 78 g protein as amino acids/d, the oxidation of leucine exceeds the intake on both diets, but the difference is larger for the FAO pattern (−13.4 mg/kg per d) than for the MIT pattern (−6.2 mg/kg per d; Marchini et al. 1993). If the subjects in these studies were in N equilibrium, this would represent an apparent appearance of leucine-N of 1.43 and 0.66 mg N/kg per d respectively.

Urea kinetics have been measured in similar subjects on a diet which provided 1 g protein/kg per d and 188 kJ (45 kcal)/kg per d and contained leucine at 80 mg/kg per d and an infusion of a further 9.4 mg/kg (El-Khouri et al. 1994). In common with other studies they found that over a 24 h period 25% of the urea-N produced was salvaged, which was about 2.6 g N/d for a 75 kg man. In our experience, a conservative estimate would suggest that at least half this N would be retained within the metabolic pool, 1.3 g N/d (Jackson et al. 1993). On a diet which provides only 35 g protein/d (about 0.5 g protein/kg per d), urea production would be expected to fall by about 15%, and salvage would increase to 66% of production (Langran et al. 1992). On the assumption that half the salvaged N was incorporated as metabolic N, the salvaged urea-N would provide 3.2 g N/d for a 75 kg man.

The justification for presuming that this N might be available as bacterially-derived
essential amino acids is presented. If the assumption is made that leucine comprises 5% of the residues in bacterial protein it can be calculated that the provision of bacterially-derived leucine would be about 8 or 20 mg/kg per d for diets which provided 75 or 35 g protein/d respectively. These values are well within the differences obtained for overall leucine balance obtained in the study where either the FAO or the MIT patterns of leucine intake were determined (Marchini et al. 1993). Therefore, the question of whether it can be assumed that there is no endogenous formation of the essential amino acids becomes of very great importance. Further, the data presented by El-Khoury et al. (1994) show that the rate of leucine oxidation changes relatively little between the fed and the fasted states and, as would be expected therefore, the rate of urea formation also changes little between the fed and fasted states. In marked contrast, the rate of urea excretion is substantially greater in the fed state than that in the fasted state. Thus, there is evidence for a diurnal cycle of urea salvage, being virtually zero during the fed state with 50% of production found during the fasted state, appropriately meeting the demand for leucine in the face of sporadic dietary intake. The wider implications of these observations for validity of the model which many have used for determining protein kinetics are considerable. Clearly if the de novo formation of essential amino acids does take place, then it cannot be assumed that the only inflows to the leucine pool are from the dietary intake and protein degradation. Under these circumstances it is not possible to derive a reliable value for protein degradation.

Evidence for bacterial essential amino acids

It is well established in ruminant nutrition that the metabolic activity of the microflora of the foregut plays a critical role in the conversion of simple sources of N to amino acids which are directly available to the host (Briggs, 1967). The extent to which a similar relationship might exist for hindgut fermentation in all species has been less clear. Part of the reason for the lack of clarity has been the physical inaccessibility in practice of the hepatic portal vein and the caecum and proximal colon, where much of the fermentative activity is thought to take place. Also, in the studies which have been carried out, the work has often been at the limits of the available technology. Furthermore, animal experiments have always been a poor substitute for human investigation in this area. In small rodents bacterial protein will present itself for digestion and absorption through refection and in larger animals, such as the pig, the florid microflora in the small bowel makes interpretation difficult.

Human studies are dependent on the use of isotopic tracers and the first direct evidence of incorporation of urea-N into amino acids was reported by Giordano et al. (1968). They fed $^{15}$N urea for 4–6 d to one normal subject and to patients with renal failure on diets which provided as little as 1.68 g N/d. The relative enrichment of $^{15}$N from urea into amino acids was measured in amino acids isolated from plasma albumin at 6 d. Variable amounts of label were recovered in both the non-essential and the essential amino acids (Fig. 5). Although the levels of enrichment were much greater in the transaminating non-essential amino acids (e.g. glutamate, alanine), significant recovery was obtained in the essential amino acids (e.g. leucine, lysine). For both groups of amino acids the enrichment was increased about threefold on a low protein intake, and this effect was substantially reduced after antibiotics had been taken to eradicate the colonic bacteria. In the discussion of the paper, comment is made that following the adminis-
Following oral $[^{15}N^{15}N]$urea for 6 d, the enrichment in amino acids isolated from plasma albumin was determined for a normal subject and for renal patients on a low-protein diet, with and without antibiotics to sterilize the colon. (From Giordano et al. 1969).

In a repeat of the earlier studies by Kies & Fox (1977) it has been found that the addition of large amounts of urea-N, 6.4 g, to a diet which provides 4.8 g protein-N/d, enhances the salvage of urea-N and reduces the negative N balance towards equilibrium (Meakins & Jackson, 1995). We have recently found that in children who have been severely malnourished, N from labelled urea can be recovered in urinary lysine in amounts that are probably of functional significance (Fig. 6).

Together, the data make it quite clear that qualitatively there is formation of essential as well as non-essential amino acids by the colonic microflora, which are available to the host. The only uncertainty is whether the amounts are of functional relevance. The evidence would suggest that the endogenous formation of essential amino acids might amount to about one to two times Rose’s (1957) minimum requirements for essential amino acids, under suitable circumstances, but it is still early to be conclusive on this point.

Therefore, the data in the literature are coherent and the contradictions are more
Fig. 6. In a child who had been treated for severe malnutrition, the enrichment of lysine isolated from urine was measured, following prime and intermittent oral doses of $[^{15}\text{N}\text{N}]$urea. An oral priming dose of isotope was given to reduce the time taken to achieve an isotopic plateau in urea in the urine. This was followed by hourly intermittent oral doses of isotope. Points are means with their standard errors represented by vertical bars for four analyses. (From D. J. Millward, T. E. Forrester & A. A. Jackson, unpublished results).

apparent than real if one allows that the assumptions on which the models have been based are open to modification. Of particular importance in this respect are:

1. the incorrect assumption of ready and free movement of N by transamination,
2. the incorrect assumption that the salvage of urea-N has no functional relevance,
3. the incorrect assumption that essential amino acids cannot be formed endogenously,
4. the failure to differentiate adequately amongst protein, amino acids and N in the diet and intermediary metabolism.

PROPOSED OUTLINE FOR CONSIDERING PROTEIN, AMINO ACID AND NITROGEN REQUIREMENTS

I would propose, therefore, a general characterization of requirements which does not presume anything beyond what can be identified with confidence.

The physiological requirement for an amino acid is determined by the functional metabolic demand to satisfy the needs for protein synthesis and other metabolic pathways. Hence, the physiological or metabolic requirement is the sum total of the amino acids needed to satisfy this demand. The demand is determined by the overall metabolic and physiological state of the individual at that point in time. The physiological requirement may be satisfied either from endogenous formation, or from the diet and:

\[
\text{physiological requirement} = \text{endogenous formation} + \text{dietary requirement}.\]
The dietary requirement for an amino acid is determined by the extent to which endogenous formation of the amino acid satisfies the physiological requirement.

The dietary requirement for protein is the amount of protein which will satisfy the dietary requirement for the first limiting amino acid.

The requirement for N is the effective availability of N for a given protein intake to enable the endogenous formation of amino acids to satisfy the physiological requirement. This will include all forms of N in the diet and that N available through the salvage of urea-N.

The extent to which any particular amino acid may be formed endogenously is not known. However, by convention it has come to be accepted that the essential amino acids are either not formed endogenously, or only to a very limited extent, whilst the non-essential amino acids are readily formed endogenously with a substantial reserve capacity. Increasingly it appears likely that there may be some capacity to form essential amino acids endogenously, and that there is an upper limit to the capacity to form non-essential amino acids endogenously. Therefore, there is the need to explore the limits of endogenous formation and the conditions under which these might vary for all amino acids and to relate this to the changing metabolic demand encountered as the organism moves from one state to another.

OUTSTANDING ISSUES

There are a number of outstanding issues which will need more detailed consideration when sufficient evidence is available. First, the extent to which there is a metabolic benefit associated with the oxidation of amino acids is not clear. This issue may be related to the anabolic drive described by Millward (1992). Second, there are situations, such as in the fetus, where amino acid oxidation appears to be central to energy homeostasis (Jackson, 1994), and the extent to which amino acids might be required to provide gluconeogenic substrate is not clear. Third, the quantitative roles of amino acids in pathways such as C1 transfer, S metabolism, choline and carnitine metabolism need to be determined. Finally, and perhaps of fundamental importance, is the need to measure the limit for the de novo formation of each amino acid under standard conditions.
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