CORRESPONDENCE

To the Editor, The Mathematical Gazette

DEAR SIR,

C. P. Willans in his article "On Formulae for the Nth Prime Number" does indeed produce such a formula. The results do not, however, appear to solve any prime number problems. His formula is:

$$p_n = 2 + \sum_{m=2}^{2^n} C_n \{\pi(m)\}$$

where $C_n(a) = 1$ for a < n; $C_n(a) = 0$ for $a \ge n$. Now by definition of $\pi(m)$ as the number of primes $\le m$,

$$\pi(m) \stackrel{\geq}{=} n \quad \text{for} \quad m \stackrel{\geq}{=} p_n$$

and hence

$$C_n\{\pi(m)\} = 0$$
 for $m \ge p_n$
= 1 for $m < p_n$

Thus Willans' formula reduces to:

$$p_n = 2 + \sum_{m=2}^{p_n-1} 1 = 2 + (p_n - 1) - 1 = p_n$$

Yours faithfully, T. B. M. NEILL and M. SINGER

Engineering Department, Research Station, Brook Road, Dollis Hill, London, N.W. 2

To the Editor of The Mathematical Gazette

DEAR SIR,

If one does want to investigate d^2y/dx^2 in an example such as that given in Note 119, The Mathematical Gazette, December 1964, p. 426, it is surely quicker to multiply by $(x-2)^2$ first. Differentiating

$$(x-2)^2y = x^3 - 3x + 2$$

twice: (ignoring the dy/dx term which will be zero for the points under consideration)

$$(x-2)^2 \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + 2y = 6x.$$

Thus d^2y/dx^2 has the same sign as 6x - 2y.

Yours faithfully, A. P. HAYNES

Ellerslie, 33 Oak Avenue, Ickenham, Middlesex