Rumen protozoa and methanogenesis: not a simple cause–effect relationship
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Abstract
Understanding the interactions between hydrogen producers and consumers in the rumen ecosystem is important for ruminant production and methane mitigation. The present study explored the relationships between rumen protozoa, methanogens and fermentation characteristics. A total of six donor sheep harbouring (F, faunated) or not (D, defaunated) protozoa in their rumens (D animals were kept without protozoa for a period of a few months (D−) or for more than 2 years (D+)) were used in in vitro and in vivo experiments. In vitro the absence of protozoa decreased NH3 and butyrate production and had no effect on methane. In contrast, the liquid-associated bacterial and methanogens fraction of D+ inocula produced more methane than D− and F inoculum (P<0.05). In vivo fermentation parameters of donor animals showed the same trend on NH3 and butyrate and showed that D+ animals were high methane emitters, while D− were the lowest (−55%). The concentration of dissolved dihydrogen measured after feeding followed the opposite trend. Methane emissions did not correlate with the relative abundance of methanogens in the rumen measured by quantitative PCR, but there was a trend for higher methanogens concentration in the solid-associated population of D+ animals compared with D− animals. In contrast, PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis profiles of methanogens’ methyl coenzyme-M reductase A gene showed a clear clustering in liquid-associated fractions for all three groups of donors but fewer differences in solid-associated fractions. These results show that the absence of protozoa may affect differently the methanogen community and methane emissions in wethers.
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The capacity of domestic ruminants to feed on plant resources unsuitable for human consumption is a valuable asset within the current, sensitive context of increased world population, food insecurity and scarcity of resources. Notwithstanding, ruminant production has a large environmental impact with worldwide implications11. A significant share of ruminants’ environmental footprint is caused by enteric methane that represents about 25% of the annual anthropogenic methane emitted into the atmosphere22. Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas associated with human activity. It has a 100-year global warming potential that is twenty-five times greater than the equivalent amount of CO23; a figure that can increase to 20–40% if the direct and indirect effects of aerosols are included40.

Enteric methane is an end product of the anaerobic microbial fermentation of feeds generated within the gastrointestinal tract, particularly in the rumen, of ruminants. As a consequence, modulation of the rumen microbiota is a logical target that is being explored for reducing the emissions of methane by ruminants. For protozoa, a compilation of the available literature showed a relationship between methane production and the concentration of this group of micro-organisms in the rumen59. Protozoa are important H2 producers that play a key role in the interspecies hydrogen transfer and methane production within the rumen microbial ecosystem. Protozoa are ubiquitous, yet non-essential denizens of the rumen and their elimination, also termed defaunation, has been suggested as a way to mitigate methane emissions66,77. The decrease in methane production in the absence of protozoa was observed both in vitro and in vivo. The average reduction is about 12%56,77. However, in many trials, no effect was reported68–10. The reasons for these dissimilar results are not well known. The length of the defaunation could have an influence, as methanogens have been reported to take a longer time than bacteria to adapt to changes in the rumen environment11. In addition, defaunation induces shifts in other microbial groups, including cellulolytic bacteria that might also alter rumen fermentation.

Abbreviations: D, defaunated; D−, medium-term defaunation (6–12 weeks); D+, long-term defaunation (more than 2 years); D− cent, inocula obtained from centrifugation of rumen fluids of defaunated animals (6–12 weeks); D+ cent, inocula obtained from centrifugation of rumen fluids from defaunated animals (more than 2 years); DGGE, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; DMD, DM degradation; F, faunated; Fcent, inocula obtained from centrifugation of rumen fluids from faunated animals; VFA, volatile fatty acid.
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and methanogenesis\(^{(12–15)}\). Another aspect to be considered is the concentration of dissolved \(H_2\) in rumen fluid, a key driver influencing the methanogenesis and fermentation pathways in the rumen\(^{(16)}\). If the role of the protozoa as stimulators of methane production is mainly related to their \(H_2\)-generating metabolism, then the lack of reduction in methane emissions observed in some cases in their absence could be due to increased activity of other rumen microbes that are also producers of \(H_2\). To better understand some of these mechanisms, in the present study, we examined the differences in both the fermentation characteristics and rumen methanogens in the presence and absence of protozoa. To this end, we used a combined \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} approach using conventional and defaunated wethers that were kept without protozoa for a short (<3 months) or a long (more than 2 years) period of time.

**Experimental methods**

The experiment was conducted at the animal experimental facilities of the INRA's Herbivores Research Unit (St-Génès Champannelle, France). Procedures with animals were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for animal research of the French Ministry of Agriculture and applicable European guidelines and regulations for experimentation with animals (http://www2.vet-lyon.fr/ens/expa/acc_regl.html). The defaunation procedure was approved by the Auvergne regional ethics committee for animal experimentation (approval no. CE 20-08).

A total of six Texel wethers from the same genetic stock and fitted with rumen cannulae were used as donors of rumen fluid. Of the six animals, two had a regular, mixed protozoa population (faunated, F), while the other four animals had previously been defaunated by rumen emptying and washing following the method of Jouany & Senaud\(^{(17)}\). At the time of experimentation, two of these animals had been kept defaunated for 6–12 weeks (medium-term defaunation, D\(_{-}\)), while the other two had been kept in that state for more than 2 years (long-term defaunation, D\(_{+}\)). Wethers were fed a maintenance diet consisting of 700 g alfalfa pellet, 300 g cracked maize grain and 200 g prairie hay. Feeds were given twice daily at 08.00 and 16.00 hours, and access to water and mineral salt block supplement was unrestricted.

**Methane production and rumen fermentation \textit{in vitro}**

A first \textit{in vitro} experiment was set up to assess the differences in fermentation and methane-producing capacity of rumen fluids originated from faunated wethers and wethers that had been kept defaunated for short or long periods of time. Whole rumen contents were collected before the morning feeding and strained through a polyester monofilament fabric (250 \(\mu\)m mesh aperture) to remove solids. Inocula for each treatment group were obtained by mixing equal parts of rumen fluid from both animals, and 10 ml were immediately inoculated into 120 ml vials containing 30 ml of an anaerobic buffer solution\(^{(18)}\) kept at 39°C and 300 mg of ground (1 mm sieve) alfalfa hay (470 g neutral-detergent fibre, 156 g crude protein/kg DM) or maize grain (171 g neutral-detergent fibre, 78 g crude protein/kg DM) as substrates. The vials were incubated anaerobically at 39°C for up to 24 h. The vials without substrate were used as controls. At the end of the incubation period, gas production was measured with the aid of a pressure transducer and samples were collected for analysis of constituents by GC. The vials were centrifuged; the supernatants were processed for the analysis of soluble fermentation products and pellets were used for the estimation of DM degradation (DMD). For volatile fatty acids (VFA) and \(NH_3\) determination, 2 ml supernatant was mixed with 0·2 ml 5% (v/v) metaphosphoric acid in duplicate tubes and stored at \(-20^\circ C\) until analysis. The pellets were dried at 60°C for 48 h for DMD. The experiment was repeated twice, and within each experiment every treatment was assayed in triplicate.

In a second \textit{in vitro} experiment, the effect of time after defaunation on methane production was further assayed by incorporating an ‘on-the-spot’ defaunation treatment. A treatment was done by low-speed centrifugation (500 g for 5 min) of the faunated rumen fluid to get supernatants free of protozoa, which were then used as inocula (\(F_{\text{centr}}\)). This technique allowed testing of only the effect of liquid-associated microbes on fermentation patterns, especially on methane production. Rumen fluids from defaunated animals were also centrifuged, and the supernatants (D\(_{-}\)-centr and D\(_{+}\)-centr) were used in the same way to compare their fermentation activities. Fermentations and measurements were carried out as described previously for the first experiment. All treatments were assayed in triplicate within an experiment, and the experimental design was repeated twice.

**Methane production and rumen fermentation \textit{in vivo}**

\textit{In vivo} methane production was measured in the same six animals used as donors for the \textit{in vitro} experiments. The time elapsed between the \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} measurements was 6 weeks.

Methane production was determined during a 4-d period using the sulphur hexafluoride tracer technique\(^{(19)}\) as described by Martin \textit{et al.}\(^{(20)}\). A calibrated permeation tube was introduced into the rumen of each sheep through the rumen cannulae 2 weeks before the initiation of sampling. The expected useful life, which is the length of time when sulphur hexafluoride release is constant, i.e. zero-order release of each permeation tubes, was calculated based on the diffusion rates of sulphur hexafluoride (1047 (SD 241) ng/min). As a precaution, only tubes that had a calculated useful life that was at least 8 weeks longer than the expected end of the experiment were used.

In addition to methane, rumen content samples were taken during two consecutive days 3 h after the morning feeding. Whole rumen contents were strained through a polyester monofilament fabric (250 \(\mu\)m mesh aperture), and the liquid filtrate was used for pH, redox potential (\(Eh\)) and dissolved \(H_2\) measurements, which were done immediately after collection. Samples for VFA and \(NH_3\) were taken and stored as described for the \textit{in vitro} experiments.
Archaeal community profile and sequencing

The archaeal community present in faunated and defaunated wethers was characterised using quantitative PCR and PCRDENaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). The rumen samples were taken 3 h after the morning feeding, and the whole rumen contents were strained through a polyester monofilament fabric (250 µm mesh aperture). Of the liquid filtrate, 1 ml was transferred to 1·5 ml microtubes and stored at −80°C until processing. The solid retentate was washed twice with sterile, ice-cold 0·1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6·8, and stored in tubes at −80°C until processing.

Total DNA was extracted using the UltraClean Fecal DNA Kit from MoBio laboratories, Inc. (Solana Beach, CA, USA). The rumen liquid samples were thawed and centrifuged at 15 000g, for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatants (750 µl) were decanted, and the pellets were processed following the kit manufacturer’s protocol. Solid samples, approximately 300 mg, were kept frozen until the addition of guanidine isothiocyanate solution (Bead solution). DNA quantification was done by spectrophotometry.

Quantitative PCR for methanogens was carried out using primers targeting the methyl coenzyme-M reductase A (mcrA) gene of the methanogenesis pathway as described by Denman et al. (21) and the total bacteria were quantified using primers targeting the rrs gene (22,23). The assays were run in triplicate using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (Lonza, Levallois-Perret, France) on a StepOnePlus system (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France). Negative controls without a DNA template were run with every assay to assess overall specificity. The abundance of methanogens was calculated relative to the abundance of total bacteria as the reference gene using the comparative Ct method (2−ΔCt). The PCR amplification efficiency was checked as described previously (21) using standard curves, 109–1010 copies prepared from mcrA or rrs DNA fragments amplified from the genomic DNA of Methanobrevibacter smithii DSM861 and bacterial species, respectively. The slope and efficiency for mcrA and rrs primers were −3·534 and 91·8 % and −3·583 and 90·1 %, respectively. R2 in both cases was higher than 0·99. PCR efficiency with DNA samples was confirmed to be similar to that obtained with the standard curves.

For PCR-DGGE, the mcrA gene was also targeted using the following primers: forward, 5′-GGTTGGTGTGGAATTCGACATRTYGCWACAGC-3′ and reverse, 5′-ITCATTGCRTAGTTWG-CARTAGTT-3′. The forward primer had a 40 bp GC clamp added at its 5′-end (24). The PCR mixture (50 µl) contained 1× PCR buffer (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden Germany), 4·5 mM-MgCl2, 0·25 µM of each primer, 200 µM of each dNTP, 2·5 U HotStar Taq polymerase (Qiagen GmbH) and 50 ng extracted DNA. The PCR conditions were those used by Luton et al. (20) with a five-cycle slow ramp protocol to allow the extension of mismatched primers, except for the initial denaturation and final extension steps. The initial hot start denaturation was done for 15 min at 95°C, the final extension step at 72°C was done for 30 min to eliminate artifactual double bands (20).

DGGE was performed on an 8 % (w/v) polyacrylamide gel in 0·5× Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer with a denaturant gradient of 20–55 % (100 % denaturant is 7 M-urea and 40 % (v/v) formamide). Electrophoresis was done for 5 h at 200V at 60°C. The gels were silver stained using a commercial kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), and the images were acquired using an optical density calibrated scanner (ImageScanner, GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) at a spatial resolution of 400 dpi. The images were analysed using GelCompar II version 4.0 package (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). GelCompar II was used to normalise and compare all the DGGE patterns using hierarchical clustering to join similar patterns into groups (25). To this end, all the images of DGGE gels were matched using the internal control sample, and the bands were quantified after a local background subtraction. A tolerance in the band position of 1% was applied. The similarity among patterns was calculated with the Pearson product–moment correlation coefficient, recommended for the analysis of this type of profiles (30), and the clustering was done using the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic averages. Selected bands from DGGE gels were excised using disposable pipette tips, suspended in 20 µl of sterile distilled water and stored overnight at 4°C. Eluted DNA was re-amplified using the same primers and run on a DGGE gel to check for the presence and purity of the desired band. In the case that more than one band was observed in the re-amplified product, the process was repeated until a single band was present. PCR products were cloned into Escherichia coli TOP10 Chemically Competent cell using a pCR®4-TOPO® vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA from randomly picked clones was amplified using the same primers and PCR conditions as described earlier. PCR products were purified with QIAquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen GmbH) and sequenced. The absence of chimeras in the sequences was checked using the program CHECK-CHEMERA (31). The sequences were subjected to blast searches (BLAST, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST).

Analytical procedures

The presence of protozoa and their numbers were checked regularly throughout the length of the experimental period. Strained rumen fluid samples were mixed with a methyl green formalin solution (32) in a 1:1 ratio and stored at room temperature in the dark until used for protozoal counting. Samples were diluted in a methyl green-formalin solution if necessary, and enumeration was done using a Jenson counting chamber.

VFA were analysed by GC using a wall-coated open-tubular fused-silica column (0·25 mm inner diameter × 25 m) coated with crude protein-wax 58 (FFAP)-CB (Varian BV, Middelburg, The Netherlands) (33). NH3 was measured by colorimetry following the method of Weatherburn (34) and using a Technicon autoanalyser II system as described by Davies & Taylor (35). Fermentation gases were analysed by GC as described (36). Individual gas molar concentration was calibrated using a certified standard (relative accuracy of 2%, Alphazag no. 07.3562/00). Dissolved H2 in the rumen was extracted as described by Robinson et al. (37) and analysed as described previously.

Data were statistically analysed by one-way ANOVA using the Statistical Analysis System software package, version 9.
(SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). For in vitro experiments, the MIXED procedures were used. The fermentation variables were analysed with a model that included protozoal populations as a fixed effect and experimental run (replication in time) as a random effect. Differences among means were tested using the PDiff option. In vitro data were analysed using a contrast statement to compare faunated v. defaunated and medium- v. long-term defaunation. Significance was declared at the 5% probability level, and trends were discussed at the 10 and 15% probability level for the in vitro and in vivo data, respectively.

Results

Experiments were performed to evaluate the changes induced by the absence of protozoa on rumen fermentation characteristics and rumen methanogens. Measures were taken in vitro and in vivo using wethers with (conventional) or without protozoa (defaunated) as part of the rumen microbiota. The defaunated donor animals remained free of protozoa throughout the sampling period. Faunated donor animals had a mean population of 3.8 x 10^6/ml composed of 84 and 11% small and large (>100 μm) entodiniomorphs, respectively, and 1-2% Isotricha and 29% Dasytricha.

In vitro experiments

In the first experiment, the fermentation characteristics of the rumen fluid from faunated animals (F) were compared with the rumen fluid from long-term (D+) and medium-term defaunated animals (D−; Table 1). Differences observed among inocula depended on the nature of the substrate that was fermented. However, methane production was the same for all inocula independently of the substrate. Alfalfa hay, rich in structural carbohydrates, had logically a higher acetate: propionate ratio and decreased that of acetate among inocula depended on the nature of the substrate that was fermented. However, methane production was the same for all inocula independently of the substrate. Alfalfa hay, rich in structural carbohydrates, had logically a higher acetate: propionate ratio and lower DMD than the maize grain substrate. D+ and F inocula had similar degrading capabilities, whereas D− was the least active inoculum. The absence of protozoa was associated with an increase in the proportion of acetate (P<0.05) and a decrease in butyrate (P<0.01) and branched VFA (P<0.05). Both defaunated inocula also presented a lower production of NH3 than faunated inoculum (P<0.05), which together with the lower production of branched VFA indicates a decrease in the amount of protein degraded.

The starch-containing maize grain stimulated, as expected, the production of propionate and decreased that of acetate in all inocula. For this substrate, there were no differences between D+ and F inocula in DMD or other indicators of the fermentation process except for a lower production of gas and a higher proportion of branched VFA (P<0.05) in the presence of protozoa. Similarly to alfalfa hay, the D− inoculum was less capable of degrading the maize grain substrate compared with D+ and F inocula. In contrast, total VFA production did not differ from other treatments (P>0.10). The percentage of propionate and NH3 production was also low in D− inoculum compared with D+ and F inocula, while acetate increased proportionally (P<0.05).

Table 1. Fermentation characteristics of rumen fluid from faunated and defaunated wethers incubated with alfalfa hay or maize grain substrates (Expt 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Inocula</th>
<th>Gas (mmol/vial)</th>
<th>Total VFA (mmol/100 mol)</th>
<th>Acetate (mol/100 mol)</th>
<th>Propionate (mol/100 mol)</th>
<th>Butyrate (mol/100 mol)</th>
<th>NH3 (mg/l)</th>
<th>A:P ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>Faunated</td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defaunated</td>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize grain</td>
<td>Faunated</td>
<td>152.2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defaunated</td>
<td>152.2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMD, DM degradation; A, acetate; P, propionate. Values are the means of six replicates obtained from two experimental runs.
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Table 2. Fermentation characteristics of liquid-associated rumen microbes from faunated and defaunated wethers incubated with alfalfa hay or maize grain substrates (Expt 2) (Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inocula</th>
<th>DMD (%)</th>
<th>Methane (μmol/vial)</th>
<th>Gas (mol/vial)</th>
<th>Volatile fatty acids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100 mg DMD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1353</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>1512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay substrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunated*</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaunated short-term</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaunated long-term</td>
<td>47.9†</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>284†</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled SEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize grain substrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunated</td>
<td>58.3 a</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaunated short-term</td>
<td>43.5 b</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaunated long-term</td>
<td>60.8 b</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled SEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMD, DM degradation; A, acetate; P, propionate.

* Faunated rumen fluid was cleared of protozoa by centrifugation (500 g, 5 min). Inocula from defaunated wethers were treated in the same way. Donor animals and replications were as in Table 1.

† Trend for mean values within a column to be significantly different between faunated short- and long-term animals (P<0.05).

Fermentation and methane production in vitro

The recovery of hydrogen was estimated from the amount of hydrogen for this experiment was about 90 and 105 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates, respectively, without centrifugation. However, the decrement was not as marked and in the particular case of methane no effect was observed. These results indicate that the methanogenic activity decreased DMD and total VFA production in D-centr compared with the results shown in Table 1 for the experiment performed in parallel with the results shown in Table 1 for the experiment performed in parallel with Table 2. The recovery of hydrogen was estimated from the amount of hydrogen for this experiment was about 90 and 105 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates, respectively, without centrifugation. It was about 88 and 97 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates (data not shown), respectively, without centrifugation to compare the activity of the following inocula. In this experiment, methane production increased with time passed since the defaunation was performed (P<0.05) between D-centr and F-centr (Table 2). The other main difference observed in the fermentation characteristics of these inocula was that there was a shift towards more production of acetate at the expense of propionate with increasing defaunation lengths, which resulted in higher acetate:propionate ratios and performed less well than the others without any apparent reason, as donor animals ate the same amount of feed and were clinically no different from other donors. The recovery of hydrogen was estimated from the amount of hydrogen for this experiment was about 90 and 105 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates, respectively (data not shown). D-centr rumen fluid always had the lowest recovery (<0.05) between D-centr and F-centr. The recovery of hydrogen was estimated from the amount of hydrogen for this experiment was about 90 and 105 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates, respectively (data not shown). D-centr and F-centr (<0.05). The differences in acetate were significant (P<0.05, Table 2). The recovery of hydrogen was estimated from the amount of hydrogen for this experiment was about 90 and 105 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates, respectively (data not shown). D-centr rumen fluid always had the lowest recovery (<0.05) between D-centr and F-centr. The recovery of hydrogen was estimated from the amount of hydrogen for this experiment was about 90 and 105 % for alfalfa hay and maize grain substrates, respectively (data not shown).
wethers (P<0.05). The lower fermentation activities in D− animals were also in accordance with the in vitro data. The daily production of methane in the three groups was quite contrasting, with the lowest emissions for D−, about 35% less than F, while no decrease was observed for D+ animals compared with F animals. In particular, one D+ animal had high methane emissions. The measures of dissolved H₂ in the rumen showed a tendency for greater concentrations for D− wethers as opposed to F and D+ wethers (Table 3; contrast D− v. D+, P=0.07).

Archaeal community

The relative proportion of methanogens was higher in the solid-associated microbiota than in the liquid-associated microbiota (P<0.05) independently of the treatment. For the liquid phase, F animals had a higher proportion of methanogens than D animals, although no statistical trends were evidenced. For the solid fraction, the proportion was higher for D+, followed by F animals, and a lower proportion was observed in D− animals (P=0.102; Table 4).

The profiles of the methanogenic community obtained by PCR-DGGE and analysed by clustering of the whole densitometric curves revealed that D+ samples from the liquid phase were distinctly separated at the first node from the rest (Fig. 1). D− and F samples from the liquid phase also were placed in an independent cluster. In contrast, samples from the solid phase were grouped together, and the differences between the treatments were minor. A few bands were excised from the gels and sequenced to check whether the mcrA gene was correctly amplified. All retrieved bands belonged to the target gene with a sequence similarity that ranged from 85 to 93% with the closest cultured archaeal species. These were from the genus *Methanobrevibacter* represented by *Mbb. millerae*, *ruminantium* and *gottschalkii*; *Methanosphaera stadtmanae* from the Methanobacteriaceae family and a clone distantly related to *Methanolinea tarda*; a newly described archaeon from the order Methanomicrobiales that was isolated from a sludge digestor(39).

Discussion

The anti-methanogenic effects of many feed additives and dietary treatments have been directly or indirectly associated with their negative effect against protozoa. Some examples of these are supplements rich in medium-chain fatty acids, PUFA, saponins or the feed additive ionophore monensin(40–44). Although the absence of protozoa from the rumen (fauna-free or defaunated animals) normally decreases methane emissions(5,7), the reasons why in some other cases this effect was not observed are not well understood.

In the present in vitro experiments, changes in the molar proportion of VFA and NH₃ observed in defaunated treatments, in particular for D− are in accordance with the literature(12,45). Defaunation is also associated with a decreased degradability of feeds in the rumen(12) as was the case for the D− inoculum. In contrast, the absence of protozoa did not reduce in vitro methane production as

### Table 3. In vivo fermentation characteristics of faunated and defaunated wethers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Faunated</th>
<th>Defaunated short-term</th>
<th>Defaunated long-term</th>
<th>Pooled SEM</th>
<th>Faunated v. defaunated</th>
<th>Defaunated short-term v. long-term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh</td>
<td>-181</td>
<td>-199</td>
<td>-160</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA (mol/100 mol)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoacids</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha:Propionate</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃ (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane (litres/d)</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ (mmol/l)</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (mmol/l)</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eh, redox potential; A, acetate; P, propionate.

* Contrast.
Table 4. Relative abundance of methanogens in the liquid and solid rumen phases of faunated and defaunated wethers used as donors of rumen fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Liquid phase</th>
<th>Solid phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faunated</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaunated short-term</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaunated long-term</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled SEM</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Relative quantification assay using methanogens’ methyl coenzyme-M reductase A gene and total bacteria 16S gene for normalisation
† Treatment effect.

hypothesised from the previous in vivo results using similar conditions. In the second in vitro experiment, rumen fluid inocula were centrifuged at low speed to eliminate protozoa in conventional (F) wethers in order to compare the effect of an ‘on-the-spot’ defaunation against the medium- and long-term defaunation treatments, in which the microbiota had the time to adapt to the absence of protozoa. The process also eliminated small feed particles and their associated microbes, non-retained by the 250 μm mesh membrane that was used to separate the solid from the liquid rumen phase. Centrifugation reduced degradation of the substrate and production of VFA for all inocula. For the alfalfa hay substrate, the decreases were between 6 and 8.5%, and for the starch-rich maize grain substrate, the decreases were up to 32.5%, with D− being the most affected. This level of reduction is in agreement with the literature. Compared with non-centrifugated inocula (Table 1) and particularly with hay as substrate, methane production decreased as expected as a consequence of the lower fermentation activity (D− centr and F centr) and the absence of protozoa (F centr). However, methane production was not affected in D+ centr. This could be due to the absence of protozoa for a longer period of time in D+ donors provoking changes in the planktonic microbial community that was more methanogenic than those of D− and F wethers.

In vivo measures allowed to make the association between fermentation characteristics and methane production observed in vitro to the actual rumen fermentation profiles and methane emissions for individual wethers. As stated previously in the results section, the number of animals used in vivo was not large enough to draw definitive conclusions, and the data should be regarded as complementary and enriching the interpretation of the totality of the results.

In accordance with the in vitro incubations of non-fractioned rumen fluid (Table 1), D+ wethers emitted a volume of methane that was similar to that of F animals. In contrast, the low volume of methane emitted by D− wethers was better associated with in vitro incubations performed with centrifuged rumen fluid (Table 2). Methane emission values were similar to those reported previously in our laboratory using the same sheep genotype and the same diet composition. In that study, the methane emission gap between faunated and defaunated animals was smaller, but the differences were significant as the design was different: five wethers went successively through defaunated, faunated and defaunated periods. The objective of the present study was different, and for practical reasons we were constrained to using a fewer number of animals meaning that the observed differences did not reach significant levels, i.e., *P* = 0.17 for contrast comparisons between D+ and D−.

Dissolved H2 in rumen liquid was the variable that negatively associated best with methane emissions, while other rumen parameters measured were in agreement with the differences expected between faunated and defaunated animals. The concentration of H2 found in the rumen liquid after feeding were similar to those reported by Robinson et al. using the same extraction method, but they were higher than the value of 1 μM usually cited by other authors that was originally reported by Hungate. However, the concentrations found were not as high as to inhibit microbial hydrogenase activity or to shift VFA...
production into the more reduced propionate and butyrate products at the expense of acetate\(^{50,51}\). In the present study, the relationship between \(\text{H}_2\) concentration and methane was negative, which is in contrast to the data published by Hungate\(^{49}\) but partially agrees with a recent proposed model, in which a high concentration of dissolved \(\text{H}_2\) would result in less production of methane\(^{52}\). In contrast to that model, propionate production (a pathway that would be thermodynamically favoured with high \(\text{H}_2\) concentration) did not increase. The heterogeneity of the rumen environment could be a possible reason for the absence of variation in VFA proportions\(^{52}\). Higher \(\text{H}_2\) concentration coupled with lower methane production might suggest a lower efficiency to utilise \(\text{H}_2\) by the microbial community of D− animals compared with F and D+ animals.

Taken together, the \textit{in vitro} results are in agreement with the \textit{in vivo} observations made on rumen contents of donors. The high methane-producing activity of D+ was not initially expected based on previously published information from our laboratory\(^{46}\). Methanogens were analysed by quantitative PCR and PCR-DGGE to appraise whether differences in methane production were correlated with the structure of the community. The proportion of methanogens relative to total bacteria was more evenly distributed between the liquid and solid rumen content phases in faunated wethers, while defaunated wethers had a lower proportion of methanogens associated with the liquid phase. This could be explained because protozoa are mainly associated with this fraction. Intracellular methanogens can represent as much as 1% of the protozoal biomass\(^{52}\) and up to 20% of rumen methanogens can be found attached to protozoa\(^{53}\). Analysis of the dissociation curves following quantitative PCR amplification revealed two peaks approximately at 81.5 and 87°C as those described by Denman \textit{et al.}\(^{21}\) for steers in Australia. In samples from F and D− animals, the 81.5°C peak, corresponding to the Methanobacteriaceae family\(^{52}\), was smaller than the one at 87°C, while in D+ animals, the difference was less accentuated. However, the dissociation curve of D+ animals, particularly for samples from the liquid phase, had a third peak at 91°C that was as important as the 81.5°C peak (Fig. S1 of the supplementary material available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). The population(s) responsible for this peak was not identified in the present study, but the multiplicity of peaks in the dissociation curve of D+ was another indicator of the differences existing between these animals concerning the metabolism of methane.

The structure of the methanogen community characterised with PCR-DGGE targeted to the \textit{mcrA} gene highlighted the differences among the liquid rumen fluids of donors, with clear changes in the archaeal community structure being observed in defaunated animals compared with animals harbouring protozoa. On the other hand, no effect of defaunation was observed on the solid phase. The primer set used could correctly identify faunated and long-term defaunated animals based on the different profiles present in the archaeal populations. We previously reported\(^{54}\) differences in microbial communities after PCR-DGGE using an archaeal primer set targeting the 16S gene (0348aF and 0600aR, Achenbach and Woese (1995) as described in Watanabe \textit{et al.}\(^{55}\)). However, sequencing of bands obtained from those gels did not produce any hit from the archaea but from bacterial domain. These 16S ribosomal DNA archaeal primers seem to be not specific; at least not for rumen samples and we do not recommend their use.

In conclusion, the absence of protozoa from the rumen microbiota did not systematically reduce methane production in rumens from wethers. Some of the reasons could be explained by changes in the community of methanogens, which following defaunation seem to develop slowly as evidenced by the differences between recent and long-term defaunated animals. Future work linking diversity and function of this community should be useful to improve our understanding of the mechanisms involved in methane production in the rumen.
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