Research Directions: Biotechnology Design

www.cambridge.org/btd

Question

Cite this article: Dade-Robertson M, Levin M, and Davies J (2023). How do we design with materials that have their own agency? *Research Directions: Biotechnology Design.* **1**, e7, 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1017/btd.2023.1

Received: 1 March 2023 Accepted: 2 March 2023

Author for correspondence: Martyn Dade-Robertson, E-mail: martyn.dade-robertson@newcastle. ac.uk

How do we design with materials that have their own agency?

Martyn Dade-Robertson¹⁽⁰⁾, Michael Levin^{2,3} and Jamie Davies⁴

¹School of Architecture Planning and Landscape, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK; ²Allen Discovery Center at Tufts University, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA; ³Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA and ⁴Deanery of Biomedical Sciences, and Synthsys Centre for Synthetic Biology, Hugh Robson Building, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9XB, UK

Context

Human-designed objects have traditionally been constructed of relatively inert matter, and some recently examples been enhanced using stimuli-responsive 'active matter'. Biotechnology offers the opportunity to consider living cells as constructional matter. However, living cells are distinct from familiar categories of matter because they were once independent organisms themselves. Construction with living cells involves cybernetic and behavioural concepts as well as the laws of mechanics: cells are 'agential matter'. They make decisions and solve problems in ways that can be exploited by engineers, much as they have been exploited by evolution in creating multicellular organisms. Building with agential matter poses unique challenges, requiring the bioengineer to tame collective behaviours of cellular swarms by exploiting techniques of top-down control (behaviour-shaping signals) alongside bottom-up reconfiguration of molecular hardware. However, exploiting the multiscale competency of life offers unprecedented opportunities for engineering, regenerative medicine, and robotics. Agential materials have transformative potential but require complex design methods that go beyond our current methods of engineering and the reductionism present in biological research. We invite contributions that design, explain or test these methods.

How to contribute to this Question

If you believe you can contribute your research outputs, find out how to submit in the Instructions for authors (https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directionsbiotechnology-design/information/author-instructions/preparing-your-materials). This journal publishes Results, Analyses, Impact papers and additional content such as preprints and 'grey literature'. Questions will be closed when the editors agree that enough content has been published to answer the Question so before submitting, check if this is still an active Question. If it is closed, another relevant Question may be currently open, so do review all the open Questions in your field. For any further queries check the information pages (https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-biotechnology-design/information/ about-this-journal) or contact this email (biotechnologydesign@cambridge.org).

Contributions

We invite contributions in the following areas:

Results

- Demonstrations of novel applications using new approaches to agential materials in, for example, tissue engineering, biological robotics, engineered living materials etc.
- Methods development papers which examine multiscale approaches to working with agential matter across any cell type or application going well beyond the biotechnology state of the art.
- We also welcome null results papers that report experiments which may reveal fundamental limits of materials or processes.

Analysis

- Conceptual frameworks for using tools of physics, artificial intelligence, behaviour science, neurobiology, and other fields to understand the collective intelligence of cells, molecular networks, and other biologicals
- Reviews of key materials, technologies, and case studies.
- Proposals for design frameworks or useful classification methods.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



• Horizon scanning or 'call to action' articles assessing relevant emerging technologies.

Impact

- Reviews of patents and industrial applications and interest in this area.
- Critical evaluation of existing projects, and their likely efficacy, evaluated against existing materials and methods of construction.
- Discussions on the educational, academic, legislative, and industrial barriers to innovation.

Additional material and early outputs

We welcome a broad range of curated discussion pieces including submission of design portfolios, short polemics, position pieces, or provocations. As the question content develops, we expect this engagement to comment on the existing archive of published material. Competing interests. The author(s) declare none.

References

- Clawson WP and Levin M (2022) Endless forms most beautiful 2.0: teleonomy and the bioengineering of chimaeric and synthetic organisms. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. https://doi.org/10.1093/ biolinnean/blac073.
- Davies J and Levin M (2022) Synthetic morphology via active and agential matter. *Nature Bioengineering*. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/xrv8h.
- Davies JA and Cachat E (2016) Synthetic biology meets tissue engineering. Biochemical Society Transactions 44, 696–701. https://doi.org/10.1042/ BST20150289.
- Davies JA and Glykofrydis F (2020) Engineering pattern formation and morphogenesis. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 48, 1177–1185. https://doi.org/ 10.1042/BST20200013.
- Dodig-Crnkovic G (2022) Cognition as morphological/morphogenetic embodied computation In Vivo. *Entropy (Basel)* 24. https://doi.org/10.3390/ e24111576.

Levin M and Dennett DC (2020) Cognition all the way down. Aeon.