

Yuan Julian Chen

FRONTIER, FORTIFICATION, AND FORESTATION: DEFENSIVE WOODLAND ON THE SONG–LIAO BORDER IN THE LONG ELEVENTH CENTURY*

ABSTRACT

This article examines the creation, preservation, and destruction of the defensive forest that the Northern Song built in Hebei along the Song–Liao border. Created as a landscape barrier against the Kitan attacks, this forest established the necessary strategic depth between the capital city and the northern frontline of the Song empire to compensate for Kaifeng’s geographical vulnerability. While the Song government painstakingly maintained this forest throughout most of the dynasty, Liao troops, Hebei borderland residents, and many Song officials had nonetheless posed incessant challenges to this military forestation project. In 1122/23, at the onset of the war on the Liao to retrieve the Sixteen Prefectures, the Song army removed this borderland forest that blocked their northern expedition. The destruction of this defensive forest, which could have had thwarted attacks from the north, dismantled the strategic depth between Kaifeng and the Hebei borderland and henceforth presaged the fall of Kaifeng to the Jin, the Liao’s successor, in a few years. I argue that this strategic depth was not only a physical distance, but also a diplomatic, sociopolitical, and military link that connected the ecology of the Song’s northernmost periphery and the fate of the entire empire.

Keywords

Borderland and Frontier, Defensive Forest, Kitan Liao, Strategic Depth, Northern Song

Emperor Taizu once ordered the planting of elms and willows in the Waqiao Pass region along the Song–Liao border. He prescribed that this forest should have only one road running through it, and this road should be narrow enough to allow only one mounted soldier to pass at a time. Later during the reign of Emperor Zhenzong (r. 997–1022), this road became the pathway that envoys traveled back and forth every year. As time passed by, the forest grew increasingly dense and luxuriant. The network of closely interlaced thick trees formed blockages and obstructions.

太祖嘗令於瓦橋一帶、南北分界之所，專植榆柳，中通一徑，僅能容一騎。後至真宗朝，以為使人每歲往來之路。歲月浸久，日益繁茂。合抱之木，交絡翳塞。¹

Yale University, e-mail: yuan.chen@yale.edu.

*I would like to thank Valerie Hansen, Fabian Drixler, Alan Mikhail, Peter Perdue, Dagomar Degroot, Ken Hammond, Ong Chang Woei, Barend Ter Haar, and Adam Bohnet for their valuable suggestions and comments.

¹Wang Mingqing 王明清, *Huizhu lu* 揮麈錄 [The records of a flying whisk] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1961), *houlu* 後錄, 1: 52.

In this memoir, the Southern Song scholar Wang Mingqing 王明清 (1163–1124) described a manmade forest that the Northern Song (960–1127) had built along its border with its northern rival the Kitan Liao Empire (916–1125). Stretching east to west across six prefectures and three military prefectures in the East Hebei Circuit 河北東路 and the West Hebei Circuit 河北西路 (hereafter Hebei),² this forest served as the Northern Song’s military defense line to thwart the Liao’s strong cavalry force.³ For a century and half, the Northern Song government invested heavily in building and maintaining this extensive landscape fortification. Although it only survived until the early twelfth century, the forest has nonetheless left a lasting legacy in later Chinese dynasties, remaining a literary metaphor for nostalgic borderscape and a symbol of formidable national defense.

Throughout world history, forests have played significant roles in many of the most historic battles. For example, in the Battle of the Teutoburg Forest between the Romans and the Germanic tribes in 9 CE, the densely wooded forest not only provided strategic hiding places for the Germans, but also forced the Roman legions to disperse and presented the ambushing Germanic army valuable opportunities to strike back.⁴ Having stopped the Roman Empire from further expansion, the Teutoburg Forest thereby delimited the boundaries of the Roman world and marked a turning point in Roman history. To this day, the Teutoburg Forest remains a national symbol in the collective memory of modern Germans. During the German War of Liberation in 1813, for example, some German nationalists invoked the Teutoburg precedent and advocated establishing a “forest shield” (*bannwald*) along the German–French border.⁵

Similarly, the Northern Song’s defensive forest featured crucially in the history of Middle Period China. Demarcating an artificial border between the Northern Song and the Liao, this defensive forest helped to maintain peace and power balance between the two most influential countries in East Asia until their last years. However, unlike the Teutoburg Forest, a natural woodland to the east of the Rhine River, the Northern Song’s defensive forest in Hebei was a manmade landscape born entirely of human engineering. The history of the Song–Liao borderland forest, therefore, is a history of how humans had modified the environment to serve non-environmental purposes in politics, military, and diplomacy (Map 1).

This article traces the creation, preservation, and destruction of this “Green Great Wall” of the Northern Song. Built as an artificial barrier to block Kitan attacks, this green bulwark established a defensible *strategic depth*⁶ between the Northern Song’s northernmost border and the empire’s capital in Kaifeng 開封, which, located only

²Northern Song Hebei includes present-day Hebei province and part of Shandong and Shanxi provinces.

³The precise geographical coverage of the forest remains debatable. See Tao Yukun 陶玉坤, “Bei Song fangyu Liaoguo de yusai” 北宋防禦遼國的榆塞 [The elm fortress defending the Northern Song against the Liao], *Nei Menggu shehui kexue* 內蒙古社會科學 27, no. 3 (2006): 36–39.

⁴Peter S. Wells, *The Battle That Stopped Rome: Emperor Augustus, Arminius, and the Slaughter of the Legions in the Teutoburg Forest* (New York: W.W. Norton, 2004).

⁵Michael Imort, “A Sylvan People: Wilhelmine Forestry and the Forest as a Symbol of Germandom,” in *Germany’s Nature: Cultural Landscapes and Environmental History*, ed. Thomas M. Lekan and Thomas Zeller (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2005), 55–80.

⁶The term “strategic depth” means the buffering distance between a country’s political core and its front lines. For a comprehensive definition of this term in contemporary military affairs, see Shalini Chawla,

MAP 1 Defensive Forest on the Song–Liao Border



600 kilometers to the south of the Hebei frontline, was a city without effective geographical barriers. While the Song government deliberately created and painstakingly maintained this forest to guard the empire's northern border, it was its own actions that brought the forest to its final demise—in 1122, the Song declared war on the Liao and the Song troops cleared this borderland forest that stood in the way of their northern expedition. Consequently, the strategic depth between Hebei and Kaifeng was dismantled, presaging the fall of Kaifeng to the Jurchen Jin, the successor of the Kitan Liao, in merely a few years. This article shows that the strategic depth between the Hebei borderland and Kaifeng was not only a physical space, but also a diplomatic, sociopolitical, and military link that connected the ecology of the Song's northernmost periphery and the fate of the entire empire.

THE GENESIS OF THE DEFENSIVE WOODLAND

The initial creation of the defensive forest in Hebei was a direct response to the geopolitical challenges resulting from the Northern Song's decision to keep its capital in Kaifeng. As a capital city, one of Kaifeng's biggest disadvantages was its geographic vulnerability. Situated on the flat ground of the North China Plain 華北平原, Kaifeng had no distinct mountain ranges nearby to shield it from military attack. As the Northern Song

"Pakistan's Desire for Strategic Depth in Afghanistan," in *Asian Defense Review 2012*, ed. Jasjit Singh (New Delhi: Knowledge World, 2013), 61–78.

scholar-official Qin Guan 秦觀 (1049–1100) explained in a policy response essay, the terrain around Kaifeng, without major geographical constraints, made the city a natural battleground since antiquity.⁷ Although the Yellow River was there as the only major geographical barrier lying between Kaifeng and the northern steppe, constant floods, embankment failures, and course changes had brought more trouble than protection to the Northern Song government throughout the dynasty.⁸

After 938, the military defensibility of Kaifeng was further compromised when the Later Jin 後晉 (936–947) emperor Shi Jingtang 石敬瑭 (r. 936–942) ceded to the Liao the “Sixteen Prefectures” (*Yan Yun shiliuzhou* 燕雲十六州),⁹ which contained the Great Wall, China’s most important and by far the best-known manmade military fortification.¹⁰ The loss of the Sixteen Prefectures to the Liao meant that the Later Jin had forfeited the original strategic depth between the Great Wall and Kaifeng.¹¹ The Later Jin’s new northern border had neither natural nor manmade barriers to block the Kitans. From that time on, the Kitan cavalry constantly marched through the flat Hebei Plain to attack the Later Jin, and eventually occupied Kaifeng in 947.¹² Although a year later the succeeding Later Han 後漢 (947–951) recaptured Kaifeng,¹³ and afterwards the Later Zhou 後周 (951–960) even managed to recover three prefectures and three military passes in the Sixteen Prefectures,¹⁴ the Great Wall nonetheless remained in the Liao territory by the time the Song was founded.

⁷Qin Guan 秦觀, “Andulun” 安都論 [On the settlement of the capital], in *Huaihaiji jianzhu* 淮海集箋注 [The collected works of Qin Guan, with notes and commentaries] (Shanghai: Shanghai guji, 1994), 522.

⁸Miyazaki Ichisada 宮崎市定, “Ō Anseki no Kōga Chisui Saku” 王安石の黄河治水策 [Wang Anshi’s Policies to Control the Yellow River Floods], *Tō-A Mondai* 東亜問題 4, no. 1 (1942): 2–15, 30. Ling Zhang, *The River, the Plain, and the State: An Environmental Drama in Northern Song China, 1048–1128* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016). Nicolas Tackett, *The Origins of the Chinese Nation: Song China and the Forging of an East Asian World Order* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2017), 88–89.

⁹Tuotuo 脫脫, *Liaoshi* 遼史 [History of the Liao] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1974), 4: 44–45. For the historical geography of the Sixteen Prefectures, see Zhao Tiehan 趙鐵寒, “Yan Yun Shiliuzhou de dili fenxi (shang)” 燕雲十六州的地理分析 (上) [The geography of the Sixteen Prefectures, 1], *Dalu zazhi* 大陸雜誌 17, no. 11 (1958): 3–7, and Zhao Tiehan 趙鐵寒, “Yan Yun Shiliuzhou de dili fenxi (xia)” 燕雲十六州的地理分析 (下) [The geography of the Sixteen Prefectures, 2], *Dalu zazhi* 大陸雜誌 17, no. 12 (1958): 18–22.

¹⁰Nicola di Cosmo argues that the earlier Chinese states built the Great Wall not to defend themselves from nomadic attacks, but rather to demarcate their borders with the nomads. See Nicola Di Cosmo, “The Origins of the Great Wall,” *The Silk Road* 4, no. 1 (2006): 14–19. Also, it is important to note that the Great Wall did not fortify the entire Sino-Kitan border. See Naomi Standen, “(Re)constructing the Frontiers of Tenth-Century North China,” in *Frontiers in Question: Eurasian Borderlands, 700–1700*, ed. Daniel Power and Naomi Standen (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 1999), 55–79. For the history of wall building from the Warring States Period to the Ming, see Wang Guoliang 王國良, *Zhongguo Changcheng yange kao* 中國長城沿革考 [Historical evolution of the Great Wall of China] (Shanghai: Shangwu, 1933).

¹¹The Liao did not control the entire stretch of the Great Wall. See Nicolas Tackett, “The Great Wall and Conceptualizations of the Borders Under the Northern Song,” *Journal of Song-Yuan Studies* 38 (2008): 99–138.

¹²Ouyang Xiu 歐陽修, *Xin Wudaishi* 新五代史 [New history of the Five Dynasties] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1974), 9: 93–97.

¹³Xue Juzheng 薛居正, *Jiu Wudaishi* 舊五代史 [Old history of the Five Dynasties] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1976), 119: 1580–1583.

¹⁴Xue Juzheng, *Jiu Wudaishi*, 119: 1331–1332. However, later historians still refer to the Yan and Yun area in Kitan occupation as the “Sixteen Prefectures,” “sixteen” here being a nominal, not exact, number. See Yuan Chen, “Legitimation Discourse and the Theory of the Five Elements in Imperial China,” *Journal of Song-Yuan*

Having inherited this bitter legacy from the Five Dynasties, the Song's founder Emperor Taizu 宋太祖 (r. 960–976) was deeply concerned with Kaifeng's weak defensibility and suggested relocating the court westward to the Tang capitals at Chang'an 長安 or Luoyang 洛陽, which were much better geographically fortified.¹⁵ Nevertheless, many officials vehemently objected to moving the capital. The Imperial Army Commander Li Huaizhong 李懷忠 memorialized to Taizu in 976:

The Eastern Capital has the Bian Canal, which transports millions of *hu* of rice from Jiangnan and Huainan. Hundreds of thousands of soldiers stationed in the capital rely on these provisions. ... Moreover, massive armed forces as well as their armors and supplies are concentrated in Kaifeng. The foundation [of the dynasty] has been long established and should not be shaken.

東京有汴渠之漕，歲致江、淮米數百萬斛。都下兵數十萬人，咸仰給焉。...且府庫重兵，皆在大樑，根本安固已久，不可動搖。¹⁶

During the Northern Song, the population of Kaifeng reached over 1.5 million at its peak.¹⁷ As Li Huaizhong pointed out, in order to sustain the livelihood of such a large population, which included a massive Imperial Army and their families, it was imperative to ensure the smooth transportation between the capital and the empire's major supplying regions in South China.¹⁸

This massive demand would be extremely hard to satisfy if the capital were moved to Chang'an. Since the mid- to late-Tang period, heavy sediment deposition from the Loess Plateau into the Yellow River and its tributaries, a result of incessant deforestation, had created tremendous trouble for water traffic leading to Chang'an.¹⁹ Transportation to Luoyang, located about 400 kilometers to the east of Chang'an and 200 kilometers to the west of Kaifeng, was relatively less troublesome but still not comparable to the convenience of transportation to Kaifeng. Thanks to the empire's advanced, well-connected transportation network, Kaifeng, situated at the hub of this network, enjoyed unparalleled accessibility to a wide variety of resources from across the country and beyond.²⁰

Studies 44 (2014): 342–43; Tao Jing-sheng 陶晉生, *Song Liao guanxi shi yanjiu* 宋遼關係史研究 [History of Song–Liao relations] (Taipei: Linking Publishing, 1984), 17.

¹⁵Li Tao 李燾, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian* 續資治通鑑長編 [Long draft of the continuation of the Comprehensive Mirror for Aid in Governance] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 2004), 17: 369.

¹⁶Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 17: 369.

¹⁷Kida Tomoo 木田知生, “Sōdai no Toshi Kenkyū o Meguru Shomondai: Kokuto Kaihō o Chūshin Toshite” 宋代の都市研究をめぐる諸問題：国都開封を中心として [Issues in the Studies of Song Dynasty Cities: On the Imperial Capital Kaifeng], *Tōyōshi Kenkyū* 東洋史研究 37, no. 2 (1978): 279–91.

¹⁸Kubota Kazuo 久保田和男, *Sōdai Kaihō no Kenkyū* 宋代開封の研究 [Research on Kaifeng in the Song Dynasty] (Tōkyō: Kyūko shoin, 2007), 101–32. For the shift of economic center to the south, see Robert Hartwell's classical work, “Demographic, Political, and Social Transformations of China, 750–1550,” *Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies* 42, no. 2 (1982), 365–442.

¹⁹Miyazaki Ichisada 宮崎市定, “Sui no Henka to Chūgokushi” 水位の変化と中国史 [The Change of Water Level and the History of China], *Shizen to Bunka* 自然と文化 3 (1953): 145–49.

²⁰Quan Hansheng 全漢昇, *Tang Song diguo yu yunhe* 唐宋帝國與運河 [The Tang–Song Empire and the Grand Canal] (Beijing: Shangwu, 1944). Shen Yibo 沈逸波, “Bei Song caoyun xitong shulie” 北宋漕運系統述略 [Brief introduction to the Northern Song water transportation system], *Shanghai Shifan daxue xuebao* 上海師範大學學報, no.1 (1992): 37–45.

This compelling argument finally dissuaded Emperor Taizu from moving the capital.²¹ With that, however, the Song government now had to come up with feasible measures to compensate for Kaifeng's geographical vulnerability. In particular, after Emperor Taizong's 宋太宗 (r. 976–997) several unsuccessful attempts to retake the Sixteen Prefectures from the Liao, strengthening Kaifeng's security became increasingly pressing as the Song turned to a defensive stance.²² The government hence expanded the Imperial Army stationed in Kaifeng²³ and strengthened city fortification.²⁴ In addition, the government also planned to establish a defensible strategic depth between the empire's capital and the northern border.

However, the Song did not intend to build a new line of Great Wall along the Song–Liao border to create the desired strategic depth. By the Song, although the term “Great Wall” still conveyed the positive connotation of an impenetrable border defense, its construction, which in the past dynasties had fallen heavily on the civilians, did not fit the image of benevolence and humanity that the Song emperors wanted to cultivate.²⁵ Therefore, instead of continuing the tradition of wall building, the Song's border fortification initiative entailed artificially creating what the ancient military strategist Sunzi 孫子 (544–496 BCE) dubbed *pidi* 圯地 (literally, “difficult terrains”), which included “mountains and forests, perilous obstacles, deep marshes, and any roads that are hard to traverse,”²⁶ in the almost featureless Hebei borderland.

Two types of manmade terrain were thereupon constructed in Hebei. In 989, three years after Emperor Taizong's second failed northern expedition, the Military Commissioner of the Cangzhou Prefecture 滄州 He Chengju 何承矩 initiated the construction of a series of lakes and ponds along the Song–Liao border.²⁷ These water bodies varied in size. The largest ones were 120 *li* (approximately 55 kilometers) in length and 130 *li*

²¹The Five Dynasties and the Northern Song still managed to rebuild some of the palaces and temples in Luoyang, which was named as the Western Capital 西京 of the Northern Song. However, Luoyang mostly functioned as the “sacred capital” of the dynasty where the rituals were performed while the daily, administrative business was still carried out in Kaifeng. For more details, see Kubota Kazuo, *Sōdai Kaihō no Kenkyū*, 24–29.

²²Wang Xiaobo 王曉波, “Song Taizong Yongxi beifa shibai hou de dui Liao celüe” 宋太宗雍熙北伐失敗後的對遼策略 [Song Taizu's Liao policy after the failure of the Yongxi expedition], *Sichuan daxue xuebao* 四川大學學報 109 (2000): 100–106. Wang Yiying 王軼英, “Bei Song Chanyuan zhi meng qian de Hebei junshi fangyu quyue” 北宋澶淵之盟前的河北軍事防禦區域 [Strategic defense area in the Northern Song Hebei before the Treaty of Chanyuan], *Hebei daxue xuebao* 河北大學學報 37, no. 1 (2012): 25–29.

²³The Song established the principal to “use the army as barrier” (*yi bing wei xian* 以兵為險). Qin Guan, “Andulun.” See also Wang Mingsun 王明蓀, “Bing xian de gu: lun Bei Song zhi jian du” 兵險德固—論北宋之建都 [Strengthen the national security with virtue: On the capital building of the Northern Song], *Zhongguo zhonggushi yanjiu* 中國中古史研究 7 (2007): 153–77.

²⁴This included digging deeper moats, building higher city walls and thicker city gates. See Ari Daniel Levine, “Walls and Gates, Windows and Mirrors: Urban Defences, Cultural Memory, and Security Theatre in Song Kaifeng,” *East Asian Science, Technology, and Medicine* 39 (2014): 55–118.

²⁵Tackett, *The Origins of the Chinese Nation*, 84–6.

²⁶Sun Tzu, *Sunzi bingfa* 孫子兵法 [The Art of War], 11:1.

²⁷Tuotuo 脫脫, *Songshi* 宋史 [History of the Song] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1985), 176: 4264. For an overview of the lake defense in Hebei, see Cheng Minsheng 程民生, “Bei Song Hebei tangluo de guofang yu jingji zuoyong” 北宋河北塘灤的國防與經濟作用 [The defense and economic utilities of the ponds in the Northern Song Hebei], *Hebei xuekan* 河北學刊, no. 5 (1985): 76–80. See also Li Kewu 李克武, “Guanyu Bei Song Hebei tangluo wenti” 關於北宋河北塘灤問題 [Issues on the Hebei lakes during the Northern Song], *Zhongzhou xuekan* 中州學刊, no.4 (1987): 120–23, and Gao Enze 高恩澤, “Bei Song shiqi Hebei

(approximately 60 kilometers) in width, an area twice as large as the Lake Oahe in South Dakota and North Dakota, the largest manmade lake of the United States by surface area. Even the smallest ones were roughly 10 *li* (approximately 5 kilometers) in length and width, an area more than fifty times as large as the Central Park Reservoir in Manhattan.²⁸ Dubbed the “Great Ditch” by Peter Lorge, this hydraulic security line effectively served its defensive purpose the first decades of its creation.²⁹

Another *pidi* or “difficult terrain” engineered by the Song government was a massive manmade borderland forest. China had a long tradition of using forest for military fortification. Meng Tian 蒙恬 (d. 210 BCE), the General of the Qin State during the Warring States 戰國 period, built the *Yusai* 榆塞, or the “Elm Fortress,” to block the Xiongnu 匈奴 nomads:

When Meng Tian attacked the barbarians on behalf of the Qin, he expanded thousands of *li* of territory and set the border along the river.³⁰ The Qin soldiers piled up rocks to build castles and planted elms as fortresses. From then on, the Xiongnu dared not let their horses drink water from the river.

蒙恬為秦侵胡，闢數千里，以河為竟，累石為城，樹榆為塞，匈奴不敢飲馬於河。³¹

Following this ancient model of the Qin’s Elm Fortress, Emperor Taizu ordered the creation of a forest barrier in the vicinity of the Waqiao Pass 瓦橋關.³² The Waqiao Pass, later renamed as the Xiongzhou Prefecture 雄州 (present-day Xiongxin County 雄縣 in Baoding, Hebei),³³ was one of the three military passes that the Later Zhou seized from the Liao in 959.³⁴ From then on, the Northern Song’s defensive forest started to take root and grow.

PLANTING ELMS AND WILLOWS

According to the edict of Emperor Taizu, the defensive woodland was to primarily grow two types of trees: *yu* 榆 (elm), the namesake species used in the *Yusai*, and *liu* 柳 (willow).³⁵ A variety of desirable traits made these two species ideal choices for the borderland forestation project. First, *yu*, or Chinese elm, is remarkably resistant to diseases.

‘Shui Changcheng’ kaolüe’ 北宋時期河北‘水長城’考略 [The “Water Great Wall” of the Northern Song Hebei], *Hebei xuekan* 河北學刊, no.4 (1983): 150–53.

²⁸Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 112: 2607–08. For how the ponds influenced the local environment in Hebei, see Ling Zhang, “Ponds, Paddies and Frontier Defence: Environmental and Economic Changes in Northern Hebei in Northern Song China (960–1127),” *Medieval History Journal* 14, no. 1 (2011): 21–43.

²⁹Peter Lorge, “The Great Ditch of China and the Song–Liao Border,” in *Battlefronts Real and Imagined: War, Border, and Identity in the Chinese Middle Period*, ed. Don J. Wyatt (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008), 59–74.

³⁰The “river” refers to the Wujia River 烏加河, a tributary of the Yellow River, in present-day Inner Mongolia.

³¹Ban Gu 班固, *Hanshu* 漢書 [History of the Western Han] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1962), 52: 2401.

³²Wang Mingqing, *Huizhu lu, houlu*, 1: 52.

³³For the strategic importance of Xiongzhou in Song–Liao relations, see Yang Jun 楊軍, “Shishuo Bei Song shiqi de Xiongzhou cheng” 試說北宋時期的雄州城 [Xiongzhou during the Northern Song], *Zhongguo lishi dili luncong* 中國歷史地理論叢 19, no. 3 (2004): 13–23.

³⁴Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 259: 9039–9049.

³⁵Wang Mingqing, *Huizhu lu, houlu*, 1: 52.

The *Essential Techniques for Common People* (Qimin yaoshu 齊民要術, hereafter *Essential Techniques*), an agricultural manual compiled in the mid-sixth century, mentions that *yu* is resistant to “plague of beetles” (*chongzai* 蟲災).³⁶ This observation is in line with findings of modern botanical science. Modern scientists recognize that the Chinese elm (*Ulmus parvifolia*), unlike the European elm (*Ulmus laevis*) or the American elm (*Ulmus americana*), is practically immune to the Dutch elm disease spread by elm bark beetles.³⁷ In addition, the Chinese elm is also resistant to black leaf spot and elm leaf beetles.³⁸ Keenly aware of these unique advantages of Chinese elm, nowadays Western silviculture experts often plant plenty of Chinese elm trees in gardens and parks across Europe and America.³⁹

Second, *yu*/Chinese elm (hereafter “elm” for simplicity) is among the fastest-growing tree species known to premodern Chinese naturalists. The *Essential Techniques* offers a comprehensive account on how to grow elm trees quickly:

To plant elms, one should choose the north side of the plantation. In the autumn, plow the field to help the mature trees ripen. In the spring, when the elm pods fall, gather them, and randomly scatter them over the soil. Use a plough to carefully loosen the soil. During the first month of the next year, remove the weeds in the ground, cover the saplings with the weeds, and burn them with fire. Later, from each root more than ten branches will sprout. Keep the strongest branch and remove the others. In this year the elm sapling can grow eight to nine *chi* (approximately 2.5 to 3 meters). If not burnt, the growth would be slower.

種者，宜於園地北畔。秋耕令熟，至春榆莢落時，收取，漫散。犁細耨勞之。明年正月初，附地芟殺，以草覆上，放火燒之。根上必十數條俱生，只留一根強者，餘悉掐去之。一歲之中，長八九尺矣。不燒則長遲也。⁴⁰

This instruction claims that with prescribed burning and cutting, elms could grow nearly three meters a year, or around three times as fast as the regular, average growth rate of modern Chinese elm.⁴¹ This difference can be explained by what modern Darwinists call “human-guided artificial selection” (as opposed to “spontaneous natural selection”).⁴² In a nutshell, through controlled burning and selective cutting, the cultivator can screen out and remove the weaker and slower growing trees and hence eliminate

³⁶Jia Sixie 賈思勰, “*Qimin yaoshu jinshi* 《齊民要術》今釋 [Modern translation of the “Essential techniques for the common people”], ed. Shi Shenghan 石聲漢 (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 2009), 5: 426.

³⁷For the cause and spread of Dutch elm disease, see C.M. Braiser, “Ophiostoma Novo-Ulmi Sp. Novi, Causative Agent of Dutch Elm Disease Pandemic,” *Mycopathologia* 115 (1991): 151–61, and C.M. Braiser, “China and the Origin of Dutch Elm Disease,” *Plant Pathol* 39 (1990): 5–16.

³⁸Eugene B. Smalley, R. P. Guries, and D. T. Lester, “American Liberty Elms and Beyond: Going from the Impossible to the Difficult,” in *Dutch Elm Disease Research: Cellular and Molecular Approaches*, ed. Mariam B. Sticklen and James L. Sherald (New York: Springer, 2012), 39.

³⁹For example, Chinese elms are planted in large quantity in the Central Park in Manhattan. See R.C. Thakur and D.F. Karnosky, “Micropropagation and Germplasm Conservation of Central Park Splendor Chinese Elm (*Ulmus Parvifolia* Jacq. ‘A/Ross Central Park’) Trees,” *Plant Cell Rep* 26 (2007): 1171–77.

⁴⁰Jia Sixie, *Qimin yaoshu*, 5: 424.

⁴¹United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, “Plant Guide, Chinese Elm (*Ulmus Parvifolia* Jacq.)” (Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 2007), https://plants.usda.gov/plantguide/pdf/pg_ulpa.pdf. Accessed August 29, 2017.

⁴²For an explanation of human-guided artificial selection for non-specialists, see J. Phil Gibson and Terri R. Gibson, *Natural Selection* (Langhorne, PA: Chelsea House, 2009), 42–51.

them from competing for water and nutrients with the stronger ones. As a result, the stronger saplings that remained will more likely be well-nourished and hence have a better chance to thrive.

Another advantage of elm is its low maintenance cost. The *Essential Techniques* notes that an elm, after being chopped down, can regrow spontaneously without much special care.⁴³ Moreover, elm trees can also thrive in a variety of soils and survive different types of extreme climate conditions. Considering the frequency and severity of droughts and floods hitting Hebei throughout history,⁴⁴ elm's exceptional adaptability to environment must have been essential in the planning of a sustainable defensive forest in the Northern Song Hebei. Taking into account all of these benefits, the *Essential Techniques* summarizes that planting elm is so labor-efficient that "one *qing* 頃 (approximate 16 acre) of land, which can yield wealth that is worth thousands of bolts of silk, only needs one person to attend it."⁴⁵

Liu 柳, or willow, was also well known for growing fast. The *Essential Techniques* also records the technique for planting willows, which, similar to the elm cultivation method, involves selective cutting that allows the selected saplings to grow as much as three meters per year. As long as they get enough water, after three years willows can grow large and strong enough to make good construction timber.⁴⁶ Since willows develop best in moist soils, the "Great Ditch" of lakes and ponds built in conjunction with the defensive forest, which could greatly increase the moisture level of the soils, actually provided a highly suitable environment for willows to thrive.

Agriculture and silviculture treatises of later dynasties regularly cite the instructions on tree cultivation from the *Essential Techniques*. For example, the *Essential Compendium of the Four Seasons* (*Sishi zuanyao* 四時纂要, hereafter *Four Seasons*), compiled in the Tang period, records the same methods of elm and willow cultivation.⁴⁷ Later in the Song, thanks to the development and spread of woodblock printing technology, these treatises were constantly mass printed and hence made such knowledge available to increasingly larger audience.⁴⁸ For example, in 997, the Northern Song scholar Shi Yuanji 施元吉 woodblock-printed the *Four Seasons* so that these techniques would "circulate widely, benefit people extensively, and therefore support the country and guide agriculture."⁴⁹ In 1020, the Northern Song court ordered the Agricultural Promotion Office (*Quannongsi* 勸農司) in every circuit to reprint both the *Essential Techniques* and the *Four Seasons* to facilitate agricultural development across the empire.⁵⁰ Two and a half centuries later,

⁴³Jia Sixie, *Qimin yaoshu*, 5: 426.

⁴⁴Ling Zhang, *The River, the Plain, and the State*, 41–42.

⁴⁵Jia Sixie, *Qimin yaoshu*, 5: 426.

⁴⁶Jia Sixie, *Qimin yaoshu*, 5: 445–446.

⁴⁷It was later replicated in 1590 by the Chosŏn Dynasty in the Korean Peninsula. See Han E 韓鄂, *Sishi zuanyao* 四時纂要 [Essential compendium of the four seasons], woodblock by Shiyuanji 施元吉 in 996 (reprint Seoul, Korea: Woodblock printed manuscript, 1590), collection of Beijing University Library. The method of elm cultivation can be found in *juan* 1, "Chunling" 春令, 11A–11B.

⁴⁸Denis Twitchett, *Printing and Publishing in Medieval China* (London: Wynkyn De Worde Society, 1983). Tsuen-hsuei Tsien, *Paper and Printing*, ed. Joseph Needham, Science and Civilisation in China, 5, Part 1 (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1985).

⁴⁹Han E, *Sishi zuanyao*, 5: 18A.

⁵⁰Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 95: 2191.

in the *Essential Compilation of Agriculture and Sericulture* (*Nongsang jiyao* 農桑輯要) compiled by the Agricultural Ministry (*Sinongsi* 司農司) of the Mongol Yuan Dynasty (1271–1368), the same elm and willow cultivation methods were also cited almost verbatim.⁵¹ These later publications testify that such silviculture techniques were not only faithfully transmitted and widely circulated, but also repeatedly tested in practice over centuries. If the Northern Song officials in charge of borderland forestation had followed the instructions in the *Essential Techniques* and the *Four Seasons*, in merely three years the elms and willows in the borderland forest would grow tall and strong enough to make effective barriers against cavalry attacks.

EXPANDING THE FOREST DEFENSE LINE

This borderland forest gradually took shape and its effectiveness was soon tested in battle. In 1004, in order to take back the three prefectures that the Later Zhou had recovered in 959, Emperor Shengzong of the Liao 遼聖宗 (r. 982–1031) launched a major offensive against the Song. The Song troops organized a strong defense. In one of the battles, the densely wooded borderland forest not only provided ambush opportunities for the Song archers, but also forced the Kitan horsemen to dismount.⁵² Having to abandon their horses and engage in close combat with the Song infantry, the Kitan cavalry lost their major military advantage.

The war ended with the Liao suffering over 30,000 fatalities and more than double that number of casualties.⁵³ The ceasefire led to the Song and the Liao reaching a peace agreement, the Treaty of Chanyuan 澶淵之盟. As a gesture of peace and trust, the treaty agreed on the current territorial division and specifically stipulated that neither side should build new military fortresses on the border.⁵⁴

However, even after signing the treaty, neither the Song nor the Liao let their guard down. Still putting a high premium on maintaining the strategic depth between Kaifeng and the northern border, the Song continued to add trees to the borderland forest. Although the Treaty of Chanyuan prohibited the construction of new fortifications, creating a bulwark of trees, unlike building conventional military fortresses that clearly signaled distrust, could easily be explained away as serving non-military purposes such as supplying construction timber, firewood, and fodder to borderland residents.

From 1006 to 1020, the Prefect of Xiongzhou Li Yunze 李允則 (953–1028) continuously promoted forestation efforts in his jurisdiction. The Pacification Commission (*anfusi* 安撫司), which administered general military affairs,⁵⁵ took charge of the tree-planting activities and tree-count reporting duties. Since the main utility of the forest

⁵¹Meng Qi 孟祺, Chang Shiwen 暢師文, and Miao Haoqian 苗好謙, “*Nongsang jiyao*” *jiaozhu* 《農桑輯要》校注 [Essential compilation of the “Agriculture and sericulture” with commentary], ed. Shi Shenghan 石聲漢 (Beijing: Nongye, 1982), 5: 205–206.

⁵²Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 57: 1265.

⁵³Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 58: 1279.

⁵⁴Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 7: 125–27. Ye Longli 葉隆禮, *Qidan guozhi* 契丹國志 [National records of the Kitan] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 2014), 20: 213–214. Tao Jing-sheng, *Song Liao guanxi shi yanjiu*, 23–31.

⁵⁵Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 167: 3960. For an overview of the Pacification Commission in the Song, see Li Changxian 李昌憲, *Songdai Anfushi kao* 宋代安撫使考 [Pacification commissioners in the Song] (Jinan: Qilu shushe, 1997).

was for military defense, Li Yunze specifically ordered soldiers to plant elms in all existing defense gaps. In just a few years, the fortresses in Xiongzhou were clothed in a mantle of fully grown elm trees. Pleased with the transformed borderscape with verdant forests, Li Yunze told his aides: “The current terrain advantages to our infantry and disadvantages the enemy cavalry. Who says trees can only be used to construct houses?”⁵⁶

In 1016, according to the report by the Pacification Commission of Hebei, the total tree count in the Xiongzhou borderland forest reached over three million. To visually present this result to Emperor Zhenzong 宋真宗 (r. 997–1022), the borderland officers made an illustration of the borderland forest, the *Illustration of Elms and Willows in the North* (*Beimian yuliu tu* 北面榆柳圖).⁵⁷ The emperor was pleased with this achievement and commended Li Yunze’s forestation efforts: “These trees can replace deer antler barricades.”⁵⁸ Deer antler barricades, or *lujiao* 鹿角 (Figure 1), were made of branches resembling deer antlers to repel horsemen. During the first decades of the Northern Song, a large part of the Song–Liao border defense line was still made of deer antler barricades.⁵⁹ However, these barricades were usually brittle and easy to break. In comparison, the densely spaced strong trees could make much more robust and more effective border fortifications.

The borderland forestation project soon spread from Xiongzhou and extended westward as far as the Taihang Mountain Range 太行山. In 1033, the Palace Attendant Liu Zongyan 劉宗言 again invoked the precedent of the Qin’s Elm Fortress and urged the government to plant trees at the foot of the Taihang Mountain Range in the Zhending Superior Prefecture 真定府, located approximately 200 kilometers to the southwest of Xiongzhou, to restrain potential Kitan invasions from that direction.⁶⁰ In this way, the manmade forest could be connected to the natural forests in the Taihang Mountains, forming a distinct and continuous green defense line along the Song–Liao border.

Located about 80 kilometers to the west of Xiongzhou, the Baozhou Prefecture 保州 was another strategic fortress town along the Song–Liao border.⁶¹ While regions to the east of the Baosai 保塞, the main fortress in Baozhou, were already largely fortified by lakes and ponds, regions to the west of the fortress were mostly unprotected flat ground.⁶² To fill in this vulnerable defense gap, in 1049 the Pacification Commission of Hebei led a

⁵⁶Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 93: 2150–51.

⁵⁷As far as I know, this illustration is not extant. The earliest extant examples of illustration of border fortification are found in Ming (1368–1644) period gazetteers. For example, see the illustrations of fortresses, mountains, and waters in the *Shaanxi sizhen tushuo* 陝西四鎮圖說 [Illustrated gazetteer of the four counties in Shaanxi], woodblock printed manuscript, catalogue number 211.71 04337, collection of the National Central Library, Taipei.

⁵⁸Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 88: 2020.

⁵⁹For example, in the Song attempt to retrieve the Sixteen Prefectures in 979, there were still *lujiao* barricades in Xiongzhou. See Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 20: 454–455.

⁶⁰Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 112: 2609. Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 95: 2359–60.

⁶¹Wang Xiaolong 王曉龍 and Du Jinghong 杜敬紅, “Lun Songchao Baozhou diqu de junshi fangyu jucuo” 论宋朝保州地区的军事防御举措 [Military defense in the Baozhou Prefecture in the Song period], *Baoding xueyuan xuebao* 保定學院學報 26, no. 3 (2013): 52–59.

⁶²Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 240: 5843.

FIGURE 1 A Northern Song illustration of the *lujiao* barricade.



Source: Zeng Gongliang 曾公亮 and Ding Du 丁度, *Wujing zongyao* 武經總要 [Essential of the Military Classics] (Beijing: Jiefangjun, 1988), 12: 553.

campaign to extensively afforest lands to the west of the Baosai.⁶³ However, since the land would automatically become state-owned once trees were planted, local peasants complained about the state appropriation of potential farmlands. In 1068, the court dispatched several officials to inspect the agricultural and hydraulic works in Baozhou. After the inspection, one of these officials suggested building a dam to divide the open areas into farmlands and woodlands:

⁶³Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 167: 4019.

In the lands to the west of the lakes and ponds in Baozhou Prefecture we can build dams and plant trees up to 19 *li* [in depth]. Within the dam, we can grow paddy rice on lands where water can reach and open square fields on lands where water cannot reach. We can also use the soil dug out [from opening the fields] to construct trenches to restrain nomadic horsemen.

保州塘澗以西可築堤植木凡十九裡。堤內可引水處即種稻，水不及處並為方田。又因出土作溝，以限戎馬。⁶⁴

In this way, although the state would sacrifice some depth of the defensive forest, the woodland could still expand horizontally to the west to fill in the defense gaps. Meanwhile, the government–citizen tension could also be partially eased by allowing peasants to open new farm fields to the south of the state-owned woodlands.

From 1069 to 1076, the Vice Chancellor Wang Anshi 王安石 (1021–1086) launched the New Policies that aimed to “enrich the state and strengthen the army” (*fuguo qiangbing* 富國強兵).⁶⁵ Among these new policies, the Sericulture Promotion Act (*Quanke zaisang fa* 勸課栽桑法) was an experiment to concurrently promote agricultural productivity and strengthen national security in the borderland prefectures.⁶⁶ While soldiers under the command of the Pacification Commission had traditionally been the sole tree-planters since the inception of the defensive woodland, with the promulgation of the new act, the forestation labor force started to include civilians. In 1069, in order to incentivize peasants in Baozhou and the nearby Military Governorates of Shun’an 順安, Ansu 安肅, and Guangxin 廣信 to participate in borderland forestation, the Song government promised them rent reductions for planting agricultural species in the defensive forest:

[The government] ordered people to plant mulberries, elms, and other suitable tree species because they can restrain nomadic horsemen. Officials should count the number of surviving trees to calculate how much rent deduction a household could receive. Households that could not reach the quota should be fined. [The government] should require them to plant more to make up.

令民是其地植桑榆或所宜木，因可限闕戎馬。官計其活茂多寡，得差減在戶租數。活不及數者罰，責之補種。⁶⁷

This edict suggested that in addition to elms, other plant species such as mulberry, as long as they were suitable for growth, could be added to the defensive forest. Wang Anshi and his team hoped that this policy, by helping peasants to reap revenues from the borderland forest, would help maintain sustainability of the defensive woodland.

The Sericulture Promotion Act also helped spread the defensive forest to prefectures to the east of Xiongzhou. In 1072, the Eastern Palace Attendant Zhao Zhongzheng 趙忠政

⁶⁴Ma Duanlin 馬端麟, *Wenxian tongkao* 文獻通考 [Comprehensive investigations of historical documents] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 2011), 6: 144.

⁶⁵Paul J. Smith, “Shen-Tsung’s Reign and the New Policies of Wang An-Shih, 1067–1085,” in *Cambridge History of China*, Volume 5 Part One: *The Sung Dynasty and Its Precursors, 907–1279*, ed. Denis Twitchett and Paul J. Smith (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 347–480.

⁶⁶Chen Xiaoshan 陈晓珊, “Wang Anshi bianfa shiqi de quanke zaisang fa” 王安石变法时期的劝课栽桑法 [Sericulture promotion policy during Wang Anshi’s new policy period], *Nongye kaogu* 農業考古, no.3 (2015): 237–40.

⁶⁷Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 246: 5987. Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 173: 4167.

expressed his concern over the current hydraulic defense line of lakes and ponds in Cangzhou and proposed that planting trees would make more effective fortifications:

Although lakes and ponds stretch over two hundred *li* from the south side of the boundary river to the Cangzhou City, their water volume fluctuates a lot. In the summer and the autumn, people can wade across the water by foot. When winter comes, the frozen lake surface is no different from a flat ground. Now, covering hundreds of *li* of area in the Qizhou and Dizhou Prefectures, plantations of elm, willow, mulberry, and jujube trees stretch beyond the sight in four directions, making the terrain truly difficult for cavalry to charge through. If elm, willow, mulberry, and jujube trees can be planted from Cangzhou eastward to the East Sea and westward to the Taihang Mountain Range, in a few years they can thwart the nomadic cavalry.

界河以南至滄州城，雖有塘泊二百餘里，其水或有或無，夏秋可徒涉，遇冬冰凍即無異平地。今齊、棣間數百里，榆柳桑棗，四望綿互，人馬實難馳驟。若自滄州東接海，西徹西山，仿齊、棣植榆、柳、桑、棗，候數年間可以限戎馬。⁶⁸

Like the edict issued to Baozhou in 1069, this proposal was also informed by the Sericulture Promotion Act and stressed adding mulberry and other economic plant types in the defensive forest. If it was fully carried out, the entire stretch of the Northern Song defensive forest would have spanned over 400 kilometers from the Bohai Bay in Cangzhou to the eastern hillside of the Taihang Mountain Range.

SETBACKS IN THE BORDERLAND FORESTATION PROJECT

Despite continuous effort to afforest and reforest the empire's northern border, the Northern Song met with a variety of challenges that significantly hindered the development of the defensive forest. Seeing trees being continuously added to the Song–Liao border, some Liao officials were suspicious of the true agenda behind the Song's forestation efforts. Lacking concrete evidence to accuse the Song of violating the Treaty of Chan-yuan, the Liao resorted to other ways to sabotage the Song's borderland forestation.

In 1018, an undercover Liao spy lobbied Zhang Zhaoyuan 張昭遠, the Vice Pacification Commissioner of the Hebei borderland, to remove the forest. The Liao spy argued that the dense forest not only provided roaming bandits a refuge but also supplied them with wood to make "ladders and catapults" and rebel against the government.⁶⁹ Genuinely worried about fomenting local rebellions, Zhang Zhaoyuan was convinced by the Liao spy and memorialized to the emperor about this issue. Sharing the concern, the emperor granted Zhang permission to remove part of the forest.⁷⁰

In addition to sabotaging the Song's manmade defensive forest, the Liao also tried to cut through natural forests along the Song–Liao border. In 1044, Fu Bi 富弼 (1004–1083), the Vice Commissioner of Military Affairs (*Shumi fushi* 樞密副使),

⁶⁸Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 235: 5707.

⁶⁹Xu Song 徐松, *Song huiyao jigao* 宋會要輯稿 [Collected essential documents of the Song dynasty] (Shanghai: Dadong shuju, 1935), vol. 185, *bin* 兵, 27: 20A, and 27: 28B–29A. For an overview of espionage in the Liao–Song confrontations, see Qiluo mutu 屈羅木圖, "Liao Song shiqi diezhan de yunyong" 遼宋時期諜戰的運用 [The use of spies in the Liao and Song period], *Nei Menggu caijing daxue xuebao* 內蒙古財經大學學報, no.4 (2014): 92–7.

⁷⁰Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 92: 2127–28.

memorialized Emperor Renzong 宋仁宗 (r. 1022–1063) on the deforestation in the Taihang Mountains adjoining the Liao:

In the Taihang Mountain Valley in Zhending Superior Prefecture, there are over ten trails leading to Liao territory on the northern side of the mountain. Before 1004, these trails were rarely traveled. The mountains were steep and the creeks shallow. The trees were obstructive and restraining. Therefore, the enemy horsemen seldom took these routes. Although there have been some people coming from the Liao [on these trails], they had to cope with these dangerous and difficult impediments. However, I recently heard from people in Hebei that the Kitans have been clearing forests on the other side of the mountain to make a thoroughfare leading to the Taihang Mountain Range in the Han-Chinese territory. Now, traffic on this route is clear and fast enough to allow [the Kitan] troops to pass.

真定⁷¹西山有谷口十餘道，盡通北界山後之路。景德以前，不甚跡熟。鶯溪澗峻狹，林木壅遏，故敵騎罕由斯路而入。雖有來者，亦不免艱阻。臣頃聞河朔人說契丹自山後斬伐林木，開鑿道路，直抵西山漢界而止。今則往來通快，可以行師。⁷²

The Northern Song government was deeply alarmed that the Kitans, in merely four decades after the Treat of Chanyuan, had already denuded such a large part of the mountain forests in the Taihang Mountain Range that its cavalry could move through them.

In addition to opening up military passages, the Liao soldiers also logged trees in Song territory to get timber for fort building and weapon making. In 1062, a group of Kitan soldiers crossed the Song–Liao border without the Song’s permission and logged thousands of willow trees from the borderland forest in Xiongzhou.⁷³ In the same year, local officials in the Daizhou Prefecture 代州 (in present-day northeast Shanxi) reported that tree trunks felled by the Kitans were lined up for more than 10 *li* on the northern hillside of the Taihang Mountain Range.⁷⁴ To prevent Liao soldiers from further exploiting Song resources, the Song officials burnt down part of the mountain forests. Enraged by the loss of access to forest products, the Kitans asked the authorities of Daizhou to arrest and punish the arsonists. The Prefect of Daizhou Liu Yongnian 劉永年 turned down their request: “Even though the arsonists are guilty, the crime took place in our territory. What business is it of yours?”⁷⁵

On the other hand, although the Liao incessantly felled trees in the Song’s border forest, some Liao officials learned from their rival to use forests as military fortifications. The epitaph of the Liao official Deng Zhongju 鄧中舉 (d. 1098), the Regent Official (*liushou* 留守) of the Liao’s Southern Capital (modern Beijing),⁷⁶ records that once Deng Zhongju stopped another Liao high official from clearing forests and plantations

⁷¹The original text is “鎮定,” which I believe was a typographical error for “真定.” First, the Zhenzhou Prefecture 鎮州 was in Hainan while the Dingzhou Prefecture 定州 was in Henan. Therefore, it does not make sense to juxtapose these two prefectures together. Second, the Zhending Superior Prefecture 真定府 contained part of the Taihang Mountain Range, which is indicated in the sentence.

⁷²Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 150: 3653.

⁷³Hu Su 胡宿, *Wengong ji* 文恭集 [Collected works of Wengong], *juan* 8, “Lun bianishi” 論邊事 [On Border Affairs], *Siku quanshu* 四庫全書 edition.

⁷⁴Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 196: 4762.

⁷⁵Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 196: 4762.

⁷⁶The responsibilities of the Regent Official of the Southern Capital is described in detail in Kawakami Hiroshi 河上洋, “Ryō Go Kyō no Gaikō teki Kinō” 遼五京の外交的機能 [Diplomatic functions of the Five Liao Capitals], *Tōyōshi Kenkyū* 東洋史研究 5, no 2.2 (1993): 204–26.

around the Southern Capital. When Deng brought the case to the emperor at an audience, the emperor agreed to preserve the woodlands and also ordered planting more trees there on a regular basis.⁷⁷ Merely 100 kilometers away from Song territory, the Southern Capital was the most vulnerable among all the five Liao capitals. If the Song were to attack from the south, the forests near the Southern Capital could not only defend the city itself,⁷⁸ but also reinforce the strategic depth between the Southern Capital and the Liao's Supreme Capital and Central Capital in the Kitan heartland (in present-day Inner Mongolia), located at about 450 kilometers to its northeast.

In addition to fighting the Liao's incessant deforestation attempts, the Song government also had to deal with deforestation by its own officials, soldiers, and citizens. After the Liao spy persuaded Zhang Zhaoyuan to remove part of the defensive forest in 1018, the Song government later saw through the Liao's scheme and ordered reforesting the area. However, not long after the forest was rehabilitated, local officials and soldiers again attempted to fell the newly planted trees for construction timber and firewood. To stop these activities, in 1041 the court had to issue a strong warning to officials and residents in Hebei, vowing to severely punish any deforestation attempt in the borderland forest.⁷⁹

Peasants in Hebei, disgruntled by the government takeover of the open lands near the border for national security use, constantly pressured local officials to convert the existing woodlands to arable lands. While the Sericulture Promotion Act aimed to combine economic development with borderland forestation, the implementation of the act did not always result in the desired outcomes. In 1073, an investigator reported to the court that some officials heavily embezzled the sericulture incomes of the peasants.⁸⁰ Unable to receive the promised revenues from planting mulberry trees in the borderland forest, local peasants still saw the borderland forest as a threat to their livelihood and the dispute over land use yet persisted.

Located approximately 130 kilometers to the southeast of Xiongzhou, the Dingzhou Prefecture 定州 was among the most actively forested and yet the most heavily deforested borderland prefectures.⁸¹ In 1049, concerned with the extreme shortage of firewood, the then Pacification Commissioner of Dingzhou Han Qi 韓琦 (1008–1075)⁸² reported to the emperor that he had relaxed the ban on logging in the borderland forest:

⁷⁷“Deng Zhongju muzhi” 鄧中舉墓誌 [Epitaph of Deng Zhongju], in Xiang Nan 向南, ed., *Liaodai shike wenbian* 遼代石刻文編 [Collection of Liao stele inscriptions] (Shijiazhuang: Hebei jiaoyu, 1995), 488–89.

⁷⁸The Southern Capital and the Sixteen Prefectures were important to the Liao since the region is the Liao's main agricultural supplier. Tamura Jitsuzō 田村実造, “Ryōdai Toshi no Seikaku” 遼代都市の性格 [Characteristics of Liao cities], in *Tōyō shi Ronsō: Haneda Hakushi Shōju Kinen* 東洋史論叢: 羽田博士頌壽記念 [Researches on Oriental History: Papers in Honor of Dr. Haneda's Birthday] (Kyōtō: Tōyōshi kenkyūkai 東洋史研究会, 1950), 609–634.

⁷⁹Xu Song, *Song huiyao*, vol. 185, *bing* 兵, 27: 28B–29A.

⁸⁰Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 245: 5954.

⁸¹For the strategic importance of Dingzhou, see Wang Lihua 王麗花, “Qiantan Dingzhou zai Bei Song chuqi zhongyao de junshi diwei” 浅谈定州在北宋初期重要的军事地位 [The military importance of the Dingzhou Prefecture during the early Northern Song], *Hebei daxue chengren jiaoyu xueyuan xuebao* 河北大學成人教育學院學報 12, no. 4 (2010): 70–72.

⁸²For an overview of Han Qi's tenure in Dingzhou, see Wang Zhishuang 王志双, “Bei Song mingxiang Han Qi zai Dingzhou” 北宋名相韓琦在定州 [The renowned Northern Song Chancellor Han Qi in the Dingzhou Prefecture], *Hebei daxue xuebao* 河北大學學報, no. 2 (2004): 85–88.

In the past, the court prohibited people from felling trees in the borderland forest in order to block the enemies from invading us. At that time, tilling on lowland hills close to the borderline was entirely forbidden. As a result, many borderland residents lost their livelihoods. Now with the price of firewood soaring, the enemies are taking advantage of this opportunity and making high profits [by selling firewood to our people]. I used to dispatch officials to inspect the forbidden forest and found that a depth of fifty to sixty *li* from the enemy territory would still be thick enough for protection and blockage. I have re-demarcated the boundaries of the forbidden forest and put up an announcement to inform the locals. In areas that are not listed as forbidden in the announcement, commoners are allowed to log.

比朝廷欲禁近邊山林不許斬伐，以杜戎人入寇之路。當時並近裡淺山耕種之地槩行禁止，致邊民遽然失業。今薪炭翔貴，翻令敵人乘時以取厚利。臣嘗遣官行視可禁之處，去敵尚五六十里，亦可廣為防蔽。已別定可禁之地，揭榜諭民。非令所禁者，任採伐之。⁸³

This report shows that the defensive forest in Dingzhou, before Han Qi re-demarcated the boundaries of the forbidden area, had a depth of much more than fifty to sixty *li* (approximately 25 kilometers), or more than six times the length of Central Park in Manhattan. This rich woodland reserve attracted not only local residents but also people from other prefectures. In 1074, officials of the Zhaozhou Prefecture 趙州 (approximately 100 kilometers to the south of Dingzhou) transported large quantities of timber from the Dingzhou forests to repair city walls and build houses.⁸⁴ In addition, the Zhaozhou officials also got large amounts of timber from the forests in the adjacent Shenzhou Prefecture 深州 near Wuqiang 武強,⁸⁵ a relay stop along the official route where the Song and Liao envoys traveled back and forth.⁸⁶

These tree logging activities took place in the midst of the Song–Liao border renegotiation from 1074 to 1076. In the spring of 1074, the Liao sent a letter of credence to the Song, accusing the Song of encroaching on the Liao territory and requesting to re-demarcate the Song–Liao borderline. This letter deeply alarmed the Song government. Worried that the Liao would tear up the Treaty of Chanyuan, Emperor Shenzong 宋神宗 (r. 1067–1085) ordered reinforcing border defense to prepare for potential attacks from the Liao.⁸⁷ Under these circumstances, deforestations in the border area in Dingzhou and along the envoy route in Shenzhou had profound security implications for the Song. Concerned that the heavily logged woodlands in these two prefectures could be perceived as signs of the Song's weakened defense, the Song court banned Zhaozhou officials from further felling of trees in these two prefectures.⁸⁸

⁸³Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 166: 3996.

⁸⁴Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 258: 6306.

⁸⁵Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 277: 6773.

⁸⁶The stop-by-stop itinerary along the Song–Liao envoy route can be found in Li Xiacong 李孝聰, “Gongyuan shi zhi shi'er shiji Huabei Pingyuan beibu yaqu jiaotong yu chengshi dili de yanjiu” 公元十至十二世紀華北平原北部亞區交通與城市地理的研究 [Transportations and urban geographies in the Northern North China Plain from the tenth to twelfth centuries], *Lishi dili* 歷史地理 9 (1990): 239–63.

⁸⁷Ye Longli, *Qidan guozhi*, 9: 90. For a full review of the Song–Liao border dispute, see Tao Jing-sheng 陶晉生, “Song Liao bianjie jiaoshe de wenti” 宋遼邊界交涉的問題 [Disputes over the Song–Liao border], in *Song Liao Jin shi luncong* 宋遼金史論叢 [Papers on Song, Liao, and Jin histories] (Taipei: Linking Publishing, 2013), 227–37.

⁸⁸Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 258: 6306.

During the prolonged border negotiation process, many conservative Song officials worried that the defensive forest would be interpreted as a sign of distrust. Han Qi, for example, urged Emperor Shenzong to show goodwill to the Liao so that the two countries could maintain peace. In particular, Han Qi stressed that any moves that might raise suspicion, including planting more trees on the border, should be discontinued.⁸⁹ At the same time, some progressives also advocated removing the forest based on other arguments. Shen Kuo 沈括 (1031–1095), a veteran envoy to the Liao and an expert geographer, stated that tree counts in Dingzhou were numbered in the hundred-millions.⁹⁰ Although the number “hundred-million” (*yi* 億) was most likely figurative rather than actual, Shen Kuo’s statement nonetheless suggests that at that time the defensive forest still remained a distinct landscape feature along the Song–Liao border. Such a dense forest, according to Shen Kuo, could be a threat to national security because the attacking Liao soldiers could hide among the woods and use tree trunks and branches to make weapons.⁹¹

Overall, throughout the dynasty, diplomatic pressures, social tensions, and political factionalism never stopped threatening the preservation of this defensive forest. Toward the last decades of the Northern Song Dynasty, maintenance of the Hebei forest became increasingly lax. In the early 1100s, the then Prefect of Xiongzhou Wang Hanzhi 王漢之 worried that the maintenance of the hydraulic and forest fortification in Xiongzhou, a project that was meant to “benefit China for ten thousand generations,” had been too long neglected.⁹² At the same time, with natural disasters constantly hitting Xiongzhou but very limited relief funds coming from the central government, it is not hard to imagine that local residents would not only lose the incentive to plant trees for the state, but would even resort to exploiting forest products from the woodland for subsistence. Faced with all these pressures, the Hebei borderland forest was set on decline.

THE DEFENSIVE FOREST’S DEMISE AND ITS LEGACY

Since the late-eleventh century the Liao’s political influence and military strength rapidly declined due to a combination of factors. Internally, the Liao court experienced incessant succession struggles, coups, and rebellions, which greatly challenged the authority of the Liao central government and exhausted its finances. Externally, the Liao’s vassal the Jurchen tribe rebelled against the Liao and formed its own state, the Jin 金 Dynasty (1115–1234). Seeing the weakening of the Liao as the Song’s chance to recover the long-lost Sixteen Prefectures, Emperor Huizong 宋徽宗 (r. 1100–1126) secretly formed an alliance with the Jurchens and co-plotted a joint invasion of the Liao.⁹³

In 1122, Emperor Huizong appointed the eunuch-general Tong Guan 童貫 (1054–1126) to lead a northern expedition army to form a pincer attack on the Liao with the

⁸⁹Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 71: 10227–28.

⁹⁰Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 267: 6543.

⁹¹Li Tao, *Xu Zizhi Tongjian changbian*, 267: 6543.

⁹²Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 347: 11000.

⁹³Frederick W. Mote, *Imperial China, 900–1800* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1999), 199–205. Tao Jing-Sheng, *Song Liao guanxi shi yanjiu*, 203–15.

Jurchens.⁹⁴ This war not only ruptured the Treaty of Chanyuan, which had brokered peace between the Song and Liao for over a century, but also brought the Song's own defensive forest to its final devastation. When the Song army arrived at the Hebei borderland, the defensive forest standing there became an apparent obstacle to the expedition. Wang Mingqing wrote that Tong Guan, to let the troops keep marching north, ordered the soldiers to "cut the trees and mow the forest."⁹⁵ No further details are known about this deforestation process. Regardless, this expedition sealed the demise of the Northern Song's once luxuriant "Green Great Wall."

The removal of the defensive forest dismantled the strategic depth between Kaifeng and Hebei and thereupon precipitated the downfall of the Northern Song. In 1126, one year after the full collapse of the Liao, the Jin broke its alliance with the Song and attacked Kaifeng. When the Jurchen cavalry arrived at the former Song–Liao borderland, which had then returned to its originally barren, tree-less moonscape, there were no barriers to stop the Jurchen horsemen from marching through. In the fourth month of 1127, the city of Kaifeng fell.⁹⁶

In hindsight, some later scholars believed that there was a causal link between the destruction of the Hebei defensive forest and the fall of Kaifeng. For example, Wang Mingqing lamented:

If the borderland had forest barriers like before, the Jurchen cavalry might not have been able to march all the way through so swiftly. This defensive forest was one of the grand strategies of the Song ancestors.

使如前日有所蔽障，則未必能卷甲長驅。如此亦祖宗規撫宏遠之一也。⁹⁷

Of course, attributing the fall of the Northern Song to a single factor was far too reductive. Nevertheless, even though Wang Mingqing's teleology was lopsided, using forest for border fortification continued to influence national security decisions in the Southern Song. Along the Song–Jin boundary from the flat Huai River Plain in the east to the mountainous terrain in Sichuan in the west, the central and local governments had repeatedly led tree-planting campaigns to strengthen border fortification.⁹⁸ In addition, the Song also attached great importance to protecting mountain forests along its borders with Tibet 吐蕃 and Dali 大理.⁹⁹ Overall, all these border forestation efforts helped the Song to survive for another century and half after its retreat to the south.

The Northern Song's defensive forest also deeply influenced literary works of later periods. After the Northern Song, the phrase "elms and willows" (*yuliu* 榆柳) gradually

⁹⁴Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 22: 409–412.

⁹⁵Wang Mingqing, *Huizhu lu, houlu*, 1: 52.

⁹⁶For a brief account of the fall of Kaifeng to the Jurchen, see Patricia Buckley Ebrey, "Introduction," in *Emperor Huizong and Late Northern Song China: The Politics of Culture and the Culture of Politics*, ed. Patricia Buckley Ebrey and Maggie Bickford (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Asia Center, 2006), 1–4.

⁹⁷Wang Mingqing, *Huizhu lu, houlu*, 1: 52.

⁹⁸For example, the Pacification Commissioner of Sichuan Cui Yuzhi 崔与之 densely planted trees along the Song–Jin border in Sichuan. Tuotuo, *Songshi*, 406: 12261.

⁹⁹Li Fei 李飛 and Zhang Jingyong 張景永, "Songdai de bianfanglin zhengce" 宋代的邊防林政策 [Policies on border defensive forests in the Song dynasty], *Beijing linye daxue xuebao* 北京林業大學學報 13, no. 2 (2014): 37–41.

became a pervasive metaphor for borderland and borderscape.¹⁰⁰ The Southern Song poet Li Haogu 李好古 once wrote about the Guazhou Port 瓜洲渡口 at the junction of the Yangzi River and the Grand Canal, which at that time was a highly contested, strategic zone between the Jin and the Southern Song. Lamenting the turbulent situation there, the poet compared Guazhou Port with the Jade Gate (*yumen* 玉門), the northwestern border pass of the Han and Tang dynasties,¹⁰¹ as well as the “elms and willows,” the symbol of the Northern Song’s northern border fortress.¹⁰² Another Southern Song poet Huang Ji 黃機 expressed his intense eagerness to see the Song recapture North China, imagining that the Song troops could march straight back to the Central Plains. However, he worried that with further delay of the irredentist expedition, “the elms and willows at the gate of the fortress would wither in autumn, and their leaves would sway and fall with sadness.”¹⁰³

Centuries later in the Ming period (1368–1644), the example of the Song’s borderland forest was still invoked in strategic thinking and planning. A mid-Ming period gazetteer of Baoding, which included the Northern Song locales from Xiongzhou to Dingzhou in the historical Song–Liao borderland, recorded the local magistrate’s memorial to the emperor about his plan to restore the Northern Song defensive forest. Just as the Song had to confront the military threat from the Kitans, the Ming also faced pressing menace from its northern nomadic neighbor, the Mongols. Therefore, the magistrate wanted to rebuild a woodland defense in Baoding to thwart potential Mongol invasions.

The task was by no means easy. The magistrate enumerated five major challenges for the Ming to build an effective defensive forest. First, it was difficult to afforest the full stretch of the Ming–Mongol borderline. Second, since soil conditions in various border areas varied greatly, some of the grounds might not be suitable for growing trees. Third, it would take time to mobilize enough manpower to build the forest. Fourth, it would take many years for the forest to fully take shape while the next Mongol attack could be imminent. And finally, maintaining the forest would require additional human resources. All these difficulties were exactly what the Northern Song borderland forestation project had faced centuries earlier.

Despite these challenges, this Ming magistrate still insisted that it was imperative to revive the Northern Song’s defensive forest. He proposed corresponding solutions to address each of the five challenges. First, although the Sino-nomadic borderline was extensive, since most of the defense line was already fortified by mountains, in practice only thirty to forty percent of it needed to be forested. Second, one could always choose tree species to match the local soil condition. In addition to elm and willow, species such as mulberry, jujube, and chestnut, as long as they were suitable for the local soils, could

¹⁰⁰Dong Chunlin 董春林, “Songdai yuliu de zhongzhi jiqi wenhua yiyun” 宋代榆柳的種植及其文化意蘊 [Cultivation and literary symbolism of elms and willows in the Song dynasty], *Gansu shehui kexue* 甘肅社會科學, no.1 (2011): 170–72.

¹⁰¹For the literary connotation of the Jade Gate in Chinese poetry, see Yuan Chen, “Chunfeng yumen” 春風玉門 [Spring breeze passes the Jade Gate], *Fujian wenxue* 福建文學 (May, 2014): 72–76.

¹⁰²Li Haogu 李好古, “Qingpingyue: Guazhou dukou” 清平樂·瓜洲渡口 [Pure serene music: the port of Guazhou], in Tang Guizhang 唐圭璋, ed., *Quan Song ci* 全宋詞 [Complete lyrics of the Song] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1965), 2702: “瓜洲渡口, 恰恰城如斗。亂絮飛錢迎馬首, 也學玉關榆柳。”

¹⁰³Huang Ji 黃機, “Manjianghong: Wanzao pixiu” 滿江紅·萬灶貔貅 [The Red River: The strong army of ten thousand], in Tang Guizhang, ed., *Quan Song ci*, 2532: “且莫令、榆柳塞門秋, 悲搖落。”

all be planted to build the forest. Third, the government could hire local peasants familiar with silviculture to assist the forestation campaign. Joining the military and civilian labor forces would make the project progress much faster. Fourth, although it might take decades for the trees to grow into sturdy construction timber, for the trees to grow tall enough to thwart cavalry would require much less time. And finally, strictly enforcing forest protection laws would not be hard for an already well disciplined army.

The magistrate further listed several military and economic benefits that the forest could bring. If carefully planned and properly irrigated, in two to three years the forest would take shape and could then serve both as a barrier against the Mongols and as a woodland reserve to supply food and firewood for local residents. The magistrate laid out his plan and envisioned the future of the forest:

We must widely plant a variety of plants at strategic crossroads. If the trees are connected to mountains, there would be no weakness along the border. Densely planted for five *li* in depth, these trees will definitely grow into verdure. If our minds are not deterred by the five challenges, the forest can achieve more than the seven benefits. There will be exuberant plantations and forests lining up, making the landscape as awe-inspiring as the ten-thousand *li* Great Wall.¹⁰⁴ There will be abundant giant trees that will stand tall and protect [the empire] for hundreds of millions of years. This is the secret to building tight fortifications in the battlefields.

務要據要害之衝，廣雜卉之植。接連山險，毋俾有疎虞之失。厚種五里，必使有茂密之勢。心不阻於五難，功必長乎七利。則園林蔚蒼，森然萬里之長城。材木繁碩，屹乎億年之保障，疆場機綢繆之密則。¹⁰⁵

With the reforestation plan in full swing in Baoding, by the end of 1570 over two hundred thousand trees were reportedly added to the empire's northern border.¹⁰⁶ The next spring, an additional one hundred thousand trees were planted.¹⁰⁷ Although to fully revive the lush forest that used to stand on the Song–Liao border would still require much more time, effort, and investment, this sixteenth-century forestation campaign nonetheless exemplifies the rich legacy that the Northern Song's defensive woodland left behind.¹⁰⁸

CONCLUSION

Having undergone the continuous cycle of forestation, deforestation, and reforestation, the borderland forest that the Song built and maintained on its northern perimeter

¹⁰⁴Many Ming border officials took measures to create local defenses to thwart the Mongols. These efforts contributed to the Ming's construction of the Great Wall that we see today. See Arthur Waldron, *The Great Wall of China: From History to Myth* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1990).

¹⁰⁵Feng Weimin 馮惟敏, *Baoding xianzhi* 保定縣志 [*Gazetteer of the Baoding county*] (Baoding, Hebei: Woodblock printed manuscript, 1571), *bingzheng zhi* 兵政志, 20: 20B. Digitized in *Zhongguo fangzhi ku* 中國方志庫 [*Database of Chinese gazetteers*], Beijing Airusheng shuzihua jishu yanjiu zhongxin (Beijing: Beijing Airusheng shuzihua jishu yanjiu zhongxin, 2011), <http://server.wenzibase.com/dblist.jsp>.

¹⁰⁶For the detailed tree counts per county, see Feng Weimin, *Baoding xianzhi*, 20: 14B–18B.

¹⁰⁷*Ibid.*, 20: 22A.

¹⁰⁸For more on the Ming's forestation along the Great Wall, see Chiu Chung-lin 邱仲麟, "Mingdai Changcheng yanxian de zhumu zaolin" 明代長城沿線的植木造林 [Aforestation along the Great Wall during the Ming dynasty], *Nankai Xuebao* 南開學報, no.3 (2007): 31–42.

survived until the last years before the fall of Kaifeng. The fate of the borderland forest was dictated largely by the grand strategy of the Song empire: while its creation was a direct response to the call for better security for the dynasty's capital at Kaifeng, its demise was the bitter fruit of the Song's decision to tear up the peace treaty with the Liao.

The military historian Edward Luttwak's theory on the evolving nature of the Roman borders can help us better understand the connection between a peripheral forest and the course of the entire empire. Luttwak maintains that while the Roman borders in the Republican period were expanding *frontiers* that kept actively pushing into newly conquered territories, later, from the reign of Augustus onward, the Roman borders turned into defensive *boundaries* that divided the Roman and non-Roman realms.¹⁰⁹ The Battle of the Teutoburg Forest of the 9 CE was a watershed event in this transition. With the Romans losing to the Germanic tribes, the Teutoburg Forest became not a new Roman *frontier* but instead the first *boundary*-like Roman border that demarcated the limit of the Roman world.

In contrast, the nature of the Northern Song's border with the Liao followed the exact opposite course of change. I argue that the 1122–1123 war between the Liao and the Song–Jin alliance fundamentally altered the Song's perspective about the Song–Liao border and the borderland forest. Before this war, especially after Emperor Taizong's failed northern expedition in 986, the Northern Song had largely taken a defensive stance in the Song–Liao relation. Demarcating a distinct *boundary* between the Han-Chinese and the Kitan territories, the Northern Song's borderland forest in this period functioned as a delimiting boundary and military bulwark to protect the Song. Therefore, the view from the Song–Liao borderland in Hebei, then a protective and *boundary*-like border, was accordingly inward-looking and restraining. Later, with the Song flipping from defense to offense during the Huizong reign, Hebei suddenly became an irredentist and *frontier*-like borderland and the view from there correspondingly turned toward outward-looking and expanding. With this change of grand strategy, the original defensive functionality of the forest became meaningless. Accordingly, the borderland forest became a military liability rather than a national security asset.

Nevertheless, the Song's expansionist strategy did not last long after it recovered the Sixteen Prefectures. In just a few years, the empire had to defend itself against the invasion from its former ally the Jurchen Jin. While changing the nature of the Hebei borderland from boundary to frontier could be quickly achieved through deforestation, to reverse this action and reforest the borderland was much harder. Just as the Battle of the Teutoburg Forest marked the end of the Roman expansion, the removal of the forest on the Song–Liao border foreshadowed the fall of Kaifeng and henceforth the collapse of the Northern Song Dynasty. Connected through the intangible strategic depth between the heart and periphery of the Northern Song empire, the birth and demise of the Hebei borderland forest not only tells its own story, but also reflects the rise and fall of the entire dynasty.

¹⁰⁹Edward N. Luttwak, *The Grand Strategy of the Roman Empire: From the First Century CE to the Third* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976).